

ON THE INSTABILITY OF HAUSDORFF CONTENT

CLAES FERNSTRÖM

Let E be a subset of Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n and α a non-negative real number. We study all coverings of E with a countable number of open balls B_j with radii r_j and define the α -dimensional Hausdorff content $H_\alpha(E)$ as

$$\inf \sum r_j^\alpha$$

for all such coverings. A property, which holds for all points on $E \setminus E_1$ with $H_\alpha(E_1) = 0$, is said to hold H_α -a.e. on E . Denote by $B(x, \delta)$ the open ball $\{y; |y - x| < \delta\}$.

O’Farrell has conjectured in [7] that an “instability” result might hold for the content H_α . This paper is devoted to prove the following “instability” theorem:

THEOREM. *Let E be a set in \mathbb{R}^n and α and β constants such that $0 < \alpha < \beta$. Then H_β -a.e. on \mathbb{R}^n one of the following relations holds:*

$$\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{H_\alpha(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{\delta^\alpha} \geq \frac{1}{6^\alpha}$$

or

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{H_\alpha(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{\delta^\beta} = 0.$$

Similar theorems are true for the Lebesgue measure m (see Stein [8]), the analytic capacity (see Vituškin [9]) and the Riesz capacities (see Fernström [5]).

The main tool to prove the theorem is to use the fractional maximal function to define a capacity, which is equivalent to Hausdorff content. It is then possible to use the technique used in Fernström [5] for Riesz capacities to prove our theorem. The idea of using the fractional maximal function to define an equivalent capacity to Hausdorff content can be found in Adams [1].

PROOF OF THEOREM. The proof of the theorem will be split in a series of lemmas.

Since $H_\alpha(E)=0$ for all sets E when $\alpha > n$, the theorem is trivial when $b > n$. We shall therefore in the rest of the paper always assume that $0 < \alpha \leq n$ and $0 < \beta \leq n$.

A set function C on \mathbb{R}^n is said to be subadditiv if $C(E) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} C(E_i)$, where $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$.

The set function C is increasing if

$$E_1 \subset E_2 \Rightarrow C(E_1) \leq C(E_2).$$

Let C_α denote a subadditiv, increasing set function on \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$C_\alpha(B(x, \delta)) = A(C_\alpha)\delta^\alpha,$$

where $A(C_\alpha)$ is independent of x and δ . It is easy to see that H_α is subadditiv, increasing and $H_\alpha(B(x, \delta)) = \delta^\alpha$.

We begin with a Vitali covering lemma, which is proved as lemma 1.6 in Stein [8].

LEMMA 1. *Let E be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n which is covered by the union of a family of balls $\{B_j\}$, of bounded diameter. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then from this family of balls we can select a disjoint subsequence $\{B_j\}$ so that*

$$C_\alpha(E) \leq (3 + \varepsilon)^\alpha \sum C_\alpha(B_j).$$

If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we define the fractional maximal function $M_\alpha f$ as

$$M_\alpha f(x) = \sup_{\delta > 0} \delta^{-\alpha} \int_{B(x, \delta)} |f(y)| dy.$$

LEMMA 2. *Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $d > 0$. Then*

$$C_\alpha(\{x; M_\alpha f(x) > d\}) \leq \frac{3^\alpha}{d} A(C_\alpha) \|f\|_1, \quad \text{where } \|f\|_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)| dy.$$

PROOF. Set $E = \{x; M_\alpha f(x) > d\}$.

For every $x, x \in E$, there is a $\delta(x), \delta(x) > 0$, so that

$$\delta(x)^{-\alpha} \int_{B(x, \delta(x))} |f(y)| dy > d.$$

This gives $\delta(x)^\alpha < 1/d \|f\|_1$.

Thus $\delta(x)$ are uniformly bounded for $x \in E$. We also have

$$E \subset \bigcup_{x \in E} B(x, \delta(x)).$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. From Lemma 1 we see that there is a disjoint sequence of balls $B(x_j, \delta(x_j))$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} C_\alpha(E) &\leq (3+\varepsilon)^\alpha \sum_j C_\alpha(B(x_j, \delta(x_j))) = (3+\varepsilon)^\alpha \sum_j A(C_\alpha) \delta(x_j)^\alpha \\ &< (3+\varepsilon)^\alpha A(C_\alpha) \sum_j \frac{1}{d} \int_{B(x_j, \delta(x_j))} |f(y)| dy \leq (3+\varepsilon)^\alpha A(C_\alpha) \frac{1}{d} \|f\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon, \varepsilon > 0$, is arbitrary the lemma follows.

We need the following lemma which is stated for Riesz capacities in Bagby-Zierner [2].

LEMMA 3. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

i) if $0 < \alpha < n$

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta^{-\alpha} \int_{B(x, \delta)} |f(y)| dy = 0 \quad C_\alpha\text{-a.e. on } \mathbb{R}^n$$

ii) $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta^{-n} \int_{B(x, \delta)} |f(y) - f(x)| dy = 0 \quad C_n\text{-a.e. on } \mathbb{R}^n$.

PROOF. The case $\alpha = n$ is proved in Stein [8]. We give the proof for $0 < \alpha < n$. Set

$$\Omega f(x) = \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta^{-\alpha} \int_{B(x, \delta)} |f(y)| dy.$$

If g is a continuous function with compact support, it is easy to see that

$$\Omega g(x) \equiv 0.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. There is a continuous function with compact support so that

$$f = g + h \quad \text{and} \quad \|h\|_1 < \varepsilon.$$

This gives

$$\Omega f(x) \leq \Omega g(x) + \Omega h(x) = \Omega h(x) \leq M_\alpha h(x).$$

Let n be a positive integer. Lemma 2 now gives

$$\begin{aligned} C_\alpha(\{x; \Omega f(x) > 1/n\}) &\leq C_\alpha(\{x; M_\alpha h(x) > 1/n\}) \\ &\leq n^{3\alpha} A(C_\alpha) \|h\|_1 < n^{3\alpha} A(C_\alpha) \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $C_\alpha(\{x; \Omega f(x) > 1/n\}) = 0$.

The subadditivity of C_α now gives

$$\begin{aligned} C_\alpha(\{x ; \Omega f(x) > 0\}) &\leq C_\alpha\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\{x ; \Omega f(x) > 1/n\})\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_\alpha(\{x ; \Omega f(x) > 1/n\}) = 0 , \end{aligned}$$

which proves the lemma.

The following lemma is the crucial step in the proof of the theorem.

LEMMA 4. *Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\alpha < n$. Suppose that $M_\alpha f(x) > 1$ for all $x, x \in E$. Set*

$$E_\beta = \left\{ x ; \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{C_\alpha(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{\delta^\beta} > 0 \right\}.$$

Then $M_\alpha f(x) \geq 1$ C_β -a.e. on $E \cup E_\beta$.

PROOF. Let $x_0 \in E \cup E_\beta$. We may assume that $x_0 \notin E$. That is

$$\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{C_\alpha(E \cap B(x_0, \delta))}{\delta^\beta} > 0 .$$

Using lemma 3 we may also assume that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta^{-\beta} \int_{B(x_0, \delta)} |f(y)| dy = 0 \quad \text{for } \beta < n$$

and

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta^{-n} \int_{B(x_0, \delta)} |f(y) - f(x_0)| dy \quad \text{for } \beta = n .$$

Suppose now that the lemma is not true for x_0 . Then there is a constant $k, k \geq 1$, such that

$$M_\alpha f(x_0) \leq \left(\frac{k}{k+1} \right)^\alpha .$$

For every $x, x \in E$, we choose a number $\delta(x), \delta(x) > 0$, so that

$$\delta(x)^{-\alpha} \int_{B(x, \delta(x))} |f(y)| dy > 1 .$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} M_\alpha f(x_0) &\geq (\delta(x) + |x - x_0|)^{-\alpha} \int_{B(x_0, \delta(x) + |x - x_0|)} |f(y)| dy \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\delta(x)}{\delta(x) + |x - x_0|} \right)^\alpha \delta(x)^{-\alpha} \int_{B(x, \delta(x))} |f(y)| dy \\ &> \left(\frac{\delta(x)}{\delta(x) + |x - x_0|} \right)^\alpha . \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$M_\alpha f(x_0) \leq \left(\frac{k}{k+1} \right)^\alpha ,$$

it is easy to see that $\delta(x) \leq k|x - x_0|$. Let χ_δ denote the characteristic function for the set $B(x_0, (k+1)\delta)$.

We now split the proof into two parts.

First let $\beta < n$. Set $F_\delta(x) = \chi_\delta |f(x)|$.

Now let $x \in E \cap B(x_0, \delta)$. If we use that $\delta(x) \leq k|x - x_0|$, that is $\delta(x) \leq k\delta$, we get

$$1 < \delta(x)^{-\alpha} \int_{B(x, \delta(x))} |f(y)| dy = \delta(x)^{-\alpha} \int_{B(x, \delta(x))} F_\delta(y) dy \leq M_\alpha F_\delta(x) .$$

Lemma 2 gives

$$C_\alpha(E \cap B(x_0, \delta)) \leq C_\alpha(\{x ; M_\alpha F_\delta(x) > 1\}) \leq 3^\alpha A(C_\alpha) \|F_\delta\|_1 .$$

Finally we get

$$\frac{C_\alpha(E \cap B(x_0, \delta))}{\delta^\beta} \leq 3^\alpha A(C_\alpha) \frac{1}{\delta^\beta} \int_{B(x_0, (k+1)\delta)} |f(y)| dy .$$

But this contradicts the facts that $x_0 \in E_\beta$ and

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta^{-\beta} \int_{B(x_0, \delta)} |f(y)| dy = 0 .$$

This proves the lemma for $\beta < n$.

If $\beta = n$ we must modify the proof. Set

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} m(B(x, \delta))^{-1} \int_{B(x, \delta)} f(y) dy, & \text{if the limit exists.} \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

We observe that $g(x)=f(x)$ a.e. (see Stein [8]), Set

$$G_\delta(x) = \chi_\delta(x)[f(x)-g(x_0)] .$$

$x \in E \cap B(x_0, \delta)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} M_\alpha G_\delta(x) &\geq \delta(x)^{-\alpha} \int_{B(x, \delta(x))} \chi_\delta(y) |f(y)-g(x_0)| dy \\ &= \delta(x)^{-\alpha} \int_{B(x, \delta(x))} |f(y)-g(x_0)| dy \\ &\geq \delta(x)^{-\alpha} \int_{B(x, \delta(x))} |f(y)| dy - |g(x_0)| \delta(x)^{-\alpha} m(B(x, \delta(x))) \\ &> 1 - |g(x_0)| \delta(x)^{-\alpha} m(B(x, \delta(x))) . \end{aligned}$$

Thus there is a $\delta_0, \delta_0 > 0$, so that

$$M_\alpha 2G_\delta(x) > 1 \text{ for all } x \in E \cap B(x_0, \delta) \text{ if } \delta < \delta_0 .$$

The proof now proceeds exactly as for $\beta < n$.

We are going to define a set function \tilde{H}_α , which we shall prove is equivalent to H_α . Let E be a set in \mathbb{R}^n . The function \tilde{H}_α is defined by

$$\tilde{H}_\alpha(E) = \inf \{ \|f\|_1 ; f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } M_\alpha f(x) > 1 \text{ on } E \} .$$

If $\{ \|f\|_1 ; f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } M_\alpha f(x) > 1 \text{ on } E \} = \emptyset$, we set $\tilde{H}_\alpha(E) = \infty$. It is immediate that \tilde{H}_α is increasing.

LEMMA 5. \tilde{H}_α is subadditive.

PROOF. Let $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty E_i$. We may assume that $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \tilde{H}_\alpha(E_i) < \infty$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $f_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $M_\alpha f_i(x) > 1$ on E_i and

$$\|f_i\|_1 \leq \tilde{H}_\alpha(E_i) + \varepsilon 2^{-i}, \quad i=1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Set $f(x) = \sup |f_i(x)|$. We get

$$M_\alpha f(x) > 1 \text{ on } E_i, \quad i=1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_\alpha(E) &\leq \|f\|_1 \leq \int \sup |f_i(x)| dx \leq \int \sum_{i=1}^\infty |f_i(x)| dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^\infty \int |f_i(x)| dx \leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty (\tilde{H}_\alpha(E_i) + \varepsilon 2^{-i}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty \tilde{H}_\alpha(E_i) + \varepsilon , \end{aligned}$$

which gives the lemma.

We use the following notation:

$$\tilde{H}_\alpha(B(0,1)) = B_\alpha .$$

Since we are going to need to estimate B_α , the following lemma will be useful.

LEMMA 6. $0 < B_\alpha \leq 1$.

PROOF. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Denote by φ_ε a non-negative continuous function with support in $B(0, \varepsilon)$ so that $\int \varphi_\varepsilon(y) dy = 1$.

For $x \in B(0, 1)$ we get

$$M_\alpha(1+\varepsilon)^{\alpha+1}\varphi_\varepsilon(x) \geq \frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)^\alpha} \int_{B(x, 1+\varepsilon)} (1+\varepsilon)^{\alpha+1}\varphi_\varepsilon(y) dy = 1+\varepsilon > 1 .$$

Thus

$$\tilde{H}_\alpha(B(0,1)) \leq \|(1+\varepsilon)^{\alpha+1}\varphi_\varepsilon\|_1 \leq (1+\varepsilon)^{\alpha+1} ,$$

which gives $B_\alpha \leq 1$.

Now let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ so that $M_\alpha f(x) > 1$ on $B(0, 1)$. We may assume that $\|f\|_1 \leq 2$.

If $\delta^\alpha \geq 2$ we get

$$\delta^{-\alpha} \int_{B(x, \delta)} |f(y)| dy \leq \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_1 \leq 1 .$$

Set $\delta_0 = 2^{1/\alpha}$. Let $z \in B(0, 1)$. Then

$$M_\alpha f(z) = \sup_{0 < \delta < \delta_0} \delta^{-\alpha} \int_{B(z, \delta)} |f(y)| dy .$$

Thus

$$M_\alpha f(z) \leq \sup_{\delta > 0} \delta^{-n} \int_{B(z, \delta)} \delta_0^{n-\alpha} |f(y)| dy = M_n \delta_0^{n-\alpha} f(z) .$$

This gives

$$B(0,1) \subset \{x ; M_n \delta_0^{n-\alpha} f(x) > 1\} .$$

Theorem 1.3 in Stein [8] finally gives

$$m(B(0,1)) \leq m(\{x ; M_n \delta_0^{n-\alpha} f(x) > 1\}) \leq A \delta_0^{n-\alpha} \|f\|_1 ,$$

where A is a constant. Thus

$$B_\alpha \geq m(B(0,1)) A^{-1} \delta_0^{\alpha-n} > 0 ,$$

which proves the lemma.

The following lemma shows that \tilde{H}_α is of “ C_α -type”.

LEMMA 7. $\tilde{H}(B(x, \delta)) = B_\alpha \delta^\alpha$.

Since the proof is only a simple change of variables it is omitted.

LEMMA 8. Let $\alpha < n$ and let E be a set in \mathbb{R}^n . Set

$$E_\beta = \left\{ x; \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tilde{H}_\alpha(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{\delta^\beta} > 0 \right\}.$$

Then there is a set $O_{x, \delta}$ such that $C_\beta(O_{x, \delta}) = 0$ and

$$\tilde{H}_\alpha(E \cap B(x, \delta)) = \tilde{H}_\alpha((E \cup (E_\beta \setminus O_{x, \delta})) \cap B(x, \delta)).$$

PROOF. Fix x and δ . Choose $f_j \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, so that $M_\alpha f_j(x) > 1$ on $E \cap B(x, \delta)$ and $\|f_j\|_1 \leq \tilde{H}_\alpha(E \cap B(x, \delta)) + 1/j$.

It is easy to see that

$$E_\beta \cap B(x, \delta) \subset (E \cap B(x, \delta))_\beta.$$

Lemma 4 gives that there is a set O_j , $C_\beta(O_j) = 0$ and

$$M_\alpha f(x) \geq 1 \quad \text{on } (E \cap B(x, \delta)) \cup ((E_\beta \cap B(x, \delta)) \setminus O_j).$$

That is,

$$M_\alpha f(x) \geq 1 \quad \text{on } (E \cup (E_\beta \setminus O_j)) \cap B(x, \delta).$$

Set $O_{x, \delta} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} O_j$. We get $C_\beta(O_{x, \delta}) = 0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$M_\alpha(1 + \varepsilon)f_j(x) > 1 \quad \text{on } (E \cup (E_\beta \setminus O_{x, \delta})) \cap B(x, \delta).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_\alpha((E \cup (E_\beta \setminus O_{x, \delta})) \cap B(x, \delta)) &\leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|f_j\|_1 \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon) \tilde{H}(E \cap B(x, \delta)) + \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{j}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon, \varepsilon > 0$, and $j, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, can be chosen arbitrarily, we get

$$\tilde{H}_\alpha(E \cap B(x, \delta)) \leq \tilde{H}_\alpha(E \cup (E_\beta \setminus O_{x, \delta}) \cap B(x, \delta)) \leq \tilde{H}_\alpha(E \cap B(x, \delta)),$$

which proves the lemma.

We are now ready to compare H_α and \tilde{H}_α .

LEMMA 9. $\tilde{H}_\alpha(E) \leq B_\alpha H_\alpha(E)$.

PROOF. Let $\{B_j\}$ be a sequence of balls with radii r_j so that

$$E \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j.$$

We get

$$\tilde{H}_\alpha(E) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tilde{H}_\alpha(B_j) = B_\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r_j^\alpha.$$

Thus $\tilde{H}_\alpha(E) \leq B_\alpha H_\alpha(E)$.

LEMMA 10. $H_\alpha(E) \leq 3^\alpha \tilde{H}_\alpha(E)$.

PROOF. We may assume that $\tilde{H}_\alpha(E) < \infty$. Choose $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ so that

$$M_\alpha f(x) > 1 \text{ on } E.$$

Lemma 2 gives

$$H_\alpha(\{x; M_\alpha f(x) > 1\}) \leq 3^\alpha \|f\|_1.$$

Thus $H_\alpha(E) \leq 3^\alpha \|f\|_1$.

Let E be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n and denote by $\delta(s)$ the radius of the ball S . We use the following notation:

$$\Delta_\alpha(x, E) = \limsup_{\delta(S) \rightarrow 0} \frac{H_\alpha(E \cap S)}{\delta(S)^\alpha},$$

where $x \in S$.

Notice that it is not needed that x is the centre of the ball S .

LEMMA 11. $H_\alpha(\{x; \Delta_\alpha(x, E) < 1\}) = 0$.

The lemma is proved by Kametani [6] for Hausdorff's measures. The proof we give is a small modification of Kametani's proof.

PROOF OF LEMMA 11. Set

$$E_m = \{x \in E; \Delta_\alpha(x, E) < 1 - 1/m\}, \quad m = 2, 3, 4, \dots$$

Then

$$\{x; \Delta_\alpha(x, E) < 1\} \subset \bigcup_{m=2}^{\infty} E_m.$$

It is enough to show that

$$H_\alpha(E_m) = 0 \text{ for } m=2, 3, 4, \dots$$

Let m be fixed. Set

$$E_{mn} = \left\{ x \in E_m ; \frac{H_\alpha(E \cap S)}{\delta(S)^\alpha} < 1 - \frac{1}{m} \text{ for all } S, x \in S \text{ and } \delta(S) < \frac{1}{n} \right\},$$

$$n=1, 2, 3, \dots$$

We get

$$E_m \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{mn}.$$

It is enough to show that

$$H_\alpha(E_{mn}) = 0 \text{ for } n=1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Suppose that there is an E_{mn} so that $H_\alpha(E_{mn}) > 0$. Choose balls B_j so that

$$E_{mn} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j \text{ and } \delta(B_j) < \frac{1}{2n}.$$

Then there exists a number k so that

$$H_\alpha(E_{mn} \cap B_k) > 0.$$

Choose balls S_i so that $\delta(S_i) < 1/n$, $E_{mn} \cap B_k \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i$, $S_i \cap E_{mn} \neq \emptyset$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta(S_i)^\alpha < (1+1/m)H_\alpha(E_{mn} \cap B_k).$$

We get

$$H_\alpha(E_{mn} \cap B_k) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} H_\alpha(E_{mn} \cap S_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} H_\alpha(E \cap S_i)$$

$$< (1-1/m) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta(S_i)^\alpha < (1-1/m^2)H_\alpha(E_{mn} \cap B_k).$$

This is a contradiction. Thus $H_\alpha(E_{mn}) = 0$ for all m and n and the lemma is proved.

LEMMA 12.

$$\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{H_\alpha(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{\delta^\alpha} \geq \frac{1}{2^\alpha}, \quad H_\alpha\text{-a.e. on } E.$$

PROOF. Let $x \in E$. We may assume that $\Delta_\alpha(x, E) = 1$.

Let S be a ball so that $x \in S$. We get

$$\frac{H_\alpha(E \cap B(x, 2\delta(S)))}{(2\delta(S))^\alpha} \geq \frac{1}{2^\alpha} \frac{H_\alpha(E \cap S)}{\delta(S)^\alpha}.$$

Lemma 11 now gives the lemma.

REMARK 1. Let $n=2$ and $\alpha=1$ in Lemma 13. Then Besicovitch has shown in [3] that there is a set E , $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, such that there is equality in Lemma 12.

REMARK 2. Let $\alpha < n$. Then there exists a compact set F , $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, such that

$$\liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{H_\alpha(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{\delta^\alpha} = 0 \text{ for all } x \text{ and } H_\alpha(F) > 0.$$

This can be deduced from Carleson [4].

LEMMA 13. Let $\alpha \leq \beta$. Then

$$H_\beta(E)^{1/\beta} \leq H_\alpha(E)^{1/\alpha}.$$

PROOF. See O'Farrell [7].

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. We may assume that $\alpha < \beta \leq n$. Set

$$E_\beta = \left\{ x ; \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{H_\alpha(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{\delta^\beta} > 0 \right\}.$$

Lemma 8 gives that there is a set $O_{x,\delta}$ such that $H_\beta(O_{x,\delta})=0$ and

$$\tilde{H}_\alpha(E \cap B(x, \delta)) = \tilde{H}_\alpha((E \cup (E_\beta \setminus O_{x,\delta})) \cap B(x, \delta)).$$

If we use Lemma 9 and 10, we get

$$3^{-\alpha} H_\alpha((E \cup (E_\beta \setminus O_{x,\delta})) \cap B(x, \delta)) \leq B_\alpha H_\alpha(E \cap B(x, \delta)).$$

From lemma 13 we get

$$[H_\alpha(E \cap B(x, \delta))]^{1/\alpha} \geq 3^{-1} B_\alpha^{-(1/\alpha)} H_\beta((E \cup (E_\beta \setminus O_{x,\delta})) \cap B(x, \delta))^{1/\beta}.$$

If we use that $H_\beta(O_{x,\delta})=0$, we find that

$$\left[\frac{H_\alpha(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{\delta^\alpha} \right]^{1/\alpha} \geq 3^{-1} B_\alpha^{-(1/\alpha)} \left[\frac{H_\beta((E \cup E_\beta) \cap B(x, \delta))}{\delta^\beta} \right]^{1/\beta}.$$

Finally Lemma 12 gives

$$\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{H_\alpha(E \cap B(x, \delta))}{\delta^\alpha} \geq \frac{1}{6^\alpha B_\alpha} \quad H_\beta\text{-a.e. on } E \cup E_\beta,$$

and this together with Lemma 6 gives the theorem.

REFERENCES

1. D. R. Adams, *Lectures on L^p -potential theory*, Report No 2, University of Umeå, 1981.
2. T. Bagby and W. P. Ziemer, *Pointwise differentiability and absolute continuity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 191 (1974), 129–148.
3. A. Besicovitch, *On the fundamental geometrical properties of linearly measurable plane sets of points*, Math. Ann. 98 (1928), 422–464.
4. L. Carleson, *Selected Problems on Exceptional Sets*, (Van Nostrand Math. Stud. 13), D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., Princeton, London, 1967.
5. C. Fernström, *On the instability of capacity*, Ark. Mat. 15 (1977), 241–252.
6. S. Kametani, *On some properties of Hausdorff's measure and the concept of capacity in generalized potentials*, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo 18 (1942), 617–675.
7. A. G. O'Farrell, *Estimates for capacities and approximation in Lipschitz norms*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 311/312 (1979), 101–115.
8. E. M. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.
9. A. G. Vituskin, *The analytic capacity of sets in problems of approximation theory*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 22 (1967), 141–199. (Russian Math. Surveys 22 (1967), 139–200.)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 UNIVERSITY OF STOCKHOLM
 BOX 6701
 S-113 85 STOCKHOLM
 SWEDEN