## ON $\pi$ -REGULAR RINGS WITH NO INFINITE TRIVIAL SUBRING

## YASUYUKI HIRANO

A ring R is called  $\pi$ -regular if for every x in R there exists a positive integer n (depending on x) and an element y of R such that  $x^n = x^n y x^n$ . A  $\pi$ -regular ring R for which the n in the above can be taken to be 1 for all x is called (von Neumann) regular. By a trivial subring of R we mean a subring S of R with  $S^2 = 0$ . Further a ring R is orthogonally finite if R has no infinite set of mutually orthogonal idempotents. In this paper, we shall generalize some results in [1] and [6]. We need the following lemma, which was proved in [2] for infinite alternative nil rings.

LEMMA 1. Let R be an infinite nil ring. Then R has an infinite subring S with  $S^2 = 0$ .

It is well known that the class of  $\pi$ -regular rings properly contains the class of strongly  $\pi$ -regular rings. Thus the following lemma slightly improves [1, Theorem 3].

LEMMA 2. A ring R is an orthogonally finite  $\pi$ -regular ring with no infinite trivial subring if and only if  $R = F \oplus S$ , where F is a finite ring and S is a finite product of division rings.

PROOF. It suffices to prove the only if part. Since R is  $\pi$ -regular, the Jacobson radical J of R is nil. Hence J is finite by Lemma 1. By [4, Theorem 2.1], R/J is Artinian semisimple. Using Lemma 1, we can easily see that  $R/J = T \oplus D_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus D_n$  for some n, where T is a finite ring and  $D_i$  is an infinite division ring for each i. Let  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n$  be mutually orthogonal idempotents of R such that  $e_i + J$  is the identity of  $D_i$  for each i. Clearly  $e_1Re_1$  is a local ring with  $e_1Re_1/e_1Je_1 \cong D_1$ . Suppose now that  $e_1Je_1 \neq 0$ . Since J is a finite nil ring there exists a positive integer m such  $(e_1Je_1)^m \neq 0$  and  $(e_1Je_1)^{m+1} = 0$ . Then the trivial subring  $(e_1Re_1)^m$  is a non-zero vector space over the infinite division ring  $D_1$ , a contradiction. Hence  $e_1Re_1$  is a division ring which is isomorphic to  $D_1$ . Since the trivial subring

 $e_1R(1-e_1)=\{e_1x-e_1xe_1\,|\,x\in R\}$  is a left vector space over  $e_1Re_1$ , we obtain that  $e_1R(1-e_1)=0$ . Similarly we have that  $(1-e_1)Re_1=0$ . These imply that  $e_1$  is central. Similarly we can see that  $e_2,\ldots,e_n$  are central. If we set  $F=R(1-e_1-\ldots-e_n)$  and  $S=Re_1\oplus\ldots\oplus Re_n$ , then we obtain the desired decomposition  $R=F\oplus S$ .

A ring R is said to be strongly regular if R is regular and each idempotent in R is central, or equivalently, if R is a regular ring with no non-zero nilpotent element.

LEMMA 3. Let I be an ideal of a ring R. If I and R/I are strongly regular, then R is strongly regular.

PROOF. By [5, Theorem 22, p. 112], R is regular. We can easily see that R has no non-zero nilpotent element. Hence R is strongly regular.

Using Lemma 3, we can easily see that any ring R has a unique largest strongly regular ideal M, and R/M has no non-zero strongly regular ideal.

We can now prove the main theorem in this paper.

THEOREM 1. If R is a  $\pi$ -regular ring with no infinite trivial subring, then R has a strongly regular ideal M such that R/M is a finite ring.

PROOF. Let M be the largest strongly regular ideal of R. We first show that every nilpotent element of  $\overline{R} = R/M$  is a homomorphic image of a nilpotent element of R. Let  $\overline{a} = a + M$  be an element of  $\overline{R}$  with  $\overline{a}^n = 0$ . Then  $a^n \in M$ , and so there exists an idempotent e in M such that  $a^n e = a^n$ . Then we can easily see that  $(a - ae)^n = 0$ . Clearly,  $\overline{a}$  is the homomorphic image of a - ae, which proves the claim.

Next we show that  $\overline{R}$  has no infinite trivial subring. Suppose, to the contrary, that  $\overline{R}$  has an infinite trivial subring S. Then, by the above, S is a homomorphic image of a subset T of R consisting of square-zero elements. Let a and b be two elements of T. Then  $ab \in M$ , and so  $aba \in M$ . Since  $(aba)^2 = 0$ , we see that aba = 0. Then  $(ab)^2 = 0$ , and hence ab = 0. Therefore T generates an infinite trivial subring of R, which is a contradiction.

Now we claim that  $\bar{R}$  is orthogonally finite. Suppose, to the contrary, that  $\bar{R}$  has infinitely many mutually orthogonal idempotents  $e_1, e_2, \ldots$ . Let N denote the set of all nilpotent elements of  $\bar{R}$ . Suppose that both the number of the i such that  $e_i\bar{R} \cap N \neq 0$  and the number of the j such that  $\bar{R}e_j \cap N \neq 0$  are finite. Then there exists k such that  $\bar{R}e_k \cap N = 0 = e_k\bar{R} \cap N$ , and so we obtain that  $e_k\bar{R}(1-e_k)=0=(1-e_k)\bar{R}e_k$ . Hence  $e_k$  is central and  $\bar{R}e_k$  is a strongly regular ideal of  $\bar{R}$ . This contradicts the choice of M. Renumbering the  $e_i$ , we may therefore assume that  $e_i\bar{R} \cap N \neq 0$  for all i. Since the trivial ring  $e_1\bar{R}(1-e_1)$  is finite, the number of the i such that  $e_1\bar{R}e_i \neq 0$  is finite. Similarly the number of the i such that  $e_i\bar{R}e_1 \neq 0$  is finite. Hence there exists  $k_1$  such that  $e_1\bar{R}e_k = 0 = e_k\bar{R}e_1$ 

for all  $k \ge k_1$ . Applying the same reasoning to  $e_{k_1}$ , we get infinitely many idempotents  $e_{k_0} = e_1$ ,  $e_{k_1}$ ,  $e_{k_2}$ , ... such that  $e_{k_i} \bar{R} e_{k_j} = 0$  if  $i \ne j$ . Since  $e_{k_i} \bar{R} \cap N \ne 0$ , each  $e_{k_i} \bar{R}$  contains a non-zero element  $a_i$  with  $a_i^2 = 0$ . Now it is easy to see that  $a_0, a_1, \ldots$  generates an infinite trivial subring of  $\bar{R}$ , a contradiction. Therfore  $\bar{R}$  is orthogonally finite. By Lemmas 2 and 3, we conclude that  $\bar{R} = R/M$  is a finite ring.

REMARK. In Theorem 1, if we assume furthermore that R is orthogonally finite, then M is a finite direct sum of division rings, and hence M is a direct summand of R. Thus we can immediately deduce [1, Theorems 2 and 3] as well as Lemma 2 from Theorem 1.

We conclude this paper with the following corollary, which is a generalization of [6, Theorem 1].

COROLLARY 1. If R is a periodic ring with no infinite trivial subring, then R has a commutative regular ideal M with R/M finite.

PROOF. By Theorem 1, R has a strongly regular ideal M such that R/M is finite. Since M is periodic and since M has no non-zero nilpotent element, for every  $x \in M$  there exists an integer n(x) > 1 such that  $x^{n(x)} = x$ . By [3, Theorem X.1.1] M is commutative.

## REFERENCES

- 1. E. P. Armendariz, On infinite periodic rings, Math. Scand. 59 (1986), 5-8.
- 2. H. E. Bell, Infinite subrings of infinite rings and near rings, Pacific J. Math. 59 (1975), 345-348.
- 3. N. Jacobson, Structure of Rings, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., 37 (1964).
- I. Kaplansky, Topological representation of algebras II., Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 68 (1950), 62-75.
- 5. I. Kaplansky, Fields and Rings, Univ. of Chicago Press (2d ed.), 1972.
- 6. T. J. Laffey, Commutative subrings of periodic rings, Math. Scand. 39 (1976), 161-166.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY OKAYAMA 700 JAPAN