

DOMINATED AND UNIFORMLY DOMINATED FAMILIES OF LOEB-MEASURES

DIETER LANDERS and LOTHAR ROGGE

Abstract.

It is shown in this paper that each dominated family of Loeb-measures, derived from an internal family of probability contents, is uniformly dominated. As a corollary we obtain some surprising "nonstandard equivalences" for uniform domination in the standard world. An essential tool is an extension of a well-known theorem of Halmos and Savage which is proven by nonstandard methods in a rather direct way.

1. Notations.

Let P and Q be probability contents (p -contents) on an algebra \mathcal{C} . Then

- Q weakly dominates P iff $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and $Q(C) = 0$ imply $P(C) = 0$;
- Q dominates P iff for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and $Q(C) < \delta$ imply $P(C) < \varepsilon$.

If P, Q are p -measures on a σ -algebra then both concepts coincide.

Let \mathcal{P} be a family of p -contents on \mathcal{C} . Then \mathcal{P} is (weakly) dominated iff there exists a p -content Q , which (weakly) dominates \mathcal{P} ; that is Q (weakly) dominates each $P \in \mathcal{P}$.

\mathcal{P} is uniformly dominated iff there exists a p -content Q , which uniformly dominates \mathcal{P} ; i.e. for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and $Q(C) < \delta$ imply $P(C) < \varepsilon$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$.

We assume in this paper that we have a structure containing the real numbers \mathbb{R} , and a polysaturated nonstandard model of this structure.

Let \mathcal{B} be an internal algebra, and $Q: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow {}^*[0, 1]$ be an internal p -content. Then $Q_L(B) := {}^\circ(Q(B))$, $B \in \mathcal{B}$, defines a p -measure on \mathcal{B} and the system $L(Q)$ of all sets C with

$$\sup\{Q_L(B): C \supset B \in \mathcal{B}\} = \inf\{Q_L(B): C \subset B \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

is the σ -algebra of all Carathéodory-measurable sets with respect to $Q_L|\mathcal{B}$. The common value of the above expressions defines the unique extension of $Q_L|\mathcal{B}$ to a measure on the complete σ -algebra $L(Q)$. This extension is also denoted by Q_L ; Q_L is the Loeb-measure associated with Q . The described construction was given in [3], [4]. Put

$$L_u(\mathcal{B}) = \bigcap \{L(Q) : Q \text{ internal } p\text{-content on } \mathcal{B}\}.$$

If \mathcal{G} is a family of internal p -contents on \mathcal{B} , let $\mathcal{G}_L|\mathcal{B} = \{Q_L|\mathcal{B} : Q \in \mathcal{G}\}$.

2. The results.

The following Theorem of Halmos and Savage (see [1]) is an important tool in mathematical statistics and especially in the theory of sufficiency. We give a short and transparent proof using nonstandard techniques.

If P is a p -content on an algebra \mathcal{A} , put $N(P) := \bigcup \{^*N : N \in \mathcal{A}, P(N) = 0\}$. As our model is polysaturated, Theorem 1. of [2] implies that $N(P) \in L_u(^*\mathcal{A})$ and $^*P_L(N(P)) = 0$.

The following Lemma will be used several times in the proofs of our results.

1. LEMMA. *Let Q be an internal p -content on an internal algebra \mathcal{B} .*

- (1) *If $Q_L|\mathcal{B}$ is weakly dominated by a p -content $v|\mathcal{B}$, then it is dominated by $v|\mathcal{B}$.*
- (2) *If $P|\mathcal{B}$ is an internal p -content such that P_L dominates Q_L on \mathcal{B} , then it dominates Q_L on $L_u(\mathcal{B})$.*
- (3) *Let P and Q be p -contents on an algebra \mathcal{A} . Then $P|\mathcal{A}$ dominates $Q|\mathcal{A}$ iff $^*P_L|L_u(^*\mathcal{A})$ dominates $^*Q_L|L_u(^*\mathcal{A})$.*

PROOF. (1) As \mathcal{B} is an internal algebra, $v|\mathcal{B}$ is a p -measure and can be extended to a unique p -measure on $\sigma(\mathcal{B})$. It suffices to show that $v|\sigma(\mathcal{B})$ weakly dominates $Q_L|\sigma(\mathcal{B})$. Let $C \in \sigma(\mathcal{B})$ with $v(C) = 0$. Assume indirectly that $Q_L(C) > 0$. Then there exists $B \in \mathcal{B}$ with $B \subset C$ and $Q_L(B) > 0$, contradicting $v(B) = 0$.

(2) Let $C \in L_u(\mathcal{B})$ with $P_L(C) = 0$. If $Q_L(C) > 0$, we obtain a contradiction as in (1).

(3) By transfer it can be seen that $P|\mathcal{A}$ dominates $Q|\mathcal{A}$ iff $^*P_L|^*\mathcal{A}$ dominates $^*Q_L|^*\mathcal{A}$. Now by (2), applied to $P|B = ^*P_L|^*\mathcal{A}$ and $Q|B = ^*Q_L|^*\mathcal{A}$, we obtain (3).

2. THEOREM. *Let \mathcal{P} be a dominated family of p -measures on a σ -algebra \mathcal{A} . Then there exists $P_n \in \mathcal{P}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-n} P_n$ dominates \mathcal{P} .*

PROOF. Let \mathcal{P} be dominated by a p -content μ . Let $\{P_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{P}$ be such that

$$(1) \quad * \mu_L \left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} N(P_n) \right) = \inf \left\{ * \mu_L \left(\bigcap_{P \in \mathcal{P}_0} N(P) \right) : \mathcal{P}_0 \subset \mathcal{P} \text{ countable} \right\}.$$

By (1) we have for each $Q \in \mathcal{P}$ that

$$N := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} N(P_n) \subset N(Q) \quad * \mu_L | L_u(*\mathcal{A})\text{-a.e.}$$

As $*Q_L | L_u(*\mathcal{A})$ is dominated by $*\mu_L | L_u(*\mathcal{A})$ according to Lemma 1, we obtain $N \subset N(Q) *Q_L$ -a.e. Put

$$P_0 := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2^n} P_n$$

and let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $P_0(A) = 0$. Then $*A \subset N(P_0) \subset \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} N(P_n) = N$. Hence $Q(A) = *Q_L(*A) = 0$ for all $Q \in \mathcal{P}$.

Using once more nonstandard techniques, we obtain the following generalization of the Theorem of Halmos-Savage.

3. COROLLARY. *Let \mathcal{P} be a dominated family of p -contents on an algebra \mathcal{A} . Then there exist $P_n \in \mathcal{P}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-n} P_n$ dominates \mathcal{P} .*

PROOF. Let \mathcal{P} be dominated by a p -content μ . By Lemma 1 we have that $\{ *P_L : P \in \mathcal{P} \}$ is dominated by $*\mu_L$ on $\sigma(*\mathcal{A})$, the σ -algebra generated by $*\mathcal{A}$. According to Theorem 2 there exist $P_n \in \mathcal{P}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-n} (*P_n)_L$ dominates $\{ *P_L : P \in \mathcal{P} \}$ on $\sigma(*\mathcal{A})$. Hence $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-n} P_n$ dominates \mathcal{P} .

Now we prove a result for certain families of Loeb-measures which is obviously false for general families of measures.

4. THEOREM. *Let \mathcal{B} be an internal algebra and let \mathcal{G} be an internal family of p -contents on \mathcal{B} . If $\mathcal{G}_L | \mathcal{B}$ is weakly dominated, then there exists an internal p -content $v | \mathcal{B}$ such that $v_L | \mathcal{B}$ uniformly dominates $\mathcal{G}_L | \mathcal{B}$.*

PROOF. According to Lemma 1, $\mathcal{G}_L | \mathcal{B}$ is dominated. Hence there exist $Q_n \in \mathcal{G}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-n} (Q_n)_L$ dominates $\mathcal{G}_L | \mathcal{B}$ (use Corollary 3). Since \mathcal{G} is an internal set, and since our model is polysaturated, there exists an internal extension $(Q_H)_{H \in * \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{G}$ of $(Q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Put

$$v = \sum_{H \in * \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2^H} Q_H.$$

Then $v | \mathcal{B}$ is an internal p -content. Furthermore, $v_L | \mathcal{B}$ dominates $\mathcal{G}_L | \mathcal{B}$.

If $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $v_L(B) = 0$, then $Q_n(B) \approx 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence $Q_L(B) = 0$ for all $Q \in \mathcal{G}$; therefore \mathcal{G}_L is dominated by v_L according to Lemma 1.

Let $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$ be fixed and put for each $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$

$$\mathcal{G}_\delta := \{Q \in \mathcal{G} \mid (\forall B \in \mathcal{B})(v(B) < \delta \Rightarrow Q(B) < \varepsilon)\}.$$

As $v_L|_{\mathcal{B}}$ dominates $\mathcal{G}_L|_{\mathcal{B}}$, we obtain $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+} \mathcal{G}_\delta$. As \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{G}_δ , $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$, are internal sets and since our model is polysaturated, there exists $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_\delta$. Consequently $\mathcal{G}_L|_{\mathcal{B}}$ is uniformly dominated by $v_L|_{\mathcal{B}}$.

5. THEOREM. *Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra, and let \mathcal{G} be an internal family of p -contents on $^*\mathcal{A}$. If for each $Q \in \mathcal{G}$ there exists a p -content $P|_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $^*P_L|_{^*\mathcal{A}}$ dominates $Q_L|_{^*\mathcal{A}}$, then there exists a p -content $\mu|_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $^*\mu_L|_{^*\mathcal{A}}$ uniformly dominates $\mathcal{G}_L|_{^*\mathcal{A}}$.*

PROOF. Let $\varepsilon, \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $P|_{\mathcal{A}}$ be a p -content and put

$$\mathcal{G}_{P, \delta, \varepsilon} := \{Q \in \mathcal{G} \mid (\forall A \in ^*\mathcal{A})(^*P(A) < \delta \Rightarrow Q(A) < \varepsilon)\}.$$

By assumption we obtain for each $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$ that $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{G}_{P, \delta, \varepsilon} : \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+, P|_{\mathcal{A}} \text{ } p\text{-content}\}$.

Since \mathcal{G} and $\mathcal{G}_{P, \delta, \varepsilon}$ are internal and since our model is polysaturated there exists p -contents $P_1^\varepsilon, \dots, P_{n(\varepsilon)}^\varepsilon$ on \mathcal{A} and $\delta(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that for all $A \in ^*\mathcal{A}$ and all $Q \in \mathcal{G}$:

$$^*P_i^\varepsilon(A) < \delta(\varepsilon) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n(\varepsilon) \Rightarrow Q(A) < \varepsilon.$$

Let P_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be a denumeration of $\{P_v^\varepsilon : v \leq n(\varepsilon), \varepsilon = 1/m, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and put $\mu = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-n} P_n$. Then $^*\mu_L|_{^*\mathcal{A}}$ uniformly dominates $\mathcal{G}_L|_{^*\mathcal{A}}$.

6. COROLLARY. *Let \mathcal{P} be a family of p -contents on an algebra \mathcal{A} . Then the following four conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\mathcal{P}|_{\mathcal{A}}$ is uniformly dominated;
- (ii) $^*\mathcal{P}_L|_{^*\mathcal{A}}$ is weakly dominated;
- (iii) $^*\mathcal{P}_L|_{^*\mathcal{A}}$ is uniformly dominated;
- (iv) for each $Q \in ^*\mathcal{P}$ there exists a p -content $P|_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $^*P_L|_{^*\mathcal{A}}$ dominates $Q_L|_{^*\mathcal{A}}$.

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (iv) by transfer; (iv) \Rightarrow (iii) by Theorem 5; (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) is trivial. (ii) \Rightarrow (i): If (ii) holds, then by Theorem 4 there exists an internal p -content $v|_{^*\mathcal{A}}$ such that $v_L|_{^*\mathcal{A}}$ uniformly dominates $^*\mathcal{P}_L|_{^*\mathcal{A}}$. Hence $\mathcal{P}|_{\mathcal{A}}$ is uniformly dominated by the p -content $P|_{\mathcal{A}}$, given by $P(A) := v_L(^*A)$ for $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

REFERENCES

1. Paul R. Halmos and L. J. Savage, *Application of the Radon-Nikodym theorem to the theory of sufficient statistics*, Ann. Math. Stat. 20 (1948), 225–241.
2. Dieter Landers and Lothar Rogge, *Universal Loeb-measurability of sets and of the standard part map with applications*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 304 (1987), 229–243.
3. Peter A. Loeb, *Conversion from nonstandard to standard measure spaces and applications in probability theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 211 (1975), 113–122.
4. Peter A. Loeb, *An introduction to nonstandard analysis and hyperfinite probability theory*, in *Probabilistic Analysis and Related Topics*, Vol. 2, pp. 105–142, ed. A. T. Bharucha-Reid. Academic Press, New York, 1979.

DIETER LANDERS
MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT
DER UNIVERSITÄT ZU KÖLN
WEYERTAL 86-90
D-5000 KÖLN 41
W. GERMANY

LOTHAR ROGGE
FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK
DER UNIVERSITÄT DUISBURG
LOTHARSTRASSE 65
D-4100 DUISBURG 1
W. GERMANY