

ORDERS, IN NON-EICHLER (R)-ALGEBRAS OVER GLOBAL FUNCTION FIELDS, HAVING THE CANCELLATION PROPERTY

MARLEEN DENERT*

Abstract.

We study R -orders θ in central simple algebras A over global function fields K . In studying the locally free left θ -ideals, one has to deal with the following difficulty: the set of isomorphism classes of locally free left θ -ideals, $LF_1(\theta)$ is in general not a group; but one can consider the group $\mathcal{C}l(\theta)$ of stable isomorphism classes of these ideals. We say that θ has the cancellation property if $LF_1(\theta) = \mathcal{C}l(\theta)$, i.e. if all stably free θ -ideals are free.

A theorem of Jacobinski and Swan states that if A satisfies the Eichler condition with respect to R , then all R -orders in A do have the cancellation property.

In [DVG 1] we proved that there only exist R -orders with the cancellation property in a non Eichler (R)-algebra A if the center K of A is a rational function field.

In this paper we obtain a complete characterization of the non Eichler (R)-algebras which contain R -orders with the cancellation property. This characterization, although obtained by analytic-numbertheoretic methods, is of geometrical nature. We also determine all hereditary R -orders in these algebras which have the cancellation property.

0. Preliminaries.

For a complete discussion of the definitions and methods we refer to [D 1], [DVG 1] and [DVG 2].

Let A be a central simple K -algebra of index n (i.e. $n^2 = (A : K)$) and $K = F_q(\mathcal{C})$ the function field of a complete regular curve \mathcal{C} , defined over F_q . F_q is the finite field with q elements which we suppose to be algebraically closed in K .

Recall that for $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{C}$, the completion $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong M_{\kappa_{\mathfrak{p}}}(D_{\mathfrak{p}})$ with $D_{\mathfrak{p}}$ a skewfield of index $e_{\mathfrak{p}}$ over $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $\kappa_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is called the capacity and $e_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the ramification index of A at \mathfrak{p} and $e_{\mathfrak{p}}\kappa_{\mathfrak{p}} = n$. We denote $S_{\text{ram}} = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{C} \mid 1 \neq e_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$, $S'_{\text{ram}} = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{C} \mid e_{\mathfrak{p}} = n\}$ and $v_{\mathfrak{p}} = \# S'_{\text{ram}}$.

We fix a Dedekind ring R in K by choosing a finite non-empty subset \mathcal{T} of

* The author is supported by an N.F.W.O.-grant.

Received January 10, 1987, in revised form July 07, 1987.

\mathcal{C} and $R = \left(\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \notin \mathcal{T}} R_{\mathfrak{p}} \right) \cap K$ where $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the completion of the valuation ring corresponding to \mathfrak{p} . We denote $\iota = \#\mathcal{T}$ and for $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{C}$ the absolute norm of \mathfrak{p} is $N_{\mathfrak{p}} = q^{o_{\mathfrak{p}}} = \#(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p})$.

In this paper we always restrict to *non Eichler (R)-algebras*, which means that $\mathcal{T} \subset S'_{\text{ram}}$ (so $\iota \leq \iota_{\ell}$), cf. [DVG 1].

Fix a genus \mathcal{G} of R -orders in $A(\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathcal{G}$ then $\theta_{1,\mathfrak{p}} \cong \theta_{2,\mathfrak{p}}$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \notin \mathcal{T}$), then both $\mathcal{C}\ell(\theta)$ and $LF_1(\theta)$ do not depend on the choice of $\theta \in \mathcal{G}$, so the cancellation property (C.P.) is a property of the genus \mathcal{G} , cf. [V].

For $\theta \in \mathcal{G}$ we choose a set $\{\theta x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq r_{\theta}\}$ of representatives for the isomorphism-classes of stably free left θ -ideals (x_i are idèles of A). Remark that θ has the C.P. iff $r_{\theta_1} = 1$ for some $\theta_1 \in \mathcal{G}$.

Denote $\theta_i = x_i^{-1} \theta x_i \in \mathcal{G}$, the right order of θx_i , and $\hat{w}_i = \#\theta'_i$, where $X' = \text{kernel}(nr/x)$ is the kernel of the reduced norm map nr .

The *measure of stably free θ -ideals* is given by (cf. [V]):

$$\mathcal{M}_{\theta}(\mathcal{S}) = \#\mathcal{C}\ell(\theta) \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r_{\theta}} \frac{(R^{\circ} : nr \theta'_i)}{\hat{w}_i}$$

where $R^{\circ} = R^* \cap \left(\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \notin \mathcal{T}} nr(\theta_{\mathfrak{p}}^*) \right)$, $\theta \in \mathcal{G}$.

For hereditary orders θ one has $R^{\circ} = R^*$, cf. [R], [D 1]. By using analytic methods, M.F. Vigneras was able to calculate $\mathcal{M}_{\theta}(\mathcal{S})$ for \mathbb{Z} -orders θ in totally definite quaternion algebras over number fields, cf. [V]. These methods can be extended to calculate $\mathcal{M}_{\theta}(\mathcal{S})$ for R -orders in non Eichler (R)-algebras over global function fields of any index $n \geq 2$:

$$(F1) \quad \mathcal{M}_{\theta}(\mathcal{S}) = \#\mathcal{C}\ell(\theta) q^{(n^2-1)(g_K-1)} \zeta_K(2) \dots \zeta_K(n) \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{C}} T_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

where g_K is the genus of K , $\zeta_K(s) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{C}} (1 - N_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-s})^{-1}$ is the zetafunction of K and the factors $T_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are given by:

$$T_{\mathfrak{p}} = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}} } (N_{\mathfrak{p}}^i - 1) \cdot \frac{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(A'_{\mathfrak{p}})}{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\theta'_{\mathfrak{p}})}$$

with $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ a maximal $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -order containing $\theta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $v_{\mathfrak{p}}$ a bi-invariant Haar measure on $A'_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Remark that $T_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$ for almost every $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{C}$.

In the function field case (F1) can also be deduced using the relation between ' θ -divisors' and the genus zetafunction, cf. [D 1].

From all this we obtain the following '*cancellation formula*': All R -orders in

\mathcal{G} have the C.P. iff there exists $\theta \in \mathcal{G}$ such that:

$$(F2) \quad q^{(n^2-1)(g_K-1)} \zeta_K(2) \dots \zeta_K(n) \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{G}} T_{\mathfrak{p}} \stackrel{(\cong)}{=} \frac{(R^\circ: nr \theta^*)}{\hat{w}}$$

Remark that if (F2) holds with the inequality sign ' \leq ', then the equality follows.

To calculate the right-hand side of (F2) we introduce: $\overline{F_q(\theta)} = \theta \cap \left(\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{F}} A_{\mathfrak{p}} \right)$ where $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the unique maximal $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -order in the division algebra $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. One can think of $\overline{F_q(\theta)}$ as being the algebraic closure of F_q in θ , cf. [DVG 2]; so $\overline{F_q(\theta)} \cong F_{q^\ell}$ with $\ell \mid n$. For hereditary R -orders θ we obtain:

$$\frac{(R^*: nr \theta^*)}{\hat{w}} = \frac{(F_q^*: nr(F_q^*))}{\hat{w}} \cdot (R^*/F_q^*: nr(\theta^*/F_q^*)) = \frac{q-1}{q^\ell-1} x_\theta$$

with $x_\theta = (R^*/F_q^*: nr(\theta^*/F_q^*)) \mid n^{\ell-1}$.

A non Eichler (R)-algebra which contains R -orders with the cancellation property is called an NEC(R)-algebra.

1. Characterization of the NEC(R)-algebras.

From [DVG 1] theorem 2.1, the center K of NEC (R)-algebras is a rational function field, so $K = F_q(t)$, $g_K = 0$ and the zetafunction of K is

$$\zeta_K(s) = \frac{1}{(1-q^{-s})(1-q^{1-s})}.$$

If we put $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_t\}$ and denote φ_i the degree of \mathfrak{p}_i , (F2) yields:

$$(C.F.) \quad \frac{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq t} (q^{\varphi_i} - 1) \dots (q^{(n-1)\varphi_i} - 1)}{(q-1)^2 \dots (q^{n-1} - 1)^2} \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{F}} T_{\mathfrak{p}} \stackrel{(\cong)}{=} x_\theta \frac{q^n - 1}{q^\ell - 1}$$

with $\overline{F_q(\theta)} \cong F_{q^\ell}$ and $x_\theta \mid n^{\ell-1}$.

By a result of Fröhlich, cf. [F, p. 117], all maximal R -orders in NEC (R)-algebras have the C.P., so A is an NEC (R)-algebra iff (C.F.) holds for some $\Lambda \in \mathcal{G}_{\max}$ (\mathcal{G}_{\max} is the genus of maximal R -orders in A).

Before passing to the main theorem we prove two lemmas about $\overline{F_q(\Lambda)}$ and x_Λ , $\Lambda \in \mathcal{G}_{\max}$.

LEMMA 1. *Let A be a central simple algebra of index n over $F_q(t)$ such that $S_{\text{ram}} = S'_{\text{ram}}$ = then $\overline{F_q(\Lambda)} \simeq F_{q^n}$ for some $\Lambda \in \mathcal{G}_{\max}$ if and only if $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}} \not\equiv 0 \pmod n$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in S_{\text{ram}}$.*

PROOF. The proof in [DVG 2], where we assumed n to be prime extends directly since $S_{\text{ram}} = S'_{\text{ram}}$.

LEMMA 2: Let A be a non Eichler (R)-algebra of index n over $F_q(t)$ with $q = 2$, $n = 3$ and $S_{\text{ram}} = \{t^{-1}, t, t + 1\}$ such that $\iota = \#\mathcal{T} \geq 2$ then $x_\theta \geq 3$ for every R-order θ in A .

PROOF. Remark that $\mathcal{T} \subset S_{\text{ram}}$, so $\iota \leq 3$. Let $\iota = 3$ ($\iota = 2$ can be treated similarly) then $R^*/F_q^* = \{t^k(t + 1)^l \mid k, l \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

It is sufficient to show that for $c = t, t^2, (t + 1)^2$ we have $c \notin nr \theta^*$.

Assume that $nr(\alpha) = c$ for some $\alpha \in \theta$ then $L = F_q(t)(\alpha)$ defines a splitting field in A and $f_\alpha(Y)$, the minimal polynomial of α , has coefficients in R . Moreover $t^{-1}, t, t + 1$ may not decompose in L , cf. [R, p. 238–239], from which we easily obtain a contradiction.

In central simple algebras over function fields of curves there exists a notion of “genus of the algebra” g_A , and one also has a Riemann-Roch Theorem (due to E. Witt), cf. [Wi].

Using this “geometric” invariant we can characterize NEC(R)-algebras as follows:

THEOREM 3. A non Eichler (R)-algebra A over a global function field K is an NEC(R)-algebra if and only if $g_A \leq \varphi_b$ with $\varphi_b \in \mathbb{N}$ such that all primes in K of degree $\leq \varphi_b$ are totally ramified in A . Moreover if $\varphi_b \neq 0$ then the choice of R is restricted by $\iota \geq q - \varphi_b$

It turns out that, at least partially, our results can be extended to central simple algebras over function fields of curves, defined over some infinite field $(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}_p, \dots)$. This is done by P. Salberger cf. [V – Sa, appendix]. Salberger’s proof is geometrical in the sense that it describes the problem in terms of the Brauer-Severi scheme of an order; it also relies on Witt’s Riemann-Roch.

Before proving theorem 3 we determine the non Eichler(R)-algebras with $g_A \leq \varphi_b$, using the Hasse-invariants of the algebra. Recall that the *Hasse-invariants* of a central simple algebra A determine (A) , the class of A in $\text{Br}(K)$, completely. Since non Eichler (R)-algebras are division algebras, we can characterize them by giving their Hasse-invariants, cf. [R, p. 266–277].

For the Hasse-invariants $\left\{ \frac{s_\mu}{e_\mu} \mid \mu \in \mathcal{C} \right\}$ one has $(s_\mu, e_\mu) = 1$ and $\sum_{\mu \in S_{\text{ram}}} \frac{s_\mu}{e_\mu} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$.

We will see that if $n, q, \mathcal{T} \subset S_{\text{ram}}$ and $\{e_\mu \mid \mu \in S_{\text{ram}}\}$ satisfy certain conditions A will be an NEC(R)-algebra. This means that for every choice of $\{s_\mu \mid \mu \in S_{\text{ram}}\}$

for which $(s_\mu, e_\mu) = 1$ and $\sum_{\mu \in S_{\text{ram}}} \frac{s_\mu}{e_\mu} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$; the corresponding class (A) in $\text{Br}(K)$ contains an NEC(R)-algebra.

We reformulate the condition $g_A \leq \varphi_b$ in terms of the Hasse-invariants, using

the generalization of the Hurwitz formula, cf. [V – V, Theorem 0.3], [D 1, lemma III.5]:

$$(H.F.) \quad g_A = 1 + n^2(g_K - 1) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{C}} n \kappa_\mu \varphi_\mu (e_\mu - 1)$$

If $\varphi_b \neq 0$ then all primes of degree $\leq \varphi_b$ are contained in S'_{ram} , so $g_A \geq 1 + n^2(g_K - 1) + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} (q^{\varphi_b} + 1)$. For $\varphi_b \geq 3$ this yields $g_A > \varphi_b$. For $\varphi_b \leq 2$ ($\#S_{\text{ram}} \geq 2, \nu_i \geq 1$) $g_A \leq 2$ yields $g_K = 0$. We deduce further conditions on the Hasse-invariants for the particular values of $\varphi_b = 0, 1, 2$, (for $\nu_i = 1$ we also use that $\sum_{\mu \in S_{\text{ram}}} \frac{s_\mu}{e_\mu} \equiv 0 \pmod{Z}$ with $(s_\mu, e_\mu) = 1$).

We can reformulate Theorem 3 as follows:

THEOREM 3 (bis): *A non Eichler (R)-algebra A over a global function field K is an NEC(R)-algebra if and only if K is a rational function field $F_q(t)$ and one of the following conditions is satisfied.*

- i) $S_{\text{ram}} = \{\mu_1, \mu_2\}$ with $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = 1$
- ii) $n = 2$ and $S_{\text{ram}} = \{\mu_1, \mu_2\}$ with $\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2 = 2$
- iii) $n = 2, q = 2$ and $S_{\text{ram}} = \{t^{-1}, t, t+1, t^2+t+1\}$
- iv) $n = 2, q = 3, S_{\text{ram}} = \{t^{-1}, t, t+1, t-1\}$ and $\#\mathcal{T} \geq 3$
- v) $n = 3, q = 2, S_{\text{ram}} = \{t^{-1}, t, t+1\}$ and $\#\mathcal{T} \geq 2$

PROOF. If $g_K \neq 0$ the statement follows from [DVG 1, theorem 2.1.]. So we can assume that $K = F_q(t)$.

We only prove the theorem for $n > 2$. For $n = 2$ the proof is similar and is done in [DVG 3], remark that for $n = 2$ the result is slightly different.

We must show that (C.F.) is only satisfied for maximal orders in non Eichler (R)-algebras corresponding to i) or v).

We introduce the following notation:

L_{hs} (resp. R_{hs}) is the left-hand (resp. right-hand) side of (C.F.)

$$T_\mu = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{e_\mu}}} (\mathcal{N}_\mu^i - 1) = (q^{\varphi_\mu} - 1) \cdot \dots \cdot (q^{\varphi_\mu(n-1)} - 1)$$

First we check that if A corresponds to i) or v) then $L_{\text{hs}} \leq R_{\text{hs}}$ for a good choice of $A \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{max}}$:

$$i) \quad L_{\text{hs}} = 1 \leq x_A \frac{q^n - 1}{q^\ell - 1} = R_{\text{hs}}$$

v) $L_{\text{hs}} = 3$ and the lemmas 1 and 2 provide that $\ell = n$ and $x_A \geq 3$ for some $A \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{max}}$; $L_{\text{hs}} \leq R_{\text{hs}}$ follows.

Now we prove the converse: for all the other non Eichler (R)-algebras A over $F_q(t)$ (of index $n > 2$) $L_{\text{hs}} > R_{\text{hs}}$ for some $A \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{max}}$.

If A corresponds to v) and $\iota = 1$ then $L_{\text{hs}} = 3 > 1 = R_{\text{hs}}$. The remaining non Eichler (R)-algebras are split up, using the following scheme ($n > 2$):

- A.1) $q \neq 2$ or $n \neq 3$ and $\iota_\ell \geq 3$.
- A.2) $q \neq 2$ or $n \neq 3$, $\iota = r_\ell = 2$ and at least one prime in S_{ram} has degree > 1 .
- A.3) $q \neq 2$ or $n \neq 3$, $\iota = 1 \leq \iota_\ell \leq 2$ and at least one prime in S_{ram} has degree > 1 .
- A.4) $q \neq 2$ or $n \neq 3$, $\iota_\ell \leq 2$, $\#S_{\text{ram}} > 2$ and all primes in S_{ram} have degree 1.
- A.5) $q = 2$, $n = 3$ and S_{ram} is not as in i) or v).

A.1) $q \neq 2$ or $n \neq 3$ and $\iota_\ell \geq 3$:

$$L_{\text{hs}} \geq \{(q-1) \dots (q^{n-1}-1)\}^{\iota_\ell-2} \text{ and } R_{\text{hs}} \leq n^{n-1}(q^{n-1} + \dots + 1);$$

For $n \geq 6$ or $n \geq 5$, $q \neq 2$ or $n \geq 4$, $q \geq 4$ or $n \geq 3$, $q \geq 11$ one can easily show that $(q-1) \dots (q^{n-1}-1) > n^2(q^{n-1} + \dots + 1)$; since $\iota_\ell \geq \iota$ this implies $L_{\text{hs}} > R_{\text{hs}}$ in these cases.

For the remaining “small values” of n and q , remark first that if $\varphi_\mu > 1$ for some $\mu \in S'_{\text{ram}}$ then the corresponding factor T_μ in L_{hs} satisfies $T_\mu > n^2(q^{n-1} + \dots + 1)$ and $L_{\text{hs}} > R_{\text{hs}}$ follows.

If $\varphi_\mu = 1$ for every $\mu \in S'_{\text{ram}}$, we rewrite (C.F.) as follows:

$$(q^\ell - 1) \{(q-1) \dots (q^{n-1}-1)\}^{\iota_\ell-2} \prod_{1 < e_\mu < n} T_\mu = x_A(q^n - 1)$$

Since $x_A | n^{n-1}$ and $\iota_\ell \geq 3$ we find in all the remaining cases, a prime which divides the left-hand side, but does not divide the right-hand side, so (C.F.) cannot hold.

A.2) $q \neq 2$ or $n \neq 3$, $\iota_\ell = \iota = 2$ and at least one prime μ in S_{ram} has degree $\varphi_\mu > 1$: Let $\mathcal{T} = \{\mu_1, \mu_2\}$ with respective degrees φ_1, φ_2 .

* $\varphi_i > 1$ for $i = 1$ or 2 : $L_{\text{hs}} \geq (q+1) \dots (q^{n-1}+1)$; we calculate this product and deduce $(q+1) \dots (q^{n-1}+1) > (n-2) \frac{q^n-1}{q-1} + q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$. But $q \neq 2$ or $n \neq 3$ so $q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \geq 2 \frac{q^n-1}{q-1}$ and $L_{\text{hs}} > R_{\text{hs}}$ follows.

** $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = 1$: $L_{\text{hs}} \geq (q^{\varphi_\mu} - 1)(q^{(n-1)\varphi_\mu} - 1) > n \frac{q^n-1}{q-1} \geq R_{\text{hs}}$

A.3) $q \neq 2$ or $n \neq 3$, $\iota = 1 \leq \iota_\ell \leq 2$ and $\varphi_\mu > 1$ for some $\mu \in S_{\text{ram}}$:

* $\varphi_\mu > 1$ for some $\mu \in S'_{\text{ram}}$:

$$L_{\text{hs}} \geq \frac{(q+1) \dots (q^{n-1}+1)}{(q-1) \dots (q^{n-1}-1)} (q-1)(q^n-1) > \frac{q^n-1}{q-1} \geq R_{\text{hs}}.$$

** $\mathcal{T} = \{\rho_1\}$ with $\varphi_1 = 1$ and $\varphi_2 > 1$ with $1 < e_2 < n$:

If $\iota_\ell = 2$ then $L_{\text{hs}} > R_{\text{hs}}$ follows as in A.2**. So assume that $\iota_\ell = 1$ and denote $S_{\text{ram}} = \{\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_k\}$. The conditions on the Hasse-invariants provide that $k \geq 3$ and l.c.m. $\{e_2, \dots, e_k\} > n$. We rewrite (C.F.) as follows:

$$(q^\ell - 1)(q^{\varphi_2} - 1) \dots (q^{(n-1)\varphi_2} - 1) \dots (q^{\varphi_k} - 1) \dots (q^{(n-1)\varphi_k} - 1) \\ \stackrel{(\leq)}{=} (q - 1)(q^2 - 1) \dots (q^{n-1} - 1)(q^n - 1)$$

For $1 \leq m \leq n - 2$ we find $e_i, i \neq 1$ such that $e_i + m$ and the factor $(q^{m\varphi_i} - 1)$ is not barred is T_{ρ_i} . Moreover (since $k \geq 3$ and $\varphi_2 > 1$):

$$(q^{(n-1)\varphi_2} - 1)(q^{(n-1)\varphi_3} - 1) > (q^n - 1)(q^{n-1} - 1)$$

so (C.F.) cannot hold.

A.4) $q \neq 2$ or $n \neq 3$, $\iota_\ell \leq 2$, $\#S_{\text{ram}} > 2$ and $\varphi_\rho = 1$ for every $\rho \in S_{\text{ram}}$:

By lemma 1, we can find $A \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{max}}$ such that $\ell = n$, so $R_{\text{hs}} \leq n$. If $\iota_\ell = 2$ then $L_{\text{hs}} \geq (q - 1) \dots (q^{n-1} - 1) > n \geq R_{\text{hs}}$ and if $\iota_\ell = 1$ a similar reduction as in A.3)** is possible.

A.5) $q = 2, n = 3$ and $\varphi_\rho \geq 2$ for some $\rho \in S_{\text{ram}} = S'_{\text{ram}}$:

If $\varphi_\rho \geq 3$ then $L_{\text{hs}} \geq \frac{1}{9}(2^3 - 1)(2^6 - 1)3'^{-1} > 7 \cdot 3'^{-1} \geq R_{\text{hs}}$

If $\varphi_\rho \leq 2$ for every $\rho \in S_{\text{ram}}$ then $\ell = n$ and $L_{\text{hs}} > R_{\text{hs}}$ follows.

This settles theorem 3.

2. The cancellation property for non-maximal orders.

For R -orders θ in an arbitrary genus \mathcal{G} it is not possible to calculate $v_\rho(\theta'_\rho)$ explicitly, therefore using the methods of the preceding paragraphs we are unable to decide whether a given R -order θ has the cancellation property.

However, all hereditary R -orders with the cancellation property can be determined (since $v_\rho(\theta'_\rho)$ can be calculated in this case) and we prove a finiteness theorem for general R -orders with the cancellation property in non Eichler (R)-algebras.

THEOREM 4 (Finiteness theorem). *Let A be a non Eichler (R)-algebra over a global function field then there are only finitely many genera of R -orders in A having the cancellation property. So up to isomorphism there are only finitely many R -orders in A with the cancellation property*

PROOF. In [V] this was proved for totally definite quaternion algebras over number fields. To extend that proof to non Eichler (R)-algebras of any index over global function fields, we only remark that $v_\rho(A'_\rho)$ is known for maximal orders, cf. lemma 5, and if an R -order θ has the C.P. then $L_{\text{hs}} \leq x_\theta n^{\iota-1} \leq n^3(q^n - 1)$ (since A is an NEC(R)-algebra).

For hereditary R -orders θ the structure theorem of Harada-Brumer, cf. [R, p. 358], enables us to calculate $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\theta'_{\mathfrak{p}})$ explicitly.

Recall that a genus \mathcal{G} of hereditary R -orders is determined by giving the local type $r_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and local invariants $(n_j) = (n_1, \dots, n_r)$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \notin \mathcal{T}$; furthermore $r_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$ for almost every $\mathfrak{p} \notin \mathcal{T}$, the local invariants are determined up to a cyclic permutation and $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq r_{\mathfrak{p}}} n_j = \kappa_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \notin \mathcal{T}$.

LEMMA 5. *If $\theta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an hereditary $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -order in $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq M_{\kappa_{\mathfrak{p}}}(D_{\mathfrak{p}})$ of local type $r_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and local invariants (n_j) , and $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq M_{\kappa_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}})$ (where $\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the unique maximal $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -order in $D_{\mathfrak{p}}$) then*

$$\frac{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Lambda'_{\mathfrak{p}})}{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\theta'_{\mathfrak{p}})} = \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq \kappa_{\mathfrak{p}}} (q_{\Delta}^j - 1)}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq r_{\mathfrak{p}}} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n_j} (q_{\Delta}^i - 1)}$$

with $q_{\Delta} = \#(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}/\bar{\pi}\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}) = q^{\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}e_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ where $\bar{\pi}$ is the generator of $\text{rad } \Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

PROOF. Since $v_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is bi-invariant we can assume that $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} = M_{\kappa_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and

$$\theta_{\mathfrak{p}} = \begin{bmatrix} (\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}})(\bar{\pi}\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}) \dots (\bar{\pi}\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}) \\ \vdots \quad \ddots \quad \vdots \\ (\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}) \quad \dots \quad (\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}) \end{bmatrix}^{(n_j)}$$

Note that $nr(\theta_{\mathfrak{p}}^*) = nr(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}^*) = R_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ so $\frac{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Lambda'_{\mathfrak{p}})}{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\theta'_{\mathfrak{p}})} = \frac{\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Lambda'_{\mathfrak{p}})}{\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}(\theta'_{\mathfrak{p}})}$ with $\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}$ a bi-invariant Haar measure on $A_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$, cf. [V], [We].

We normalize $\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}$ by stating that $\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is defined with respect to an $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -basis $\{u_i\}$ of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, that is

$$\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}(X) = \int_X \|x\|^{-1} dx \text{ with } dx = \prod dx_i \text{ for } x = \sum x_i u_i \text{ and } \int_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} dx_i = 1.$$

Then $\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}^*) = \omega_{\mathfrak{p}}(\text{GL}_{\kappa_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}})) = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq \kappa_{\mathfrak{p}}} (1 - q_{\Delta}^{-j})$, cf. [We].

$$\text{Furthermore } \theta_{\mathfrak{p}}^* = \begin{bmatrix} (\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}})^*(\bar{\pi}\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}) \dots (\bar{\pi}\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}) \\ \vdots \quad \ddots \quad \vdots \\ (\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}})^* \quad \dots \quad (\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}})^* \end{bmatrix}^{(n_j)}$$

with $(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}})^*_{j,j} = \text{GL}_{n_j}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}})$; analogue calculations yield:

$$\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}(\theta_{\mathfrak{p}}^*) = q_{\Delta}^{\frac{-\kappa_{\mathfrak{p}} + \sum n_j^2}{2}} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq r_{\mathfrak{p}}} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n_j} (1 - q_{\Delta}^{-i})$$

and the assertion follows.

We fix a genus $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_{D_1, D_2}$ of hereditary R -orders in A with $D_2 = \prod_{r_{\not\neq} \neq 1} \not\neq^{(n)}$ is the contribution of the non-maximal part of θ to the discriminant $D(\theta/R) = D_1 D_2$, cf. [DVG 2].

As for maximal orders, we first prove some lemmas concerning x_θ and $\overline{F_q(\theta)}$, $\theta \in \mathcal{G}_{D_1, D_2}$.

LEMMA 6. *Let A be a non Eichler (R)-algebra over $F_q(t)$ such that $S_{\text{ram}} = S'_{\text{ram}}$ then $\overline{F_q(\theta)} \simeq F_{q^n}$ for some $\theta \in \mathcal{G}_{D_1, D_2}$ if and only if $\varphi_{\not\neq} \not\equiv 0 \pmod n$ for every $\not\neq \in S_{\text{ram}}$ and $\varphi_{\not\neq} \equiv 0 \pmod n$ for every $\not\neq \mid D_2$.*

PROOF. The proof in [DVG 2] extends since $S_{\text{ram}} = S'_{\text{ram}}$.

LEMMA 7. *Let A be a non Eichler (R)-algebra over $F_q(t)$ with $\mathcal{T} = S_{\text{ram}} = \{t, t^{-1}\}$. If $q = 2$, $n = 3$ and $D_2 = (t^2 + t + 1)^{(2,1)}$ or $D_2 = (t + 1)^{(1,1,1)}$ then $x_\theta \geq 3$ for every $\theta \in \mathcal{G}_{D_1, D_2}$; if $q = 2$, $n = 5$ and $D_2 = \not\neq^{(2,3)}$ with $\varphi_{\not\neq} = 1$ then $x_\theta \geq 5$ for every $\theta \in \mathcal{G}_{D_1, D_2}$.*

PROOF. As in lemma 2 it is sufficient to show that for $c = t$ or t^2 : $c \notin nr \theta^*$. Assume that $\alpha \in \theta$ and $nr(\alpha) = c$ then similar arguments as in lemma 2 yield that $f_\alpha(Y) = Y^n - c$.

If $n = 3$ and $D_2 = (t^2 + t + 1)^{(2,1)}$ then $\alpha \in \theta_{\not\neq}^{(1,2)}$ ($\not\neq = t^2 + t + 1$) implies that $f_\alpha(Y)$ reduces modulo $\not\neq$, a contradiction.

If $n = 3$ and $D_2 = (t + 1)^{(1,1,1)}$ then $\alpha \in \theta_{\not\neq}^{(1,1,1)}$ ($\not\neq = t + 1$) implies that $f_\alpha(Y) \equiv (Y - a_1)(Y - a_2)(Y - a_3) \pmod{\not\neq}$, a contradiction.

If $n = 5$ and $D_2 = \not\neq^{(2,3)}$ with $\varphi_{\not\neq} = 1$ then $\alpha \in \theta_{\not\neq}^{(2,3)}$ implies that $f_\alpha(Y) \equiv g_1(Y) \cdot g_2(Y) \pmod{\not\neq}$ with $\deg g_1 = 2$, $\deg g_2 = 3$, a contradiction.

Now we determine all non-maximal hereditary R -orders in non Eichler (R)-algebras, having the cancellation property:

THEOREM 8: *There are non-maximal hereditary R -orders having the cancellation property in the non Eichler (R)-algebra A if and only if $g_A < 0$ and the non-maximal hereditary R -orders in \mathcal{G}_{D_1, D_2} have the C.P. iff D_2 (and \mathcal{T}) satisfy one of the following conditions.*

- i) $D_2 = \not\neq^{(n-1,1)}$ with $\varphi_{\not\neq} = 1$
- ii) $n = 3$, $q = 2$, $\mathcal{T} = S_{\text{ram}}$ and $D_2 = \not\neq^{(1,2)}$ with $\varphi_{\not\neq} = 2$
or $D_2 = \not\neq^{(1,1,1)}$ with $\varphi_{\not\neq} = 1$.
- iii) $n = 5$, $q = 2$, $\mathcal{T} = S_{\text{ram}}$ and $D_2 = \not\neq^{(2,3)}$ with $\varphi_{\not\neq} = 1$.

PROOF. We only give the proof for $n > 2$ and refer to [DVG 3] for $n = 2$. We can restrict to NEC(R)-algebras which are given by theorem 3. (Remark that $S_{\text{ram}} = S'_{\text{ram}}$ and $(D_1, D_2) = 1$ for all NEC(R)-algebras.) For $\not\neq \mid D_2$ of local type $r_{\not\neq}$

and local invariants (n_j) we find that

$$T_{\neq} = \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} (q^{\varphi_{\neq} j} - 1)}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq r_{\neq}} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n_j} (q^{\varphi_{\neq} i} - 1)}, \text{ so } T_{\neq} \geq \frac{q^{\varphi_{\neq} n} - 1}{q^{\varphi_{\neq}} - 1}.$$

I. A is an NEC (R)-algebra with $g_A < 0$:

Remark that A corresponds to i) of theorem 3(bis) and thus $L_{\text{hs}} = \prod_{\neq | D_2} T_{\neq}$. Using lemmas 6 & 7 it follows directly that $L_{\text{hs}} \leq R_{\text{hs}}$ for the hereditary R -orders in \mathcal{G}_{D_1, D_2} corresponding to i) ii) or iii).

And if the order corresponds to ii) or iii) except for the restriction on \mathcal{T} then clearly $L_{\text{hs}} > R_{\text{hs}}$.

We now show that, also for all the other non-maximal hereditary R -orders in A , (C.F.) cannot hold.

If two or more primes divide D_2 : $L_{\text{hs}} \geq \left(\frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}\right)^2 > n \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} \geq R_{\text{hs}}$; so we assume now that $D_2 = \neq^{(n)}$. The proof depends on φ_{\neq} :

* $\varphi_{\neq} > 1$: $L_{\text{hs}} \geq \frac{\mathcal{N}_{\neq}^n - 1}{\mathcal{N}_{\neq} - 1} > n \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} \geq R_{\text{hs}}$ if $q \neq 2$ or $n \neq 3$
 or $\varphi_{\neq} > 2$ ($\mathcal{N}_{\neq} \geq q^3$);

For $q = 2, n = 3, \varphi_{\neq} = 2$ we can assume that $(n_j) = (1, 1, 1)$ (in view of ii) and $L_{\text{hs}} > R_{\text{hs}}$ follows.

** $\varphi_{\neq} = 1$ and $(n_j) \neq (n - 1, 1)$ up to a cyclic permutation:

The proof depends on the local type r_{\neq} :

* $r_{\neq} > 2$: We can assume $q \neq 2$ or $n \neq 3$ in view of ii) and

$$L_{\text{hs}} \geq \frac{(q^n - 1)(q^{n-1} - 1)}{(q - 1)^2} > n \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} \geq R_{\text{hs}} \text{ follows.}$$

** $r_{\neq} = 2$ ($n \geq 4$): $L_{\text{hs}} \geq \frac{(q^n - 1)(q^{n-1} - 1)}{(q^2 - 1)(q - 1)}$

If $\iota = 1, L_{\text{hs}} > \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} \geq R_{\text{hs}}$ follows and if $\iota = 2$ we can assume $q \neq 2$ or

$n \neq 5$ in view of iii); $L_{\text{hs}} > n \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} \geq R_{\text{hs}}$ follows if $n \neq 4$ or $q \geq 4$.

For the remaining cases $n = 4, q = 2$ or $3, (n_j) = (2, 2)$ we argue on the prime decomposition of both sides of (C.F.) to conclude that (C.F.) cannot hold.

II. A is an $NEC(R)$ -algebra with $n = 3$, $q = 2$, $S_{\text{ram}} = \{t^{-1}, t, t + 1\}$:

In this algebra $L_{\text{hs}} = 3 \prod_{\mathfrak{f} | D_2} T_{\mathfrak{f}}$ and $R_{\text{hs}} \leq 9(2^3 - 1)$.

If $\mathfrak{f} | D_2$ and $\varphi_{\mathfrak{f}} \geq 3$ then $L_{\text{hs}} \geq 3 \frac{2^9 - 1}{2^3 - 1} > R_{\text{hs}}$, so we assume that $\varphi_{\mathfrak{f}} \leq 2$ for every $\mathfrak{f} | D_2$. Then there is only one prime $\mathfrak{f} = t^2 + t + 1$ dividing D_2 and $\ell = 1$. Let us calculate x_{θ} in this case: $f_{\alpha}(Y) = Y^3 + t(t + 1)$ has a root α in $A \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{max}}$; and since $f_{\alpha}(Y) \equiv (Y + 1)(Y + t)(Y + t + 1) \pmod{t^2 + t + 1}$, we can choose $\alpha \in \theta$, $\theta \in \mathcal{G}_{D_1, D_2}$ for both $(n_j) = (1, 1, 1)$ or $(2, 1)$ and thus $x_{\theta} \leq 3$.

We conclude that $L_{\text{hs}} \geq 3 \cdot 21 > x_{\theta}(2^3 - 1) = R_{\text{hs}}$.

REFERENCES

- [DVG 1]. M. Denert – J. Van Geel, *Cancellation property for orders in Non Eichler division algebras over global function fields*, J. reine angew. Math. 368 (1986), 165–171.
- [DVG 2]. M. Denert – J. Van Geel, *The Class number of hereditary orders in non Eichler (R)-algebras over global function fields*, Math. Ann. 282 (1988).
- [DVG 3]. M. Denert – J. Van Geel, *Orders, in quaternion algebras over global function fields, having the cancellation property*, Journal of Number Theory 30 (1988), 321–333.
- [D 1]. M. Denert, *Affine and Projective order in Central Simple Algebras over Global Function Fields* (an analytic approach to the ideal theory), Thesis, Gent 1987.
- [F] A. Fröhlich, *Locally free modules over arithmetic orders*, J. reine angew. Math. 274/275 (1975) 112–138.
- [R] I. Reiner, *Maximal Orders*, Academic Press, New York 1975.
- [V-Sa] J. Van Geel, *Maximal orders over curves*, with an appendix by P. Salberger, to appear in Séminaire Dubreil-Malliavin 1986.
- [V-V] M. Van den Bergh – J. Van Geel, *Algebraic elements in Division Algebras over function fields of curves*, Israel J. Math. 52, (1985), 33–45.
- [V] M. F. Vigneras, *Simplification pour les ordres des corps de quaternions totalement définis*, J. reine angew. Math. 286/287 (1975), 257–277.
- [We] A. Weil, *Adèles and Algebraic Groups*, Princeton, 1961.
- [Wi] E. Witt, *Riemann-Rochser Satz and Z-funktionen in Hypercomplexen*, Math. Ann. 110 (1934), 12–28.

MARLEEN DENERT
 SEMINAR OF ALGEBRA AND FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS
 GALGLAAN 2
 B-9000 GENTH
 BELGIUM