

# THE SIMPLICITY OF THE QUOTIENT ALGEBRA $M(A)/A$ OF A SIMPLE $C^*$ -ALGEBRA

HUAXIN LIN\*

**Abstract.**

It is shown that the  $C^*$ -algebra  $M(A)/A$ , where  $A$  is a  $\sigma$ -unital stably semi-finite  $C^*$ -algebra and  $M(A)$  is the multiplier algebra of  $A$ , is simple if and only if either  $A$  has a continuous dimension scale or  $A$  is elementary.

Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra, and denote by  $A^{**}$  the enveloping von Neumann algebra of  $A$ . The multiplier algebra  $M(A)$  is the idealiser of  $A$  in  $A^{**}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{K}$  the  $C^*$ -algebra of all compact operators on an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space, and by  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  the  $C^*$ -algebra of all bounded operators on  $H$ . It is well known that  $M(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{B}(H)$  and  $M(\mathcal{K})/\mathcal{K}$  is simple. The ideal structure of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $M(A)/A$  for  $A$  a simple AF  $C^*$ -algebra has been studied in [5], [7] and [6], and for  $A$  a factorial simple  $C^*$ -algebra has been studied in [8]. In the present note we shall show that in the case of a  $\sigma$ -unital, stably semi-finite  $C^*$ -algebra,  $M(A)/A$  is simply if and only if either  $A$  has a continuous dimension scale or  $A$  is elementary. We shall also show that for every  $\sigma$ -unital purely infinite  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ ,  $M(A)/A$  is simple.

## 1. Preliminaries.

1.1. Let  $B$  be a dense hereditary\*-subalgebra of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , and  $a, b$  elements of  $B$ . Following Cuntz, we write  $a \lesssim b$  if there are  $x, y$  in  $A$  such that  $a = xby$ . We write  $a \lesssim b$  if there is a sequence  $\{a_n\}$  in  $B$  such that  $a_n \lesssim b$  and  $a_n \rightarrow a$ . This relation is transitive and reflexive. We write  $a \approx b$  if  $a \lesssim b$  and  $b \lesssim a$ . We say that  $a$  is orthogonal to  $b$  ( $a \perp b$ ) if  $ab = ba = a^*b = ba^* = 0$ .

Let  $A$  be a simple  $C^*$ -algebra,  $K(A)$  its Pedersen ideal,  $\mathcal{F}$  the algebra of operators of finite rank on an infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space  $H$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  the  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators on  $H$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{F} \otimes K(A)$  the algebraic tensor product of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $K(A)$ . We call an element  $x$  in  $\mathcal{F} \otimes K(A)$  infinite if  $y \lesssim x$  for every  $y$  in  $\mathcal{F} \otimes K(A)$ .

---

Received December 7, 1987, in revised form March 1, 1988.

\* This work was done when the author was visiting University of California, Santa Barbara.

There are three possibilities for a simple  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ .

- (i)  $\mathcal{F} \otimes K(A)$  contains only finite elements. In this case we shall call  $A$  stably semi-finite.
- (ii)  $\mathcal{F} \otimes K(A)$  contains non-zero finite and infinite elements.
- (iii) All non-zero elements in  $\mathcal{F} \otimes K(A)$  are infinite.

It is not known if case (ii) can appear. If  $A$  has a lower semi-continuous semi-finite trace, then  $A$  is stably semi-finite.

We call a function  $d: \mathcal{F} \otimes K(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  a dimension function (on  $K(A)$ ) if

- (a)  $d(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$
- (b)  $x \lesssim y$  implies  $d(x) \leq d(y)$
- (c)  $d(x + y) = d(x) + d(y)$  for all orthogonal  $x, y$  in  $\mathcal{F} \otimes K(A)$ .

A dimension function also satisfies.

- (d)  $d(x + y) \leq d(x) + d(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{F} \otimes K(A)$ .

Given  $x \in \mathcal{F} \otimes K(A)$ , we denote by  $\langle x \rangle$  the  $\approx$ -equivalence class of  $x$  in  $\mathcal{F} \otimes K(A)$ . Let  $F$  be the free abelian group generated by  $\{\langle x \rangle \mid x \in \mathcal{F} \otimes K(A)\}$  and let  $R$  be the subgroup of  $F$  generated by all elements of the form  $\langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle - \langle x_1 + y_1 \rangle$  ( $x_1 \in \langle x \rangle, y_1 \in \langle y \rangle, x_1 \perp y_1$ ). We denote by  $\Delta(A)$  the quotient  $F/R$ .  $\Delta(A)$  is an ordered group with the order induced by " $\leq$ ". We shall use " $\leq$ " for the order.  $\Delta(A) \neq \{0\}$  if and only if  $A$  is stably semi-finite. Moreover, there is a bijective correspondence between non-zero positive homomorphisms  $h: \Delta(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and dimension functions  $d$  on  $K(A)$  given by  $d(x) = h(\langle x \rangle)$ , and if  $\Delta(A) \neq \{0\}$ ,  $K(A)$  admits a dimension function. For the details of the relations  $\approx$  and " $\leq$ ", dimension functions and the ordered group, readers are referred to [1], [2], [3] and [7].

1.2. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $f_\varepsilon$  be the continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$f_{\varepsilon(t)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \in (-\infty, \varepsilon/2] \\ \text{linear} & \text{if } t \in [\varepsilon/2, \varepsilon]. \\ 1 & \text{if } t \in [\varepsilon, \infty) \end{cases}$$

If  $a \in A$ , set

$$A_a = A_{|a|} = \bigcup_{\varepsilon > 0} f_\varepsilon(|a|)A f_\varepsilon(|a|).$$

1.3. We now identify  $p \otimes K(A)$  with  $K(A)$  and  $p \otimes A$  with  $A$  for a fixed one dimensional projection  $p$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Suppose that  $a$  and  $b$  are in  $K(A)$ , and  $\langle a \rangle \leq \langle b \rangle$ . So  $a \lesssim b$  in  $\mathcal{F} \otimes K(A)$  and  $a^*a \lesssim b^*b$ . Since  $a \approx a^*a, b^*b \approx b$  both in  $K(A)$  and  $\mathcal{F} \otimes K(A)$ , we may assume that  $0 \leq a$  and  $0 \leq b$ . There are  $x_n \in \mathcal{F} \otimes K(A)$  such that  $x_n \approx b, x_n \rightarrow a$ . We can find a sequence  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$  with  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  such that

$$f_{\varepsilon_n}(a)x_n f_{\varepsilon_n}(a) \rightarrow a$$

since  $f_{\varepsilon_n}(a)x_n f_{\varepsilon_n}(a) \approx b, a \lesssim b$  in  $K(A)$ .

1.4. If  $A$  is a stably semi-finite simple  $C^*$ -algebra and  $u$  is a non-zero positive element in  $K(A)$ , then  $\langle u \rangle$  is an order unit (see [2, 4.2]). A positive homomorphism  $h: \Delta(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called a state (with respect to  $\langle u \rangle$ ) if  $h(\langle u \rangle) = 1$ . The collection  $S = S_u(\Delta(A))$  of all states on  $\Delta(A)$  is a convex compact subset of the locally convex space  $\mathbb{R}^{\Delta(A)}$  of all functions  $f: \Delta(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with the product topology.  $S$  is the set of all the dimension functions  $d$  on  $K(A)$  such that  $d(u) = 1$ . We define a positive homomorphism  $\theta: D(A) \rightarrow \text{Aff } S, g \rightarrow \hat{g}$  by setting  $\hat{g}(h) = h(g)$ , where  $\text{Aff } S$  is the set of all continuous real affine functions on  $S$ .

Let us say that  $g \in \Delta(A)$  is infinitesimal if  $-\varepsilon u \leq g \leq \varepsilon u$  for every positive rational number  $\varepsilon$ . (If  $\varepsilon = p/q, p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $g \leq \varepsilon u$  means that  $qg \leq pu$ ).

The notation " $\hat{g} \gg 0$ " for  $g \in \Delta(A)$  means  $\hat{g}(d) > 0$  for all  $d \in S$ .

1.5. PROPOSITION (Corollary of [4, 4.2]). *The homomorphism  $\theta: \Delta(A) \rightarrow \text{Aff } S$  determines the order on  $\Delta(A)$  in the sense that  $\Delta(A)^+ = \{g \in \Delta(A) \mid \hat{g} \gg 0\} \cup \{0\}$ . Hence we have  $g \in \ker \theta$  if and only if  $g$  is infinitesimal.*

PROOF. To apply 4.2 of [4] one need note only that the ordered group  $\Delta(A)$  is unperforated.

1.6. When  $a$  is a positive element in a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , we shall denote by  $[a]$  the range projection of  $a$  in the enveloping von Neumann algebra  $A^{**}$ . Suppose that  $A$  is  $\sigma$ -unital (and non-unital), and let  $e$  be a strictly positive element of  $A$ . By choosing a proper sequence of continuous functions  $h_n$ , we can construct an approximate identity  $\{e_n = h_n(e)\}$  for  $A$  satisfying

- (i)  $g_n = e_n - e_{n-1} \neq 0$  ( $e_0 = 0$ ), and  $g_m g_n = 0$  if  $|m - n| \geq 2$ ,
- (ii) There are  $a_n \in A_+, a_n \neq 0$  such that  $0 \leq a_n \leq [e_n] \leq g_n, a_n g_n = g_n a_n = a_n$  and  $a_n g_m = g_m a_n = 0$ , if  $n \neq m$ .

Any subsequence  $\{e_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{e_n\}$  is also an approximate identity satisfying (i) and (ii).

## 2. The results.

2.1. DEFINITION. Let  $A$  be a simple  $C^*$ -algebra. Call  $A$  purely infinite if every two non-zero elements are  $\approx$ -equivalent. (This definition is weaker than [8, 2.3]).

It is clear that every simple  $C^*$ -algebra in the case (1.1) (iii) is purely infinite.

2.2. LEMMA. *Let  $f$  be a continuous function on  $[-1, 1]$ . For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a constant  $M > 0$  such that for any two self-adjoint elements  $a$  and  $b$  in the unit ball of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ ,*

$$\|f(a) - f(b)\| \leq M \|a - b\| + \varepsilon$$

**PROOF.** For each integer  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|a^{k+1} - b^{k+1}\| \\ &= \|a(a^k - b^k) + (a - b)b^k\| \\ &\leq \|a^k - b^k\| + \|a - b\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\|a^k - b^k\| \leq k \|a - b\|$$

for all  $k$ . Therefore for every polynomial  $p(t)$ ,

$$\|p(a) - p(b)\| \leq M(p) \|a - b\|$$

where  $M(p)$  is a constant depending only on  $p$ . By the Weierstrass approximation theorem, there is a polynomial  $p$  such that

$$\sup \{|f(t) - p(t)| \mid t \in [-1, 1]\} < \varepsilon/2.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(a) - f(b)\| \\ &\leq \|f(a) - p(a)\| + \|p(a) - p(b)\| + \|p(b) - f(b)\| \\ &< \varepsilon/2 + M(p) \|a - b\| + \varepsilon/2 \\ &= M(p) \|a - b\| + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

**2.3. THEOREM.** *Let  $A$  be a  $\sigma$ -unital simple  $C^*$ -algebra. If  $A$  is purely infinite then  $M(A)/A$  is simple.*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $J$  is an ideal of  $M(A)$  properly containing  $A$ . Choose a positive element  $x$  in  $J \setminus A$ . Let  $\{e_n\}$  and  $\{g_n\}$  be as in 1.6. Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(1 - e_{n+1})xe_n\| < 1/2^n \text{ and} \\ & \|e_nx(1 - e_{n+1})\| < 1/2^n \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n$ . Then the elements

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e_{n-1})xg_n, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_nx(1 - e_{n+1}), \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} g_{n-2}xg_n \text{ and } \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} e_{n-2}xg_n$$

are in  $A$ . Therefore the element

$$y = x - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e_{n+1})xg_n$$

is in  $J \setminus A$ . Since

$$y - \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} e_{n-2} x g_n = e_2 x g_1 + e_3 x g_2 + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} g_{n+1} x g_n + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} g_n x g_n + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} g_{n-1} x g_n,$$

one of the last three elements must be in  $J \setminus A$ . Suppose that  $\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} g_{n+1} x g_n$  is in  $J \setminus A$ .

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} g_{n+1} x g_n \right]^* \left[ \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} g_{n+1} x g_n \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} g_n x g_{n+1}^2 x g_n + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} g_n x g_{n+1} g_{n+2} x g_{n+1} \\ &+ \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} g_n x g_{n+1} g_n x g_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_n x g_{n+1} g_{n+2} x g_n\| &= \|g_n x g_{n+2} g_{n+1} x g_{n+1}\| \leq 1/2^n, \\ \|g_n x g_{n+1} g_n x g_{n-1}\| &= \|g_n x g_n x g_{n+1} x g_{n-1}\| \leq 1/2^{n-1}, \\ \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} g_n x g_{n+1} g_{n+2} x g_{n+1} + \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} g_n x g_{n+1} g_n x g_{n-1} &\text{ is in } A. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} g_n x g_{n+1}^2 x g_n$  is in  $J \setminus A$ . Similarly, if  $\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} g_{n-1} x g_n$  is in  $J \setminus A$ ,  $\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} g_n x g_{n-1}^2 x g_n$  is in  $J \setminus A$ . In either case, it follows that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n x^2 g_n$  is in  $J \setminus A$ . By

changing notation, we may therefore assume that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n x g_n$  is in  $J \setminus A$ .

So  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_{2k} x g_{2k}$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_{2k+1} x g_{2k+1}$  are in  $J$  and one of them is in  $J \setminus A$ . We may assume that  $y = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_{2k} x g_{2k}$  is in  $J \setminus A$ . Hence for a sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ ,  $f_{\delta}(y) \in J \setminus A$ . Since  $g_{2k} x g_{2k} \perp g_{2j} x g_{2j}$  if  $k \neq j$ ,

$$f_{\delta}(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{\delta}(g_{2k} x g_{2k}).$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$f_{\delta}(g_{2k} x g_{2k}) \neq 0 \text{ for each } k.$$

Then  $f_\delta(g_{2k}xg_{2k}) \approx g_k$  for each  $k$ . Let  $M_k$  be the constant in Lemma 2.2 such that

$$\|a^{1/2} - b^{1/2}\| \leq M_k \|a - b\| + 1/2^k$$

for all  $a, b \in A_s$ ,  $\|a\| \leq 1$ ,  $\|b\| \leq 1$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $k$ , there is  $x_k \in K(A)$  such that

$$x_k \approx f_\delta(g_{2k}xg_{2k}) \text{ and}$$

$$\|x_k - g_k\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k(M_k + 1)}.$$

We may assume that  $0 \leq x_k \leq 1$  for each  $k$ . By [1, 1.7] there is  $z_k \in A$  such that  $z_k z_k^* = x_k$  and  $z_k^* z_k \leq [f_\delta(g_{2k} \times g_{2k})] \leq f_{\delta/2}(g_{2k} \times g_{2k})$ . Hence  $z_k z_j^* = 0$ , if  $k \neq j$ . So  $\left[ \sum_{k=1}^n z_k \right] \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n z_k \right]^* = \sum_{k=1}^n z_k z_k^*$  and  $\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n z_k z_k^* \right\|$  is bounded. Thus  $\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n z_k \right\}$  is

bounded. It is then easy to see that  $\sum_{k=1}^n z_k$  converges in the right strict topology to an element  $z \left[ = \sum_{k=1}^\infty z_k \right]$  in the right multipliers  $\text{RM}(A)$ . To show that  $\sum_{k=1}^n z_k$

converges strictly to  $z$ , it is enough to show that for each  $n$ ,  $g_n \sum_{k=N}^\infty z_k$  converges (in norm) to zero as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Write  $z_k = (z_k z_k^*)^{1/2} u_k$ . Then

$$\left\| \sum_{k=N}^\infty z_k - \sum_{k=N}^\infty g_k u_k \right\| \leq \sum_{k=N}^\infty \|(z_k z_k^*)^{1/2} - g_k\| < \sum_{k=N}^\infty \varepsilon/2^k + \sum_{k=N}^\infty 1/2^k \rightarrow 0,$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $g_n \sum_{k=N}^\infty g_k u_k = 0$ , for  $N > n + 1$ , we conclude that  $\left\| g_n \sum_{k=N}^\infty z_k \right\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . So  $z \in M(A)$ . From

$$z f_{\delta/2}(y) = \left[ \sum_{k=1}^\infty z_k \right] \left[ \sum_{k=1}^\infty f_{\delta/2}[g_{2k}xg_{2k}] \right] = \sum_{k=1}^\infty z_k,$$

we conclude that  $z \in J$ . On the other hand,

$$\|zz^* - 1\| = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^\infty z_k z_k^* - \sum_{k=1}^\infty g_k \right\| < \varepsilon,$$

so  $1 \in J$ .

**2.4. DEFINITION.** Let  $A$  be a  $\sigma$ -unital, stably semi-finite, simple  $C^*$ -algebra. If  $A$  is not unital, fix a strictly positive element  $e$  and choose  $\{e_n\}$  as in 1.6. We define

$$\hat{1}(d) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(e_n) \text{ for every } d \in S_u(\mathcal{A}(A))$$

for some fixed  $u \in K(A)^+ \setminus \{0\}$ . We shall say that  $A$  has a continuous dimension

scale if  $\hat{1}$  is a continuous function on  $S = S_u(\Delta(A))$  for some strictly positive element  $e$ . For convenience, we shall also say that every unital simple  $C^*$ -algebra has a continuous dimension scale. It is clear that the definition does not depend on the choice of  $u$ . Later we shall see that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(e_n)$  is continuous for every approximate identity  $\{e_n\}$  as described in 1.6 if it is continuous for one of them.

We now fix  $u \in K(A)^+ \setminus \{0\}$ , and a strictly positive element  $e$  and an approximate identity  $\{e_n\}$  as in 1.6.

2.5. For every  $a \in M(A)_+$ , we define

$$\tilde{d}(a) = \sup \{d(b) \mid b \leq a, b \in A_e\},$$

$d \in S$ . Then  $\hat{1}(d) = \tilde{d}(1)$  for every  $d \in S$ . If  $a \in AA_eA$ , then  $\langle a^*a \rangle = \langle a \rangle$  and  $a^*a$  has the form  $b^*x^*xb$  with  $b \in A$  and  $x \in A_e$ . So there is  $c \in (A_e)^+$  such that  $\langle c \rangle = \langle a \rangle$ . Hence, if  $a \in AA_eA$ ,

$$\tilde{d}(a) = d(a) \text{ for each } d \in S.$$

2.6. Set

$$I_0 = \{a \in M(A) \mid \exists a_n \in AA_eA \text{ such that}$$

$$\tilde{d}((a - a_n)^*(a - a_n)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ uniformly on } S\}.$$

Clearly  $I_0$  is a  $*$ -invariant subset of  $M(A)$ . Suppose that  $a, b \in I_0$ , and  $\tilde{d}((a - a_n)^*(a - a_n)) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\tilde{d}((b - b_n)^*(b - b_n)) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on  $S$ , where  $a_n, b_n \in AA_eA$ . Since for each  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & e_k(a + b - a_n - b_n)^*(a + b - a_n - b_n)e_k \\ &= e_k(a - a_n)^*(a - a_n)e_k + e_k(b - b_n)^*(b - b_n)e_k \\ &+ e_k(b - b_n)^*(a - a_n)e_k + e_k(a - a_n)^*(b - b_n)e_k \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & d[e_k(b - b_n)^*(a - a_n)e_k] \\ & \leq d[e_k(b - b_n)^*(b - b_n)e_k], \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{d}((a + b - a_n - b_n)^*(a + b - a_n - b_n)) \\ & \leq 2[\tilde{d}((a - a_n)^*(a - a_n)) + \tilde{d}((b - b_n)^*(b - b_n))] \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

uniformly on  $S$ . Therefore  $I_0$  is a  $*$ -invariant linear space. Suppose that  $b \in M(A)$ ,  $a \in I_0$  and  $a_n \in AA_eA$  are such that

$$\tilde{d}((a - a_n)^*(a - a_n)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ uniformly on } S.$$

Then  $ba_n \in AA_eA$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{d}((ba - ba_n)^*(ba - ba_n)) \\ &= \tilde{d}((a - a_n)^*b^*b(a - a_n)) \leq \tilde{d}((a - a_n)^*(a - a_n)) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

uniformly on  $S$ .

So  $I_0$  is an ideal of  $M(A)$ . We denote by  $I$  the closure of  $I_0$ . Clearly,  $I$  is a closed ideal of  $M(A)$  containing  $A$ .

2.7. LEMMA. *Let  $A$  be a non-elementary,  $\sigma$ -unital, non-unital, stably semi-finite simple  $C^*$ -algebra, and let  $I$  be as defined in 2.6. Then  $I$  contains  $A$  properly.*

PROOF. Clearly,  $I$  contains  $A$ . Let  $\{a_n\}$  be as in 1.6, and fix  $n$ . For each  $k > 0$ , as shown in [8, 2.7] there are  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $h_1, \dots, h_k \in K(A)_+$  such that  $h_i \perp h_j$  for  $i \neq j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & h_1 \gtrsim h_2 \gtrsim \dots \gtrsim h_k \text{ and} \\ & f_{\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon}(a_n) \geq [f_\varepsilon(a_n)] \geq \sum_{i=1}^k h_i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\langle f_{\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon}(a_n) \rangle \geq \langle h_1 \rangle + \dots + \langle h_k \rangle \geq k \langle h_k \rangle,$$

whence  $\tilde{d}(h_k) = d(h_k) \leq k^{-1}d[f_{\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon}(a_n)] = k^{-1}\tilde{d}[f_{\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon}(a_n)]$  for  $d \in S$ . We conclude that for each  $n$ , there is  $x_n \in (A_e)^+$  such that  $\|x_n\| = 1, x_n \leq g_n$  and  $\tilde{d}(x_n) = d(x_n) \leq 1/2^n$  for all  $d \in S$ . It is clear that  $x = \sum_{n=1}^\infty x_n \in I \setminus A$ .

2.8. THEOREM. *Let  $A$  be a  $\sigma$ -unital stably semi-finite simple  $C^*$ -algebra. Then  $M(A)/A$  is simple if and only if either  $A$  has a continuous dimension scale or  $A$  is elementary.*

PROOF. Suppose that  $M(A)/A$  is simple and  $A$  is neither unital nor elementary. By 2.7,  $1 \in I$ . Thus there is  $a \in I^+$  such that

$$\|1 - a\| < 1/4.$$

This implies  $\tilde{d}(1) = \tilde{d}(a)$  so  $\hat{1}(d) = \tilde{d}(1)$  is continuous on  $S$  and  $A$  has a continuous dimension scale.

If  $A$  is elementary, it is well known that  $M(A)/A$  is simple. Now suppose that  $\hat{1}(d)$  is continuous on  $S$ . By Dini's theorem,

$$\tilde{d}(1 - e_n) \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly on  $S$ . Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that

$$\tilde{d}(1 - e_n) < \frac{1}{2^n}$$



uniformly on  $S$ . Therefore both  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(g_{2n})$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(g_{2n+1})$  converge uniformly on  $S$ .

Suppose that  $J$  is a closed ideal properly containing  $A$ . Choose  $w \in J^+ \setminus A$ . As in 2.3, we may assume that  $y = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_{2k} w g_{2k} \in J^+ \setminus A$ . Therefore for a sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ ,  $f_{\delta}(y) \in J^+ \setminus A$ . Since  $f_{\delta}(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{\delta}(g_{2k} w g_{2k})$ , we may assume that  $f_{\delta}(g_{2k} w g_{2k}) \neq 0$  for each  $k$ . Since  $S$  is compact, then  $\inf\{d(f_{\delta}(g_{2k} w g_{2k})) \mid d \in S\} > 0$  for each  $k$ . Choose an integer  $n_0$  such that

$$\sum_{k > n_0} d(g_{2k+1}) < \inf\{d(f_{\delta}(g_{2k} w g_{2k})) \mid d \in S\}$$

for all  $d \in S$ . Since  $\inf\{d(f_{\delta}(g_{2k} w g_{2k})) \mid d \in S\} > 0$  for each  $k$ , we can find a partition of the set  $\{n_0 + 1, n_0 + 2, \dots\}$  into finite subsets  $N_1, N_2, \dots$  (of consecutive integers) such that for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\sum_{k \in N_n} d(g_{2k+1}) < d(f_{\delta}(g_{2n} w g_{2n}))$$

for all  $d \in S$ . Then by 1.5 and 1.3,

$$\sum_{k \in N_n} g_{2k+1} \leq f_{\delta}(g_{2n} w g_{2n}) \text{ in } K(A).$$

For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there are  $x_n \in K(A)$  such that

$$x_n \approx f_{\delta}(g_{2n} w g_{2n}) \text{ and}$$

$$\left\| x_n - \sum_{n \in N_n} g_{2k+1} \right\| < \varepsilon/2^n.$$

We may assume that  $0 \leq x_n \leq 1$ . It follows from [1, 1.7] that there are  $z_n \in A$  such that

$$z_n z_n^* = x_n \text{ and}$$

$$z_n^* z_n \leq [f_{\delta}(g_{2n} w g_{2n})] \leq f_{\frac{1}{2}\delta}(g_{2n} w g_{2n}).$$

As in 2.3, this implies that  $z = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n$  is in  $J$  and

$$z z^* = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n z_n^*.$$

Hence

$$\left\| z z^* - \sum_{k > n_0} g_{2k+1} \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore  $\sum_{k > n_0} g_{2k+1}$  is in  $J$ , and hence so is  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_{2k+1}$ . Similarly  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_{2k}$  is in  $J$ . Hence  $1 \in J$ .

2.9. From 2.8, together with its proof, we see that if  $A$  has a continuous dimension scale then for any  $e$  and  $\{e_n\}$  in 1.6,  $\hat{1}(d) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(e_n)$  is continuous.

Choose a separable, algebraically simple AF  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  such that  $M(A)/A$  is not simple, or equivalently  $A$  has no continuous scale (see [7]). By 2.8,  $\hat{1}(d)$  is not continuous. Since  $e \in K(A)$ ,  $d(e)$  is continuous on  $S$ . So

$$\sup\{d(a) \mid a \in A_e\} \neq d(e) \text{ for some } d \in S.$$

If  $d$  is lower semi-continuous, then it is easy to check that

$$\sup\{d(a) \mid a \in A_e\} = d(e)$$

Thus we conclude the following:

2.10. COROLLARY. *If  $A$  is a separable algebraically simple AF  $C^*$ -algebra without continuous scale then there is at least one dimension function  $d$  on  $A$  which is not lower semi-continuous. Consequently,  $d$  is not determined by a trace.*

The author would like to thank the referee for his suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. Cuntz, *The structure of multiplication and addition in simple  $C^*$ -algebras*, Math. Scand. 40 (1977), 215–233.
2. J. Cuntz, *Dimension functions on simple  $C^*$ -algebras*, Math. Ann. 233 (1978), 145–153.
3. J. Cuntz, *The internal structure of simple  $C^*$ -algebras*, in *Operator Algebras and Applications* (ed. R. V. Kadison), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 38, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1981, Part 1, 85–115.
4. E. Effros, *Dimensions and  $C^*$ -algebras*, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math. No. 46, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1981.
5. G. A. Elliott, *Derivations of matroid  $C^*$ -algebras, II*, Ann. of Math. 100 (1974), 407–422.
6. G. A. Elliott, *The ideal structure of the multiplier algebra of an AF algebra*, C.R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada 9 (1987), 225–230.
7. H. Lin, *On ideals of multiplier algebras of simple AF  $C^*$ -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 104 (1988), 239–244.
8. H. Lin, *The multipliers and quasi-multipliers of simple  $C^*$ -algebras*, preprint.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
EAST CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY  
SHANGHAI 200062  
CHINA