

SOME NATURAL FAMILIES OF M -IDEALS

GILLES GODEFROY and DANIEL LI

Abstract.

We characterize the subspaces of L^1 and the translation-invariant subspaces of $\mathcal{M}(G)$ which are duals of M -ideals, and we describe their M -ideal predual. We show that there is a separable dual which is L -complemented in its bidual but is not the dual of an M -ideal. We show that a separable \mathcal{L}^∞ -space which is isomorphic to an M -ideal is actually isomorphic to $c_0(N)$.

0. Introduction.

Let X be a Banach space. An L -projection p is a linear map from X to X such that $p^2 = p$ and

$$(1) \quad \|x\| = \|p(x)\| + \|x - p(x)\|$$

for every $x \in X$. A subspace Y of X is called an M -ideal in X if there is an L -projection from X^* onto the orthogonal Y^\perp of X in X^* . Since these notions were introduced by Alfsen and Effros in 1972 [1], they have attracted a lot of attention; of particular importance is the class of Banach spaces which are M -ideals in their bidual; in the present work, such spaces will simply be called M -ideals.

These spaces form a very rich family. Although some significant progress has been recently made in the understanding of their structure (see e.g. [2], [13], [6], [9], [10]), it looks hopeless to classify them or to give a complete description of the class. In the present work, we will investigate some natural subfamilies in which positive results are available. We will frequently work in a dual way; that is, we will determine when there exists an L -projection from the bidual onto a space whose kernel is w^* -closed.

Let us briefly describe the contents of this article. In section I, we characterize the subspaces of L^1 which are duals of an M -ideal and we describe the M -ideal predual; our characterization involves the topology of convergence in measure. Section II deals with the corresponding translation-invariant results; we characterize there the L^1_A and \mathcal{M}_A -spaces which are duals of M -ideals and the quotient

spaces of $\mathcal{C}(G)$, by translation-invariant subspaces, which are M -ideals. Section III is devoted to the construction of an example which uses the results of section II. Through harmonic analysis, we construct a separable dual space Y which is L -complemented in its bidual, but whose natural predual is not "what you would expect"; that is, Y is not the dual of an M -ideal. This example could be considered as an analogue within M -structure theory of a Banach lattice constructed by M . Talagrand [23]. In section IV we use different techniques for showing that a separable \mathcal{L}^∞ -space which can be renormed into an M -ideal in its bidual is isomorphic to $c_0(\mathbb{N})$; this result is an isomorphic version of a result of A. Lima [16] and implies a non-commutative version of a result of [14].

NOTATION. The closed unit ball of a Banach space X is denoted by X_1 . The measure spaces (Ω, Σ, μ) we consider are always standard measurable spaces equipped with a positive finite measure μ . Most of the time, the space $L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ will be denoted simply by L^1 . The Radon-Nikodym theorem provides us with an L -projection from L^{1**} onto L^1 ; this L -projection is denoted by π , and its kernel by L_s^1 . The topology of convergence in measure is defined on $L^1(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ by the metric

$$d(f, g) = \int_{\Omega} |f - g| (1 + |f - g|)^{-1} d\mu;$$

we denote by L^0 the corresponding topology. If X is a subspace of L^1 , we denote by X^* the space of linear forms on X whose restriction to the unit ball X_1 of X is L^0 -continuous.

If Z is a subspace of a dual Banach space Y^* , Z^T denotes the orthogonal of Z in Y .

I. Subspaces of L^1 which are duals of M -ideals.

We start with two simple lemmas, which are both special instances of general results about weakly sequentially complete Banach lattices.

LEMMA I.1. *Let $\{f_n | n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence in $L^1(\Omega, \mu)$ which converges to zero μ -almost everywhere. Then every w^* -cluster point z to the sequence $\{f_n\}$ belongs to the singular part L_s^1 of L^{1**} .*

PROOF. We write $z = f + v$, with $f \in L^1$ and $v \in L_s^1$. If $f \neq 0$, there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$(1) \quad \mu\{|f| > \varepsilon\} \geq \varepsilon$$

Since $\{f_n\}$ converges to zero μ a.e. there is $N \geq 1$ such that

$$(2) \quad \mu(\Omega \setminus A) \leq \varepsilon/2$$

where we set

$$(3) \quad A = \bigcap_{n \geq N} \{|f_n| \leq \varepsilon/2\}.$$

By (1) and (2) we have

$$(4) \quad \mu(A \cap \{|f| > \varepsilon\}) \geq \varepsilon/2.$$

We define $p_A: L^1(\Omega) \rightarrow L^1(\Omega)$ by $p_A(g) = g \cdot 1_A$. If π denotes as usual the canonical projection from L^{1**} onto L^1 , one has $\pi p_A^{**} = p_A^{**} \pi$ and in particular

$$p_A^{**}(\pi(z)) = p_A(f) = \pi(p_A^{**}(z)).$$

Since p_A^{**} is w^* -continuous, $p_A^{**}(z)$ belongs to the w^* -closure of the sequence $\{p_A^{**}(f_n) \mid n \geq N\}$. Since the set

$$K = \{g \in L^1(A, \mu) \mid |g| \leq \varepsilon/2\}$$

is weakly compact, we have by (3) that $p_A^{**}(z)$ belongs to K and thus

$$p_A(f) = \pi(p_A^{**}(z)) = p_A^{**}(z) \in K$$

but this contradicts (4) and concludes the proof.

Before stating our next lemma, let us introduce a useful notation: if X is a subspace of L^1 , we denote by $X^\#$ the vector space of linear forms on X whose restriction to X_1 is L^0 -continuous. $X^\#$ is clearly a norm-closed linear subspace of X^* . The space $X^\#$ can be controlled by the following lemma.

LEMMA I.2. *For every subspace X of L^1 , one has*

$$X^\# = (X^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1)^T.$$

PROOF. Let y be in $(X^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1)^T$ and suppose that $y \notin X^\#$. Then there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X_1 which converges to 0 in measure and such that $y(x_n)$ does not converge to 0. Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n &= 0 \quad \mu\text{-a.e.} \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y(x_n) &= \lambda \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{x_n\}$ is bounded we may pick a w^* -cluster point z to $\{x_n\}$; by I.1 z belongs to L_s^1 and clearly $z \in X^{\perp\perp}$; hence $z \in L_s^1 \cap X^{\perp\perp}$ and $z(y) = 0$; but on the other hand

$$z(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y(x_n) = \lambda \neq 0$$

and this contradiction shows that $(X^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1)^T \subset X^\#$.

Take now $y \in X^*$ and $z \in X^{\perp\perp} \cap L^1_s$. Let $\{x_\alpha | \alpha \in I\}$ be a net in X with $\|x_\alpha\| \leq \|z\|$ for every α and \mathcal{U} an ultrafilter on I such that

$$z = w^*-\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} x_\alpha.$$

We claim that for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(1) \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \mu\{|x_\alpha| \geq \varepsilon\} = 0$$

Indeed, if not, there exist $P \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\mu\{|x_\alpha| \geq \varepsilon\} \geq \eta \quad \forall \alpha \in P.$$

Since $z \in L^1_s$, there exists ([34], Th. 1.19) a measurable subset A of Ω such that $\mu(A) < \eta/2$, $|z|(1_A) = \|z\|$.

Since the map $\|p_A^{**}(\cdot)\|$ is w^* -l.s.c. there exists $P' \in \mathcal{U}$ such that

$$\int_A |x_\alpha| d\mu > \|z\| - \varepsilon\eta/3 \quad \forall \alpha \in P'$$

and then for every $\alpha \in P \cap P'$

$$\|x_\alpha\| = \int_A |x_\alpha| d\mu + \int_{\Omega \setminus A} |x_\alpha| d\mu \geq \|z\| - \varepsilon\eta/3 + \varepsilon\eta/2 > \|z\|$$

and this contradiction establishes (1). Now (1) means that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} x_\alpha = 0$$

for the topology L^0 , and since $y \in X^*$ it follows that

$$z(y) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} y(x_\alpha) = 0$$

this shows that $X^* \subset (X^{\perp\perp} \cap L^1_s)^T$ and concludes the proof.

REMARK. For every non reflexive subspace X of L^1 , one has $X^* \neq X^*$ (i.e. $X^{\perp\perp} \cap L^1_s \neq \{0\}$).

Indeed, by Komlós's theorem ([35]; [32], p. 122), every bounded sequence in X has a subsequence whose Césaro-means σ_n converge in measure in L^1 ; thus (σ_n) is L^0 -Cauchy in X_1 . If $X^* = X^*$, (σ_n) is then weakly Cauchy also, hence converges weakly. From this point, there are many ways to conclude. For instance, noting that (σ_n) is norm convergent ([33], IV.8.12), we get that X has the Banach-Saks property, and thus X is reflexive ([32], p. 212, or by using James' theorem).

We must tell that the result follows also from a deep theorem of B. Maurey ([36]).

We are now ready to state the main result of this section.

THEOREM I.3. *Let X be a subspace of L^1 . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) X is isometric to the dual of an M -ideal.
- (ii) The unit ball X_1 of X is L^0 -closed, and X^* separates X .

Moreover if (i), (ii) are satisfied, then the M -ideal predual of X is the space X^* .

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): if we call Y the M -ideal predual of X , we have $X^{**} = X \oplus_1 Y^\perp$, and this implies by ([15], th. 1) that $Y^\perp = X^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1$; we give for completeness a simplified proof of this special case.

Since $X^{**} = X \oplus_1 Y^\perp$ and $X \cap X^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1 = \{0\}$, it is enough to show that $Y^\perp \subset (X^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1)$. It is classical and easily seen that two elements u and t of the Banach lattice L^{1**} are orthogonal if and only if

$$\|\alpha u + \beta t\| = |\alpha| + |\beta|$$

for all scalars α and β . Therefore we have $|x| \wedge |z| = 0$ for every $x \in X$ and every $z \in Y^\perp$; the same relation holds for every x in the band \tilde{X} generated by X ; and since $z \in X^{\perp\perp} \subset (\tilde{X})^{\perp\perp}$, we may assume without loss of generality that $\tilde{X} = L^1$. But $|x| \wedge |z| = 0$ for every $x \in L^1$ means that $z \in L_s^1$, and we have shown that $Y^\perp \subset (X^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1)$.

Therefore we can write

$$(1) \quad X^{**} = X \oplus_1 (X^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1)$$

and this implies ([5]) that the unit ball X_1 of X is L^0 -closed; indeed let $\{x_n \mid n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence in the unit ball X_1 of X which converges in measure to $x \in L^1$; taking a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $x = \lim(x_n)$ μ -a.e. Pick now any w^* -cluster point $z \in L^{1**}$ to the sequence $\{x_n\}$. By lemma I.1 we have $x = \pi(z)$; but $z \in X^{\perp\perp}$ and by (1) $\pi(X^{\perp\perp}) = X$. This shows that $x \in X$; clearly, $\|x\|_1 \leq 1$ hence $x \in X_1$.

Finally since we have $Y^\perp = X^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1$ it follows by lemma I.2 that

$$Y = (X^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1)^T = X^*.$$

This shows of course that if (i) is satisfied, X^* separates X , and that the M -ideal predual coincides with X^* .

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): By the main result of [5] (see [11], lemme 1), if X_1 is L^0 -closed we have

$$X^{\perp\perp} = X \oplus_1 (X^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1).$$

If X^* separates X , we have

$$(X^*)^\perp \cap X = \{0\}$$

and by lemma I.2

$$(X^*)^\perp = \overline{(X^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1)}^*$$

but it follows from these three equalities and linear algebra that

$$(X^*)^\perp = X^{\perp\perp} \cap L^1_s$$

and therefore we have

$$X^{**} = X \oplus_1 (X^*)^\perp$$

which means that X^* is an isometric predual of X which is an M -ideal in its bidual X^{**} . This concludes the proof.

REMARKS. 1) The condition (i) is obviously independent of the isometric embedding of X into L^1 . Hence so is the condition (ii).

Moreover, let K be a metrizable compact space and Y be a subspace of $\mathcal{C}(K)$ such that Y^\perp is separable; then $\mathcal{C}(K)/Y$ is M -ideal iff condition (ii) is true for $X = Y^\perp \subset L^1(\mu)$ for some $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(K)$, and hence it is true for every $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(K)$ such that $Y^\perp \subset L^1(\mu)$.

2) It follows from ([12], lemma 1.3) that in the statement of the condition (ii) of theorem I.3 we can substitute to the topology L^0 the quasi-norm L^p for any $p < 1$, or the topology $L(1, \infty)$ of the Fréchet space “weak- L^1 ”. In particular, if $X = L^1 \cap Z$ where Z is a closed subspace of L^p ($p < 1$) such that Z^* separates Z , then X is the dual of an M -ideal (cf. [11], théorème 6). A typical example of this situation is $X = H^1(D) = L^1(\mathbb{T}) \cap H^p(D)$ for any $p \in (0, 1)$.

In the next section we will investigate the translation-invariant version of these results.

II. L^1_A and \mathcal{M}_A -spaces which are duals of M -ideals.

In this section, G denotes a compact metrizable abelian group and $\Gamma = \hat{G}$ the discrete dual group. The additive notation will be used for Γ . If $A \subset \Gamma$, we denote as usual.

$$L^1_A = \{f \in L^1 \mid \hat{f}(\alpha) = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \notin A\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_A = \{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(G) \mid \hat{\mu}(\alpha) = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \notin A\}$$

where $L^1 = L^1(G, m)$ with $m =$ Haar measure of G , and $\hat{f}, \hat{\mu}$ are the Fourier transforms of f and μ . The group Γ can be seen as a subset of $L^\infty(G, m) = L^{1*}$ as well as a subset of L^1 . Our next lemma shows that if L^{1*}_A is non trivial, then it intersects Γ .

LEMMA II.1. *Let $\alpha \in \Gamma$. If $y \in (L^1_A)^*$ and $\hat{y}(-\alpha) = y(\alpha) \neq 0$, then $\bar{\alpha} \in (L^1_A)^*$. Here $\bar{\alpha}$ denotes the restriction of $\bar{\alpha} \in L^\infty$ to L^1_A .*

PROOF. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\alpha = 1_G$. We define $\bar{y} \in L^{1*}_A$ by

$$\tilde{y}(f) = \int_G \langle y, f_\tau \rangle dm(\tau)$$

where $f_\tau(\tau') = f(\tau\tau')$ is a translate of f . We claim that \tilde{y} belongs to $(L_A^1)^*$. Indeed for any $\eta > 0$ there is a neighborhood V of 0 in the unit ball of L_A^1 such that

$$f \in V \Rightarrow |y(f)| < \eta;$$

without loss of generality we may assume that $V = W \cap L_A^1$ where W is a translation invariant neighborhood of 0 in the unit ball of L^1 and then V is translation invariant; hence

$$f \in V \Rightarrow |y(f_\tau)| < \eta \quad \forall \tau \in G$$

and thus $|\tilde{y}(f)| < \eta$ for $f \in V$ and $\tilde{y} \in (L_A^1)^*$: Observe now that for every $\alpha \in A$

$$\tilde{y}(\alpha) = \int_G \langle y, \alpha \rangle \alpha(\tau) dm(\tau) = \langle y, \alpha \rangle \int_G \alpha(\tau) dm(\tau)$$

hence $\tilde{y}(\alpha) = 0$ except if $\alpha = 1_G$ where we have

$$\tilde{y}(1_G) = y(1_G) \neq 0.$$

It follows that \tilde{y} coincides on L_A^1 with the restriction of a non-zero constant function, and the result follows.

REMARK. We can observe that $\tilde{y}(f) = \hat{y}(0)\hat{f}(0) = y(1_G)\hat{f}(0)$.

With this lemma we can characterize the spaces \mathcal{M}_A which are duals of an M -ideal. The main result of this section is the following:

THEOREM II.2. *Let A be a subset of the abelian discrete group Γ . The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) L_A^1 is isometric to the dual of an M -ideal Y .
- (ii) \mathcal{M}_A is isometric to the dual of an M -ideal Z .
- (iii) The unit ball of L_A^1 is L^0 -closed, and the restriction of $\tilde{\alpha}: f \rightarrow \hat{f}(\alpha)$ to L_A^1 belongs to $(L_A^1)^*$ for every $\alpha \in A$.

Moreover, if the conditions (i)–(iii) are satisfied, then $\mathcal{M}_A = L_A^1$ and the M -ideal pre-dual of $\mathcal{M}_A = L_A^1$ is the space $\mathcal{C}(G)/\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma \setminus (-A)}(G)$ (i.e. $L_A^{1\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1 = L_A^{1\perp\perp} \cap \mathcal{C}^1$).

In the above statement, $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma \setminus (-A)}(G) = \mathcal{C}(G) \cap L_{\Gamma \setminus (-A)}^1$. Let us recall that the sets A such that $\mathcal{M}_A = L_A^1$ are called Riesz sets; the sets A which satisfy (iii) are called Shapiro sets in [12].

PROOF. (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii): If L_A^1 (resp. \mathcal{M}_A) satisfies (i) (resp. (ii)), it has the Radon-Nikodym property (see [31]) and hence $L_A^1 = \mathcal{M}_A$ ([20]).

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): If A satisfies (iii) then $(L_A^1)^*$ separates L_A^1 , so theorem I.3 gives (i).

(i) \Rightarrow (iii) Now by theorem I.3 the unit ball of L_A^1 is L^0 closed, and $(L_A^1)^*$ separates L_A^1 ; in particular, for every $\alpha \in A$, there exists $y \in (L_A^1)^*$ such that $y(\alpha) \neq 0$, and this implies by lemma II.1 that the restriction of $\bar{\alpha}$ to L_A^1 belongs to $(L_A^1)^*$. Let us observe now that under the condition (ii), ([12], Prop. 4.1) shows that the predual M -ideal of $\mathcal{M}_A = L_A^1$ is indeed $\mathcal{C}(G)/\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma(-A)}(G)$. This can also be seen directly: since $\mathcal{M}_A = L_A^1$, the space $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma(-A)}$ is an isometric predual of L_A^1 ; but the restriction of Γ to L_A^1 spans this space and is contained in $(L_A^1)^*$ by the above; and two preduals which are contained in each other must coincide. This concludes the proof.

We refer to [12] for examples and for a systematic study of Shapiro sets. In the next section, we will use harmonic analysis, together with theorem II.2, to produce an example in the theory of L - and M -structure.

We conclude this section by the observation that theorem II.2 provides in particular a characterization of the quotient spaces of $\mathcal{C}(G)$ by translation-invariant subspaces which are M -ideals in their bidual, since the dual of such a space is an \mathcal{M}_A -space.

III. An example.

The main result of this section provides an example of a Banach space which is L -complemented in its bidual and behaves in a somehow unexpected way; the construction uses crucially the results of §II. We work in this section within the frame of the "little Fourier analysis", that is, $G = \mathbb{T}$ and $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$.

Before stating it, let us recall that the dual X^* of a space X which is M -ideal in its bidual has the Radon-Nikodym property (see [31]). If $Y \subset X^*$ is such that there exists an L -projection π from Y^{**} onto Y , then by ([15], th. 1) one has $\text{Ker } \pi = (Y^{\perp\perp} \cap X^\perp)$, and thus $\text{Ker } \pi$ is w^* -closed and Y is the dual of an M -ideal.

This leads to the question to know whether or not every space Y with the Radon-Nikodym property which is L -complemented in Y^{**} is the dual of an M -ideal. The next statement provides in particular a negative answer to this question.

THEOREM III.1. *There exists a separable space Y which satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) Y is isometric to a dual space
- (ii) There is an L -projection π from Y^{**} onto Y
- (iii) $\text{Ker}(\pi)$ is not w^* -closed in Y^{**} .

PROOF. For every $n \geq 1$, we set

$$D_n = \{k2^n \mid |k| \leq n\}$$

and

$$A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n.$$

The properties of such sets are studied in ([12], §3.8). We recall for completeness what we need for the present work.

Claim 1. A is a Riesz set (i.e. $\mathcal{M}_A = L^1_A$). For any $j \geq 0$, we let

$$P_j = \{2^j + k2^{j+1} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

It is easily seen that $n \in P_j$ if and only if 2^j divides n and 2^{j+1} does not divide n ; hence $\{P_j \mid j \geq 0\}$ is a partition of $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, and $P_k \cap D_n = \emptyset$ if $k < n$. Therefore $(A \cap P_k)$ is contained in $\cup \{D_n \mid n \leq k\}$ and in particular it is finite.

Let now $\mu = \mu_a + \mu_s \in \mathcal{M}_A$; we have to show that $\mu_s = 0$. For every $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, there is $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \in P_j$. There exists a Radon measure ν_j on \mathbb{T} with finite support such that $\hat{\nu}_j = 1_{P_j}$; since ν_j is discrete, we have

$$(\mu * \nu_j)_s = \mu_s * \nu_j$$

and since $(\mu * \nu_j)^\wedge = \hat{\mu} \cdot \hat{\nu}_j$, we have

$$\mu * \nu_j \in \mathcal{M}_{A \cap P_j}$$

but $(A \cap P_j)$ is finite and thus $(\mu * \nu_j)$ is a trigonometric polynomial and

$$(\mu * \nu_j)_s = \mu_s * \nu_j = 0$$

in particular, $(\mu * \nu_j)^\wedge(n) = \hat{\mu}_s(n) \hat{\nu}_j(n) = \hat{\mu}_s(n) = 0$. We have shown that $\hat{\mu}_s(n) = 0$ for every $n \neq 0$ and it follows that $\mu_s = 0$ since μ_s is singular.

Claim 2. The unit ball of L^1_A is L^0 -closed. Let $\{f_k \mid k \geq 1\}$ be a sequence in the unit ball of L^1_A which converges in measure to $g \in L^1$. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus A$; we have to show that $\hat{g}(n) = 0$.

We pick as before j such that $n \in P_j$ and ν_j such that $\hat{\nu}_j = 1_{P_j}$. Since ν_j has a finite support, we have

$$\lim_k f_k * \nu_j = g * \nu_j$$

in measure, but also in norm since $(f_k * \nu_j)$ belongs to the finite dimensional space $L^1_{A \cap P_j}$. In particular, we have

$$\lim_k (f_k * \nu_j)^\wedge(n) = (g * \nu_j)^\wedge(n) = \hat{g}(n)$$

but $(f_k * \nu_j)^\wedge(n) = (f_k)^\wedge(n) = 0$ for every k since $n \notin A$ and it follows that $\hat{g}(n) = 0$.

Claim 3. The restriction of $1 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ to the unit ball of L^1_A is not L^0 -continuous. Indeed it is easy to construct a sequence $\{f_k \mid k \geq 1\}$ of functions in the unit ball

of $L^1(\mathbb{T})$ such that

$$\int f_k = (f_k)^\wedge(0) = 0 \quad \forall k$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k = 1/2 \cdot 1_{\mathbb{T}} \quad \text{a.e.}$$

By approximation, we may assume that the f_k 's are trigonometric polynomials. Observe now that the functions (z) and (z^{2^n}) have the same distribution for any n . Now if we substitute (z^{2^n}) to (z) in the expression of (f_k) , then we obtain, if we choose n big enough, a trigonometric polynomial (g_k) whose Fourier transform is supported by Λ , and since the distribution is unchanged, we still have $\|g_k\|_1 \leq 1$ and

$$\int g_k = 0 \quad \forall k$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g_k = 1/2 \cdot 1_{\mathbb{T}} \quad \text{a.e.}$$

This shows that the Fourier coefficient in 0 is not L^0 -continuous on the unit ball of L^1_Λ , and proves the claim 3.

We are now ready to complete the proof of theorem III.1. We let $Y = L^1_\Lambda(\mathbb{T})$, where $\Lambda = \cup \{D_n | n \geq 1\}$ is defined above.

By the claim 1, Λ is a Riesz set and thus $Y = \mathcal{M}_\Lambda$ is canonically isometric to the dual of the space $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})/\mathcal{C}_{Z(-\Lambda)}(\mathbb{T})$.

By [5] – see ([11], lemme 1) – and the claim 2, we have

$$Y^{\perp\perp} = Y \oplus_1 (Y^{\perp\perp} \cap L^1_s)$$

and therefore the restriction to $Y^{\perp\perp}$ of the canonical projection from L^{1**} onto L^1 is an L -projection π from Y^{**} onto Y .

Finally, the space $\text{Ker}(\pi) = Y^{\perp\perp} \cap L^1_s$ is w^* -closed if and only if Y is isometric to the dual of an M -ideal; and by theorem II.2 this would imply that the restriction of every Fourier coefficient to the unit ball of L^1_Λ would be L^0 -continuous; and this contradicts the claim 3.

REMARKS. 1) If we drop the requirement Y separable, then very simple examples are available, since for instance the space $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})^*$ itself satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), (iii); but of course this space does not have the Radon-Nikodym property, in contrast with our space Y which has R.N.P. since it is a separable dual.

2) The proof of theorem III.1 gives more information on the structure of Y^{**} . Indeed the proof of claim 2 shows that the restriction of every Fourier coefficient

but one to Y is L^0 -continuous. It follows from this fact and lemma I.2 that the space $M = Y^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1 \cap \mathcal{C}(T)^\perp$ is of codimension one in $Y^{\perp\perp} \cap \mathcal{C}(T)^\perp$ and in $(Y^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1)$, and is not w^* -closed, since $(Y^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1)$ is not w^* -closed. And since M is a hyperplane in $Y^{\perp\perp} \cap \mathcal{C}(T)^\perp$ it follows that $\overline{M}^{w^*} = Y^{\perp\perp} \cap \mathcal{C}(T)^\perp$; a fortiori we have $\overline{Y^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1}^{w^*} \supset \mathcal{C}(T)^\perp$, and by lemma I.2. $Y^\#$ is contained in the restriction of $\mathcal{C}(T)$ to Y . From this latter fact it finally follows that $Y^\#$ is the space

$$Y^\# = \{f \mid Y \mid f \in \mathcal{C}(T), \hat{f}(0) = 0\}.$$

3) Actually, the Alexandrov's set A has stronger properties. By adapting the proof of [28], Example 2, p. 122–123, it can be shown that if D is the countable dense subgroup of T :

$$D = \{e^{2\pi i k / 2^n} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$$

and $\varphi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \hat{D} = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}/D^\perp$ is the canonical injection, then $\varphi(A)$ is closed in \hat{D} . Therefore:

a) A is closed in \mathbb{Z} for the Bohr topology and in particular, the unit ball of L_A^1 is L^0 -closed ([12], Cor. 2.6 (1)); moreover, since $A \cap P_j$ is finite for every $j \geq 0$, 0 is the only accumulation point of A in \mathbb{Z} .

b) $\mathcal{C}_A = L_A^\infty$ has the Schur property ([29], Th. 3). Hence A is a Rosenthal set (see also [26], Th. B and [27]); in particular A is a Riesz set ([20], Th. 3) and more generally $\mathbb{N} \cup A$ is a Riesz set ([27], Th. 2).

4) For non-translation invariant subspaces H of $L^1(T)$ which are duals of M -ideals, we cannot expect in general that $H^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1 = H^{\perp\perp} \cap \mathcal{C}(T)^\perp$; for instance, if $h_n, n \geq 1$, are disjoint positive functions of L^1 of norm 1, $H = [h_n, n \geq 1]$ is isometric to $\ell^1 = c_0^*$ but $H^{\perp\perp} \cap L_s^1 \not\subset \mathcal{C}(T)^\perp$. This comes from the fact that if we consider non-translation invariant subspaces of $L^1(T)$, the topology of T , and then $\mathcal{C}(T)$, plays no canonical role any more.

5) If Z has the Radon-Nikodym property and $V \subset Z^{**}$ is a subspace such that $Z \cap V = \{0\}$, then the unit ball of V cannot be w^* -dense in the unit ball of Z^{**} , since Z_1 has a strongly exposed point x , which would belong to $V \cap Z$. This shows that it is not possible to replace the condition (iii) of theorem III.1 by the stronger condition: the unit ball of $(\text{Ker } \pi)$ is w^* -dense in Y_1^{**} .

However, it is not clear whether or not $(\text{Ker } \pi)$ can be w^* -dense in Y^{**} . Within the frame of the L_A^1 -spaces, this boils down to the following question, which belongs to harmonic analysis.

QUESTION III.2. Does there exist a Riesz subset A of \mathbb{Z} which satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) The unit ball of L_A^1 is L^0 -closed;
- (ii) For every $n \in A$, the restriction of the Fourier coefficient at n to the unit ball of L_A^1 is not L^0 -continuous?

6) It is shown in [23] that there exists a separable Banach lattice T with the Radon-Nikodym property such that the band T_s orthogonal to T in T^{**} is w^* -dense in T^{**} . Theorem III.1 is the analogue of M. Talagrand's result for L -structure; but we should mention that Talagrand did not stop so early, since he proved in [24] that any separable Banach lattice with the Radon-Nikodym property is a dual Banach lattice. Within the frame of L -structure, we do not know the answer to the:

QUESTION III.3. Is every space Y with the R.N.P., and L -complemented in its bidual, isometric to a dual space?

Observe that by [20], the answer is yes for translation-invariant subspaces of L^1 .

IV. \mathcal{L}^∞ -spaces which are isomorphic to M -ideals.

In our last section we will investigate isomorphic properties. Let us observe that if X is a separable \mathcal{L}^∞ -space (see [18]) which is isomorphic to an M -ideal then X^* is isomorphic to $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ by [17] since then X^* is a separable dual \mathcal{L}^1 -space. This does not say much, however, about the space X since $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ has a huge supply of isomorphic preduals.

The main result of this section is that X is in fact the *natural* isomorphic predual of $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$; that is, X is isomorphic to $c_0(\mathbb{N})$. The crucial point of the proof is Zippin's deep characterization of $c_0(\mathbb{N})$ [25]. Let us mention that theorem IV.1 and its proof were obtained independently and almost simultaneously by D. Werner.

We refer to [18] and [4] for properties and examples of \mathcal{L}^∞ -spaces. We state now

THEOREM IV.1. *Let X be a separable \mathcal{L}^∞ -space which can be renormed into an M -ideal in its bidual. Then X is isomorphic to $c_0(\mathbb{N})$.*

We are grateful to an anonymous referee for a simplification of the original argument.

PROOF. Since X^* is separable [13] and is an \mathcal{L}^1 -space, it is isomorphic to $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ [17] and thus X^{**} is isomorphic to $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$. We denote by $i: X \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ the canonical injection.

By Zippin's theorem [25], it is enough to show that for every isomorphic injection j from X into a separable space Y , the space $j(X)$ is complemented in Y . Since $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ is injective, the map $k = i[j^{-1}]$ from $j(X)$ into $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ has an extension \tilde{k} from Y to $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$. We denote by Z the norm-closed subalgebra of $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ generated by $\tilde{k}(Y)$; the space Z is isomorphic to a separable $\mathcal{C}(K)$ -space.

It is classical and easily checked that $i(X)$ is an M -ideal in Z since it is an M -ideal in $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ and $i(X) \subset Z \subset \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$. Let us mention at this point that the

space $\ell^\infty(\mathbf{N})$ is equipped here with an equivalent norm and not with the canonical one. Moreover, the quotient space $Z/i(X)$ has the bounded approximation property. Indeed we may write

$$\ell^\infty(\mathbf{N})^* = \ell^1(\mathbf{N}) \oplus i(X)^\perp$$

and since $Z^\perp \subset i(X)^\perp$

$$Z^* = \ell^\infty(\mathbf{N})^*/Z^\perp = \ell^1(\mathbf{N}) \oplus i(X)^\perp/Z^\perp.$$

The space $i(X)^\perp/Z^\perp$ is complemented in the space $Z^* = \mathcal{M}(K)$ which has the B.A.P. and therefore $i(X)^\perp/Z^\perp$ has the B.A.P.; and $i(X)^\perp/Z^\perp$ is canonically isomorphic to the orthogonal of $i(X)$ in Z^* , hence to the dual of $Z/i(X)$; hence $Z/i(X)$ has the B.A.P. since $(Z/i(X))^*$ has it (see [19], p. 34).

In these circumstances there exists by a result of Ando ([2], th. 5) a linear projection p from Z onto $i(X)$. If we let

$$\tilde{p} = ji^{-1}pk.$$

Then \tilde{p} is a linear projection from Y onto $j(X)$.

REMARKS. 1) Theorem IV.1 has a quantitative version, namely: there is a function $\varphi(\lambda)$ such that every $\mathcal{L}_\lambda^\infty$ -space which is M -ideal in its bidual satisfies $d(X, c_0(\mathbf{N})) \leq \varphi(\lambda)$. Indeed if not, there is $\lambda_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ and a sequence $\{X_n | n \geq 1\}$ of $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_0}^\infty$ -spaces which are M -ideals and such that $d(X_n, c_0(\mathbf{N})) \geq n$. We consider the space

$$Y = (\sum \oplus X_n)_{c_0}$$

which is M -ideal in its bidual and is also $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_0}^\infty$; by theorem IV.1 Y is isomorphic to $c_0(\mathbf{N})$ and since the spaces X_n are uniformly complemented in Y , their distance to $c_0(\mathbf{N})$ is bounded; this is a contradiction.

2) It is not clear whether or not the assumption X separable is necessary in theorem IV.1. The decomposition result ([7], Th. 3) supports the impression that it is not.

3) Theorem IV.1 shows that there exist separable Asplund spaces – such as $\mathcal{C}(\omega^\omega)$ – which contain hereditarily $c_0(\mathbf{N})$ but which cannot be renormed into M -ideals in their bidual. This answers a question of M. Fabian (personal communication).

We should mention however that the following question is open.

QUESTION IV.2. Let X be an isomorphic predual of $\ell^1(\mathbf{N})$ which has the property (u) of A. Pelczynski [21]. Is X isomorphic to $c_0(\mathbf{N})$?

A positive answer would extend [22], and trivialize theorem IV.1 since the authors have recently shown that every M -ideal in its bidual has property (u)

[10]. On the other hand, a negative answer would give an example of a separable Asplund space with (u) which is not isomorphic to an M -ideal (another open question).

Since the class of M -ideals in their bidual is hereditary and stable under quotient maps [13], theorem IV.1 applies to \mathcal{L}^∞ -spaces which are subspaces of quotients of M -ideals. Let us mention for instance the

COROLLARY IV.3. *Let X be a separable \mathcal{L}^∞ -space which is a subspace of a quotient of the space $K(\ell^2)$ of compact operators in the Hilbert space. Then X is isomorphic to $c_0(\mathbb{N})$.*

This corollary is a non-commutative version of a result of [14]. We refer to [22], [8] for extensions of [14] in another direction.

REFERENCES

1. E. M. Alfsen and E. G. Effros, *Structure in real Banach spaces I*, Ann. of Math. 96 (1972), 98–128.
2. T. Ando, *A theorem on non-empty intersection of convex sets and its application*, J. Approx. Theory 13 (1975), 158–166.
3. E. Behrends and P. Harmand, *Banach spaces which are proper M -ideals*, Studia Math. 81 (1985), 159–169.
4. J. Bourgain, *New classes of \mathcal{L}^p -spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics n° 889, Springer-Verlag, 1981.
5. A. V. Buchvalov and G. Lozanovski, *On sets closed in measure*, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. 2 (1978), 127–148. In Russian: Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch. 34 (1977).
6. Chong-Man Cho and W. B. Johnson, *A characterization of subspaces X of ℓ^p for which $K(X)$ is an M -ideal in $L(X)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 93 (1985), 466–470.
7. M. Fabian and G. Godefroy, *The dual of every Asplund space admits a projectional resolution of the identity*, Studia Math. 91 (1988), 141–151.
8. N. Ghoussoub and W. B. Johnson, *Factoring operators through Banach lattices not containing $C(0, 1)$* , Math. Z. 194 (1987), 153–171.
9. G. Godefroy and P. Saab, *Weakly unconditionally convergent series in M -ideals*, Math. Scand. 64 (1989), 407–418.
10. G. Godefroy and D. Li, *Banach spaces which are M -ideals in their bidual have property (u)*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 39 (1989), 361–371.
11. G. Godefroy, *Sous-espaces bien disposés de L^1 -Applications*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 286 (1984), 227–249.
12. G. Godefroy, *On Riesz subsets of abelian discrete groups*, Israel J. Math. 61 (1988), 301–331.
13. P. Harmand and A. Lima, *On spaces which are M -ideals in their bidual*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 283 (1984), 253–264.
14. W. B. Johnson and M. Zippin, *On subspaces of quotients of $(\sum G_n)_{\ell^p}$ and $(\sum G_n)_{c_0}$* , Israel J. Math. 13 (1972), 311–316.
15. D. Li, *Espaces L -facteurs de leurs biduaux: bonne disposition, meilleure approximation et propriété de Radon-Nikodym*, Quarterly J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 38 (1987), 229–243.
16. A. Lima, *M -ideals of compact operators in classical Banach spaces*, Math. Scand. 44 (1979), 207–217.
17. D. R. Lewis and C. Stegall, *Banach spaces whose duals are isomorphic to $\ell^1(\Gamma)$* , J. Funct. Anal. 12 (1973), 177–187.
18. J. Lindenstrauss and H. P. Rosenthal, *The \mathcal{L}_p -spaces*, Israel J. Math. 7 (1969), 325–349.

19. J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces I, Sequence spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
20. F. Lust-Piquard, *Ensembles de Rosenthal et ensembles de Riesz*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 282 (1976), 833.
21. A. Pelczyński, *Banach spaces on which every unconditionally convergent operator is weakly compact*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 10 (1962), 641–648.
22. H. P. Rosenthal, *A characterization of c_0* , Longhorn Seminar Notes (1982/83).
23. M. Talagrand, *Dual Banach lattices and Banach lattices with the Radon-Nikodym property*, Israel J. Math. 38 (1981), 46–50.
24. M. Talagrand, *La structure des espaces de Banach réticulés ayant la propriété de Radon-Nikodym*, Israel J. Math. 44 (1983), 213–220.
25. M. Zippin, *The separable extension problem*, Israel J. Math. 26 (1977), 372–387.
26. R. C. Blei, *A simple diophantine condition in harmonic analysis*, Studia Math. 52 (1975), 195–202.
27. R. E. Dressler and L. Pigno, *Rosenthal sets and Riesz sets*, Duke Math. J. 41 (1974), 675–677.
28. J. J. F. Fournier and L. Pigno, *Analytic and arithmetic properties of thin sets*, Pac. J. Math. 105 (1983), 115–141.
29. F. Lust, *L'espace des fonctions presque-périodiques dont le spectre est contenu dans un ensemble compact dénombrable a la propriété de Schur*, Coll. Math. XLI(2) (1979), 273–284.
30. L. Pigno and S. Saeki, *On the spectra of almost periodic functions*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 25 (1976), 191–194.
31. A. Lima, *On M-ideals and best approximation*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 31 (1982), 27–36.
32. J. Diestel, *Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces*, GTM 92, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
33. N. Dunford and J. Schwartz, *Linear Operators I*, Interscience, New York, 1958.
34. G. Hewitt and K. Yosida, *Finitely additive measures*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1952), 46–66.
35. J. Komlos, *A generalization of a problem of Steinhaus*, Acta Math. Hungar. 18 (1967), 217–229.
36. B. Maurey, *Types and ℓ^1 -subspaces*, Longhorn Notes, Texas Functional Analysis Seminar, Austin, Texas (1982/1983).

EQUIPE D'ANALYSE
UNIVERSITÉ PARIS VI
TOUR 46-0, 4ÈME ÉTAGE
4, PLACE JUSSIEU
75252 PARIS CEDEX 05
FRANCE

EQUIPE D'ANALYSE
UNIVERSITÉ PARIS VI
UNIVERSITÉ DE PARIS-SUD
MATHÉMATIQUES-BÂTIMENT 425
91405 ORSAY CEDEX
FRANCE