

# VON NEUMANN INEQUALITY FOR $(B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1$

GELU POPESCU

## 0. Introduction.

Let  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  be the Hardy space of analytic functions on the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$ , i.e.,

$$H^2(\mathbb{D}) = \left\{ u(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k a_k; a_k \in \mathbb{C}, \|u\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

J. von Neumann’s well-known inequality [11] on Hilbert space operators asserts that if  $T$  is a contraction on a complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  (i.e.,  $\|T\| \leq 1$ ) and  $p$  is an analytic polynomial in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , then the operator  $p(T)$  satisfies the inequality

$$(0.1) \quad \|p(T)\| \leq \sup_{|\lambda| \leq 1} |p(\lambda)| = \sup_{q \in (\mathcal{P}_+)_1} \|pq\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})},$$

where  $(\mathcal{P}_+)_1$  stands for the unit ball of  $\mathcal{P}_+ \subset H^2(\mathbb{D})$  and  $\mathcal{P}_+$  denote the set of all analytic polynomials in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

T. Ando [1] generalized the inequality (0.1) for two commuting contractions. In [10] N. Th. Varopoulos show that this inequality does not generalize to an arbitrary number  $n \geq 3$  of commuting contraction. Moreover, it is shown that, in general, for  $n \geq 3$  and some commuting operators  $T_1, \dots, T_n \in B(\mathcal{H})$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|T_i\|^2 \leq 1,$$

the inequality

$$\|p(T_1, \dots, T_n)\| \leq K \sup \left\{ |p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)| : \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^2 \leq 1 \right\},$$

where  $K > 0$  and  $p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  is any complex polynomial of  $n$  variables, is not true.

Concerning the von Neumann inequality see also [9].

Now let us present the results of this paper. For a natural number  $n$  let  $B(\mathcal{H})^n$

Received September 25, 1989.

This paper is part of my Ph. D. thesis, written under the guidance of Prof. Carl Pearcy, at the Texas A & M University

denote the set of  $n$ -tuples  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$  of elements from  $B(\mathcal{H})$  (i.e., the algebra of all bounded operators on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ ). We define a Banach space norm on  $B(\mathcal{H})^n$  asking that the injective map

$$\pi : B(\mathcal{H})^n \rightarrow M_n(B(\mathcal{H}))$$

given by

$$\pi(T)_{1,j} = T_j \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n \text{ and } \pi(T)_{i,j} = 0 \text{ for } i > 1,$$

be an isometry. The norm gives  $B(\mathcal{H})^n$  the product topology, and for each  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$  we have

$$\|T\| = \|\pi(T)\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n T_i T_i^* \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let  $(B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1$  denote the unit ball of  $B(\mathcal{H})^n$ , i.e.,

$$(B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1 = \left\{ (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n : \sum_{i=1}^n T_i T_i^* \leq I_{\mathcal{H}} \right\}$$

The main aim of this paper is to extend the von Neumann inequality to  $(B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1$ , for  $n \geq 2$ .

To be more precise, let us consider the full Fock-space [4]

$$(0.2) \quad \mathcal{F}(H_n) = \mathbf{C} I \oplus \bigoplus_{m \geq 1} H_n^{\otimes m},$$

where  $H_n$  is an  $n$ -dimensional complex Hilbert space with orthonormal basis  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$ . We shall denote by  $\mathcal{P}$  the set of all  $p \in \mathcal{F}(H_n)$  of the form

$$(0.3) \quad p = a_0 + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq n \\ 1 \leq k \leq m}} a_{i_1 \dots i_k} e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_k}, \quad m \in \mathbf{N},$$

where  $a_0, a_{i_1 \dots i_k} \in \mathbf{C}$  and the sum contains only a finite number of summands.

The set  $\mathcal{P}$  may be viewed as the algebra of the polynomials in  $n$  noncommuting indeterminates, with  $p \otimes q, p, q \in \mathcal{P}$  as multiplication.

Let  $p(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  stand for the operator acting on  $\mathcal{H}$ , given by

$$(0.4) \quad p(T_1, \dots, T_n) = a_0 I_{\mathcal{H}} + \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_k} T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_k}.$$

Our von Neumann inequality for  $(B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1$  asserts that if  $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in (B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1$  and  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , then

$$(0.5) \quad \|p(T_1, \dots, T_n)\| \leq \sup_{q \in (\mathcal{P})_1} \|p \otimes q\|_{\mathcal{F}(H_n)},$$

where

$$(\mathcal{P})_1 = \{p \in \mathcal{P} : \|p\|_{\mathcal{F}(H_n)} \leq 1\}.$$

If  $n = 1$ , it is easy to see that, we find again (0.1).

Let us remark that, if  $n = 2$  and  $T_1, T_2$  are commuting contractions such that  $[T_1, T_2]$  is a contraction, the von Neumann inequality (0.5) seems to be sharper than Ando's inequality [1].

For instance, if

$$p(T_1, T_2) = T_1 + T_2,$$

then Ando's inequality shows that

$$\|p(T_1, T_2)\| \leq 2,$$

while, von Neumann inequality (0.5) (see Corollary 2.2) gives

$$\|p(T_1, T_2)\| \leq \sqrt{2}.$$

In order to extend (0.5) to a Banach algebra containing  $\mathcal{P}$ , we need to introduce some Banach algebras  $(\mathcal{F}^\infty, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  and  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ , which may be viewed as a noncommutative analogue of the Hardy space  $H^\infty$  and the disk algebra, respectively.

We shall see that if  $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in (B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1$ , then the mapping

$$\Psi: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}); \quad \Psi(p) = p(T_1, \dots, T_n)$$

extends to a contractive homomorphism from the noncommutative "disc algebra"  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $B(\mathcal{H})$ .

Also, it is shown that, for a class of elements  $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in (B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1$ , there is a functional calculus defined by the mapping

$$f \mapsto f(T_1, \dots, T_n)$$

from the algebra  $\mathcal{F}^\infty$  into  $B(\mathcal{H})$ .

The main tools for proof are some results from dilation theory for the elements of  $(B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1$  (see [2, 5, 6, 7]), the Wold decomposition for  $n$ -tuple  $(V_1, \dots, V_n) \in (B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1$  of isometries with orthogonal final spaces [5, 6, 8], and some facts concerning the Cuntz-algebra  $\mathcal{O}_n$  [3].

## 1. Notation and preliminaries.

Throughout this paper  $A$  stands for the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . For every  $k \in \mathbf{N}^* = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ , let  $F(k, A)$  be the set of all functions from the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  to  $A$  and

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} F(k, A), \text{ where } F(0, A) \text{ stands for the set } \{0\}.$$

A sequence  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$  of unilateral shifts on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  with orthog-

onal final spaces is called a  $\Lambda$ -orthogonal shift if the operator matrix  $[S_1, S_2, \dots]$  is nonunitary, i.e.,  $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{H} \ominus (\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_\lambda \mathcal{H}) \neq \{0\}$ .

We need also the following definitions. A subspace  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{H}$  is called cyclic for a sequence  $\{A_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  if

$$\bigvee_{f \in \mathcal{F}} A_f \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{H},$$

where  $A_f$  stands for the product  $A_{f(1)} \dots A_{f(k)}$  if  $k \geq 1, f \in F(k, \Lambda)$ , and  $A_0 := I_{\mathcal{H}}$ .

We define the multiplicity of  $\{A_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  to be the minimum dimension of a cyclic subspace for  $\{A_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ . If  $\{B_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  is another sequence of operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and if there exists a unitary operator  $U$  mapping  $\mathcal{H}$  onto  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$A_\lambda = U^{-1} B_\lambda U \quad \text{for any } \lambda \in \Lambda,$$

then, we say that  $\{A_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  is unitarily equivalent to  $\{B_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ .

Let us recall from [6, 7, 8] some results concerning the  $\Lambda$ -orthogonal shifts.

If  $\{S_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  is a  $\Lambda$ -orthogonal shift on  $\mathcal{H}$  then,

$$\mathcal{L} = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \text{Ker } S_\lambda^* \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{f \in \mathcal{F}} S_f \mathcal{L}$$

Each  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  has a unique representation

$$h = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} S_f l_f, \quad l_f \in \mathcal{L}, \quad f \in \mathcal{F}.$$

In this case  $\|h\|^2 = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \|l_f\|^2$  and  $l_f = P_0 S_f^* h, f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

where

$$P_0 = I_{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_\lambda S_\lambda^*$$

is the projection of  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Now one can easily prove the following theorem. We omit the proof.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *If  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  is a  $\Lambda$ -orthogonal shift on  $\mathcal{H}$ , then  $\mathcal{L}$  is cyclic for  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\dim \mathcal{L} \leq \dim \mathcal{E}$  for every cyclic subspace  $\mathcal{E}$  for  $\mathcal{S}$ .*

As a corollary, we obtain that the multiplicity of  $\mathcal{S}$  is equal to  $\dim \mathcal{L}$ .

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Two  $\Lambda$ -orthogonal shifts are unitarily equivalent if and only if they have the same multiplicity.*

**PROOF.** If  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\mathcal{S}' = \{S'_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \subset B(\mathcal{H}')$  are two  $\Lambda$ -orthogonal shifts with the same multiplicity, then  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{L}'$  have the same dimension. Hence, there is an isometry  $W$  which maps  $\mathcal{L}$  onto  $\mathcal{L}'$ . For any  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  define

$$Uh = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} S'_f W l_f \quad \text{for } h = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} S_f l_f.$$

Then  $U$  is a unitary operator from  $\mathcal{H}$  onto  $\mathcal{H}'$  and

$$S'_\lambda U = U S_\lambda \quad \text{for any } \lambda \in A.$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{S}'$  are unitarily equivalent.

The converse implication is obvious.

Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$  be a  $A$ -orthogonal shift on  $\mathcal{H}$  with the multiplicity  $\alpha$ , i.e.,  $\dim \mathcal{L} = \alpha$ . If  $\{l_i\}_{i \in I}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{L}$ , then the subspaces

$$\mathcal{M}_i = \bigoplus_{f \in \mathcal{F}} S_f(Cl_i), \quad i \in I$$

are orthogonal and reduce each  $S_\lambda (\lambda \in A)$ . Hence, it follows that

$$S_\lambda = \bigoplus_{i \in I} S_\lambda|_{\mathcal{M}_i} \quad \text{for any } \lambda \in A,$$

and for any  $i \in I$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_i := \{S_\lambda|_{\mathcal{M}_i}\}_{\lambda \in A}$  is a  $A$ -orthogonal shift with the multiplicity 1.

Therefore,  $\mathcal{S}$  may be viewed as a direct sum of  $\alpha$  copies of a  $A$ -orthogonal shift of the multiplicity 1.

Let us consider a model  $A$ -orthogonal shift with multiplicity 1, acting on the full Fock-space  $\mathcal{F}(H_n)$ , given by (0.2). For each  $\lambda \in A$  we define the isometry  $S_\lambda$  by

$$(1.2) \quad S_\lambda h = e_\lambda \otimes h \quad \text{for } h \in \mathcal{F}(H_n)$$

It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$  is a  $A$ -orthogonal shift with multiplicity one.

This model will play an important role in our investigation.

Now let us recall the Wold decomposition theorem for sequences of isometries [6].

Let  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$  be a sequence of isometries on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{X}$ , with orthogonal final spaces.

Then  $\mathcal{X}$  decomposes into an orthogonal sum  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_u \oplus \mathcal{X}_s$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_u$  and  $\mathcal{X}_s$  reduce each operator  $V_\lambda (\lambda \in A)$  and we have

$$(I_{\mathcal{X}} - \sum_{\lambda \in A} V_\lambda V_\lambda^*)|_{\mathcal{X}_u} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \{V_\lambda|_{\mathcal{X}_s}\} \text{ is a } A\text{-orthogonal shift acting on } \mathcal{X}_s.$$

This decomposition is uniquely determined; indeed we have:

$$\mathcal{X}_u = \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \bigoplus_{f \in F(k,A)} V_f \mathcal{X} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{X}_s = \bigoplus_{f \in \mathcal{F}} V_f \mathcal{L},$$

where  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{X} \ominus \left( \bigoplus_{\lambda \in A} V_\lambda \mathcal{X} \right)$ .

We recall from [6] that for any sequences  $\mathcal{T} = \{T_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$  of operators on

a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\sum_{\lambda \in A} T_\lambda T_\lambda^* \leq I_{\mathcal{H}}$ , there exists a minimal isometric dilation  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K} \supset \mathcal{H}$ , which is uniquely determined up to an isomorphism, i.e., the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $V_\lambda^* V_\lambda = I_{\mathcal{H}}$  for any  $\lambda \in A$ ,
- (ii)  $\sum_{\lambda \in A} V_\lambda V_\lambda^* \leq I_{\mathcal{K}}$ ,
- (iii)  $V_\lambda^* \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{H}$  and  $V_\lambda^*|_{\mathcal{H}} = T_\lambda^*$  for any  $\lambda \in A$ ,
- (iv)  $\mathcal{K} = \bigvee_{f \in \mathcal{F}} V_f \mathcal{H}$ .

**2. The Von Neumann inequality.**

We begin this section by recalling some facts concerning the Cuntz-algebra  $\mathcal{O}_n$  and a certain extension of  $\mathcal{O}_n$ . In [3] the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) was defined as the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $n$  isometries  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n V_i V_i^* = I$ . It was shown that  $\mathcal{O}_n$  does not depend, up to canonical isomorphism, on the choice of the generators  $V_1, \dots, V_n$ . In other words, if  $\hat{V}_1, \dots, \hat{V}_n$  is a second family of isometries satisfying  $\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{V}_i \hat{V}_i^* = I$ , then  $C^*(\hat{V}_1, \dots, \hat{V}_n)$  is canonically isomorphic to  $C^*(V_1, \dots, V_n)$ , i.e., the map  $\hat{V}_i \rightarrow V_i$  extends to an isomorphism from  $C^*(\hat{V}_1, \dots, \hat{V}_n)$  onto  $C^*(V_1, \dots, V_n)$ .

Now, let  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  be isometries on a Hilbert space  $K$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n V_i V_i^* \leq I_{\mathcal{K}}$  ( $n$  finite). Then the projection  $P = I_{\mathcal{K}} - \sum_{i=1}^n V_i V_i^*$  generates a closed two-sided ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  in  $C^*(V_1, \dots, V_n)$  which is isomorphic to the  $C^*$ -algebra of all compact operators on an infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space, and contains  $P$  as a minimal projection.

We have the short exact sequence

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \rightarrow C^*(V_1, \dots, V_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_n \rightarrow 0$$

The main result of this paper is the following

**THEOREM 2.1.** *If  $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in (B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1$ ,  $n \geq 2$  and  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , then*

$$(2.2) \quad \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\| \leq \sup_{q \in (\mathcal{P})_1} \|p \otimes q\|_{\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}_n)}$$

**PROOF.** Since  $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in (B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1$ , there is a minimal isometric dilation  $(V_1, \dots, V_n) \in (B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1$ , on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K} \supset \mathcal{H}$ , such that

$$(2.3) \quad V_i^* V_i = I_{\mathcal{X}} \quad , \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n V_i V_i^* \leq I_{\mathcal{X}}$$

$$(2.5) \quad V_{i|\mathcal{X}}^* = T_{i^*}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

By (2.5) it follows that

$$p(T_1, \dots, T_n) = P_{\mathcal{X}} p(V_1, \dots, V_n)|_{\mathcal{X}}, \quad p \in \mathcal{P},$$

where  $P_{\mathcal{X}}$  stands for the orthogonal projection of  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Hence we get

$$(2.6) \quad \|p(T_1, \dots, T_n)\| \leq \|p(V_1, \dots, V_n)\|.$$

According to the Wold decomposition for the sequence  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  of isometries, we infer that the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  decomposes into an orthogonal sum

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_u \oplus \mathcal{H}_s$$

such that  $\mathcal{H}_u$  and  $\mathcal{H}_s$  reduce each operator  $V_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) and we have

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n W_i W_i^* = I_{\mathcal{X}_u}$$

$$(2.9) \quad \{U_i\}_{i=1}^n \text{ is a } \mathcal{A}\text{-orthogonal shift on } \mathcal{H}_s,$$

where, for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $V_i = W_i \oplus U_i$  is the decomposition of the operator  $V_i$  with respect to (2.7).

Therefore we have

$$(2.10) \quad p(V_1, \dots, V_n) = p(W_1, \dots, W_n) \oplus p(U_1, \dots, U_n)$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \|p(V_1, \dots, V_n)\| = \max \{ \|p(W_1, \dots, W_n)\|, \|p(U_1, \dots, U_n)\| \}.$$

First, let us consider the case where  $\mathcal{H}_s \neq \{0\}$ . Since  $\sum_{i=1}^n U_i U_i^* \leq I_{\mathcal{X}_s}$  we have the following short exact sequence

$$(2.12) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \rightarrow C^*(U_1, \dots, U_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_n \rightarrow 0$$

where  $\mathcal{I}$  denote the closed two-sided ideal in  $C^*(U_1, \dots, U_n)$  generated by the projection

$$P := I_{\mathcal{X}_s} - \sum_{i=1}^n U_i U_i^*.$$

If  $\pi_s$  denote the natural quotient map from  $B(\mathcal{X}_s)$  to  $B(\mathcal{X}_s)/\mathcal{I}$ , from (2.12) we deduce

$$(2.13) \quad \|\pi_s(p(U_1, \dots, U_n))\| = \|p(\pi_s(U_1), \dots, \pi_s(U_n))\| = \|p(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)\|,$$

where  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$  is a system of generators for the Cuntz-algebra  $\mathcal{O}_n$ .

On the other hand, since (2.8) holds we have also that

$$(2.14) \quad \|p(W_1, \dots, W_n)\| = \|p(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)\|.$$

By (2.11), (2.13), (2.14) and the fact that

$$\|\pi_s(p(U_1, \dots, U_n))\| \leq \|p(U_1, \dots, U_n)\|$$

we infer that

$$(2.15) \quad \|p(V_1, \dots, V_n)\| = \|p(U_1, \dots, U_n)\|.$$

According to Section 1, if the multiplicity of the  $A$ -orthogonal shift  $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$  is  $\alpha$ , then the operator  $p(U_1, \dots, U_n)$  is unitarily equivalent to the direct sum of  $\alpha$  copies of  $p(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ , where  $\{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$  is the model  $A$ -orthogonal shift with the multiplicity 1, acting on the full Fock space  $\mathcal{F}(H_n)$ , given by (1.2).

Therefore (2.15) implies

$$(2.16) \quad \|p(V_1, \dots, V_n)\| = \|p(S_1, \dots, S_n)\|.$$

The second case is  $\mathcal{X}_s = \{0\}$ .

Since  $\sum_{i=1}^n V_i V_i^* = I_{\mathcal{X}}$  we have

$$(2.17) \quad \|p(V_1, \dots, V_n)\| = \|p(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)\|.$$

Considering  $\{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$  be the model  $A$ -orthogonal shift on  $\mathcal{F}(H_n)$  we have, as in the first case, the following short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_0 \rightarrow C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Here  $\mathcal{I}_0$  is the closed two-sided ideal in  $C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$  generated by  $P_{C1}$ , which is the orthogonal projection of  $\mathcal{F}(H_n)$  on  $C1$ .

Consequently, if  $\pi_0$  denote the quotient map from  $B(\mathcal{F}(H_n))$  onto  $B(\mathcal{F}(H_n))/\mathcal{I}_0$ , then we have

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \|p(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)\| &= \|p(\pi_0(S_1), \dots, \pi_0(S_n))\| \\ &= \|\pi_0(p(S_1, \dots, S_n))\| \leq \|p(S_1, \dots, S_n)\|. \end{aligned}$$

The relations (2.17) and (2.18) imply

$$(2.19) \quad \|p(V_1, \dots, V_n)\| \leq \|p(S_1, \dots, S_n)\|.$$

Now, taking into account (1.2) it is easy to see that

$$p(S_1, \dots, S_n)h = p \otimes h \quad \text{for any } h \in \mathcal{F}(H_n).$$



Since  $\mathcal{P}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{F}(H_n)$ , it is clear that

$$(2.20) \quad \|p(S_1, \dots, S_n)\| = \sup_{q \in (\mathcal{P})_1} \|p \otimes q\|_{\mathcal{F}(H_n)}.$$

From (2.6), (2.16), (2.19) and (2.20) the result follows.

The proof is complete.

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *If  $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in (B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1$ ,  $n \geq 2$  and  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , then*

$$\|p(T_1, \dots, T_n)\| \leq \|p(S_1, \dots, S_n)\| = \sup_{q \in (\mathcal{P})_1} \|p \otimes q\|_{\mathcal{F}(H_n)}$$

where  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$  is the model  $\mathcal{A}$ -orthogonal shift on  $\mathcal{F}(H_n)$ .

### 3. Functional calculus for $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in (B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1$ .

Throughout this section we keep the definitions from the previous sections. Let us note that any element  $g \in \mathcal{F}(H_n)$  can be written as follows

$$(3.1) \quad g = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} a_f e_f \quad \text{with } a_f \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and}$$

$$\|g\|_2^2 = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} |a_f|^2 < \infty,$$

where  $e_f$  stands for  $e_{f(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{f(k)}$  if  $f \in F(k, \mathcal{A})$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , and  $e_0 = I$ .

We make the natural identification of  $e_f \otimes I$  with  $e_f$ , for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . If  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , then there is  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$p = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_m} a_f e_f, \quad \text{where } \mathcal{F}_m = \bigcup_{k=0}^m F(k, \mathcal{A}).$$

We omit the proof of the following lemma, which is straightforward.

**LEMMA 3.1.** (i) *If  $g \in \mathcal{F}(H_n)$  and  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , then  $g \otimes p \in \mathcal{F}(H_n)$ .*

(ii) *If  $g_m \in \mathcal{F}(H_n)$  such that  $\|g_m\|_2 \rightarrow 0$  (as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ )*

*then  $\|g_m \otimes p\|_2 \rightarrow 0$  (as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ ), for any  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ .*

Now let us define  $\mathcal{F}^\infty$  as being the set of all  $g \in \mathcal{F}(H_n)$  for which

$$(3.2) \quad \|g\|_\infty := \sup_{p \in (\mathcal{P})_1} \|g \otimes p\|_2 < \infty.$$

It is easy to see that, if  $f \in \mathcal{F}^\infty$  and  $g \in \mathcal{F}(H_n)$ , then the multiplication defined by

$$(3.3) \quad f \otimes g := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f \otimes p_n \quad (\text{in } \mathcal{F}(H_n)),$$

where  $p_n \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $\|p_n - g\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ , is well-defined and  $f \otimes g \in \mathcal{F}(H_n)$ .

**THEOREM 3.2.**  $(\mathcal{F}^\infty, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  is a noncommutative Banach algebra.

**PROOF.** That  $\mathcal{F}^\infty$  is a linear space and that  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  is a norm is obvious. Let us suppose that  $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(\mathcal{F}^\infty, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ . Since  $\|g_n - g_m\|_2 \leq \|g_n - g_m\|_\infty$  ( $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ), the sequence  $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{F}(H_n)$ , so there exists  $g \in \mathcal{F}(H_n)$  such that  $\|g_n - g\|_2 \rightarrow 0$  (as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

If  $N$  is chosen so that  $n, m \geq N$  implies  $\|g_n - g_m\|_\infty < 1$ , then, according to Lemma 3.1 and (3.2), for any  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g \otimes p\|_2 &\leq \|g \otimes p - g_N \otimes p\|_2 + \|g_N \otimes p\|_2 \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|g_n - g_N\|_\infty \|p\|_2 + \|g_N\|_\infty \|p\|_2 \\ &\leq (1 + \|g_N\|_\infty) \|p\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $g \in \mathcal{F}^\infty$  and it remains to show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|g - g_n\|_\infty = 0$ .

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose  $N$  such that  $n, m \geq N$  implies  $\|g_n - g_m\|_\infty < \varepsilon$ . Then, for any  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $n, m \geq N$ , we have

$$\|(g - g_n) \otimes p\|_2 \leq \|(g - g_m) \otimes p\|_2 + \|(g_m - g_n) \otimes p\|_2 \leq \|(g - g_m) \otimes p\|_2 + \varepsilon \|p\|_2.$$

Since  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|(g - g_m) \otimes p\|_2 = 0$ , we have  $\|g - g_n\|_\infty < \varepsilon$ . Therefore  $(\mathcal{F}^\infty, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  is a Banach space.

Now let  $f, g$  be in  $\mathcal{F}^\infty$ . According to (3.3) it follows that  $f \otimes g \in \mathcal{F}(H_n)$ . On the other hand, if  $p_n \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $\|p_n - g\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ , then, for any  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(f \otimes g) \otimes p\|_2 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f \otimes (p_n \otimes p)\|_2 \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f\|_\infty \|p_n \otimes p\|_2 \\ &= \|f\|_\infty \|g \otimes p\|_2 \\ &\leq \|f\|_\infty \|g\|_\infty \|p\|_2, \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows that  $f \otimes g \in \mathcal{F}^\infty$  and

$$\|f \otimes g\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty \|g\|_\infty.$$

The proof is complete.

Now let us denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  the closure of the polynomials  $\mathcal{P}$  in  $(\mathcal{F}^\infty, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ .

**COROLLARY 3.3.**  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  is a noncommutative Banach algebra.

Let  $g \in \mathcal{F}(H_n)$  be given by (3.1) and let  $\{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$  be the model  $\mathcal{A}$ -orthogonal

shift on  $\mathcal{F}(H_n)$ . We denote by  $g(S_1, \dots, S_n)$  the formal sum

$$(3.4) \quad g(S_1, \dots, S_n) := \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} a_f S_f,$$

where  $S_f$  stands for  $S_{f(1)} \dots S_{f(k)}$  if  $f \in F(k, A)$  and  $S_0 = I_{\mathcal{F}(H_n)}$ .

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Let  $g$  be in  $\mathcal{F}(H_n)$ . Then  $g(S_1, \dots, S_n)$  is strongly convergent in  $B(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}_n))$  if and only if  $g$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}^\infty$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $g \in \mathcal{F}(H_n)$  be given by (3.1) and

$$g_m = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_m} a_f e_f$$

If  $g(S_1, \dots, S_n)$  is strongly convergent in  $B(\mathcal{F}(H_n))_1$  then

$$(3.5) \quad \|g(S_1, \dots, S_n)p\|_2 \leq \|g(S_1, \dots, S_n)\| \|p\|_2$$

for any  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ .

On the other hand, for any  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , we have

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} g(S_1, \dots, S_n)p &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g_m(S_1, \dots, S_n)p = \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g_m \otimes p = g \otimes p. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.5) and (3.6) it follows that  $g \in \mathcal{F}^\infty$

The converse implication can be easily deduced.

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *The mapping*

$$f \mapsto f(S_1, \dots, S_n),$$

from  $\mathcal{F}^\infty$  to  $B(\mathcal{F}(H_n))$ , is an isometric functional calculus.

Let us remark that, according to Section 1, the above corollary remains true if we replace  $\{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$  by a  $A$ -orthogonal shift of arbitrary multiplicity.

**THEOREM 3.6.** *If  $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in (B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1$  such that*

$$(3.7) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{f \in F(k, A)} \|T_f^* h\|^2 = 0 \quad \text{for any } h \in \mathcal{H},$$

then, the mapping

$$g \mapsto g(T_1, \dots, T_n)$$

is an algebra homomorphism of  $\mathcal{F}^\infty$  into  $B(\mathcal{H})$  with the following properties:

- (i)  $g(T_1, \dots, T_n) = T_i$  if  $g = e_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$   
 $= I_{\mathcal{H}}$  if  $g = I$
- (ii)  $\|g(T_1, \dots, T_n)\| \leq \|g\|_\infty$
- (iii)  $g(T_1, \dots, T_n) = P_{\mathcal{H}} g(V_1, \dots, V_n)|_{\mathcal{H}}$ , where  $(V_1, \dots, V_n)$

is the minimal isometric dilation of  $(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ .

PROOF. Since  $(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  satisfies (3.7), its minimal isometric dilation  $(V_1, \dots, V_n)$  is a  $A$ -orthogonal shift on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} \supset \mathcal{H}$  (see [6, 8]).

Therefore,

$$(3.8) \quad p(T_1, \dots, T_n) = P_{\mathcal{H}} p(V_1, \dots, V_n)|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

for any  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ .

Taking into account the results so far, it follows that  $g(V_1, \dots, V_n)$  is strongly convergent in  $B(\mathcal{H})$  for any  $g \in \mathcal{F}^\infty$ . Hence, and by (3.8), we deduce that  $g(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  is strongly convergent in  $B(\mathcal{H})$ .

Since  $\|g(V_j, \dots, V_n)\| = \|g\|_\infty$ , the result follows.

REMARK 3.7. If  $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in (B(\mathcal{H})^n)_r, 0 < r < 1$ , then  $(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  has the property (3.7) (see [6]).

Let  $\text{Alg}(S_1, \dots, S_n)$  denote the smallest closed subalgebra of  $B(\mathcal{F}(H_n))$  containing  $I, S_1, \dots, S_n$ . This algebra is the closure in the uniform norm of the collection of polynomials in  $S_1, \dots, S_n$ , that is,

$$\text{Alg}(S_1, \dots, S_n) = \text{clos} \left\{ \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_m} a_f S_f; a_f \in \mathbf{C}, m \in \mathbf{N} \right\}$$

THEOREM 3.8. *The following equality holds*

$$\text{Alg}(S_1, \dots, S_n) = \{g(S_1, \dots, S_n) : g \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

PROOF. If  $A \in \text{Alg}(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ , then there exists a sequence  $\{P_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  of polynomials such that

$$(3.9) \quad \|A - p_m(S_1, \dots, S_n)\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ (as } m \rightarrow \infty).$$

Since  $\{p_m(S_1, \dots, S_n)\}_{m=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence and

$$(3.10) \quad \|q(S_1, \dots, S_n)\| = \|q\|_\infty \text{ for any } q \in \mathcal{F}^\infty$$

it follows that  $\{p_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ . Thus, there exists  $g \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\|g - p_m\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$  (as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ ). Again by (3.10) we deduce that

$$\|g(S_1, \dots, S_n) - p_m(S_1, \dots, S_n)\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ (as } m \rightarrow \infty).$$

According to (3.9) we have  $A = g(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ .

The converse inclusion is simple to deduce.

Now, let us note that, by using the von Neumann inequality (2.2), that is,

$$\|p(T_1, \dots, T_n)\| \leq \|p\|_\infty, \quad (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in (B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1$$

one can easily show the following

**THEOREM 3.9.** *If  $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in (B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1$ , then the mapping*

$$\Psi: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}), \quad \Psi(p) = p(T_1, \dots, T_n)$$

*extends to a contractive homomorphism from the Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $B(\mathcal{H})$ .*

Finally, let us remark that all the results of this paper hold true, if we replace the set  $\mathcal{A} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , by the set  $\mathcal{A} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ , in a slightly adapted version.

**ADDITION BY THE EDITOR.** After this paper was submitted a result similar to the main theorem appeared in a paper of M. Bozeiko, "Positive-definite kernels, length functions on groups and a noncommutative von Neumann inequality", *Studia Math.* 95 (1989), 107–118, in particular Theorem 8.1.

#### REFERENCES

1. T. Ando, *On a pair of commutative contractions*, *Acta Sci. Math.* (Szeged) 24(1963), 88–90.
2. J. W. Bunce, *Models for n-Tuples of Noncommuting Operators*, *J. Funct. Anal.* 57 (1984), 21–30.
3. J. Cuntz, *Simple  $C^*$ -algebras generated by isometrics*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 57 (1977), 173–185.
4. D. E. Evans, *On  $\mathcal{O}_n$* , *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 16(1980), 915–927.
5. A. E. Frazho, *Complements to Models for Noncommuting Operators*, *J. Funct. Anal.* 59(1984), 445–461.
6. G. Popescu, *Isometric dilations for infinite sequences of non-commuting operators*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 316 (1989), 523–536.
7. G. Popescu, *Characteristic functions for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators*, *J. Operator Theory*, 22 (1989), 51–71.
8. G. Popescu, *Multi-analytic Operators and Some Factorization Theorems*, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 38 (1989), 693–710.
9. B. Sz.-Nagy; C. Foias, *Harmonic Analysis on Operators on Hilbert Space*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970.
10. N. Th. Varopoulos, *On an inequality of von Neumann and an application of the metric theory of tensor products to operator theory*, *J. Funct. Anal.* 16(1974), 83–100.
11. J. von Neumann, *Eine Spectraltheorie für allgemeine Operatoren eines unitären Raumes*, *Math. Nachr.* 4 (1951), 258–281.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
INCREST  
BD. PĂCII 220  
79622 BUCHAREST  
ROMANIA.

CURRENT ADDRESS  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
TEXAS A & M UNIVERSITY  
COLLEGE STATION  
TEXAS 77843–3368  
U.S.A.