# COMPLEX BORDISM AND FREE UNITARY ACTIONS OF FINITE SOLVABLE GROUPS WITH PERIODIC COHOMOLOGY ON SPHERES

### ABDESLAM MESNAOUI

## 0. Introduction.

The purpose of this paper is to calculate the order of  $[S^{2k-1}, G]$  in  $U_{2k-1}(BG)$ , the action of G being induced by a k-dimensional unitary fixed point free representation  $\sigma$  of G which is supposed to be finite solvable with periodic cohomology. Sometimes we shall use the notation  $[S^{2k-1}, \sigma]$  instead of  $[S^{2k-1}, G]$ . We have the following classification of all finite solvable groups with periodic cohomology (see [6] page 179).

I  $G = \langle A, B \rangle$ ,  $A^m = B^n = 1$ ,  $BAB^{-1} = A^r$ ,  $m \ge 1$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , (n(r-1), m) = 1,  $r^n \equiv 1(m)$ , ord G = mn.

II  $G = \langle A, B, R \rangle$  with  $\langle A, B \rangle$  as in I,  $R^2 = B^{n/2}$ ,  $RAR^{-1} = A^s$ ,  $RBR^{-1} = B^k$ ,  $n = 2^u v$ ,  $u \ge 2$ , v odd,  $s^2 \equiv r^{k-1} \equiv 1(m)$ ,  $k \equiv -1(2^u)$ ,  $k^2 \equiv 1(n)$ , ord G = 2mn.

III  $G = \langle A, B, P, Q \rangle$  with  $\langle A, B \rangle$  as in I,  $P^4 = 1$ ,  $P^2 = Q^2 = (PQ)^2$ , AP = PA, AQ = QA,  $BPB^{-1} = Q$ ,  $BQB^{-1} = PQ$ ,  $n \equiv 1$  (2),  $n \equiv 0$ (3).

IV  $G = \langle A, B, P, Q, R \rangle$  with  $\langle A, B, P, Q \rangle$  as in II and  $R^2 = P^2$ ,  $RPR^{-1} = QP$ ,  $PQR^{-1} = Q^{-1}$ ,  $RAR^{-1} = A^s$ ,  $RBA^{-1} = B^k$ ,  $k^2 \equiv 1(n)$ ,  $k \equiv -1(3)$ ,  $r^{k-1} \equiv s^2 \equiv 1(m)$ , ord  $G = 16 \, mn$ .

If k > 0, q > 0,  $q = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r}$  the decompositions of q into primes then we define  $N(k,q) = p_1^{\alpha_1 + k_1} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r + k_r}$  with  $k_i = \lfloor k/p_i - 1 \rfloor$  the greatest integer  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < k/p_i - 1$ .

Let G be a finite solvable group with periodic cohomology and  $\sigma$  a fixed point free unitary representation of G with dim  $\sigma = k$  over C.

The main result of this paper is as follows.

THEOREM. If G is of type I, II, III, IV, then  $ord[S^{2k-1}, \sigma]$  is respectively,

 $N(k,m)N(k,n),N(k,m)N(k,v)2^{k+u-1},N(k,m)N(k,n)2^{k+1},N(k,m)N(k,v)2^{k+2}\cdot 3^{u+k'-1}$ 

$$(n = 3^u v, (v, 6) = 1, k = 2k).$$

Received January 29, 1991.

These results are proved in section II, theorems 2.1 and 2.2.

Let  $U^*(\operatorname{pt})[[X]]$  be the  $U^*(\operatorname{pt})$ -algebra of formal power series in X with coefficients in  $U^*(\operatorname{pt})$  graded by taking dim X=2. If F denotes the formal group law then [q](X) is defined inductively by  $[q](X)=F([q-1](X),X),[1](X)=X,q\geq 1$ . We shall denote  $s_{2k-1}=[S^{2k-1},k\sigma]\in U_{2k-1}(BZ_q),\sigma$  being the unitary representation of  $Z_q$  of dimension 1 defined by the qth primitive root of unity  $\exp(2i\pi/q)$ . It is well known that ord  $s_{2k-1}=N(k,q)$  (see [5]).

If  $P(X) = \alpha_p X^p + \alpha_{p+1} X^{p+1} + \dots$  is a homogeneous formal power series,  $\alpha_p \neq 0$ , we denote v(P) = 2p. We have the following result whose proof follows the lines of that of [3], theorem 2.4 b) and therefore will be omitted. We recall that  $\{s_{2n+1}\}, n \geq 0$ , is a system of generators for the  $U_*(pt)$ -module  $\tilde{U}(BZ_q)$ .

THEOREM 0.1. If  $\lceil q \rceil(X) = qX + a_2X^2 + \ldots + a_nX^n + \ldots$  then we have:

- a)  $qs_{2n+1} + a_2s_{2n-1} + \ldots + a_{n+1}s_1 = 0$  for every  $n \ge 1$
- b) In  $\tilde{U}_{2p+1}(BZ_q)$ :  $\alpha_0 s_1 + \ldots + \alpha_n s_{2n+1} = 0$  iff there are a homogeneous polynomial H(X) and a homogeneous formal power series E(X) such that:  $\alpha_n X + \alpha_{n-1} X^2 + \ldots + \alpha_0 X^{n+1} = H(X) \cdot [q](X) + E(X), \ \nu(E) > 2(n+1).$

In this paper we will use the notation and results contained in [3].

# I. Preliminaries.

Consider the following exact sequence of finite groups:

$$1 \to H \xrightarrow{i} G \xrightarrow{\pi} S \to 1$$

H being a normal subgroup of G,  $i: H \subset G$ ,  $S \subset G$ , (ord H, ord S) = 1. There is a homomorphism  $f: S \to G$  such that  $\pi \circ f = 1$ . If  $[M, H] \in \widetilde{U}_*(BH)$ ,  $g \in G$ , there is a new free action of H on M induced by the inner automorphism  $i_g: H \to H$ ,  $i_g(h) = g^{-1}hg$  and we obtain the element  $[M, H]_g \in \widetilde{U}_*(BH)$ . We can identify the groups S and G/H by means of the unique isomorphism  $\lambda: S \to G/H$  such that  $\lambda \circ \pi = \psi$ ,  $\psi$  being the quotient map:  $G \to G/H$ . If  $t_H$  denotes the transfer map:  $\widehat{U}_*(BG) \to \widehat{U}_*(BH)$  then we get:

$$t_H \circ i_*([M, H]) = \sum_{j=1}^{j=s} [M, H]_{g_j}$$
 with  $s = \text{ord } S, S = G/H = \bigcup_{j=1}^{j=s} g_j H$ 

(see [1] or [2]). The action of S on  $\tilde{U}_*(BH)$  which derives from its action on H by inner automorphisms is as follows:  $\gamma[M,H]=[M,H]_g, \ \gamma=gH\in G/H=S$ . We have the following result by M. Kamata and H. Minami (see [2]): the map  $\varphi\colon \tilde{U}_k(BH)^s\oplus \tilde{U}_k(BS)\to \tilde{U}_k(BG)$  defined by  $\varphi(x,y)=i_*(x)+f_*(y)$  is injective if H is abelian. In the next result we do not suppose H abelian.

THEOREM 1.1. Suppose G finite with periodic cohomology and  $1 \to H \xrightarrow{i} G \xrightarrow{\pi} S \to 1$  an exact sequence such that (ord H, ord S) = 1.

Then  $\tilde{U}_*(BG)$  is isomorphic to  $\tilde{U}_*(BH)^S \oplus \tilde{U}_*(BS)$  as  $U_*(pt)$ -modules.

PROOF. Let  $\varphi$ :  $\tilde{U}_*(BH)^s \oplus \tilde{U}_*(BS) \to \tilde{U}_*(BG)$  be the  $U_*(\text{pt})$ -homomorphism defined by  $\varphi(x,y) = i_*(x) + f_*(y)$ , where  $f: S \to G$  is a homomorphism such that  $\pi \circ f = 1$ . Suppose  $\varphi(x,y) = 0$ ; then  $i_*(x) = -f_*(y)$  and  $0 = \pi_* \circ i_*(X) = -\pi_* \circ f_*(y) = -y$ . So: y = 0 and  $i_*(x) = 0$ . As  $x \in \tilde{U}_*(BH)^s$  we have  $t_H \circ i_*(x) = sx = 0$ , s = ord S. There is  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $h_*^\alpha = 0$ , h = ord H; since  $(h^\alpha, s) = 1$  we get x = 0 and  $\varphi$  is injective. Now let  $\{S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_q\}$  be a complete set of Sylow-subgroups of G ( $S_i$ ,  $S_j$  are not conjugate if  $i \neq j$ ). The map  $\chi: \bigcup_{i=1}^{i=q} \tilde{U}_*(BS_i) \to \tilde{U}_*(BG)$  induced by the inclusions  $S_i \subset G$  is an epimorphism and as a consequence the map  $i_* + f_*: \tilde{U}_*(BH) \oplus \tilde{U}_*(BS) \to \tilde{U}_*(BG)$  is epimorphic. It remains to prove that  $i_*(\tilde{U}_*(BH)) = i_*(\tilde{U}_*(BH)^s)$ . Let  $x \in \tilde{U}_*(BH)$ ,  $S = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_s\}$ ,  $\gamma_i = g_iH$ . Then we have:  $i_*(\gamma_j x) = g_j \circ i_*(x) = i_*(x)$  because the action of  $g_j$  on  $\tilde{U}_*(BG)$  induced by the conjugation by  $g_j$  on G is trivial. Take  $x_1 = \sum_{j=1}^s \gamma_j x \in \tilde{U}_*(BH)^s$ . Obviously  $i_*(x_1) = si_*(x)$  and  $i_*(x) = si_*(x)$  and

Let  $t_H: \tilde{U}_*(BG) \to \tilde{U}_*(BH)$ ,  $t_S: \tilde{U}_*(BG) \to \tilde{U}_*(BS)$  be the transfer homomorphisms. We keep the same hypotheses as in theorem 1.1.

PROPOSITION 1.2. If  $x \in \tilde{U}_a(BG)$  then ord  $x = \operatorname{ord} t_H(x)\operatorname{ord} t_s(x)$ .

**PROOF.** Since ord  $t_H(x)$ , ord  $t_s(x)$  are coprime we have ord  $t_H(x)$  ord  $t_s(x)$  ord x. By theorem 1.1  $x = i_*(x_1) + f_*(y)$ ,  $x_1 \in \tilde{U}_*(BH)^S$ ,  $y \in \tilde{U}_*(BS)$ . So:  $t_H(x) =$  $sx_1 + t_H \circ f_*(y) = sx_1$  and ord  $t_H(x) \cdot x_1 = 0$  because (s, h) = 1, s = ord S, h = ord H. Similarly  $t_S(x) = t_S(f(y))$  and  $t_S(x) = 0$ . Take  $y_1 = f$ (ord  $t_S(x) \cdot y$ ). We have  $t_S y_1 = 0$ . Suppose  $y_1 \neq 0$ ,  $y_1 \in \widetilde{U}_{2k-1}(BG)$ . There is  $q \geq 1$ such that  $y_1 = J_{2q-1,2k-2q}, y_1 \notin J_{2q-2,2k-2q+1}$  where  $J_{*,*}$  denotes the filtration of  $\tilde{U}_{2k-1}(BG)$  associated to the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence for BG. Let quotient  $J_{2q-1,2k-2q} \rightarrow J_{2q-1,2k-2q}/J_{2q-2,2k-2q+1} =$ map:  $H_{2q-1}(BG) \otimes U_{2(k-q)}(pt)$ . The map  $(f \circ t_s) \otimes 1$  defined on  $H_{2q-1}(BG) \otimes 1$  $U_{2(k-q)}(pt)$  is the product by h = ord H and as  $s^{\alpha}y = 0$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ , s = ord S, we get  $s^{\alpha}y_1 = 0$ . Consequently since  $p(y_1) = 0$  we obtain  $((f \circ t_s) \otimes 1)(p(y_1)) = 0$ . Hence  $f_* \circ t_S(y_1) = 0$  which is impossible. So  $y_1 = f_*(\operatorname{ord} t_s(x)y) = 0$  and ord  $t_S(x)y = 0$  since f is injective. As ord  $t_H(x)x_1 = 0$  we have ord  $t_H(x)$  ord  $t_S(x)x = 0$ . We have seen that ord  $t_H(x)$  ord  $t_S(x)$  ord x. Hence:  $t_S(x) = \operatorname{ord} t_H(x) \operatorname{ord} t_S(x).$ 

Let  $\sigma$  be a unitary fixed point free representation of  $Z_q$ , dim  $\sigma = k$ .

PROPOSITION 1.3. We have ord  $[S^{2k-1}, \sigma] = N(k, q)$ .

PROOF. If  $\mu: \tilde{U}_{2k-1}(BZ_q) \to \tilde{H}_{2k-1}(BZ_q)$  denotes the Thom-homomorphism then  $\mu([S^{2k-1}, \sigma])$  is a generator of  $\tilde{H}_{2k-1}(BZ_q)$ . So:

1) 
$$[S^{2k-1}, \sigma]$$
) =  $ms_{2k-1} + \sum_{i \ge 1} \lambda_i s_{2(k-i)-1}, \lambda_i \in U_*(pt), m \in \mathbb{Z}, (m, q) = 1.$ 

As ord  $s_{2k-1} \ge \operatorname{ord} s_{2(k-i)-1}$ ,  $i \ge 1$  we have:

2) ord  $[S^{2k-1}, \sigma] \leq \text{ord } s_{2k-1} = N(k, q)$ .

There are  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that am + bq = 1 and then :  $s_{2k-1} = ams_{2k-1} + bqs_{2k-1}$ . By using theorem 0.1 a) we obtain:

$$a[S^{2k-1}, \sigma] = s_{2k-1} + \sum_{i \ge 1} \lambda'_i s_{2(k-i)-1} \in U_*(pt).$$

Consequently if  $c = \text{ord}[S^{2k-1}, \sigma]$  we get  $: cs_{2k-1} + \sum_{1 \le i \le k-1} c \lambda_i' s_{2(k-i)-1} = 0.$ 

By theorem 0.1, b), there is a homogeneous polynomial  $\overline{H}(X)$  and a homogeneous formal power series E(X) such that:

$$cX\left[1+\sum_{1\leq i\leq k-1}\lambda_i'X^i\right]=H(X)\cdot[q](X)+E(X),\,\nu(E)>2k.$$

It follows that  $cX = H_1(X) \cdot [q](X) + E_1(X)$ ,  $v(E_1) > 2k$ . Let  $D_1 \in \widetilde{U}^2(B\mathbf{Z}_q)$  be the Euler class of the universal complex vector bundle over  $B\mathbf{Z}_q$  corresponding to  $\sigma$  (see the introduction). We have:  $D_1 \cap s_{2k+1} = s_{2k-1}$  (see proposition 2.3 of [3] for a similar assertion). As  $[q](D_1) = 0$  we get  $cD_1 = E_1(D_1) = F(D_1) \cap D_1^{k+1}$ ,  $F(X) \in U^*(\mathrm{pt})$  [[X]]. It follows that  $cs_{2k-1} = cD_1 \cap s_{2k+1} = F(D_1) \cap (D_1^{k+1} \cap s_{2k+1}) = 0$  because  $D_1^{k+1} \cap s_{2k+1} \in \widetilde{U}_{-1}(B\mathbf{Z}_q) = 0$ . So:  $c \geq \mathrm{ord} s_{2k-1} = N(k,q)$ . Finally:  $\mathrm{ord} [S^{2k-1}, \sigma] = N(k,q)$ . ( $\cap$  denotes the cap-product).

Let  $\Gamma_m$  be the generalized quaternion group of order  $2^m$ ;  $\Gamma = \langle x, y \rangle$  subject to the relations  $x^t = y^2$ , xyx = y,  $t = 2^{m-2}$ . We refer the reader to [3] section III for the notation and results we shall use in the next proposition. Let  $\sigma$  be a unitary fixed point free representation of  $\Gamma_m$ ,  $k = \dim \sigma$ .

PROPOSITION 1.4. We have ord  $[S^{2k-1}, \sigma] = 2^{k+m-2}$ .

PROOF. We give a proof in the case  $m \ge 4$  (if m = 3 it is simpler).

The unitary representations of  $\Gamma_m$  of dimension 1 are not fixed point free. Hence  $k = \dim \sigma$  must be even: k = 2k'. If  $\mu$  denotes the Thom homomorphism:  $\tilde{U}(B\Gamma_m) \to \tilde{H}(B\Gamma_m)$  then  $\mu([S^{2k-1}, \sigma])$  is a generator of  $\tilde{H}_{2k-1}(B\Gamma_m)$  and consequently there is  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that:

$$[S^{2k-1},\sigma] = (2p+1)w'_{4k'-1} + \sum_{i\geq 1} \lambda_i w'_{4(k'-i)-1} + \sum_{i\geq 1} \lambda'_i u'_{4(k-i)+1} + \sum_{i\geq 1} \lambda''_i v'_{4(k-i)+1}$$

(see [3], section III). We have ord  $w'_{4k'-1} = 2^{k+m-2}$ , ord  $w'_{4(k'-i)-1} = 2^{k-2i+m-2}$  ord  $u'_{4(k'-i)+1} = \text{ord } v'_{4(k'-i)+1} = 2^{k'-i+1}$ ,  $i \ge 1$ . Hence  $2^{k+m-2}[S^{2k-1}, \sigma] = 0$ ,  $2^{k+m-3}[S^{2k-1}, \sigma] \ne 0$  because  $k+m-2 \ge k-2i+m-2$ ,  $k+m-3 \ge k'-i+1$ ,  $i \ge 1$ .

## II. Main results.

Let G be a finite solvable group with periodic cohomology and  $\sigma$  a fixed point free unitary representation of G of dimension k over C. Let

$$\Theta = \operatorname{ord} [S^{2k-1}, {}^{B}\sigma] \text{ in } \widetilde{U}_{2k-1}(BG).$$

THEOREM 2.1. Suppose G of type I, II or III. Then we have respectively  $\Theta = N(k, m)N(k, n), \Theta = N(k, m)N(k, v)2^{k+u-1}, \Theta = N(k, m)N(k, n)2^{k+1}$ .

PROOF. Theorem 2.1 is a consequence of propositions 1.2, 1.3, 1.4.

a) Suppose G of type I. We have an exact split sequence, (m, n) = 1:

$$1 \to \mathsf{Z}_m = \langle A \rangle \to G \to \mathsf{Z}_n = \langle B \rangle \to 1.$$

By propositions 1.2, 1.3, we get  $\Theta = N(k, m)N(k, m)$ .

b) Suppose G of type II. We have exact split sequences ((ord  $\langle R, B^v \rangle, m$ ) = 1, (ord ( $\langle R, B^v \rangle, v$ ) = 1)):

$$1 \to \mathsf{Z}_m = \langle A \rangle \to G \to \langle R, B \rangle \to 1$$
$$1 \to \mathsf{Z}_n = \langle B^{2^u} \rangle \to R, B \to \varGamma_{u+1} = \langle R, B^v \rangle \to 1.$$

Then  $\Theta = N(k, m)N(k, v)2^{k+u-1}$ 

c) Suppose G of type III. We use the following exact split sequence, ((ord  $\Gamma_3$ , ord  $\langle A, B \rangle$ ) = 1):

$$1 \to \Gamma_3 = \langle P, Q \rangle \to G \to \langle A, B \rangle \to 1.$$

Then  $\Theta = N(k, m)N(k, n)2^{k+1}$ .

If G is of type IV we have the split exact sequences with  $n = \text{ord } B = 3^{u}v$ , (v, 6) = 1:

1) 
$$1 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_m = \langle A \rangle \rightarrow G \rightarrow \langle B, P, Q, R \rangle = G_1 \rightarrow 1$$
,

2) 
$$1 \rightarrow \mathsf{Z}_v = \langle B^{3^u} \rangle \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow \langle B^v, P, Q, R \rangle = G_2 \rightarrow 1$$

We give some information about  $G_2 = \langle B_1, P, Q, R \rangle$ ,  $B_1 = B^v$ . We have  $\langle P, Q, R \rangle = \Gamma_4$  the generalized quaternion group of order  $2^4$  (see [6]). If x = RP, y = R we obtain  $\langle P, Q, R \rangle = \{x^\alpha y^\beta, 0 \le \alpha \le 7, \beta = 0, 1, x^4 = y^2, xyx = y\}$ . Furthermore:  $\langle B_1 \rangle = Z_{3^u}$ . We have the following relations:

 $\{B_1PB_1^{-1} = Q, B_1QB_1^{-1} = PQ, RB_1R^{-1} = B_1^{-1}\}\$ or  $\{B_1PB_1^{-1} = PQ, B_1QB_1^{-1} = P, RB_1R^{-1} = B_1^{-1}\}\$ according as  $v \equiv 1$  (3) or  $v \equiv 2$  (3). We consider the first case only the second one being similar. As  $H^2(BG_2) = \text{Hom}(G_2, U(1))$  it follows easily

that  $H^2(BG_2) = Z_2 a$ ,  $a = c_1(\tau)$  the first Chern-class of the unitary representation  $\tau$  of  $G_2: x \to -1, y \to -1, B_1 \to 1$ . Moreover well known results by R. Swan (see [4]) show that  $H^4(BG_2) = \mathbb{Z}_a g$ ,  $q = 3^{\mu} 2^4$  and  $H^*(BG_2)$  is periodic of period 4. As a consequence we have  $H_{4n+1}(BG_2) = Z_2$ ,  $H_{4n+3}(BG_2) = Z_a$ ,  $q = 3^{\mu}2^4$  and if  $i: \Gamma_4 \subset G_2$ ,  $j: Z_3 \subset G_2$  are the natural inclusions then we have:  $(i_* + j_*)(\tilde{H}_{4n-1}(B\Gamma_4) \oplus \tilde{H}_{4n-1}(B\mathsf{Z}_{3^u})) = \tilde{H}_{4n-1}(BG_2)$  and  $i_*(H_{4n+1}(B\Gamma_4)) =$  $H_{4n+1}(BG_2)$ . If  $\{w'_{4n+3}, u'_{4n+1}, v'_{4n+1}\}, n \ge 0$  is the system of generators for the  $U_*(pt)$ -module  $\tilde{U}(B\Gamma_4)$  considered in [3] section III,  $\{s_{2n+1}\}, n \ge 0$ , the system of generators of the  $U_*(pt)$ -module  $\tilde{U}(BZ_{3u})$  described above,  $\mu: \tilde{U}_*(BG_2) \to$  $\widetilde{H}_{\star}(BG_2)$  the Thom-homomorphism then:  $\mu(a_{4n-1})$  with  $a_{4n-1}=i_{\star}(w'_{4n-1})+$  $j_*(s_{4n-1})$  is a generator of  $\tilde{H}_{4n-1}(BG_2)$  and there is  $b_{4n+1}$  of the set  $\{i_*(u'_{4n+1}), \dots, u'_{4n+1}\}$  $i_*(v'_{4n+1})$  such that  $\mu b_{4n+1}$  is a generator of  $\tilde{H}_{4n+1}(BG_2)$  (In fact, we will show in a forthcoming paper that  $b_{4n+1} = i_*(v'_{4n+1})$ .

THEOREM 2.2. If G is of type IV then  $\operatorname{ord} [S^{2k-1}, \sigma] = N(k, m) N(k, v) 2^{k+2}$  $3^{u+k'-1}$ , k=2k' (k is even),  $k=\dim \sigma$  over C.

PROOF. By restriction to  $\Gamma_4 \subset G_2$  we see that k is even by the proof of proposition 1.4. From the split exact sequences 1), 2) we get: ord  $[S^{2k-1}, \sigma] =$ N(k,m)N(k,v) ord  $[S^{2k-1},\sigma_2]$  where  $\sigma_2$  denotes the restriction of  $\sigma$  to  $G_2$ . The Sylow subgroups of  $G_2$  are conjugate either to  $\Gamma_4 = \langle P, Q, R \rangle$  or to  $\langle B \rangle = Z_{3^{u}}$ . It is easily seen by using the transfer homomorphisms and propositions 1.3, 1.4 that ord  $[S^{2k-1}, \sigma_2] \ge N(k, 3^u) 2^{k+2} = 3^{u+k'-1} 2^{k+2}, k = 2k'$ . The remarks made before the statement of theorem 2.2 show that:

$$[S^{2k-1},\sigma_2] = S^{4k'-1},\sigma_2] = \sum_{0 \le i \le k'-1} \lambda_i a_{4(k'-i)-1} + \sum_{0 \le i \le k'} \lambda_i' b_{(k'-i)+1}$$

As ord  $w'_{4n-1} = 2^{2n+1}$ , ord  $u'_{4n+1} = \text{ord } v'_{4n+1} = 2^{n+1}$  (see [3], section III), ord  $s_{4n-1} = N(4n, 3^u) = 3^{u+n-1}$  it follows that ord  $[S^{2k-1}, \sigma_2] \le 2^{k+2} 3^{u+k'-1}$ . Hence ord  $[S^{2k-1}, \sigma_2] = 2^{k+2} 3^{u+k'-1}$ .

REMARK. The results of this paper will be used in a forthcoming paper about the determination of the  $U_*(pt)$ -structure of  $\tilde{U}_*(BG)$  where G denotes a finite solvable group with periodic cohomology.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. P. E. Conner, E. E. Floyd, Differentiable Periodic Maps, Springer-Verlag, 1964.
- 2. M. Kamata, H. Minami, Bordism groups of dihedral groups, J. Math. Soc. Japan 25 (1973) 334-341.
- 3. A. Mesnaoui, Unitary bordism of classifying spaces of quaternion groups, Pacific J. Math. 142 (1990), 49-67.

- 4. R. G. Swan, The p-period of a finite group, Illinois (1960), 341-346.
- 5. G. Wilson, K-theory invariants for unitary G-Bordism, Quar. J. Math. Oxford 24 (1973), 499-526.
- 6. J. Wolf, Spaces of Constant Curvature, MacGraw Hill, New-York, 1967.

DÉPARTMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES UNIVERSITÉ MOHAMMED V FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES, BP. 1014 RABAT MOROCCO