

AN ADDITION THEOREM IN A FINITE ABELIAN GROUP

JØRGEN CHERLY

1. Introduction.

We say that a subset A of a finite abelian group G is an additive basis if there exists an integer h such that any element of G can be written as a sum of at most h elements of A . The set A is said to be an additive basis of order h in case h is minimal. We denote by $|A|$ the cardinality of the set A .

Let F_q be a finite field of $q = p^m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ elements and let $F_q[X]$ denote its polynomialring. The degree of a polynomial $a \in F_q[X]$ is denoted $\delta^0 a$.

A subset A of $F_q[X]$ is said to be an additive basis if there exists an integer h such that any element of $F_q[X]$ can be written as a sum of at most h elements of A . The set A is said to be an additive basis of order h in case h is minimal.

The Snirel'man density dA of A is given by

$$dA = \inf_{n \geq 0} q^{-n-1} \text{card } A_n,$$

where $A_n = \{a \in A \mid \delta^0 a \leq n\}$, see [1] [2] [3].

For any integer n , let $G_n = \{f \in F_q[X] \mid \delta^0 f \leq n\}$. It is clear that $(G_n, +)$ is a finite abelian group of order $|G_n| = q^{n+1}$.

We prove here the following results:

THEOREM 1.1. *Let A be a subset of G which contains 0. If A is an additive basis of order h for G then*

$$h \leq 2 \lceil |G|/|A| \rceil$$

This inequality is optimal.

Theorem 1.1 implies the following addition theorem in $F_q[X]$.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let A be a subset of $F_q[X]$ such that*

- (i) $0 \in A$
- (ii) $dA > 0$
- (iii) *For any $n \geq 0$: A_n is an additive basis for G_n*

Then A is an additive basis of order at most $2 \lceil 1/dA \rceil$.

In [1] we obtained the estimate $h \leq 1/(dA)^2$. J. M. Deshouillers proved in [3] that A is an additive basis of order at most $4/dA$. Our proof is different and we improve the constant factor from 4 to 2.

2. Preliminary results.

Let $(G, +)$ be a finite abelian group. We shall denote by A, B, C, \dots non empty subsets of G . We define the addition of sets of group elements by $A + B = \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$. We shall need the following two theorems from H. B. Mann's book [4] chapter 1.

THEOREM 2.1. *Either $A + B = G$ or $|G| \geq |A| + |B|$*

THEOREM 2.2. *If $C = A + B$ then $|C| \geq |A| + |B| - |H|$ where H is the subgroup*

$$H = \{g \in G \mid C + g = C\}$$

3. Some lemmas.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $C = A + B$, where A and B are non empty subsets of G , and $0 \in B$. Then either $C + B = C$ or $|C| \geq |A| + |H|$ where H is the subgroup*

$$H = \{g \in G \mid C + g = C\}$$

PROOF. Assume $C + B \neq C$, then $C + B \supset C$ since $0 \in B$. Hence we can find elements $b_0 \in B$ and $c_0 + b_0 \notin C$. Since $C + b_0$ is a union of complete cosets of H we have

$$C + b_0 = \bigcup_{c \in C} \{c + b_0 + H\} = \bigcup_{a \in A} \{a + b_0 + H\} \bigcup_{c \in C \setminus A} \{c + b_0 + H\}$$

Now for any $a \in A$ we have

$$\{a + b_0 + H\} \cap \{c_0 + b_0 + H\} = \emptyset$$

Indeed let $a_0 \in A$ be such that $a_0 + b_0 + h_1 = c_0 + b_0 + h_2$ with $h_1, h_2 \in H$. Then $c_0 + b_0 \in a_0 + b_0 + H \subseteq C$, contrary to the fact that $c_0 + b_0 \notin C$.

It follows that

$$C + b_0 \supset \{A + b_0\} \cup \{c_0 + b_0 + H\}$$

Hence

$$|C| = |C + b_0| \geq |A + b_0| + |c_0 + b_0 + H| = |A| + |H|$$

LEMMA 3.2. Let $C = A + B$, where A and B are non empty subsets of G , and $0 \in B$. Then either $C + B = C$ or

$$|C| \geq |A| + \frac{1}{2}|B|$$

PROOF. (for the non abelian case see J. E. Olson [5]). Assume that $C + B \supset C$. Hence by Theorem 2.2 and lemma 3.1

$$2|C| \geq 2|A| + |B|$$

LEMMA 3.3. Let A be a non empty subset of G , $0 \in A$ and $k \geq 2$: Then either $kA = (k + 1)A$ or $|kA| \geq \frac{k + 1}{2}|A|$.

PROOF. (see also J. E. Olson [6] Theorem 2.2). Assume $kA \neq (k + 1)A$. Then $mA \neq (m + 1)A$ for all m such that $2 \leq m \leq k$. By lemma 3.2 with $A \rightarrow (m - 1)A$, $B \rightarrow A$ we obtain

$$|mA| \geq |(m - 1)A| + \frac{1}{2}|A| \text{ for all } m \text{ such that } 2 \leq m \leq k$$

$$\text{Hence } \sum_{m=2}^k |mA| \geq \sum_{m=2}^k |(m - 1)A| + \frac{k - 1}{2}|A|$$

$$\text{which implies } |kA| \geq \frac{k + 1}{2}|A|$$

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Define the integer k_0 by $k_0 = \lceil |G|/|A| \rceil$. Assume $k_0A \neq G$. Then by lemma 3.3 and the definition of k_0

$$|k_0A| \geq \frac{k_0 + 1}{2}|A| > \frac{|G|}{2}.$$

Whence by theorem 2.1 we have $2k_0A = G$.

The inequality in Theorem 1.1 is optimal. Indeed let A be any subset of G such that $|A| = \lceil (|G| + 2)/2 \rceil$. Then by Theorem 2.1, A is an additive basis of order 2. Also $2k_0 = 2 \lceil |G|/|A| \rceil = 2$ for $|G| \neq 2$.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.2.

By (i), (ii), (iii) and Theorem 1.1 we have: For any $n \geq 0$: A_n is a basis of order h_n for G_n with

$$h_n \leq 2 [q^{n+1}/|A_n|] \leq 2 [1/dA]$$

It is then clear that any element of $F_q[X]$ can be written as a sum of at most $2 [1/dA]$ elements of A .

REFERENCES

1. J. Cherly, *Addition theorems in $F_q[X]$* , J. Reine Angew. Math. 293/294 (1977), 223–227.
2. J. Cherly, *On complementary sets of group elements*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 35 (1980), 113–118.
3. J. Cherly and J. M. Deshouillers, *Un théorème d'addition dans $F_q[X]$* , J. Number Theory 34 (1990).
4. H. B. Mann, *Addition Theorems*, New-York, London, Sydney 1965.
5. John E. Olson, *On the Sum of Two Sets in a Group*, J. Number Theory 18 (1984), 110–120.
6. John E. Olson, *Sums of sets of group elements*, Acta Arith. 28 (1975), 147–156.

UNIVERSITE DE BRETAGNE OCCIDENTALE
6, AVENUE LE GORGEU
29287 BREST CEDEX
FRANCE