

# STABILITY IN OBSTACLE PROBLEMS

LI GONGBAO and O. MARTIO

## 1. Introduction.

We consider a second order degenerate elliptic partial differential equation

$$(1.1) \quad \nabla \cdot \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u(x)) = 0$$

with  $\mathcal{A}(x, h) \cdot h \approx |h|^p$ ; for the assumptions on  $\mathcal{A}$  see Section 2. A prototype for equation (1.1) is the  $p$ -harmonic equation

$$(1.2) \quad \nabla \cdot (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0$$

which for  $p = 2$  reduces to the usual Laplace equation  $\Delta u = 0$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $\theta \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , i.e.  $\theta$  and its distributional gradient  $\nabla \theta$  belong to  $L^p(\Omega)$ . For  $\psi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$  we write

$$\mathcal{K}_{\psi, \theta} = \{v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega): v \geq \psi \text{ a.e., } v - \theta \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)\}$$

and call a function  $v$  a solution to the  $\mathcal{K}_{\psi, \theta}$ -obstacle problem if  $v \in \mathcal{K}_{\psi, \theta}$  and if

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla v) \cdot \nabla(\varphi - v) dx \geq 0$$

whenever  $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}_{\psi, \theta}$ . If  $\mathcal{K}_{\psi, \theta} \neq \emptyset$ , then there is a unique solution to the  $\mathcal{K}_{\psi, \theta}$ -obstacle problem [HKM, Theorem 3.21].

The aforementioned obstacle problems include variational obstacle problems associated with regular variational integrals

$$\int_{\Omega} F(x, \nabla u) dx$$

where  $F(x, h) \approx |h|^p$ , see [HKM, Chapter 5].

We are interested in stability properties of the solutions  $u_{\psi}$  to the  $\mathcal{K}_{\psi, \theta}$ -obstacle problem for varying  $\psi$  and we prove two results which are independent of the exponent  $p$ . However, our first result does not belong to this category and it

depends on the  $(1, p)$ -quasiuniform converge. We introduce some notation. Let  $\psi_i: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$  be a sequence of functions and  $p > 1$ . We say that  $\psi_i \rightarrow \psi$  locally  $(1, p)$ -quasiuniformly if for each open set  $D \subset \Omega$  and each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an open set  $G \subset D$  such that  $\text{cap}_{1,p}(G) < \varepsilon$  and  $\psi_i \rightarrow \psi$  in  $L^\infty(D \setminus G)$ . Here  $\text{cap}_{1,p}$  refers to the variational Sobolev  $(1, p)$ -capacity, i.e.

$$\text{cap}_{1,p}(G) = \sup_{\substack{K \subset G \\ K \text{ compact}}} \inf \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|u|^p + |\nabla u|^p) dx$$

where the infimum is taken over all  $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $u \geq 1$  on  $K$ . The sequence  $\psi_i$  is called locally weakly upper bounded if for each compact set  $K \subset \Omega$  there are  $M < \infty$  and  $i_0$  such that  $\psi_i \leq M$  a.e. on  $K$  for  $i \geq i_0$ .

1.4. THEOREM. *Suppose that  $\theta \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and that  $\psi_i, \psi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$  are functions such that (i)  $\psi_i \leq \theta$  for all  $i$ , (ii) the sequence  $\psi_i - \psi$  is locally weakly upper bounded and (iii)  $\psi_i \rightarrow \psi$  locally  $(1, p)$ -quasiuniformly. If  $u_{\psi_i}$  and  $u_\psi$  are solutions to the  $\mathcal{K}_{\psi_i, \theta}$ -obstacle and  $\mathcal{K}_{\psi, \theta}$ -obstacle problems, respectively, then  $u_{\psi_i} \rightarrow u_\psi$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .*

We remark, to avoid any possible ambiguity, that in (ii),  $\pm \infty - (\pm \infty)$  means 0.

In Theorem 1.4 (ii) and (iii) can be replaced by  $\psi_i \rightarrow \psi$  in  $L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\Omega)$ , see Remark 2.23 (b), and hence Theorem 1.4 has the following version.

1.5. THEOREM. *Suppose that  $\theta \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and that  $\psi_i: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$  are such that  $\theta \geq \psi_i$  a.e. for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . If  $\psi_i \rightarrow \psi$  in  $L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\Omega)$ , then  $u_{\psi_i} \rightarrow u_\psi$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  where  $u_{\psi_i}$  and  $u_\psi$  are solutions to the  $\mathcal{K}_{\psi_i, \theta}$ - and  $\mathcal{K}_{\psi, \theta}$ -obstacle problems, respectively.*

Theorem 1.5 has been proved for example in [HKM, Theorem 3.79] under the condition that  $\psi_i \rightarrow \psi$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and  $\psi_i$  is a decreasing sequence.

For the next theorem assume that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a family of solutions to obstacle problems in  $\Omega$ , i.e. for each  $u \in \mathcal{S}$  there are  $\psi_u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$  and  $\theta_u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  such that  $u$  is a solution to the  $\mathcal{K}_{\psi_u, \theta_u}$ -obstacle problem. Write

$$\mathcal{O} = \{\psi_u: u \in \mathcal{S}\}.$$

1.6. THEOREM. *Suppose that  $x_0 \in \Omega$ , that there are a neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $x_0$  and  $M < \infty$  such that*

$$(1.7) \quad \text{ess sup}_{\mathcal{U}} - \text{ess inf}_{\mathcal{U}} u \leq M$$

for all  $u \in \mathcal{S}$ , and that

$$(1.8) \quad \text{the family } \mathcal{O} \text{ is equicontinuous at } x_0.$$

Then the family  $\mathcal{S}$  is equicontinuous at  $x_0$ .

In Theorem 1.6 equicontinuity means a.e. equicontinuity. Thus, for example,  $\mathcal{O}$  is equicontinuous at  $x_0$  if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x_0$  such that

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_V \psi - \operatorname{ess\,inf}_V \psi \leq \varepsilon$$

for all  $\psi \in \mathcal{O}$ .

Continuity of the solution to an obstacle problem has been studied in [KZ]; for Theorem 1.6 the theory of Wiener points for obstacles [KZ] is not needed.

Theorems 1.4 and 1.6 are proved in Sections 3 and 4, respectively. Section 2 contains preliminary considerations. The proof for Theorem 1.4 consists of two parts. We first show that  $u_{\psi_i} \rightarrow v$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and then that  $v = u_\psi$ . For the first part we employ an improvement of a lemma ([L, Lemma 2.2], [Maz, Lemma 1], [HKM, Lemma 3.73]) which has been used to prove weak and a.e. convergence of the gradients in  $L^p(\Omega)$ ; using the Vitali convergence theorem we show that it is possible to conclude strong convergence in  $L^p(G)$ . When this paper was completed, a paper of L. Boccardo and F. Murant [BM] containing a similar observation appeared. We also present examples which show that Theorems 1.4–1.6 are best possible.

Our notation is standard. We let

$$\|u\|_p = \|u\|_{L^p(E)} = \left( \int_E |u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}$$

denote the usual  $L^p$ -norm of a function  $u$ . In the Sobolev space  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  we use the norm

$$\|u\|_{1,p} = \|u\|_p + \|\nabla u\|_p.$$

The space  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is the closure of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .

## 2. Preliminaries.

We first introduce the assumptions for the equation (1.1). Let  $p > 1$  and let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We assume that  $\mathcal{A}: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a mapping satisfying the following assumptions for some constants  $0 < \alpha \leq \beta < \infty$ :

- (2.1)      the mapping  $x \mapsto \mathcal{A}(x, \xi)$  is measurable for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$   
               and the mapping  $\xi \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(x, \xi)$  is continuous for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ ;

for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and a.e.  $x \in \Omega$

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{A}(x, \xi) \cdot \xi \geq \alpha |\xi|^p$$

$$(2.3) \quad |\mathcal{A}(x, \xi)| \leq \beta |\xi|^{p-1}$$

$$(2.4) \quad (\mathcal{A}(x, \xi_1) - \mathcal{A}(x, \xi_2)) \cdot (\xi_1 - \xi_2) > 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad \xi_1 \neq \xi_2;$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{A}(x, \lambda \xi) = \lambda |\lambda|^{p-2} \mathcal{A}(x, \xi)$$

for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \neq 0$ .

For the proofs we need other classes of solutions than solutions to the obstacle problems. Let  $\theta \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . A function  $v$  is called a supersolution of (1.1) with boundary values  $\theta$  if  $v - \theta \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and if

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla v) \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx \geq 0$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  with  $\varphi \geq 0$ . It is easy to see that (2.6) holds for functions  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \varphi \geq 0$ , as well. Every solution to the  $\mathcal{K}_{\psi, \theta}$ -obstacle problem is a supersolution with boundary values  $\theta$  and, conversely, every supersolution  $v$  is a solution to the  $\mathcal{K}_{v, \theta}$ -obstacle problem. Finally  $v$  is a solution with boundary values  $\theta$  if  $v - \theta \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and if

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla v) \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx = 0$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ . For the theory of these solution classes see [HKM]. Since we mostly keep  $\theta$  fixed, we simply speak about supersolutions and solutions.

We first improve a lemma which has been used in several occasions, see e.g. [HKM, Lemma 3.73], [Maz, Lemma 1], [L, Lemma 2.2], and [G, p. 197]. We show that condition (2.8) below implies the strong convergence for gradients in  $L^p(\Omega)$ .

2.7. LEMMA. *Suppose that  $v_i, v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega), i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then*

$$(2.8) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{A}(x, \nabla v_i) - \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla v)) \cdot \nabla (v_i - v) \, dx = 0$$

*if and only if  $\nabla v_i \rightarrow \nabla v$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$ .*

PROOF. Write

$$I_i = \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{A}(x, \nabla v_i) - \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla v)) \cdot \nabla (v_i - v) \, dx.$$

Suppose that  $\nabla v_i \rightarrow \nabla v$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$ . Now  $\mathcal{A}(x, \nabla v_i)$  is a bounded sequence in  $L^{p/(p-1)}(\Omega)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(x, \nabla v) \in L^{p/(p-1)}(\Omega)$ , see (2.3), and since

$$I_i = \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla v_i) \cdot \nabla(v_i - v) \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla v) \cdot \nabla(v_i - v) \, dx,$$

we obtain from Hölder's inequality that  $I_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow 0$ .

Next assume that  $I_i \rightarrow 0$ . It follows from Young's inequality, see also the proof of Lemma 3.73 in [HKM, p. 80], that  $\nabla v_i$  is a bounded sequence in  $L^p(\Omega)$  and, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that

$$(2.9) \quad \nabla v_i \rightarrow \nabla v$$

a.e. in  $\Omega$ . For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $i_0$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{A}(x, \nabla v_i) - \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla v)) \cdot \nabla(v_i - v) \, dx < \varepsilon$$

for  $i \geq i_0$ . Since the integrand is non-negative, for each measurable set  $E \subset \Omega$  we have

$$\int_E (\mathcal{A}(x, \nabla v_i) - \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla v)) \cdot \nabla(v_i - v) \, dx < \varepsilon$$

for  $i \geq i_0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \int_E |\nabla v_i|^p \, dx &\leq \int_E \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla v_i) \cdot \nabla v_i \, dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \int_E \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla v) \cdot \nabla(v - v_i) \, dx + \int_E \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla v_i) \cdot \nabla v \, dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \beta \int_E |\nabla v|^{p-1} |\nabla v - \nabla v_i| \, dx + \beta \int_E |\nabla v_i|^{p-1} \nabla v \, dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \beta \|\nabla v\|_{L^p(E)}^{p-1} \|\nabla v - \nabla v_i\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \beta \|\nabla v_i\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{p-1} \|\nabla v\|_{L^p(E)} \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Hölder's inequality. Since the sequence  $\nabla v_i$  is bounded in  $L^p(\Omega)$ , we see that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $i$

$$\int_E |\nabla v_i|^p \, dx < \varepsilon$$

whenever  $m(E) < \delta$ . This means that  $|\nabla v_i|^p$  is uniformly integrable and this together with (2.9) yields that  $\nabla v_i \rightarrow \nabla v$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$ , see [HS, p. 203] for the Vitali convergence theorem. Finally, observe that this holds for the original sequence  $\nabla v_i$ , and not for its subsequence only, since if  $\|\nabla v_{i_j} - \nabla v\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \geq \varepsilon > 0$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$  for some subsequence of  $\nabla v_i$ , then the above proof gives a contradiction. The lemma follows.

For the next lemma assume that  $u_i \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  are such that

$$(2.10) \quad \|\nabla u_i\|_p \leq C < \infty$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u_i) \cdot \nabla(\varphi_i + u - u_i) dx \geq 0,$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla(\Phi_i + u_i - u) dx \geq 0$$

for some functions  $\varphi_i, \Phi_i \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  with

$$(2.12) \quad \nabla \varphi_i \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^p(\Omega),$$

$$(2.13) \quad \nabla \Phi_i \rightarrow 0 \text{ weakly in } L^p(\Omega),$$

2.14. LEMMA.  $\nabla u_i \rightarrow \nabla u$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$ .

PROOF. We show that

$$I_i = \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u_i) - \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u)) \cdot \nabla(u_i - u) dx \rightarrow 0$$

as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Then Lemma 2.7 implies that  $\nabla u_i \rightarrow \nabla u$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$ .

To this end, inequalities (2.11) yield

$$(2.15) \quad I_i \leq \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u_i) \cdot \nabla \varphi_i dx + \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla \Phi_i dx.$$

Since  $\mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) \in L^{p/(p-1)}(\Omega)$  and  $\nabla \Phi_i \rightarrow 0$  weakly in  $L^p(\Omega)$ , the last integral in (2.15) tends to zero as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $I_i \geq 0$ , it remains to show that

$$(2.16) \quad \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u_i) \cdot \nabla \varphi_i dx \rightarrow 0$$

as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\nabla u_i$  is a bounded sequence in  $L^p(\Omega)$ , we obtain from Hölder's inequality that

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u_i) \cdot \nabla \varphi_i dx \right| \leq \beta \|\nabla u_i\|_p^{p-1} \|\nabla \varphi_i\|_p$$

$$\leq c \|\nabla \varphi_i\|_p$$

where  $c$  is independent of  $i$ . Since  $\nabla \varphi_i \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$ , (2.16) follows and the proof is complete.

Lemma 2.18 gives strong convergence of the gradients for solutions to obstacle problems with zero boundary values. Here a result of L.-I. Hedberg is needed.

2.17. LEMMA ([H]). *Let  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Then for each compact set  $K \subset \Omega$  and for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a function  $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  such that  $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ ,  $\eta = 1$  on  $K$ , and  $\|\nabla(1 - \eta)\varphi\|_p < \varepsilon$ .*

2.18. LEMMA. *Suppose that  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and that  $\theta_i: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$  is a locally weakly upper bounded sequence of functions such that for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\varphi \geq \theta_i$  a.e. and  $\theta_i \rightarrow 0$  locally  $(1, p)$ -quasiuniformly. Then the solutions  $u_{\theta_i}$  to the  $\mathcal{X}_{\theta_i, 0}$ -obstacle problem satisfy  $\nabla u_{\theta_i} \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$ .*

PROOF. Since  $\varphi \in \mathcal{X}_{\theta_i, 0}$ , the family  $\mathcal{X}_{\theta_i, 0}$  is non-empty. Hence the solutions  $u_{\theta_i}$  exist. Since  $u_{\theta_i} \geq 0$  ([HKM, Lemma 3.18]), we may assume that  $\theta_i \geq 0$ .

Let  $D \Subset \Omega$  be an open set and  $t > 0$ . Since  $\theta_i \rightarrow 0$  locally  $(1, p)$ -quasiuniformly, there is an open set  $G \subset D$  and a function  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  such that (i)  $0 \leq u \leq 1$ , (ii)  $u = 1$   $(1, p)$ -quasieverywhere on  $G$ , (iii)  $\theta_i \rightarrow 0$  uniformly in  $D \setminus G$ , and

$$(iv) \quad \|\nabla u\|_p < t;$$

for this construction see [HKM, Corollary 4.13] and [HKM, p. 49]. Note that a capacity function can be cut off from  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  because  $D \Subset \Omega$ . That  $u = 1$   $(1, p)$ -quasieverywhere on  $G$  means that  $u = 1$  on  $G$  except of a set of  $(1, p)$ -capacity zero. This implies that  $u = 1$  a.e. on  $G$ , see [HKM, Lemma 2.10].

After these preliminaries we prove the lemma. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and choose a function  $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  as in Lemma 2.17 such that

$$(2.19) \quad \|\nabla((1 - \eta)\varphi)\|_p \leq \varepsilon.$$

Next let  $D$  be an open set with  $\text{spt } \eta \subset D \Subset \Omega$ . Since  $\theta_i$  is a locally weakly upper bounded sequence, there are  $i_0$  and  $M \in (0, \infty)$  such that

$$(2.20) \quad \theta_i \leq M \quad \text{a.e. on } D$$

for  $i \geq i_0$ . Choose  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  such that

$$(2.21) \quad \varepsilon_1 \|\nabla \eta\|_p < \varepsilon$$

and  $G \subset D$  and  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  as in (i)–(iv) for  $t = \varepsilon/M$ . Then pick  $i'_0 \geq i_0$  so large that for  $i \geq i'_0$

$$(2.22) \quad \theta_i \leq \varepsilon_1 \quad \text{on } D \setminus G.$$

Consider the function

$$v = (1 - \eta)\varphi + \varepsilon_1 \eta + Mu.$$

Now  $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and from (2.20) and (2.22) it follows that  $v \in \mathcal{K}_{\theta_i, 0}$  for  $i \geq i'_0$ . Indeed,  $v \geq \varphi \geq \theta_i$  in  $\Omega \setminus D$ ,  $v \geq (1 - \eta)\theta_i + \theta_i\eta = \theta_i$  in  $D \setminus G$  for  $i \geq i'_0$  and  $v \geq Mu \geq \theta_i$  in  $G$  for  $i \geq i_0$ . Hence

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u_{\theta_i}) \cdot \nabla(v - u_{\theta_i}) \, dx \geq 0$$

and this yields for  $i \geq i'_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u_{\theta_i}\|_p &\leq \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) \|\nabla v\|_p \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) \|\nabla((1 - \eta)\varphi)\|_p + \varepsilon_1 \|\nabla \eta\|_p + M \|\nabla u\|_p \\ &\leq 3\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from (2.19), (2.21), and from (iv) with  $t = \varepsilon/M$ . Thus  $\nabla u_{\theta_i} \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$  as required.

2.23. REMARKS. (a) Lemmas 2.14 and 2.18 and their proofs remain as stated in any open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . The proof of Lemma 2.7 needs a slight adjustment for an unbounded open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ; sets  $E \subset \Omega$  outside a large ball require a separate treatment in the uniform integrability.

(b) If in Lemma 2.18 it is assumed that  $\theta_i \rightarrow 0$  in  $L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)$ , then the conclusion holds. In fact, for  $i \geq i_0$ ,  $\theta_i \leq \varepsilon$  a.e. in  $D$  and the function  $v = (1 - \eta)\varphi + \varepsilon\eta$  will do. After this observation the proof for Theorem 1.5 is the same as for Theorem 1.4.

### 3. Proof for Theorem 1.4.

First we make some preliminary observations. Let  $u$  be a solution of (1.1) in  $\Omega$  with boundary values  $\theta$ . Then  $u$  is a solution to the  $\mathcal{K}_{-\infty, \theta}$ -obstacle problem and hence  $u_{\psi_i}, u_\psi \geq u$  a.e., see [HKM, Lemma 3.22]. This means that  $u_{\psi_i}$  and  $u_\psi$  are also solutions to the  $\mathcal{K}_{\max(\psi_i, u), \theta}$ - and  $\mathcal{K}_{\max(\psi, u), \theta}$ -obstacle problems, respectively. Thus we can replace  $\psi_i$  and  $\psi$  by  $\max(\psi_i, u)$  and  $\max(\psi, u)$ . Observe that after this replacement we still have that  $\psi_i \rightarrow \psi$  locally  $(1, p)$ -quasiuniformly and that  $\psi_i - \psi$  is a locally weakly upper bounded sequence; note that  $\psi_i \leq \theta$  a.e. implies  $\psi_i < \infty$  a.e. As a boundary function we can use, instead of  $\theta$ , the function  $u_\theta$  which is a solution to the  $\mathcal{K}_{\theta, \theta}$ -obstacle problem. Then  $u_\theta \geq u_{\psi_i}$  and  $u_\theta \geq u_\psi$  and replacing  $\theta$  by  $u_\theta$  we may assume that

$$(3.1) \quad u \leq \psi_i \leq u_{\psi_i} \leq \theta, \quad u \leq \psi \leq u_\psi \leq \theta$$

a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Observe that  $\theta - u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .



Choosing  $v = u_{\psi_i}$  and  $\varphi = \theta$  in (1.3) we see that

$$\|\nabla u_{\psi_i}\|_p \leq C$$

where  $C < \infty$  is independent of  $i$ . By the Poincaré inequality the sequence  $u_{\psi_i}$  is bounded in  $L^p(\Omega)$  as well. Thus we may assume, passing to a subsequence if necessary, that  $u_{\psi_i} \rightarrow v$  weakly in  $L^p(\Omega)$  for some  $v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and  $\nabla u_{\psi_i} \rightarrow \nabla v$  weakly in  $L^p(\Omega)$ . Since  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is weakly closed,  $v - \theta \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . By the Sobolev imbedding theorem [A, Theorem 6.2, Part IV] we may also assume that

$$(3.2) \quad u_{\psi_i} \rightarrow v \quad \text{in } L^p(\Omega)$$

and that

$$(3.3) \quad u_{\psi_i} \rightarrow v \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Since  $u_{\psi_i} \geq \psi_i$  a.e. and since  $\psi_i \rightarrow \psi$  locally  $(1, p)$ -quasiuniformly and hence a.e. in  $\Omega$ , we have  $v \geq \psi$  a.e.

Next we will prove that

$$(3.4) \quad \nabla u_{\psi_i} \rightarrow \nabla v \quad \text{in } L^p(\Omega).$$

From (3.2) it then follows that  $u_{\psi_i} \rightarrow v$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Finally we will show that  $v = u_\psi$  and this completes the proof because now the original sequence, and not only its subsequence, must converge to  $u_\psi$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .

To prove (3.4) we reduce the problem to zero boundary values. Let  $\varphi_i$  be a solution to the  $\mathcal{K}_{\psi_i - \psi, 0}$ -obstacle problem; note that  $\theta - u \in \mathcal{K}_{\psi_i - \psi, 0}$  and hence a solution exists. Now  $\psi_i - \psi \leq \theta - u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  and  $\psi_i - \psi \rightarrow 0$  locally  $(1, p)$ -quasiuniformly. Moreover, the sequence  $\psi_i - \psi$  is locally weakly upper bounded in  $\Omega$ ; observe that  $\psi_i$  and  $\psi$  are a.e. finite by (3.1). Lemma 2.18 yields

$$(3.5) \quad \nabla \varphi_i \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^p(\Omega).$$

We let  $\Phi_i = v - u_{\psi_i}$ . Then

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla v) \cdot \nabla(\Phi_i + u_{\psi_i} - v) dx = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$(3.7) \quad \varphi_i + v \geq \psi_i - \psi + v \geq \psi_i - \psi + \psi = \psi_i$$

a.e. in  $\Omega$  and hence

$$(3.8) \quad \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u_{\psi_i}) \cdot \nabla(\varphi_i + v - u_{\psi_i}) dx \geq 0$$

because  $u_{\psi_i}$  is the solution to the  $\mathcal{K}_{\psi_i, \theta}$ -obstacle problem and

$\varphi_i + v - \theta \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . From (3.5), (3.6), and (3.8) together with Lemma 2.14 it now follows that  $\nabla u_{\psi_i} \rightarrow \nabla v$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$ .

It remains to show that  $v = u_\psi$ . Since a solution to the  $\mathcal{K}_{\psi,\theta}$ -obstacle problem is unique, it suffices to show that

$$(3.9) \quad \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla v) \cdot \nabla(\eta - v) dx \geq 0$$

for all  $\eta \in \mathcal{K}_{\psi,\theta}$ . Fix  $\eta \in \mathcal{K}_{\psi,\theta}$  and write  $u_i = \eta + \varphi_i$  where  $\varphi_i$ , as above, is the solution to the  $\mathcal{K}_{\psi_i - \psi, 0}$ -obstacle problem. From (3.5) we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad \nabla u_i \rightarrow \nabla \eta \quad \text{in } L^p(\Omega)$$

and this implies (3.9). Indeed, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that

$$(3.11) \quad \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u_{\psi_i}) \cdot \nabla(u_i - u_{\psi_i}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla v) \cdot \nabla(\eta - v)$$

a.e. in  $\Omega$  and for all measurable sets  $E \subset \Omega$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_E |\mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u_{\psi_i}) \cdot \nabla(u_i - u_{\psi_i})| dx \\ & \leq \beta \int_E |\nabla u_{\psi_i}|^{p-1} |\nabla(u_i - u_{\psi_i})| dx \\ & \leq \beta \|\nabla u_{\psi_i}\|_{L^p(E)}^{p-1} (\|\nabla u_i\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\nabla v_{\psi_i}\|_{L^p(\Omega)}) \\ & \leq \beta \|\nabla u_{\psi_i}\|_{L^p(E)}^{p-1} (\|\nabla \eta\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\nabla \varphi_i\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\nabla u_{\psi_i}\|_{L^p(\Omega)}) \\ & \leq C\beta \|\nabla(u_{\psi_i})\|_{L^p(E)}^{p-1} \\ & < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

whenever we choose  $m(E) < \delta = \delta(\varepsilon)$ . This means that the functions  $\mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u_{\psi_i}) \cdot \nabla(u_i - u_{\psi_i})$  are uniformly integrable over  $\Omega$  and together with (3.11) this implies

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u_{\psi_i}) \cdot \nabla(u_i - u_{\psi_i}) dx \\ & = \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla v) \cdot \nabla(\eta - v) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $u_i - \theta \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and  $u_i \geq \eta + \psi_i - \psi \geq \psi_i$ ,  $u_i$  belongs to  $\mathcal{K}_{\psi_i,\theta}$  and thus

$$(3.13) \quad \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u_{\psi_i}) \cdot \nabla(u_i - u_{\psi_i}) dx \geq 0.$$

From (3.12) and (3.13) we thus conclude that (3.9) holds. The proof is complete.

3.14. REMARKS. (a) The local  $(1, p)$ -quasiuniform convergence is the weakest possible in Theorem 1.4. This can be seen by choosing a compact set  $K \subset \Omega$  with positive  $(1, p)$ -capacity and  $m(K) = 0$ . Then choose compact sets  $K_1 = \overline{K} + \overline{B(1/i)}$  (the  $1/i$ -inflations of  $K$ ). Now  $K_1 \supset K_2 \supset \dots$  and  $\bigcap K_i = K$ . Let  $\varphi_i = \chi_{K_i}$  and  $\psi = \chi_K$ . Then  $\psi_i$  does not converge to  $\psi$  locally  $(1, p)$ -quasiuniformly and  $u_{\psi_i} \not\rightarrow u_\psi = 0$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  where  $u_{\psi_i}$  is a solution of the  $\mathcal{K}_{\psi_i, 0}$ -obstacle problem. This example, with suitably chosen  $K$ , also shows that no local  $(1, q)$ -quasiuniform convergence,  $q < p$ , suffices in Theorem 1.4.

(b) In general, Theorem 1.5 is also the best possible. No  $L^s$ -convergence,  $1 \leq s < \infty$ , for the obstacles  $\psi_i$  is enough in Theorem 1.5. To see this choose  $p > n$  and let  $\Omega = B(0, 1)$ . Choose a sequence  $\psi_i \in C_0^\infty(B(0, 1))$  such that  $\psi_i(0) = 1$  and  $\psi_i \searrow 0$  in  $L^s(B(0, 1))$ . Then each solution  $u_i$  of the  $\mathcal{K}_{\psi_i, 0}$ -obstacle problem in  $B(0, 1)$  satisfies  $u_i(0) = 1$  and  $u_i|_{B(0, 1/2)} \geq c > 0$  where  $c$  is independent of  $i$ . On the other hand, the solution to the  $\mathcal{K}_{0, 0}$ -obstacle problem is  $u = 0$  and hence  $u_i \not\rightarrow u$  in  $W^{1,p}(B(0, 1))$ .

(c) The condition  $\psi_i \leq \theta$  in Theorem 1.4 is also necessary since otherwise it is easy to construct a sequence  $\psi_i$  such that  $\psi_i \rightarrow 0$  uniformly in  $\Omega$  but the solutions  $u_i$  to the  $\mathcal{K}_{\psi_i, 0}$ -obstacle problem satisfy

$$\|\nabla u_i\|_p \rightarrow \infty$$

as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence again the sequence  $u_i$  cannot converge in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  to the solution  $u = 0$  of the  $\mathcal{K}_{0, 0}$ -obstacle problem.

#### 4. Proof for Theorem 1.6.

Let  $x_0 \in \Omega$  be as in Theorem 1.6 and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Fix a ball  $B = B(x_0, r)$  such that  $B(x_0, 4r) \subset\subset \Omega$ ,

$$(4.1) \quad \operatorname{ess\,sup}_B u - \operatorname{ess\,inf}_B u \leq M$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{B(x_0, 4r)} \psi_u - \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{B(x_0, 4r)} \psi_u \leq \varepsilon$$

for all  $u \in \mathcal{S}$ .

Fix  $u \in \mathcal{S}$ . We consider two cases. First assume that

$$(4.3) \quad \operatorname{ess\,inf}_B u \geq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_B \psi_u + \varepsilon.$$

Now  $u$  is a solution of (1.1) in  $B$ . Indeed, let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$  and let  $t > 0$  be so small that  $|t\varphi| \leq \varepsilon$ . Then  $u + t\varphi \in \mathcal{K}_{\psi_u, \theta_u}$  by (4.3) and hence

$$(4.4) \quad t \int_B \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx \geq 0.$$

Changing the sign of  $t$  we obtain (4.4) with the reverse inequality. Thus

$$(4.5) \quad \int_B \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx = 0$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B)$  and this means that  $u$  is a solution of (1.1) in  $B$ . Now [HKM, Theorem 6.6] implies

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{B(x_0, s)} u - \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{B(x_0, s)} u &\leq 2^\kappa \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^\kappa \left( \operatorname{ess\,sup}_B u - \operatorname{ess\,inf}_B u \right) \\ &\leq 2^\kappa \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^\kappa M \end{aligned}$$

where we have also used (4.1) and  $\kappa = \kappa(p, \beta/\alpha, n) > 0$ . Choosing  $s = s(p, \beta/\alpha, n, M, r, \varepsilon) \in (0, r]$

$$2^\kappa \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^\kappa M \leq \varepsilon$$

we see that

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{B(x_0, s)} u - \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{B(x_0, s)} u \leq \varepsilon.$$

Next assume that

$$(4.7) \quad \operatorname{ess\,inf}_B u < \operatorname{ess\,sup}_B \psi_u + \varepsilon.$$

Let  $m = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_B \psi_u$ . We may assume that  $m = 0$ ; note that  $m = -\infty$  is not possible in case (4.7) and  $m = \infty$  is always impossible. This renormalization does not affect condition (4.1). Now  $u - \varepsilon$  is a solution to the  $\mathcal{K}_{\psi_u - \varepsilon, u - \varepsilon}$ -obstacle problem in  $B$  and by (4.2),  $\psi - \varepsilon \leq 0$  a.e. in  $B$ . Thus [HKM, Theorem 3.34] implies

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{B(x_0, r/2)} (u - \varepsilon) &\leq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{B(x_0, r/2)} (u - \varepsilon)^+ \\ &\leq c \left( \int_B |u - \varepsilon|^q \, dx \right)^{1/q} \end{aligned}$$

where  $q = n(p-1)/2(n-p)$  if  $p < n$  and  $q = p$  if  $p \geq n$ . Here  $c = c(p, B/\alpha, n) < \infty$  and  $\int_B$  denotes the mean value integral, i.e.

$$\int_B = \frac{1}{m(B)} \int.$$

Next from [HKM, Theorem 3.59] we obtain

$$(4.9) \quad \left( \int_B (u + \varepsilon)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \leq c \operatorname{ess\,inf}_B (u + \varepsilon)$$

where  $c$  is as above; note that by (4.2)

$$u + \varepsilon \geq \psi_u + \varepsilon \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } B(x_0, 4r)$$

and hence  $u + \varepsilon$  is a non-negative supersolution in  $B(x_0, 4r)$ . Since  $-2\varepsilon < \psi - \varepsilon < u - \varepsilon < u + \varepsilon$ , we obtain  $|u - \varepsilon|^q \leq \max((2\varepsilon)^q, |u + \varepsilon|^q) \leq (2\varepsilon)^q + |u + \varepsilon|^q$ . Now (4.8), (4.9), and (4.7) yield

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{B(x_0, r/2)} u &\leq c \operatorname{ess\,inf}_B u + c\varepsilon \\ &\leq c \operatorname{ess\,sup}_B \psi_u + c\varepsilon \\ &\leq c\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

because  $\operatorname{ess\,sup}_B \psi_u = m = 0$ . Since  $u \geq \psi_u$  a.e., we see that

$$\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{B(x_0, r/2)} u \geq \operatorname{ess\,inf}_B \psi_u \geq -\varepsilon$$

and now (4.10) yields

$$(4.11) \quad \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{B(x_0, r/2)} u - \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{B(x_0, r/2)} u \leq c\varepsilon + \varepsilon = (c + 1)\varepsilon$$

where  $c = c(p, n, \beta/\alpha) < \infty$ . Since  $u \in \mathcal{S}$  was arbitrary, inequalities (4.6) and (4.11) show that  $\mathcal{S}$  is equicontinuous at  $x_0$ . The theorem follows.

4.12. REMARKS. (a) Condition (1.7) in Theorem 1.6 is satisfied in many cases. In particular, it holds when the families  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\{\theta_u: u \in \mathcal{S}\}$  are uniformly bounded. Simple examples show that condition (1.7) is necessary for Theorem 1.6.

(b) It follows from (1.7) and from [HKM, Lemma 3.47] that for each  $u \in \mathcal{S}$

$$\int_{B(x_0, r/2)} |\nabla u|^p dx \leq c$$

where  $c = c(p, \beta/\alpha, n, r, M) < \infty$  and  $B(x_0, r) \subset \Omega$  is such that (1.6) holds for  $\mathcal{U} = B(x_0, r)$ . By Sobolev's imbedding theorem for  $p > n$  each function  $u \in \mathcal{S}$  is uniformly Hölder continuous in  $B(x_0, r/2)$  and hence condition (1.8) is not needed in Theorem 1.6. Thus for  $p > n$  condition (1.7) alone implies the equicontinuity of the family  $\mathcal{S}$ . If  $p \leq n$ , then there exist non-continuous supersolutions of (1.1) and hence some control for obstacles is needed in order to obtain equicontinuity for solutions.

(c) Michael and Ziemer proved in [MZ], see also [HKM, Theorem 3.67], that

a solution to the  $\mathcal{K}_{\psi, \theta}$ -obstacle problem is continuous provided that  $\psi$  is continuous. Theorem 1.6 has a somewhat different character.

## REFERENCES

- [A] R. A. Adams, *Sobolev Spaces*, Academic Press, 1975.
- [G] J.-P. Gossez, *Nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems for equations with rapidly (or slowly) increasing coefficients*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 190 (1974), 163–204.
- [BM] L. Boccardo and F. Murat, *Almost everywhere convergence of the gradients of solutions to elliptic and parabolic equations*, Nonlinear Anal. 19 (1992), 581–597.
- [H] L. I. Hedberg, *Two approximation problems in function spaces*, Ark. Mat. 16 (1978), 51–81.
- [HKM] J. Heinonen, T. Kilpeläinen and O. Martio, *Nonlinear Potential Theory of Degenerate Elliptic Equations*, Clarendon Press, 1993.
- [HS] E. Hewitt and K. Stromberg, *Real and Abstract Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, 1965.
- [KZ] T. Kilpeläinen and W. P. Ziemer, *Pointwise regularity of solutions to nonlinear double obstacle problems*, Ark. Mat. 29 (1991), 83–106.
- [L] J. L. Lions, *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Études mathématiques (Collection dir. par P. Lelong), Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [Maz] V. G. Maz'ya, *On the continuity at a boundary point of solutions of quasi-linear elliptic equations* (English translation), Vestnik Leningrad Univ. Math. 3 (1976), 225–242.
- [MZ] J. H. Michael and W. P. Ziemer, *Interior regularity for solutions to obstacle problems*, Nonlinear Anal. 10 (1986), 1427–1448.

WUHAN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES  
 ACADEMIA SINICA  
 P.O. BOX 71007  
 WUHAN 430071  
 P.R. OF CHINA

UNIVERSITY OF JYVÄSKYLÄ  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 P.O. BOX 4  
 FIN-00014 UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI  
 FINLAND