

SOME REMARKS ON A CERTAIN CLASS OF FINITE p -GROUPS

IAN KIMING

Abstract.

First we extend the main result of our previous article [3] concerning finite p -groups possessing an automorphism of p -power order and with exactly p fixed points, to the case $p = 2$. Secondly, we use our techniques to prove a generalisation of certain classical results of Blackburn concerning “exceptionality” in finite p -groups of maximal class.

1. Introduction.

In this article the symbol p always denotes a prime number and “ p -group” means “finite p -group”.

The following theorem is the main result in [3].

THEOREM A (Corollary 3 in [3]). *There exist functions of two variables, $u(x, y)$ and $v(x, y)$, such that whenever p is an odd prime number, k is a natural number and G is a finite p -group possessing an automorphism of order p^k having exactly p fixed points, then G possesses a normal subgroup of index less than $u(p, k)$ having class less than $v(p, k)$.*

Theorem A can be seen as a generalisation of the fact proved in [4] that the derived length of a p -group of maximal class is bounded above by a function depending only on p . For the theory of finite p -groups of maximal class the reader is referred to [1] or [2], III, § 14.

In section 2 below we prove that the prime number $p = 2$ does not have to be excluded in theorem A.

In section 3 we use our techniques to prove a theorem which can be viewed as a generalisation of a theorem of Blackburn concerning “exceptional” p -groups of maximal class: Blackburn proved that if G is an exceptional p -group of maximal class and order p^n then $6 \leq n \leq p + 1$ and n is even; see for example [2], III,

Hauptsatz 14.6. Having proved our theorem we shall point out the connection to this result of Blackburn.

We shall use the following notation: Let G be a p -group. If $x, y \in G$ we write

$$x^y = y^{-1}xy \quad \text{and} \quad [x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy.$$

If $x \in G$ and α is an automorphism of G , we write x^α for the image of x under α .

The terms of the lower central series of G are written $\gamma_i(G)$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $|G/G^p| = p^d$, we write $\omega(G) = d$.

A central series

$$G = G_1 \geq G_2 \geq \dots \geq G_s \geq \dots$$

is called *strongly central* if $[G_i, G_j] \leq G_{i+j}$ for all i, j .

The letter e always denotes the neutral element in a given group.

We shall now recall some definitions and results from [3] which will be needed in the sequel.

DEFINITION. Let G be a p -group. We say that G is *concatenated* if G possesses an automorphism α , a strongly central series

$$G = G_1 \geq \dots \geq G_{n+1} = e = G_{n+2} = \dots$$

(for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$) and elements $g_i \in G_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n+1$ such that the following holds:

- (1) $|G_i/G_{i+1}| = p$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$,
- (2) G_i/G_{i+1} is generated by $g_i G_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n+1$,
- (3) $[g_i, \alpha] := g_i^{-1}g_i^\alpha \equiv g_{i+1} \pmod{G_{i+2}}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

In this situation we shall also say that G is α -concatenated. Thus, when we say that G is α -concatenated we mean that G possesses an automorphism α , a strongly central series

$$(+) \quad G_1 \geq G_2 \geq \dots \geq G_s \geq \dots$$

and elements $g_i \in G_i$ such that the conditions of the above definitions are fulfilled. Obviously then, α have p -power order and $(+)$ is completely determined by G and α . The symbols G_i will then always refer to the terms of this strongly central series. When G is α -concatenated we shall also assume that the elements g_i have been chosen, and the symbols g_i will then always refer to these fixed choices.

The relevance of the above definition for our purposes is the fact that if G is a p -group and α an automorphism of p -power order of G , then G is α -concatenated if and only if α has exactly p fixed points in G ; cf. Theorem 2 in [3].

DEFINITION. Suppose that G is an α -concatenated p -group. Let t be a non-negative integer. We say that G has *degree of commutativity* t if

$$[G_i, G_j] \leq G_{i+j+t} \quad \text{for all } i, j \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Thus, G has in any case degree of commutativity 0.

If G has degree of commutativity t and order p^n , then we introduce certain invariants associated with this degree of commutativity. The invariants $a_{i,j}$ for $i, j \in \mathbf{N}$ are integers defined modulo p by the following requirements:

$$[g_i, g_j] \equiv g_{i+j+t}^{a_{i,j}} \pmod{G_{i+j+t+1}} \quad \text{for } i+j+t \leq n$$

and

$$a_{i,j} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{for } i+j+t \geq n+1.$$

Thus, if G has degree of commutativity t and if the associated invariants are all congruent to 0 modulo p , then G has degree of commutativity $t+1$.

THEOREM B (Theorem 9 in [3]). *Let G be an α -concatenated p -group of order p^n . Suppose that G has degree of commutativity t and let $a_{i,j}$ for $i, j \in \mathbf{N}$ be the associated invariants. Then the following holds:*

- (1) $a_{i,j} \equiv -a_{j,i} \pmod{p}$ for $i+j+t \leq n$.
- (2) $a_{i,j}a_{k,i+j+t} + a_{j,k}a_{i,j+k+t} + a_{k,i}a_{j,k+i+t} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $i+j+k+2t \leq n$.
- (3) $a_{i,j} \equiv a_{i+1,j} + a_{i,j+1} \pmod{p}$ for $i+j+t+1 \leq n$.
- (4) For $r \in \mathbf{N}$ we have:

$$a_{i,i+r} \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{\lfloor \frac{r+1}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{s-1} \binom{r-s}{s-1} a_{i+s-1,i+s} \pmod{p} \quad \text{for } 2i+r+t \leq n.$$

DEFINITION. Suppose that G is a (α) -concatenated p -group with $\omega(G) = d$. We say that G is *straight* if the following conditions are fulfilled.

- (1) $G_i^p = G_{i+d}$ for all $i \in \mathbf{N}$.
- (2) $x \in G_r$ and $c \in G_s$ implies

$$x^{-p}(xc)^p \equiv c^p \pmod{G_{r+s+d}} \quad \text{for all } r, s \in \mathbf{N}.$$

- (3) If gG_{i+1} is a generator of G_i/G_{i+1} then g^pG_{i+d+1} is a generator of G_{i+d}/G_{i+d+1} .

THEOREM C (Theorem 10 in [3]). *Let G be a concatenated p -group of order p^n . Suppose that G is straight with $\omega(G) = d$. Suppose further that G has degree of commutativity t and let $a_{i,j}$ be the associated invariants. Then we have for all i, j*

$$i + j + d + t \leq n \Rightarrow (a_{i,j} \equiv a_{i+d,j}(p)).$$

THEOREM D (Corollary 2 in [3]). *Let G be an α -concatenated p -group with α of order p^k . Put*

$$s = 1 + (1 + p + \dots + p^{k-1}).$$

Then G_s is a straight, α -concatenated p -group.

Finally we shall need the following technical lemma, which is a refinement of the Hall-Petrescu formula (cf. [2], III, Satz 9.4, Hilfsatz 9.5).

LEMMA E (Lemma 2 in [3]). *Let F be the free group on free generators x and y . Let p be a prime number and n a natural number. Then we have*

$$x^{p^n} y^{p^n} = (xy)^{p^n} c c_p \dots c_{p^n},$$

with certain elements

$$c \in \gamma_2(F)^{p^n} \quad \text{and} \quad c_{p^i} \in \gamma_{p^i}(F)^{p^{n-i}}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$, where each c_{p^i} has the form

$$c_{p^i} \equiv [y, \underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{p^i-1}]^{a_i p^{n-i}} \prod_{\mu} v_{\mu}^{b_{\mu} p^{n-i}}$$

modulo

$$\gamma_{p^{i+1}}(F)^{p^{n-i}} \gamma_{p^{i+1}}(F)^{p^{n-i-1}} \dots \gamma_{p^n}(F),$$

for certain integers a_i and b_{μ} , and where each v_{μ} has the form

$$v_{\mu} = [y, s_1, \dots, s_{p^i-1}]$$

with $s_k \in \{x, y\}$ and $s_k = y$ for at least one k in each v_{μ} . Furthermore,

$$a_i \equiv -1(p) \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

2.

In this section we shall prove the extension of theorem A to the case $p = 2$. First we need a result which will also be useful in the next section.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let G be an α -concatenated straight p -group of order p^n with α of order p^k . Let $d = \omega(G)$, and let $a_{i,j}$ for $i, j \in \mathbf{N}$ denote G 's invariants with respect to degree of commutativity 0. Then the following holds.*

(1) *If $n \geq 1 + p^k$ then d has the form*

$$d = p^r(p-1) \quad \text{for some} \quad r \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}.$$

(2) *Suppose that s is a non-negative integer such that $d > p^s(p-1)$. Define*

$$a_{i,j}^{(v)} = a_{ip^v, jp^v}$$

for $v = 1, \dots, s + 1$ and $i, j \in \mathbf{N}$. Then

$$a_{i,j}^{(v)} \equiv a_{i+1,j}^{(v)} + a_{i,j+1}^{(v)}(p),$$

for $v = 1, \dots, s + 1$ and all $i, j \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $p^v(i + j + 1) \leq n$.

PROOF. Let $i \in \mathbf{N}$. Using Lemma E for computation in the semi-direct product $G\langle\alpha\rangle$, we see that

$$(++) \quad \alpha^{p^v}[\alpha^{p^v}, g_i] = (\alpha[\alpha, g_i])^{p^v} = \alpha^{p^v}[\alpha, g_i]^{p^v} c_{p^v}^{-1} \dots c_p^{-1} c^{-1},$$

for given $v \in \mathbf{N}$, where putting $U = \langle\alpha, [\alpha, g_i]\rangle$ we have

$$[\alpha, g_i]^{p^v} \in G_{i+1+vd},$$

$$c \in \gamma_2(U)^{p^v} \leq G_{i+2+vd},$$

$$c_{p^\mu} \in \gamma_{p^\mu}(U)^{p^{v-\mu}} \leq G_{i+p^\mu+(v-\mu)d}$$

for $\mu = 1, \dots, v$, and where c_p, \dots, c_{p^v} have the forms given in Lemma E.

Proof of (1): Suppose that $n \geq 1 + p^k$ and let

$$m = \min \{p^\mu + (k - \mu)d \mid \mu = 0, \dots, k\}.$$

Let $v \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ be such that

$$m = p^v + (k - v)d,$$

and suppose that v is *unique* with this property in $\{0, \dots, k\}$. Using $(++)$ for $v = k$ we see that

$$e = [\alpha^{p^k}, g_1] \equiv g_2^{-p^k} \pmod{G_{m+2}} \quad \text{if } v = 0,$$

and

$$e \equiv c_{p^v} \pmod{G_{m+2}} \quad \text{if } v > 0.$$

In the first case we deduce $2 + kd \geq n + 1 \geq 2 + p^k$ and so

$$m = 1 + kd \geq 1 + p^k > p^k,$$

which is impossible. In the case $v > 0$ we note that c_{p^v} according to Lemma E satisfies

$$c_{p^v} \equiv [g_1, \underbrace{\alpha, \dots, \alpha}_{p^v}]^{-p^{k-v}} \equiv g_{1+p^v}^{-p^{k-v}} \pmod{G_{m+2}}.$$

From this we deduce that $1 + p^v + (k - v)d \geq n + 1 \geq 2 + p^k$, and so

$$m = p^v + (k - v)d \geq 1 + p^k > p^k,$$

which is impossible. Consequently, there exist two different numbers μ, ν in $\{0, \dots, k\}$ such that

$$m = p^\mu + (k - \mu)d = p^\nu + (k - \nu)d.$$

Since m is minimal, we then easily see that $|\mu - \nu| = 1$, and so d has the form $p^r(p - 1)$ with $r \in \{0, \dots, k - 1\}$.

Proof of (2): Suppose that s is a non-negative integer with $d > p^s(p - 1)$, and let $v \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $1 \leq v \leq s + 1$. Then

$$p^{\mu-1} + (v - \mu + 1)d > p^\mu + (v - \mu)d \quad \text{for } \mu = 1, \dots, v,$$

and from (+ +) we conclude that

$$[\alpha^{p^v}, g_i] \equiv c_{p^v}^{-1} \pmod{G_{i+1+p^v}} \quad \text{for } i \in \mathbb{N},$$

since

$$p^s(p - 1) + 1 \geq \frac{1}{s + 1} p^{s+1} \quad \text{for } s \geq 0.$$

According to Lemma E we have

$$c_{p^v}^{-1} \equiv [[\alpha, g_i], \underbrace{\alpha, \dots, \alpha}_{p^v-1}] \equiv [g_i, \underbrace{\alpha, \dots, \alpha}_{p^v}]^{-1} \equiv g_{i+p^v}^{-1} \pmod{G_{i+1+p^v}},$$

and so

$$(+++) \quad [g_i, \alpha^{p^v}] \equiv g_{i+p^v} \pmod{G_{i+p^v+1}} \quad \text{for } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now suppose that $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that $p^v(i + j + 1) \leq n$, and put

$$m = p^v(i + j + 1) + 1.$$

Consider Witt's identity

$$[A, B^{-1}, C]^B [B, C^{-1}, A]^C [C, A^{-1}, B]^A = e$$

modulo G_m with

$$A = g_{ip^v}, B = a^{-p^v} \quad \text{and} \quad C = g_{jp^v}.$$

Using (+++) and noting that $g_{m-1} \neq e$, it then follows that:

$$a_{i,j}^{(v)} \equiv a_{i+1,j}^{(v)} + a_{i,j+1}^{(v)} (p)$$

THEOREM 1. *Let G be a concatenated, straight 2-group of order 2^n and with $\omega(G) = 2^k$. Put $d = 2^k$.*

Then G is metabelian, and if $n \geq 2d$ then G has degree of commutativity $n - 2d$.

PROOF. If $d = 1$ then $|G/G^2| = 2$, and so G is cyclic. But then the statements of the theorem are clear. So, we assume that $k > 0$.

We now suppose that $n \geq 2d$ and will show that G has degree of commutativity $n - 2d$. If $n = 2d$ this is obviously the case, so we assume that $n > 2d$ and that G has degree of commutativity t with $t \leq n - 2d - 1$. Let $a_{i,j}$ be the associated invariants.

For $s = 1, \dots, \frac{1}{2}d$ we have $2s + d + t + 1 \leq n$, and using Theorem B and Theorem C we then find modulo 2

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} a_{s,s+1} &\equiv a_{s,s+d+1} \equiv \sum_{h=1}^{\frac{1}{2}d+1} (-1)^{h-1} \binom{d+1-h}{h-1} a_{s+h-1,s+h} \\ &\equiv \sum_{h=0}^{\frac{1}{2}d} (-1)^h \binom{d-h}{h} a_{s+h,s+h+1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2) \quad a_{s+1,s} \equiv a_{s+1,s+1+(d-1)} \equiv \sum_{h=1}^{\frac{1}{2}d} (-1)^{h-1} \binom{d-h-1}{h-1} a_{s+h,s+h+1}.$$

Now, for $h = 1, \dots, \frac{1}{2}d$ we have

$$\binom{d-h}{h} = \binom{d-h-1}{h-1} \frac{d-h}{h},$$

and since d is a power of 2 and $h \leq \frac{1}{2}d$, we see that $\binom{d-h}{h}$ and $\binom{d-h-1}{h-1}$ have the same parity. Using Theorem B (1) we then conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\equiv a_{s,s+1} + a_{s+1,s} \equiv a_{s,s+1} + \sum_{h=1}^{\frac{1}{2}d} \left(\binom{d-h}{h} + \binom{d-h-1}{h-1} \right) a_{s+h,s+h+1} \\ &\equiv a_{s,s+1} \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

for $s = 1, \dots, \frac{1}{2}d$. Then Theorem B (4) shows that

$$a_{1,1+r} \equiv 0 \quad (2) \quad \text{for } r = 0, \dots, d.$$

Hence Theorem C gives

$$a_{1,j} \equiv 0 \quad (2) \quad \text{for all } j.$$

Using this and Theorem B (3) we easily see by induction on i that

$$a_{i,j} \equiv 0 \quad (2) \quad \text{for all } i, j.$$

Consequently, G has degree of commutativity $t + 1$.

So, G has degree of commutativity $n - 2d$.

The group G/G_{1+d} has exponent 2, hence is abelian. If $n \leq 2d$ the same holds for the group G_{1+d} . If $n \geq 2d$ then G_{1+d} is abelian since G has then degree of commutativity $n - 2d$. Thus, G is metabelian in any case.

THEOREM 2. *Let G be an α -concatenated, straight 2-group of order 2^n with α of order 2^k . Then the following holds.*

- (1) *If $n \geq 1 + 2^k$ then G has class at the most 2^{k-1} .*
- (2) *If $n \geq 2^{k+1} - 3$ then G has class at the most 2.*
- (3) *G has class at the most $2^k - 1$.*

PROOF. Let $d = \omega(G)$. If $n \geq 1 + 2^k$ then according to Proposition 1, d has the form $d = 2^r$ for some $r \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$. Hence, if $k = 1$ and $n \geq 3$ then G is cyclic. If $n \leq 2$ then G is abelian. We may consequently assume that $k \geq 2$.

Suppose that $n \geq 1 + 2^k$. According to Theorem 1, G has then degree of commutativity $t = n - 2d$. Now, it is easily seen by induction on i that if $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \geq 2$ then

$$\gamma_i(G) \leq G_{i+1+(i-1)d}.$$

So, $\gamma_i(G) = \{e\}$ if

$$(+) \quad i \geq \frac{2n - 2d}{n - 2d + 1}.$$

Using $n \geq 1 + 2^k$ and $d = 2^r$ with $r \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$, an easy calculation shows that (+) is satisfied if $i \geq 1 + 2^{k-1}$. (+) is also satisfied if $i \geq 3$, provided that $n \geq 2^{k+1} - 3$ (note that then $n \geq 2^{k+1} - 3 \geq 2^k + 1$, since $k \geq 2$, whence $d \leq 2^{k-1}$). This proves (1) and (2).

Finally, (3) follows from (1) because G obviously has class at the most $2^k - 1$ if $n \leq 2^k$.

Our extension of Theorem A to the case $p = 2$ now follows immediately from Theorem D and Theorem 2: If G is an α -concatenated 2-group with α of order 2^k , then the normal subgroup

$$G_{1+(1+2+\dots+2^{k-1})}$$

has index at the most

$$2^{1+2+\dots+2^{k-1}},$$

and has class at the most $2^k - 1$.

3.

We now turn our attention to our second objective described in the introduction. In what follows, p will denote an *odd* prime number. The content of the main

result of this section, which is Theorem 3 below, is roughly speaking that if G is an α -concatenated, straight p -group of order p^n with α of order p^k , if $a_{i,j}$ are the invariants associated with degree of commutativity 0, and if $a_{i,j}$ is congruent to 0 modulo p whenever $i + j$ is less than a certain number, which is "small" compared with p^k , then $a_{i,j}$ can be incongruent to 0 modulo p only if $i + j$ is "big" compared with $\min\{n, \omega(G)\}$. Furthermore, G has degree of commutativity 1, if n is sufficiently large compared with p^k .

This result will be a consequence of the following two propositions.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let p be an odd prime number and let n, r and r_0 be natural numbers. Assume that $3 \leq r \leq n - 1$. Suppose that we are given integers $a_{i,j}$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i + j \leq n$. Suppose further that the following conditions are satisfied.*

- (1) $a_{i,j} \equiv -a_{j,i} \pmod{p}$ for $i + j \leq n$.
- (2) $a_{i,j+1} + a_{i+1,j} \equiv a_{i,j} \pmod{p}$ for $i + j + 1 \leq n$.
- (3) $a_{i,j}a_{k,i+j} + a_{j,k}a_{i,j+k} + a_{k,i}a_{j,k+i} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $i + j + k \leq n$.
- (4) $a_{i,j} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $i + j \leq r$.
- (5) $a_{pi,pj} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $p(i + j) \leq r_0$.
- (6) $a_{1,r} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Then the following assertions hold.

(I) *Let m be an integer such that $0 \leq m \leq \min\{n - r - 1, r - 2, p - 1\}$. Let i be an integer such that $1 \leq i \leq m + r$. Then*

$$a_{i,r-i+m+1} \equiv b_{i,m}a_{1,r} \pmod{p},$$

where

$$b_{i,m} = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

and

$$b_{i,m} = (-1)^{i+m+1} \binom{i-1}{m} \quad \text{for } m + 1 \leq i \leq m + r.$$

(For $m = 0$, this also holds without the assumption (6).)

(II) *The number r is even.*

If $r \leq n - 2$ then $r \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

If $p + 1 \leq r \leq n - p$ then $r \geq r_0 - p + 1$.

PROOF. Proof of (I): We prove the statement by induction on m .

Since

$$a_{i,r-i+1} + a_{i+1,r-i} \equiv a_{i,r-i} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, r-1$, because of (2) and (4), we deduce the statement for $m = 0$.

Let μ be a natural number such that $\mu \leq \min\{n-r-1, r-2, p-1\}$. Assume that the statement in (I) has been proved for $0 \leq m \leq \mu-1$. Since $\mu \leq n-r-1$, we may consider the congruence (3) for $(i, j, k) = (1, \mu+1, r-1)$. This gives

$$(+) \quad a_{\mu+1,r-1}a_{1,r+\mu} \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

since $a_{r-1,1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ according to (4), and since

$$a_{1,\mu+1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

according to (4) because $\mu \leq r-2$. From the induction hypothesis we get

$$a_{\mu+1,r-1} \equiv -\mu a_{1,r} \pmod{p},$$

and since we have $1 \leq \mu \leq p-1$, we then deduce from (6) and (+) that

$$(++) \quad a_{1,r+\mu} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

For $2 \leq i \leq \mu+r$, the induction hypothesis and (2) show that

$$(-) \quad a_{i-1,r-i+\mu+2} + a_{i,r-i+\mu+1} \equiv a_{i-1,r-i+\mu+1} \equiv b_{i-1,\mu-1}a_{1,r} \pmod{p};$$

from this and (++) we find successively

$$a_{1,r+\mu} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \quad a_{2,r+\mu-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \dots, a_{\mu,r+1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

because

$$b_{i-1,\mu-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{for } i \leq \mu.$$

Again, (-) and the induction hypothesis show that

$$a_{i,r-i+\mu+1} \equiv (-1)^{i+\mu+1} \binom{i-2}{\mu-1} a_{1,r} - a_{i-1,r-i+\mu+2} \pmod{p},$$

for $i = \mu+1, \dots, \mu+r$, which together with $a_{\mu,r+1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ gives us successively

$$a_{i,r-i+\mu+1} \equiv (-1)^{i+\mu+1} \binom{i-1}{\mu} a_{1,r} \pmod{p}$$

for $i = \mu+1, \dots, \mu+r$.

Thus the statement in (I) holds for $m = \mu$.

This proves (I).

Proof of (II): Suppose that r is odd and put $i = \frac{r+1}{2}$.

Using (I) for $m = 0$ we see that

$$a_{i,r-1+1} \equiv (-1)^{i+1} a_{1,r} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Since $i = r - i + 1$, this contradicts (1) because p is odd. So, r is even.

Suppose that $r \leq n - 2$. Then we may use (I) for $(m = 1, i = 1)$ and for $(m = 1, i = r + 1)$ (recall that $r \geq 3$). Using (1) this gives

$$0 \equiv -a_{1,r+1} \equiv a_{r+1,1} \equiv (-1)^{r+1} r a_{1,r} \pmod{p}$$

and so $r \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ because of (6).

Suppose that $p + 1 \leq r \leq n - p$. From the above it follows that $r \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. We may use (I) for $m = p - 1$ and $i = p$. This gives

$$a_{p,r} \equiv a_{1,r} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Since $r \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, we then deduce from (5) that $p + r \geq r_0 + 1$.

DEFINITION. We define the function $f(n)$ for natural numbers $n \geq 2$ as follows. If v is a non-negative integer such that:

$$2p^v \leq n \leq 2p^{v+1},$$

we put

$$f(n) = 2p^v \left[\frac{n}{2p^v} \right].$$

PROPOSITION 3. Let G be a concatenated, straight p -group (p odd) of order p^n . Let $d = \omega(G)$ and let s be the largest non-negative integer such that $d > p^{s-1}(p - 1)$. Let $a_{i,j}$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ be the invariants of G associated with degree of commutativity 0. Assume that

$$a_{i,j} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{for } i + j \leq 3p^s.$$

Then the following statements hold.

(I) If $n \leq d + p^{s+1} + p^s - 1$ then

$$a_{i,j} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{for } i + j \leq f(n).$$

(II) If $d = p^s(p - 1)$ and $n \geq p^{s+1} + p^s$ then G has degree of commutativity 1.

PROOF. Proof of (I): For $\mu = 0, \dots, s$ we put

$$n_\mu = [np^{-\mu}],$$

and

$$a_{i,j}^{(\mu)} = a_{p^\mu i, p^\mu j} \quad \text{for } i, j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then for $\mu = 0, \dots, s$ we have

(1) $a_{i,j}^{(\mu)} \equiv -a_{j,i}^{(\mu)} \pmod{p}$ for $i + j \leq n_\mu$,

$$(2) \ a_{i,j+1}^{(\mu)} + a_{i+1,j}^{(\mu)} \equiv a_{i,j}^{(\mu)} \pmod{p} \quad \text{for } i + j + 1 \leq n_\mu,$$

$$(3) \ a_{i,j}^{(\mu)} a_{k,i+j}^{(\mu)} + a_{j,k}^{(\mu)} a_{i,j+k}^{(\mu)} + a_{k,i}^{(\mu)} a_{j,k+i}^{(\mu)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{for } i + j + k \leq n_\mu.$$

(1) and (3) follow for arbitrary μ from the fact that (1) and (3) hold for $\mu = 0$, cf. Theorem B(1) and B(2). (2) follows from Proposition 1.

We see from the definition of $f(n)$ that we may assume that n has form

$$n = 2mp^l \quad \text{with } 1 \leq m \leq p.$$

We may also assume that $n \geq 3p^s$, which gives $l \geq s$. Furthermore,

$$2p^{s+1} - 1 = p^s(p-1) + p^{s+1} + p^s - 1 \geq d + p^{s+1} + p^s - 1 \geq n = 2mp^l \geq 2p^l,$$

whence $s \geq l$. Thus we assume that

$$n = 2mp^s \quad \text{with } 1 \leq m \leq p.$$

Then

$$n_\mu = 2mp^{s-\mu} \quad \text{for } \mu = 0, \dots, s.$$

Now we show by induction on $s - \mu$ that if $\mu \in \{0, \dots, s\}$ then

$$a_{i,j}^{(\mu)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{for } i + j \leq f(n_\mu).$$

For $\mu = 0$ this is precisely the statement in (I).

Suppose first that $\mu = s$. By assumption we have

$$a_{i,j}^{(s)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{for } i + j \leq 3.$$

We also have $n_s = 2m \leq 2p$ and so $f(n_s) = n_s$. Now assume that not all of the numbers

$$a_{i,j}^{(s)} \quad \text{with } i + j \leq n_s$$

are congruent to 0 modulo p . Let $r_s \in \mathbb{N}$ be largest possible such that

$$a_{i,j}^{(s)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{for } i + j \leq r_s.$$

Then $3 \leq r_s \leq n_s - 1$. Now we see that we may use proposition 2 with $r = r_s$ and $r_0 = n_s$ (note that $n_s \leq 2p$, and that we must have

$$a_{1,r_s}^{(s)} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

because of (2)). So, r_s is even. If $r_s \leq n_s - 2$ then r_s is divisible by p and so

$$r_s \geq 2p \geq n_s.$$

Consequently, we have $r_s \geq n_s - 1$, and since r_s and n_s are both even, we get $r_s = n_s$, contradiction.

Suppose then that $\mu < s$ and that

$$a_{i,j}^{(\mu+1)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{for } i+j \leq f(n_{\mu+1}).$$

Assume that not all of the numbers

$$a_{i,j}^{(\mu)} \quad \text{with } i+j \leq n_\mu$$

are congruent to 0 modulo p , and let $r_\mu \in \mathbf{N}$ be largest possible such that

$$a_{i,j}^{(\mu)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{for } i+j \leq r_\mu.$$

Then we have $r_\mu \leq n_\mu - 1$, and because of the assumptions of the theorem, we have $r_\mu \geq 3p^{s-\mu} \geq p+1$. Furthermore,

$$a_{pi,pj}^{(\mu)} = a_{i,j}^{(\mu+1)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{for } p(i+j) \leq pf(n_{\mu+1}) = pn_{\mu+1} = n_\mu.$$

Thus, we see that we may use Proposition 2 with $r = r_\mu$ and $r_0 = n_\mu$; note that we must have

$$a_{1,r_\mu} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

So, if $r_\mu \leq n_\mu - p$ then $r_\mu \geq n_\mu - p + 1$; so $r_\mu \geq n_\mu - p + 1$. Since $\mu < s$, we have $n_\mu \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, and so $r_\mu \leq n_\mu - 2$ is impossible since r_μ would then be divisible by p and so $r_\mu = n_\mu$. Hence, $r_\mu \geq n_\mu - 1$, and since r_μ and n_μ are both even, we deduce $r_\mu = n_\mu$, contradiction.

This proves (I).

Proof of (II): We use induction on n . For $n = p^{s+1} + p^s$ the statement follows from (I) since we have $f(n) = n$ in this case.

Thus we assume that $n > p^{s+1} + p^s$. Considering G/G_n we deduce from the induction hypothesis that

$$a_{i,j} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{for } i,j \leq n-1.$$

If not all of the numbers $a_{i,j}$ are divisible by p , we find (considering (2)) that

$$a_{1,n-1} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

But since $n-1 > d$ we find using Theorem C that

$$a_{1,n-1} \equiv a_{1,n-1-d} \equiv 0 \pmod{p};$$

contradiction.

THEOREM 3. *Let G be an α -concatenated, straight p -group (p odd) of order p^n and with α of order p^k . Let $a_{i,j}$ for $i,j \in \mathbf{N}$ be G 's invariants associated with degree of commutativity 0, and assume that*

$$a_{i,j} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{for } i+j \leq 3p^{k-1}.$$

Put $d = \omega(G)$ and let s be the largest non-negative integer with

$$d > p^{s-1}(p-1).$$

Then we have

$$a_{i,j} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ for } i+j \leq f(\min\{n, d+p^{s+1}+p^s-1\}).$$

Furthermore, if $n \geq p^k + p^{k-1}$ then G has degree of commutativity 1.

PROOF. First note that $d \leq p^k$: For if $n \geq 1 + p^k$ then $d \leq p^{k-1}(p-1)$ according to Proposition 1. And if $n \leq p^k$ then $d \leq n \leq p^k$. So, $s \leq k$.

If $s \leq k-1$ then by using Proposition 3 on

$$G/G_{d+p^{s+1}+p^s},$$

we obtain

$$a_{i,j} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ for } i+j \leq f(\min\{n, d+p^{s+1}+p^s-1\}).$$

Suppose then that $s = k$. According to Proposition 1 we must then have $n \leq p^k$. Using Proposition 3 on

$$G/G_{p^{k-1}(p-1)+1},$$

we find

$$a_{i,j} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ for } i+j \leq p^{k-1}(p-1).$$

But since $p^{k-1}(p-1) < d \leq n \leq p^k$, we find

$$f(\min\{n, d+p^{k+1}+p^k-1\}) = f(n) = p^{k-1}(p-1).$$

Finally, suppose that $n \geq p^k + p^{k-1}$. Then according to Proposition 1 we have

$$d = p^r(p-1) \text{ for some } r \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}.$$

Then $s = r \leq k-1$. Then Proposition 3 and the assumption of the theorem imply that G has degree of commutativity 1.

Suppose that G is a finite p -group of maximal class of order p^n where p is an odd prime number and $n \geq 4$. Then for any maximal subgroup of G there exists an inner automorphism of G which, when restricted to this subgroup, has order p and exactly p fixed points (see Theorem 3 in [3]). In particular, the group

$$G_1 = C_G(\gamma_2(G)/\gamma_4(G)),$$

which is a maximal subgroup of G , is α -concatenated for some automorphism α of order p . Further, the concatenated p -group G_1 is straight (see Satz III, 14.16 in [2] and Theorem 6 in [3]). If $\alpha_{i,j}$ are the invariants of G_1 associated with degree of commutativity 0, then by definition of G_1 we have

$$a_{1,2} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Note that the order of G_1 is p^{n-1} . We say that G is exceptional if G_1 does not have degree of commutativity 1. We conclude from Theorem 3 that if $n \geq p + 2$ then G is not exceptional. Further, if $4 \leq n \leq p + 1$ then

$$a_{i,j} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{for } i + j \leq f(n - 1).$$

But $f(n - 1) = n - 1$ if n is odd, and $f(n - 1) = n - 2$ if n is even.

Hence we see that if G is exceptional then $n \leq p + 1$ and n is even. Furthermore, G/G_{n-1} , which is a finite p -group of maximal class, is never exceptional. These statements are classical results of Blackburn concerning finite p -groups (p odd) of maximal class. Thus, Theorem 3 may be viewed as a generalisation of these results.

REFERENCES

1. N. Blackburn, *On a special class of p -groups*. Acta Math. 100 (1958), 45–92.
2. B. Huppert: *Endliche Gruppen I*. Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 134, 1983.
3. I. Kiming, *Structure and derived length of finite p -groups possessing an automorphism of p -power order having exactly p fixpoints*, Math. Scand. 62 (1988), 153–172.
4. C. R. Leedham-Green, S. McKay, *On p -groups of maximal class I*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 27 (1976), 297–311.

INST. F. EXP. MATH.
UNIVERSITÄT ESSEN
ELLERNSTRASSE 29
45326 ESSEN
GERMANY
