## LAPLACE INTEGRAL ON RATIONAL NUMBERS

#### **DRAGU ATANASIU**

#### 1. Introduction.

In 1934 D. V. Widder proved [5, p. 325] that a function  $\varphi: I \to \mathbb{R}$  defined on an open interval of real numbers is of the form  $\varphi(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{tx} d\mu(x)$ , where  $\mu$  is a positive Radon measure on R, if and only if  $\varphi$  is continuous and positive definite on I, which means that  $\varphi$  is continuous and satisfies the following condition: for each natural number n > 0 and each family of real numbers  $c_1, \ldots, c_n, r_1, \ldots, r_n$ , such that  $r_i \in \frac{1}{2}I$ , we have

$$\sum_{i,k=1}^{n} c_i c_k \varphi(r_j + r_k) \ge 0.$$

We prove in Section 2 of this paper a similar theorem for a function defined on an open interval of rational numbers.

In [3] it is proved without using any integral representation that a completely monotone function on a commutative semigroup with neutral element is positive definite. We give in Section 3 of this work an analogous result for a function defined on the set  $]a, \infty[ \cap \Omega]$ , where a is a real number.

We obtain in Section 4 a Levy-Khinchin type formula for negative definite functions defined on an open interval of rational numbers. This formula is of the same type as that obtained in [4] for negative definite functions defined on a commutative semigroup without zero.

In Section 5 are given integral representations which extend some results from  $\lceil 1 \rceil$  on positive and negative definite functions on  $\mathbb{Q}_+$ .

### 2. Laplace integral on an open interval of rational numbers.

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$ , such that a < b. We denote by  $\Gamma$  the set  $]a, b[\cap \Omega]$ . We shall say that a function  $\varphi: \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$  is positive definite on  $\Gamma$  if for each natural number n > 0, each family of real numbers  $c_1, \ldots, c_n$  and each family of rational numbers  $r_1, \ldots, r_n$  from the set  $\frac{1}{2}\Gamma$ , we have

Received October 29, 1993.

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^{n} c_j c_k \varphi(r_j + r_k) \ge 0.$$

For each  $r \in \Gamma$  let  $f_r$  be the real function defined on R by  $f_r(x) = e^{rx}$ . We shall say that a function  $\varphi \colon \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$  can be represented by a Laplace integral if there exists a positive Radon measure  $\mu$  on R such that the functions  $(f_r)_{r \in \Gamma}$  are  $\mu$  integrable and we have

$$\varphi(r) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{rx} d\mu(x), \quad r \in \Gamma.$$

We see as in [1], p. 210 that if such a measure exists, it is uniquely determined by  $\varphi$ .

THEOREM 1. A function  $\varphi: \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$  can be represented by a Laplace integral if and only if it is positive definite on  $\Gamma$ .

**PROOF.** It is clear that a function which can be represented by a Laplace integral is positive definite. Let  $\mathscr{F}$  be the set of all families  $(a_r)_{r \in \Gamma}$  such that  $a_r \neq 0$  only for a finite number of r. We denote by V the real vector space generated by the family  $(f_r)_{r \in \Gamma}$ .

Let  $V_{+}$  be the set

$$\{f \in V \mid f(x) \ge 0, x \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

We can easily verify that V is an adapted space (cf. [2], p. 4–04 or [1], p. 42, 2.6). Let f be an element of V. Then there exists a family of real numbers  $(a_r)_{r\in\Gamma}$  from  $\mathscr F$  such that  $f=\sum_{r\in\Gamma}a_rf_r$ . We can define a linear mapping  $L_{\varphi}\colon V\to \mathbb R$  by  $L_{\varphi}(\sum_{r\in\Gamma}a_rf_r)=\sum_{r\in\Gamma}a_r\varphi(r)$ .  $L_{\varphi}$  is well defined because the functions  $(f_r)_{r\in\Gamma}$  are linearly independent. We shall prove that  $L_{\varphi}$  is positive on  $V_+$ . Let  $f\in V_+$ ,  $f\neq 0$ .

Then there exist a natural number n > 0 and rational numbers  $r_1 = \frac{p_1}{q_1}, \dots, r_n = \frac{p_n}{q_n}$ 

 $\frac{p_n}{q_n}$ , where  $p_j, q_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $q_j > 0$  and  $r_1 < \ldots < r_n$ , such that

$$f(x) = a_{r_1} e_{q_1}^{\underline{p_1}x} + \ldots + a_{r_n} e_{q_n}^{\underline{p_n}x}.$$

We denote by z the number  $e^{\frac{x}{q_1...q_n}}$ . The polynomial function  $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $g(z) = a_{r_1} + \ldots + a_{r_n} z^{q_1...q_{n-1}p_n-p_1q_2...q_n}$  is positive for z positive.

It follows that there exist four real polynomial functions  $(P_j)_{1 \le j \le 4}$  such that

$$g(z) = (P_1(z))^2 + (P_2(z))^2 + z((P_3(z))^2 + (P_4(z))^2).$$

Let  $n_j$  be the degree of the polynomial  $P_j$ . We write  $m = \max(n_1, n_2)$  and  $l = \max(n_3, n_4)$ . We have

$$0 \leq \frac{m}{q_1 \dots q_n}, \frac{l + \frac{1}{2}}{q_1 \dots q_n} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{p_n}{q_n} - \frac{p_1}{q_1}\right).$$

The fact that  $\varphi$  is positive definite on  $\Gamma$ , yields that  $L_{\varphi}$  is positive on  $V_{+}$ .

We obtain from a result of Choquet about adapted cones ([2], p. 4–05, Proposition 2) or ([1], p. 43, 2.7) that there exists a positive Radon measure  $\mu$  on R, such that the functions  $(f_r)_{r\in\Gamma}$  are  $\mu$  integrable for every  $r\in\Gamma$ , and we have

$$\varphi(r) = L_{\varphi}(f_r) = \int_{\mathsf{R}} e^{rx} d\mu(x).$$

REMARK 1. In the case when  $\Gamma = Q$ , Theorem 1 becomes Proposition 5.10 from [1], p. 211.

REMARK 2. The Widder's result from ([5], p. 325) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.

**THEOREM** 2. For a function  $\varphi: \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$  the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\varphi$  is positive definite and there is a rational number  $\alpha \in ]0, b-a[$  such that the function  $r \mapsto \varphi(r) \varphi(\alpha + r)$  is positive definite on  $]a, b-\alpha[ \cap Q]$ .
- (ii) There exists a positive Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $]-\infty,0]$ , such that the functions  $(x\mapsto e^{rx})_{r\in\Gamma}$  are  $\mu$  integrable, which satisfies

$$\varphi(r) = \int_{1-\infty, 01} e^{rx} d\mu(x), \quad r \in \Gamma.$$

**PROOF.** The implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) is immediate.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Using Theorem 1 we find the positive Radon measures  $\mu$  and  $\sigma_{\alpha}$  on R such that

$$\varphi(r) = \int e^{rx} d\mu(x), \quad r \in \Gamma$$

and

$$\varphi(r) - \varphi(\alpha + r) = \int e^{rx} d\sigma_{\alpha}(x), \quad r \in ]a, b - \alpha[.$$

Then also

$$\varphi(r) - \varphi(\alpha + r) = \int e^{rx} (1 - e^{\alpha x}) d\mu(x),$$

so

$$(1 - e^{\alpha x})^{+} \mu = (1 - e^{\alpha x})^{-} \mu + \sigma_{\alpha}$$

by unicity of the positive representing measure, hence

$$(1 - e^{\alpha x})\mu = \sigma_{\alpha}$$

or  $\alpha x \leq 0$  for  $x \in \text{supp}(\mu)$ . This shows that  $\text{supp}(\mu) \subseteq ]-\infty,0]$ .

REMARK 3. In the case when  $\Gamma = ]0, \infty[\cap \Omega]$ , the function  $\varphi: \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$  has the integral representation given by Theorem 2, (ii) iff it is positive definite and satisfies the condition:

$$\sup_{s\in[0,\,\infty[\cap\Omega]}\varphi(s+r)<\infty,\quad r\in\Gamma.$$

This is a consequence of [4, p. 295, theorem 1].

## 3. Finite differences and Laplace integral.

Let a be a real number and let  $\Gamma$  be the set  $]a, \infty[ \cap Q]$ . For a rational number  $r \ge 0$  we denote by  $E_r: \mathbb{R}^{\Gamma} \to \mathbb{R}^{\Gamma}$  the operator defined by  $E_r \varphi(x) = \varphi(x+r)$ .

THEOREM 3. For a function  $\varphi: \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$  the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) For each natural number p > 0 and each family of strictly positive rational numbers  $r_1, \ldots, r_p$  we have

$$(E_0 - E_{r_1})...(E_0 - E_{r_n})(\varphi)(r) \ge 0, \quad r \in \Gamma.$$

- (ii)  $\varphi$  is positive definite on  $\Gamma$  and there is a strictly positive rational number  $\alpha$  such that the function  $r \mapsto \varphi(r) \varphi(\alpha + r)$  is positive definite on  $\Gamma$ .
- (iii) There is a positive Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $]-\infty,0]$ , such that the functions  $(x\mapsto e^{rx})_{r\in\Gamma}$  are  $\mu$  integrable, which satisfies

$$\varphi(r) = \int_{1-\infty, 0} e^{rx} d\mu(x), \quad r \in \Gamma.$$

PROOF. (ii) ⇔(iii) is a particular case of Theorem 2. (iii) ⇒ (i) is obvious.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) It is enough to prove that  $\varphi$  is positive definite on  $\Gamma$ . Let  $c_1, \ldots, c_n$  be a family of real numbers and  $r_1, \ldots, r_n$  be a family of strictly positive rational numbers. We suppose that  $c_1, \ldots, c_l > 0$  and  $c_{l+1}, \ldots, c_n < 0$ . We denote by M the number  $c_1 + \ldots + c_l$  and by P the number  $-(c_{l+1} + \ldots + c_n)$ . We write

$$X = c_1 E_{r_1} + \dots + c_l E_{r_l},$$

$$Y = -(c_{l+1} E_{r_{l+1}} + \dots + c_n E_{r_n}),$$

$$ME_0 - X = U, \quad PE_0 - Y = V.$$

For each natural number  $m \ge 2$  we denote by  $Z_m$  the sum

$$\sum_{j,k=0}^{m} \left[ \frac{j^2 M^2 + k^2 P^2}{m(m-1)} - 2 \frac{jkMP}{m^2} \right] {m \choose j} {m \choose k} X^j U^{m-j} Y^k V^{m-k}.$$

We have  $Z_m(\varphi)(r) \ge 0$  for each  $r \in \Gamma$ .

We also can write

$$Z_{m} = \sum_{j,k=0}^{m} \left[ \frac{j(j-1)M^{2}}{m(m-1)} + \frac{k(k-1)P^{2}}{m(m-1)} - 2\frac{jM}{m} \frac{kP}{m} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{jM^{2}}{m(m-1)} + \frac{kP^{2}}{m(m-1)} \left[ \binom{m}{j} \binom{m}{k} X^{j} U^{m-j} Y^{k} V^{m-k} \right]$$

$$= (X - Y)^{2} M^{m} P^{m} + \frac{X}{m-1} M^{m+1} P^{m} + \frac{Y}{m-1} M^{m} P^{m+1}$$

Dividing by  $M^m P^m$  and letting m tend to  $\infty$  we obtain  $(X - Y)^2(\varphi)(r) \ge 0$  for each  $r \in \Gamma$  which finishes our proof.

REMARK 4. It results from ([4], p. 303, Lemma 3 and p. 305, Theorem 6) that the equivalence (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) of Theorem 3 in the case when a = 0 is a consequence of ([4], p. 303, Theorem 4).

REMARK 5. For the proof of the implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) of Theorem 3 we have not used the integral representation from (iii). An analogous proof, for completely monotone functions defined on a commutative semigroup with neutral element, is in ([3], p. 318, Theorem 3.1).

## 4. Negative definite functions on an open interval.

Let  $\Gamma$  and  $\mathscr{F}$  be as in Section 2. We say that a function  $\varphi: \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$  is negative definite on  $\Gamma$  if for each natural number n > 0, each family of real numbers  $c_1, \ldots, c_n$  such that  $c_1 + \ldots + c_n = 0$  and each family of rational numbers  $r_1, \ldots, r_n$  from the interval  $\frac{1}{2}\Gamma$  we have

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^{n} c_j c_k \varphi(r_j + r_k) \leq 0.$$

**THEOREM 4.** For a function  $\varphi: \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$  the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\varphi$  is negative definite on  $\Gamma$ .
- (ii) There are real numbers A, B, C,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , such that  $C \ge 0$ ,  $\beta > 0$ , and  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha + 2\beta \in \Gamma$  and a positive Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ , such that the functions  $\{x \mapsto \sum_{r \in \Gamma} a_r e^{rx} \mid (a_r)_{r \in \Gamma} \in \mathscr{F}, \sum_{r \in \Gamma} a_r = 0, \sum_{r \in \Gamma} a_r e^{rx} \ge 0\}$  are  $\mu$  integrable, which satisfy the relation

$$\varphi(r) = A + Br - Cr^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}} \left(e^{rx} - e^{\alpha x} - \frac{r-\alpha}{\beta}e^{\alpha x}(e^{\beta x} - 1)\right)d\mu(x).$$

PROOF. (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) is immediate.

 $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$  Consider the set

$$V_{+} = \left\{ f \colon \mathsf{R} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathsf{R} \mid f(x) = \sum_{r \in \Gamma} a_{r} e^{rx}, (a_{r})_{r \in \Gamma} \in \mathscr{F}; \lim_{x \to 0} f(x) = 0; f \ge 0 \right\}.$$

Define  $L_{\varphi}\colon V_+ - V_+ \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $L_{\varphi}(x \mapsto \sum_{r \in \Gamma} a_r e^{rx}) = -(\sum_{r \in \Gamma} a_r \varphi(r))$ . Remark, as in Theorem 1, that  $L_{\varphi}$  is positive on  $V_+$ . We denote by  $C^c(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\})$  the vector space  $\{f\colon \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}\to\mathbb{R}\mid f$  continuous with compact support $\}$ . Because  $(e^{ux}-e^{vx})^2>0$  for  $u \neq v$  and  $x \neq 0$ , it is possible to obtain as in ([1], p. 43, Theorem 2.7) a real vector space  $\widetilde{V} \supset V \cup C^c(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\})$  and a linear function  $\widetilde{L}_{\varphi}\colon \widetilde{V} \to \mathbb{R}$ , positive on  $\{f\in\widetilde{V}\mid f\geq 0\}$ , such that the restriction of  $\widetilde{L}_{\varphi}$  to V is  $L_{\varphi}$  and the restriction of  $\widetilde{L}_{\varphi}$  to  $C^c(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\})$  is a positive Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$  for which the functions from  $V_+$  are  $\mu$  integrable and we have  $L_{\varphi}(f)\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}} fd\mu$  for each  $f\in V_+$ . Let us choose rational numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that  $\alpha, \alpha+2\beta\in\Gamma$  and  $\beta>0$ . We take an arbitrary element r of  $\Gamma$  and find rational numbers  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  such that

$$a < \gamma < \alpha < \alpha + 2\beta < \delta < b$$
 and  $\gamma < r < \delta$ .

We denote by c(r) the number  $\frac{r-\alpha}{\beta}$  and by d(r) the number  $\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\frac{r-\alpha}{\beta}\right)^2-\frac{r-\alpha}{\beta}\right)$ . We remark that the function  $x\mapsto e^{rx}-e^{\alpha x}-c(r)e^{\alpha x}(e^{\beta x}-1)$ , defined on  $\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ , is in  $V_+$  or in  $V_+$ . Let  $g\colon\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}\to\mathbb{R}$  be the function defined by

-, .....

$$x \mapsto e^{rx} - e^{\alpha x} - c(r)e^{\alpha x}(e^{\beta x} - 1) - d(r)e^{\alpha x}(e^{\beta x} - 1)^2$$

and

$$h: \mathsf{R} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathsf{R}$$

the function defined by

$$x \mapsto (e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}x} - e^{\frac{\delta}{2}x})^2 + e^{\alpha x}(e^{\beta x} - 1)^2$$

The function g is in  $V_+ - V_+$  and the function h is in  $V_+$ . We have

$$\lim_{x \to y} \frac{|g(x)|}{h(x)} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad y = 0, -\infty, \infty.$$

It results that for each natural number n > 0 there is a compact  $K_n \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$|g(x)| \le \frac{1}{n} h(x), \quad x \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) \setminus K_n.$$

We can choose the  $K_n$  such that  $K_n \subset K_{n+1}$  and  $\bigcup K_n = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $\varphi_n \colon \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \to [0,1]$  be a function of  $C^c(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$  such that  $\varphi_n(x) = 1$  for  $x \in K_n$ . For  $p \ge n$  we have

$$-\frac{1}{n}h \le g - g\varphi_p \le \frac{1}{n}h.$$

From the fact that  $\tilde{L}_{\varphi}$  is an increasing linear mapping on  $\tilde{V}$  we obtain

$$L_{\varphi}(g) = \lim_{p \to \infty} \tilde{L}_{\varphi}(g\varphi_p) = \lim_{p \to \infty} \int_{\mathsf{R} \setminus \{0\}} g\varphi_p \, d\mu = \int_{\mathsf{R} \setminus \{0\}} g \, d\mu.$$

From this relation we have:

(1) 
$$-(\varphi(r) - \varphi(\alpha) - c(r)(\varphi(\alpha + \beta) - \varphi(\alpha)) - d(r)(\varphi(\alpha + 2\beta) - 2\varphi(\alpha + \beta) + \varphi(\alpha)))$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}} (e^{rx} - e^{\alpha x} - c(r)e^{\alpha x}(e^{\beta x} - 1) - d(r)e^{\alpha x}(e^{\beta x} - 1)^2) d\mu(x).$$

This last relation and the inequality

$$(2) \qquad -(\varphi(\alpha+2\beta)-2\varphi(\alpha+\beta)+\varphi(\alpha)) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}} e^{\alpha x} (e^{\beta x}-1)^2 d\mu(x),$$

imply our result.

REMARK 6. Because we have

$$2\varphi(r+\beta) - \varphi(r) - \varphi(r+2\beta) = 2C\beta^{2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}} e^{rx} (1 - e^{\beta x})^{2} d\mu(x)$$

for  $r \in ]a, \alpha[\cap Q]$  we see, as in [1], p. 215, that A, B, C and  $\mu$  are uniquely determined by  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\varphi$ .

# 5. Positive and negative definite functions on a half-open interval of rational numbers.

Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  be such that a < b. We denote by  $\Gamma$  the set  $[a, b[ \cap \mathbb{Q}]]$ . We say that a function  $\varphi: \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$  is positive (resp. negative) definite on  $\Gamma$  if it satisfies the same condition as in Section 2 (resp. 4).

We define  $\mathcal{F}$  as in Section 2.

Let  $\chi_{\{a\}}: \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$  denote the function defined by  $\chi_{\{a\}}(a) = 1$  and  $\chi_{\{a\}}(r) = 0$  for  $r \in ]a, b[$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.** For a function  $\varphi$ :  $\Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$  the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\varphi$  is positive definite on  $\Gamma$ .
- (ii) There is a positive Radon measure  $\mu$  on R, such that the functions  $(x \mapsto e^{rx})_{r \in \Gamma}$  are  $\mu$  integrable, and a positive real number A, which satisfy

$$\varphi(r) = A\chi_{\{a\}}(r) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{rx} d\mu(x), \quad r \in \Gamma.$$

PROOF. It is enough to prove (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). We find as in the proof of the Theorem 1 a positive Radon measure  $\mu$  on R such that the functions  $(x \mapsto e^{rx})_{r \in \Gamma}$  are integrable and we have

$$\varphi(r) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{rx} d\mu(x), \quad r \in ]a, b[$$

and

$$\varphi(a) \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ax} \, d\mu(x).$$

This yields the representation given in (ii).

REMARK 7. We remark, as in Section 2, that  $\mu$  and A are uniquely determined by  $\varphi$ . When  $\Gamma$  is the set  $\mathbb{Q}_+ = [0, \infty[ \cap \mathbb{Q} \text{ we deduce from the Proposition 1 that the semigroup <math>\mathbb{Q}_+$  is perfect; a result proved in ([1], p. 209, 5.6).

**PROPOSITION 2.** For a function  $\varphi: \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$  the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\varphi$  is negative definite on  $\Gamma$ .
- (ii) There are real numbers A, B, C, D,  $\beta$ , such that C,  $D \ge 0$ ,  $\beta > 0$  and  $a + 2\beta \in \Gamma$ , and a positive Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ , such that the functions  $\{x \mapsto \sum_{r \in \Gamma} a_r e^{rx} \mid (a_r)_{r \in \Gamma} \in \mathscr{F}, \sum_{r \in \Gamma} a_r = 0, \sum_{r \in \Gamma} a_r e^{rx} \ge 0\}$  are  $\mu$  integrable, which satisfy

$$\varphi(r) = A + Br - Cr^{2} - D\chi_{\{a\}}(r)$$

$$\cdot - \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}} (e^{rx} - e^{ax} - \frac{r-a}{\beta} e^{ax} (e^{\beta x} - 1)) d\mu(x).$$

PROOF. Because (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) is evident, we only have to prove (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Without loss of generality we may assume that a = 0.

Consider the set

$$\begin{split} V_+ &= \{ (\mathsf{R} \setminus \{0\}) \cup \{-\infty\} \to \mathsf{R} \mid f(x) = \sum_{r \in \Gamma} a_r e^{rx}, \\ (a_r)_{r \in \Gamma} &\in \mathscr{F}; \lim_{x \to 0} f(x) = 0; f \ge 0 \}, \end{split}$$

where  $e^{0(-\infty)} = 1$  and  $e^{r(-\infty)} = 0$  for r > 0.

If  $r \in \Gamma$  and r > 0 the function  $x \mapsto (e^{rx} - 1)^2 \in V_+$  and is strictly positive on  $(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) \cup \{-\infty\}$ .

It results that we can construct a positive Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $(R\setminus\{0\})\cup\{-\infty\}$ , as in the proof of Theorem 4, such that the analog of the relations (1) and (2) holds with  $\alpha=0$  and  $0<2\beta< b$ .

Consequently there are the real numbers A', B' and the positive real number C such that we have the relation

$$\varphi(r) = A' + B'r - Cr^2 - \int_{(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\})\cup\{-\infty\}} \left(e^{rx} - 1 - \frac{r}{\beta}(e^{\beta x} - 1)\right) d\mu(x), \quad r \in \Gamma$$

which is equivalent to the representation given by (ii).

REMARK 8. We see as in Remark 6 that A, B, C, D and  $\mu$  are uniquely determined by  $\beta$  and  $\varphi$ . When  $\Gamma = Q_+$  we reobtain, with Proposition 2, the integral representation from ([1], p. 231, 5.13).

#### REFERENCES

- C. Berg, J. P. R. Christensen and P. Ressel, Harmonic Analysis on Semigroups, Graduate Texts in Math. 100, 1984.
- G. Choquet, Le problème des moments, Séminaire Choquet, Initiation à l'analyse, 1 ère année (1962), n° 4.
- 3. P. H. Maserick and F. H. Szafraniec, Equivalent definitions of positive definiteness, Pacific J. Math. 110 (1984), 315-324.
- P. Ressel, Positive definite functions on abelian semigroups without zero, In Studies in Analysis (Ed. G.-C. Rota). Adv. Math. Suppl. Studies 4 (1979), 291-310, Academic Press, New York-London.
- 5. D. V. Widder, Necessary and sufficient conditions for the representation of a function by a doubly infinite Laplace integral, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 40 (1934), 321-326.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND THE UNIVERSITY OF GÖTEBORG S-412 96 GÖTEBORG SWEDEN