

ABOUT THE L^p -BOUNDEDNESS OF INTEGRAL OPERATORS WITH KERNELS OF THE FORM $K_1(x-y)K_2(x+y)$

T. GODOY and M. URCIUOLO¹

Abstract.

In this paper we prove the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -boundedness, $1 < p < \infty$, of integral operators with kernels of the form $K_1(x-y)K_2(x+y)$ for a wide class of functions K_1, K_2 satisfying certain homogeneity condition.

1. Introduction.

Let q be a real number, $1 < q < \infty$. We set q' the conjugate exponent of q given by $q^{-1} + q'^{-1} = 1$. Also for $g: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we define $g^{(j,q)}(x) = 2^{jn/q}g(2^jx)$, and $g_{(j)}(x) = g(2^jx)$.

Let $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}, \{\psi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be two families of measurable functions on \mathbb{R}^n with support contained in $\{t: 2^{-1} \leq |t| \leq 2\}$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad \|\varphi_j\|_{q_0} \leq c_1, \|\psi_j\|_{q_1} \leq c_2$$

for some $q_0 > q, q_1 > q', c_1 > 0, c_2 > 0$, and for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In this paper we prove the L^p boundedness, $1 < p < \infty$, of the integral operator defined by

$$Tf(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_1(\xi - y)K_2(\xi + y)f(y) dy$$

where $K_1(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi_j^{(j,q)}(x)$, and $K_2(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_j^{(j,q)}(x)$.

The operator $f \rightarrow K_1 * f$ is well known since K_1 is in weak- L^q .

The particular case $K_1(x) = \Omega_1(x)|x|^{-\alpha}$ and $K_2(x) = \Omega_2(x)|x|^{-n+\alpha}$ with Ω_1 and Ω_2 in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $0 < \alpha < n$, is treated in [G-U] and it is a generalization of the one dimensional case developed in [R-Sj].

¹ Partially supported by CONICOR, CONICET and SECYT.
Received August 24, 1994.

The singular operator obtained taking $q = 1, q' = \infty$ is studied in [G-S-U], for suitable hypothesis about the families $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in Z}$ and $\{\psi_j\}_{j \in Z}$.

2. Preliminaries.

We begin with the following observation.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in Z}, \{\psi_j\}_{j \in Z}$ be two families of measurable and non negative functions on R^n with support contained in $\{t: 2^{-1} \leq |t| \leq 2\}$ satisfying (1.1). Then there exists $c = c(c_1, c_2, n, q, q_0, q_1) > 0$ such that, for $l \in Z$ we have*

$$\sum_{j > -l} \int_{R^n} \varphi_j^{(j, q)}(x) \psi_{-l}^{(-l, q')}(2\xi - x) dx \leq c$$

PROOF. We take δ satisfying $0 < \delta < q - 1$ and $(q - \delta)' < q_1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j > -l} \int_{R^n} \varphi_j^{(j, q)}(x) \psi_{-l}^{(-l, q')}(2\xi - x) dx \\ &= \sum_{j > -l} 2^{jn(q^{-1} - (q - \delta)^{-1})} \int_{R^n} \varphi_j^{(j, q - \delta)}(x) \psi_{-l}^{(-l, q')}(2\xi - x) dx \\ &\leq \sum_{j > -l} 2^{jn(q^{-1} - (q - \delta)^{-1})} \|\varphi_j^{(j, q - \delta)}\|_{q - \delta} \|\psi_{-l}^{(-l, q')}\|_{(q - \delta)'} \\ &= \sum_{j > -l} 2^{jn(q^{-1} - (q - \delta)^{-1})} \|\varphi_j\|_{q - \delta} 2^{-ln(q^{-1} - (q - \delta)^{-1})} \|\psi_{-l}\|_{(q - \delta)'} \\ &\leq c 2^{ln((q - \delta)^{-1} - q^{-1})} 2^{ln(q^{-1} - (q - \delta)^{-1})} = c \end{aligned}$$

where c depends only on $c_1, c_2, n, q,$ and δ . This proves the lemma.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in Z}, \{\psi_j\}_{j \in Z}$ be two families of measurable and non negative functions on R^n with support contained in $\{t: 2^{-1} \leq |t| \leq 2\}$ satisfying (1.1). Let G_j be the linear operator defined by*

$$G_j f(\xi) = \int_{R^n} \varphi_j(x) \psi_j(2\xi - x) f(\xi - x) dx$$

Then there exists a positive constant $c = c(c_1, c_2, n, p)$ such that

$$\|G_j f\|_1 \leq c \|f\|_p$$

for $j \in Z, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $f \in L^p(R^n)$.

PROOF. We first estimate $G_j|f|$. By the Jensen inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \|G_j|f|\|_1^2 \\ \leq & \left(\int_{R^n \times R} \varphi_j(x)\psi_j(2\xi - x) dx d\xi \right)^{p-1} \int_{R^n \times R} \varphi_j(x)\psi_j(2\xi - x)|f(\xi - x)|^p dx d\xi \\ & = \|(\varphi_j * \psi_j)_{(1)}\|_1^{p-1} \int_{R^n \times R} \varphi_j(x)\psi_j(2\xi - x)|f(\xi - x)|^p dx d\xi \end{aligned}$$

we choose $\delta > 0$ such that $1 < (q - \delta)^{-1} + q'^{-1} < 2$ and we define r by $1 + r^{-1} = (q - \delta)^{-1} + q'^{-1}$. Since $\text{supp}(\varphi_j * \psi_k) \subseteq \{x: |x| \leq 4\}$ we can write

$$\|(\varphi_j * \psi_j)_{(1)}\|_1 \leq c' \|(\varphi_j * \psi_j)_{(1)}\|_r \leq c' \|\varphi_j\|_{q-\delta} \|\psi_j\|_{q'} \leq c''$$

with c'' independent of j . Then if we denote by $f^\vee(t) = f(-t)$ we obtain

$$\|G_j|f|\|_1^2 \leq c'' \int_{R^n} \varphi_j(x)((\psi_j)_{(1)} * |f^\vee|^p)(x/2) dx \leq C \|\varphi_j\|_q \|\psi_j\|_{q'} \| |f^\vee|^p \|_1 \leq c \|f\|_p^p.$$

To study $G_j f$ we write $f = f^+ - f^-$ as usual, and the lemma follows.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in Z}$, $\{\psi_j\}_{j \in Z}$ be two families of functions satisfying the hypothesis of the lemma 2.2. Then for $1 < p < \infty$, there exists $\gamma > 0$ and $c_{p,\gamma} > 0$ such that*

$$\|G_j f\|_{p+\gamma} \leq c_{p,\gamma} \|f\|_p \text{ for all } j \in Z.$$

PROOF. Let q_0, q_1 as in (1.1). We prove first the case $p'^{-1} > q_0^{-1} + q_1^{-1}$.

We define α, β by $q_0 = q + \alpha, q_1 = q + \beta$, then there exists $t \in (0, 1)$ such that $p'^{-1} = (q + t\alpha)^{-1} + (q + t\beta)^{-1}$. Since $\text{supp}(\varphi_j)$ and $\text{supp}(\psi_j)$ are contained in $\{x: 2^{-1} \leq |x| \leq 2\}$ we have $\|\varphi_j\|_{q+t\alpha} \leq c'$ and $\|\psi_j\|_{q'+t\beta} \leq c''$, with c', c'' depending only of c_1, c_2, q_0, q_1 , and n . Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |G_j f(\xi)| & \leq \left(\int_{R^n} \varphi_j(x)^{p'} \psi_j(2\xi - x)^{p'} dx \right)^{1/p'} \left(\int_{R^n} |f(\xi - x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq (\|\varphi_j^{p'}\|_{(q+t\alpha)/p'} \|\psi_j^{p'}\|_{(q'+t\beta)/p'})^{1/p'} \|f\|_p \leq c_3 \|f\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

with c_3 independent of ξ and j , then

$$(2.4) \quad \|G_j f\|_\infty \leq c_3 \|f\|_p.$$

We define p_0 by $1 - p_0^{-1} = q_0^{-1} + q_1^{-1}$. Then (2.4), lemma 2.2 and the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem ([S-W]) give us

$$(2.5) \quad \|G_j\|_{p,s} \leq c_{p,s} \text{ for all } j \in Z, p > p_0, 1 \leq s \leq \infty.$$

With $\|G_j\|_{p,s}$ we denote the operator norm of G_j from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Next we take the adjoint operator G_j^* given by

$$G_j^*g(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_j^\vee(x)\psi_j(2\xi - x)g(\xi - x) dx$$

Applying (2.5) to G_j^* we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad \|G_j\|_{p,s} = \|G_j^*\|_{s',p'} \leq c_{s',p'} \quad \text{for all } j \in Z \quad \text{and } p < s < p'_0$$

The case $p'_0 \leq p \leq p_0$ follows from (2.5), (2.6) and the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem. ([S-W])

3. The main result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in Z}$, $\{\psi_j\}_{j \in Z}$ be two families of measurable functions on \mathbb{R}^n with support contained in $\{t: 2^{-1} \leq |t| \leq 2\}$ satisfying (1.1). Then*

$$Tf(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_1(\xi - y)K_2(\xi + y)f(y) dy$$

where $K_1(x) = \sum_{j \in Z} \varphi_j^{(j,q)}(x)$, and $K_2(x) = \sum_{j \in Z} \psi_j^{(j,q)}(x)$, is a well defined and bounded operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 < p < \infty$.

The proof of this theorem will be a direct consequence of the following results.

For $l \in Z$ we set $A_l = \{t: 2^l \leq |x| \leq 2^{l+1}\}$. A direct application of lemma 2.1 gives us

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in Z}$, $\{\psi_j\}_{j \in Z}$ as in theorem 3.1. Then the linear operator defined by*

$$T_1f(\xi) = \sum_{l \in Z} \chi_{A_l}(\xi) \int_{|x| \leq 2^{l-1}} \sum_{j,k \in Z} |\varphi_j^{(j,q)}(x)| |\psi_k^{(k,q)}(2\xi - x)| f(\xi - x) dx$$

is well defined a.e. $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

PROOF. It is enough to assume that the functions $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in Z}$, $\{\psi_j\}_{j \in Z}$ and f are non negative. We first prove the boundedness of T_1 on $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We take $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $|x| \leq 2^{l-1}$. Then $\varphi_j^{(j,q)}(x) = 0$ for $j < -l$, and $\psi_k^{(k,q)}(2\xi - x) = 0$ for $\xi \in A_l$ and $k \notin [-l-4, -l+1]$. So

$$T_1 f(\xi) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{A_l}(\xi) \int_{|x| \leq 2^{l-1}} \sum_{\substack{j \geq -l \\ -4 \leq i \leq 1}} \varphi_j^{(j,q)}(x) \psi_{-l+i}^{(-l+i,q')}(2\xi - x) f(\xi - x) dx$$

$$\leq \|f\|_\infty \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{A_l}(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{\substack{j \geq -l \\ -4 \leq i \leq 1}} \varphi_j^{(j,q)}(x) \psi_{-l+i}^{(-l+i,q')}(2\xi - x) dx$$

And so lemma 2.1 implies that T_1 is bounded on $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

To see the boundedness of T_1 on $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} T_1 f(\xi) d\xi = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\substack{j > -l \\ -4 \leq i \leq 1}} \int_{|x| \leq 2^{l-1}} \int \varphi_j^{(j,q)}(x) \psi_{-l+i}^{(-l+i,q')}(2\xi - x) f(\xi - x) dx d\xi$$

We set $A_{r,s} = \{t: 2^r \leq |t| \leq 2^s\}$. Then for $\xi \in A_l$ and $|x| \leq 2^{l-1}$ we have $2^{l-1} \leq |\xi - x| \leq 2^{l+2}$. So we can replace in the above integral $f(\xi - x)$ by $\chi_{A_{l-1,l+2}}(\xi - x) f(\xi - x)$. Now we change the integration order to obtain

$$\|T_1 f\|_1 \leq \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\substack{j > -l \\ -4 \leq i \leq 1}} \int_{|x| \leq 2^{l-1}} \varphi_j^{(j,q)}(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi_{-l+i}^{(-l+i,q')}(2\xi - x) f \chi_{A_{l-1,l+2}}(\xi - x) d\xi dx$$

$$= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\substack{j > -l \\ -4 \leq i \leq 1}} 2^{-n/q'} \int_{|x| \leq 2^{l-1}} \varphi_j^{(j,q)}(x) (\psi_{-l+i}^{(-l+i+1,q')} * (f \chi_{A_{l-1,l+2}})^{\vee})(x/2) dx$$

Now we choose δ as in lemma 2.1. Then the last sum is bounded by

$$c \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\substack{j > -l \\ -4 \leq i \leq 1}} \|\varphi_j^{(j,q)}\|_{q-\delta} \|\psi_{-l+i}^{(-l+i+1,q')} * (f \chi_{A_{l-1,l+2}})^{\vee}\|_{(q-\delta)}$$

$$\leq c \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\substack{j > -l \\ -4 \leq i \leq 1}} \|\varphi_j^{(j,q)}\|_{q-\delta} \|\psi_{-l+i}^{(l+i+1,q')}\|_{(q-\delta)'} \|f \chi_{A_{l-1,l+2}}\|_1$$

So we apply lemma 2.1 in order to obtain

$$\|T_1 f\|_1 \leq c' \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \|f \chi_{A_{l-1,l+2}}\|_1 \leq c'' \|f\|_1$$

where in the last inequality we use the finite overlapping property of the family $\{f \chi_{A_{l-1,l+2}}\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}}$

The boundedness of T_1 on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 < p < \infty$, follows now from the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem ([S-W]).

LEMMA 3.3. *Let $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}, \{\psi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ as in theorem 3.1. Then the linear operator defined by*

$$T_2 f(\xi) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{A_l}(\xi) \int_{2^{l-1} \leq |x| \leq 2^{l+2}} \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\varphi_j^{(j,q)}(x)| |\psi_k^{(k,q')}(2\xi - x)| f(\xi - x) dx$$

is bounded in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1 < p < \infty$.

PROOF. As in lemma 3.2, it is enough to assume that the functions $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and f are non negative. Along this proof c will denote a positive constant, not necessarily the same, even in a same chain of inequalities

We set

$$U_1 f(\xi) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{A_l}(\xi) \int_{\substack{2^{l-1} \leq |x| \leq 2^{l+2} \\ |2\xi - x| \leq |\xi/2|}} \sum_{j, k \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi_j^{(j, q)}(x) \psi_k^{(k, q)}(2\xi - x) f(\xi - x) dx$$

we also put

$$U_2 f(\xi) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{A_l}(\xi) \int_{\substack{2^{l-1} \leq |x| \leq 2^{l+2} \\ |2\xi - x| \geq |\xi/2|}} \sum_{j, k \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi_j^{(j, q)}(x) \psi_k^{(k, q)}(2\xi - x) f(\xi - x) dx$$

We have $T_2 f = U_1 f + U_2 f$. We first prove that U_1 is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 < p < \infty$. We note that for $|2\xi - x| \leq |\xi/2|$ and $2^{l-1} \leq |x| \leq 2^{l+2}$ we have $2^{l-1} \leq |\xi - x| \leq 2^{l+3}$ then we can replace in the above integral $f(\xi - x)$ by $f \chi_{A_{l-1, l+3}}(\xi - x)$. Moreover the sum is extended only over $-l - 2 \leq j \leq -l + 1$ and $k \geq -l$. Then we have

$$\|U_1 f\|_1 \leq \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{\substack{-1 \leq i \leq 1 \\ k \geq -l}} \varphi_{-l+i}^{(-l+i, q)}(x) \psi_k^{(k, q)}(2\xi - x) f \chi_{A_{l-1, l+3}}(\xi - x) dx \right) d\xi$$

We apply the same argument as in the proof of the lemma 3.2 to obtain $\|U_1 f\|_1 \leq c \|f\|_1$

On the other hand, for $\xi \in A_l$,

$$U_1 f(\xi) \leq \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{\substack{-1 \leq i \leq 1 \\ k \geq -l}} \varphi_j^{(j, q)}(x) \psi_k^{(k, q)}(2\xi - x) f(\xi - x) dx$$

Now we can apply the lemma 2.1 in order to obtain $\|U_1 f\|_\infty \leq c \|f\|_\infty$ for some positive constant $c > 0$. The Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem ([S-W]) give us the L^p -boundedness of U_1 , $1 < p < \infty$.

We consider now $U_2 f$. By the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem ([S-W]), it is enough to check that U_2 is of weak type $p - p$ for $1 < p < \infty$. We take $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\|f\|_p = 1$. We have

$$U_2 f(\xi) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\substack{-2 \leq i \leq 1 \\ -3 \leq m \leq 1}} \chi_{A_l}(\xi) \int_{\substack{2^{l-1} \leq |x| \leq 2^{l+2} \\ |2\xi - x| \geq |\xi/2|}} \varphi_{-l+i}^{(-l+i, q)}(x) \psi_{-l+m}^{(-l+m, q)}(2\xi - x) f(\xi - x) dx$$

We set

$$T_{r,i,m}f(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\varphi_{r+i})_{(i)}(x)(\psi_{r+m})_{(m)}(2\xi - x)f(\xi - x) dx.$$

A change of variable shows that

$$U_2f(\xi) \leq 2^n \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\substack{-2 \leq i \leq 1 \\ -3 \leq m \leq 1}} \chi_{A_l}(\xi) T_{-l;i,m}(f_{(l)})(2^{-l}\xi)$$

Given $\lambda > 0$ we set

$$J_1f(\xi) = \sum_{l < l(\lambda)} \sum_{\substack{-2 \leq i \leq 1 \\ -3 \leq m \leq 1}} \chi_{A_l}(\xi) T_{-l;i,m}(f_{(l)})(2^{-l}\xi)$$

$$J_2f(\xi) = \sum_{l \geq l(\lambda)} \sum_{\substack{-2 \leq i \leq 1 \\ -3 \leq m \leq 1}} \chi_{A_l}(\xi) T_{-l;i,m}(f_{(l)})(2^{-l}\xi)$$

where $l(\lambda)$ is an integer such that $2^{l(\lambda)-1} < \lambda^{-p/n} \leq 2^{l(\lambda)}$

We assert that J_1, J_2 satisfy the weak type $p - p$ inequality. The lemma 2.2 implies that there exists some positive constant c such that

$$\|J_1f\|_1 \leq \sum_{l < l(\lambda)} \sum_{\substack{-2 \leq i \leq 1 \\ -3 \leq m \leq 1}} 2^{ln} \|T_{-l;i,m}(f_{(l)})\|_1 \leq c \sum_{l < l(\lambda)} 2^{ln(1-1/p)} \|f\|_p$$

with c independent of λ . Now we can write

$$|\{\xi: J_1f(\xi) > \lambda/2\}| \leq c\lambda^{-1} \|J_1f\|_1 \leq c\lambda^{-1} 2^{l(\lambda)n(1-1/p)} \|f\|_p \leq c\lambda^{-p}$$

with c independent of f and λ .

On the other hand, we choose $\gamma > 0$ as in the lemma 2.3. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_2f\|_{p+\gamma} &\leq \sum_{l \geq l(\lambda)} \sum_{\substack{-2 \leq i \leq 1 \\ -3 \leq m \leq 1}} \|(T_{-l;i,m}(f_{(l)}))_{(-l)} \chi_{A_l}\|_{p+\gamma} \\ &\leq \sum_{l \geq l(\lambda)} \sum_{\substack{-2 \leq i \leq 1 \\ -3 \leq m \leq 1}} 2^{ln(p+\gamma)} \|T_{-l;i,m}(f_{(l)})\|_{p+\gamma} \\ &\leq c \sum_{l \geq l(\lambda)} 2^{ln(p+\gamma)} \|f_{(l)}\|_p \leq c \sum_{l \geq l(\lambda)} 2^{ln((p+\gamma)^{-1}-p^{-1})} \|f\|_p \leq c 2^{l(\lambda)n((p+\gamma)^{-1}-p^{-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$|\{\xi: J_2f(\xi) > \lambda/2\}| \leq c\lambda^{-(p+\gamma)} \|J_2f\|_{p+\gamma}^{p+\gamma} \leq c\lambda^{-(p+\gamma)} 2^{l(\lambda)n(1-(p+\gamma)/p)} \leq c\lambda^{-p}.$$

LEMMA 3.4. *Let $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}, \{\psi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ as in theorem 3.1. Then the linear operator defined by*

$$T_3f(\xi) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{A_l}(\xi) \int_{2^{l+2} \leq |x|} \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\varphi_j^{(j,q)}(x)| |\psi_k^{(k,q)}(2\xi - x)| f(\xi - x) dx$$

is well defined a.e. $\xi \in R^n$ and bounded on $L^p(R^n)$, $1 < p < \infty$.

PROOF. As above we can assume that the functions $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in Z}$, $\{\psi_j\}_{j \in Z}$ and f are non negative. Then

$$T_3 f(\xi) \leq \int_{2|\xi| \leq |x|} \sum_{j, k \in Z} \varphi_j^{(j, q)}(x) \psi_k^{(k, q')}(2\xi - x) f(\xi - x) dx$$

So it is enough to estimate the boundedness on $L^p(R^n)$, $1 < p < \infty$, of the operator

$$Kf(\xi) = \int_{2|\xi| \leq |x|} \sum_{j, k \in Z} \varphi_j^{(j, q)}(x) \psi_k^{(k, q')}(2\xi - x) f(\xi - x) dx$$

We take $g \in L^{p'}(R^n)$, $g \geq 0$, then Tonelli's theorem implies

$$\int_{R^n} Kf(\xi) g(\xi) d\xi = \int_{R^n} f(u) K^{\#} g(u) du$$

where

$$K^{\#} g(u) = \int_{2|u-x| < |x|} \sum_{j, k \in Z} \varphi_j^{(j, q)}(x) \psi_k^{(k, q')}(2u - x) g(u - x) dx$$

Since for $u \in A_l$, $\{x: 2|u - x| < |x|\} \subseteq \{x: |x| < 2|u|\} \subseteq \{x: |x| \leq 2^{l+2}\}$, then lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 imply the boundedness of $K^{\#}$ on $L^{p'}$. Then

$$\|Kf\|_p = \sup_{\|g\|_{p'} = 1, g \geq 0} \int_{R^n} Kf(\xi) g(\xi) d\xi \leq c \|f\|_p$$

for some positive constant c .

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1. A change of variables and dominated convergence, show that it is enough to prove the boundedness, on $L^p(R^n)$, of the operator given by

$$\tilde{T}f(\xi) = \int_{R^n} \sum_{j, k \in Z} |\varphi_j^{(j, q)}(x)| |\psi_k^{(k, q')}(2\xi - x)| f(\xi - x) dx$$

Now, $\tilde{T}f = T_1 f + T_2 f + T_3 f$ and the theorem follows from the lemmas 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4.

REFERENCES

- [G-S-U] T. Godoy, L. Saal and M. Urciuolo, *About certain singular kernels $K(x, y) = K_1(x - y)K_2(x + y)$* , *Math. Scand.* 74 (1994), 98–110.
- [G-U] T. Godoy and M. Urciuolo, *About the L^p boundedness of some integral operators*, *Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina* 38 (1992), 192–195.
- [R-Sj] F. Ricci and P. Sjögren, *Two parameter maximal functions in the Heisenber group*, *Math. Z.* 199 (1988), 565–575.
- [S-W] E. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean spaces*, 184–186, Princeton 1971.

FACULTAD DE MATEMATICA, ASTRONOMIA Y FISICA
UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE CORDOBA
5000 CORDOBA
ARGENTINA
