

ON BASES FOR σ -FINITE GROUPS

Y. O. HAMIDOUNE and Ö. J. RÖDSETH

Abstract.

Let A be a subset of a σ -finite group G , such that A contains the identity element. Let d and δ denote the lower density of A and the upper asymptotic density of A , respectively. Let K be the subgroup generated by A . We show that A is a σ -basis for K of exact order at most $\max\{2, 2/d - 1\}$, and that A is a basis for K of exact order at most $\max\{2, 2/\delta - 1\}$. Also some sharper results are obtained under more restrictive conditions.

1. Introduction.

Let G be a multiplicative group with identity element 1. Let A and B be nonempty subsets of G . We denote the cardinality of A by $|A|$, and the subgroup generated by A is denoted by $\langle A \rangle$. The product AB is the set of all element of the form ab , where $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. The product of more than two sets is defined similarly. In particular, for a positive integer r , we write A^r for the set of all products of r elements of A . For a positive integer h the set A is a *basis of order h* for G , if $A^h = G$. The least h possessing this property is the *exact order* of A .

Let $G_1 \subseteq G_2 \subseteq \dots$ be an increasing sequence of finite subgroups of G . Then G is σ -finite with respect to the sequence $\{G_i\}$ if $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i$. Clearly, if G is σ -finite, then G is a countable torsion group.

We further put $A_i = A \cap G_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Suppose that there is an h (independent of i) such that A_i is a basis of order h for G_i , for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Then A is a σ -basis of order h for G with respect to the sequence $\{G_i\}$. Again, the least h possessing this property is the *exact order* of A . Clearly, every σ -basis for G of order h is a basis for G of order h . The converse is not true; see Exercise 4 in Nathanson [12, Section 4.6].

For G σ -finite with respect to the sequence $\{G_i\}$, we define the *lower density* $d(A)$ of the set A with respect to $\{G_i\}$ by

$$d(A) = \inf_{i \geq 1} \frac{|A_i|}{|G_i|},$$

and the *upper asymptotic density* $\delta(A)$ of the set A with respect to $\{G_i\}$ by

$$\delta(A) = \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A_i|}{|G_i|}.$$

The additive group of polynomials over the finite field F_q is σ -finite with respect to $\{G_i\}$, if G_i is the additive group of polynomials over F_q of degree less than i . Denoting the set of all sums of two irreducible polynomials in $F_q[x]$ by $2P$, it was shown by Cherly [1] that $2P$ generates $F_q[x]$ and $d(2P) > 0$. Motivated by these facts, Cherly [2] and later Cherly and Deshouillers [3] considered the case of a generating subset A of $F_q[x]$ satisfying $d(A) > 0$. In [3] it was shown that such an A is a basis for $F_q[x]$ of exact order at most $4/d(A)$.

The result of Cherly and Deshouillers was strengthened by Jia and Nathanson [6], who showed that if A is a subset of a σ -finite abelian group G such that $1 \in A$ and $\delta(A) > 0$, then A is a basis for $K = \langle A \rangle$ of exact order at most $4/\delta(A)$.

In this paper we improve the bound of Jia and Nathanson to $\max\{2, 2/\delta(A) - 1\}$ without assuming G to be abelian. We also show that A is a σ -basis for K of exact order at most $\max\{2, 2/d(A) - 1\}$ with respect to a certain increasing sequence of finite subgroups of K . Both these results are deduced from the result that if K is finite, then A is a basis for K of exact order at most $\max\{2, 2|K|/|A| - 1\}$, and this result is in turn deduced from a theorem of Olson [13]. In Section 4 some sharper results are obtained under more restrictive conditions.

2. Preliminaries.

Let A, B be finite nonempty subsets of G . We write B^{-1} for the set of elements b^{-1} , $b \in B$, and xB for $\{xB, x \in G\}$. Also, put $|AB| = |A| + |B| - k$.

It is known that every element $c \in AB$ has at least k representations as a product $c = ab$ with $a \in A, b \in B$. This result goes back to L. Moser and P. Scherk in the case of abelian G , and was proved for nonabelian groups by J. H. B. Kemperman and (independently) D. F. Wehn. A proof can be found in Kemperman's paper [7]. Based on this result Olson [13] gave a simple proof of the theorem below. Olson [14] later gave a more general result, but the result cited below is all we shall need in this paper.

OLSON'S THEOREM. *If $1 \in A$ and r is a positive integer, then $A^r = \langle A \rangle$ or*

$$|A^r| \geq |A| + (r - 1) \left\lceil \frac{|A|}{2} \right\rceil.$$

We shall on some occasions need the following fact:

$$(1) \quad G = AB \text{ or } |G| \geq |A| + |B|.$$

This is easy to see. For if $x \in G \setminus AB$, then $A \cap xB^{-1} = \emptyset$. Hence $|G| \geq |A| + |xB^{-1}| = |A| + |B|$.

Olson's theorem now gives us Lemma 1 below; cf. Theorem 7.2 in Hamidoune [5] and the proposition in Rödseth [15].

LEMMA 1. *Let G be a finite group, and let A be a subset of G . Subset that $1 \in A$ and that A generates G . Then A is a basis for G of exact order at most*

$$\max \left\{ 2, 2 \frac{|G|}{|A|} - 1 \right\}.$$

PROOF. Suppose that A has exact order $h \geq 3$. Then $G \neq AA^{h-2}$, so that by (1),

$$|G| \geq |A| + |A^{h-2}|.$$

By Olson's theorem,

$$|A^{h-2}| \geq |A| + (h-3) \frac{|A|}{2},$$

and Lemma 1 follows.

3. Bases for σ -finite groups.

Let G be σ -finite with respect to the sequence $\{G_i\}$. Let A be subset of G , and put $K = \langle A \rangle$.

As in Section 1, we put $A_i = A \cap G_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots$. Putting $K_i = \langle A_i \rangle$, we have that $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \dots$ is an increasing sequence of subgroups of K . Each K_i is finite since $K_i \subseteq G_i$, and it is easily seen that $A_i = A \cap K_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$. We also have

$$(2) \quad K = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i,$$

so that K is σ -finite with respect to the sequence $\{K_i\}$.

To see that (2) holds, it is sufficient to show that K is contained in the right hand side. First, suppose that $a \in A$. Then $a \in G$, so that there is an i such that $a \in G_i$. Hence $a \in A \cap G_i = A_i$. Now let $k \in K$. Since $K = \langle A \rangle$, we then have

$$k = a_{j_1}^{\pm 1} \cdots a_{j_m}^{\pm 1}, a_{j_i} \in A_{j_i}.$$

Putting $j = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} j_i$, we have $a_{j_i} \in A_j$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Hence $k \in \langle A_j \rangle = K_j$, which completes the proof of (2).

We also put

$$(3) \quad \delta_K(A) = \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A_i|}{|K_i|}.$$

Since $|G_i| \geq |K_i|$ for all i , we then have

$$(4) \quad \delta_K(A) \geq \delta(A).$$

THEOREM 1. *Let G be a group which is σ -finite with respect to the sequence of subgroups $\{G_i\}$. Let A be a subset of G such that $1 \in A$ and $d(A) > 0$, where $d(A)$ is the lower density of A with respect to $\{G_i\}$. Then $K = \langle A \rangle$ is σ -finite, and A is a σ -basis for K of exact order at most $\max \left\{ 2, \frac{2}{d(A)} - 1 \right\}$.*

PROOF. Since $1 \in A_i$ and A_i generates the finite group K_i , Lemma 1 gives us that A_i is a basis for K_i of exact order at most $\max \{2, 2|K_i|/|A_i| - 1\}$. Hence A is a σ -basis for K of exact order at most

$$\max \left\{ 2, 2 \sup_{i \geq 1} \frac{|K_i|}{|A_i|} - 1 \right\} \leq \max \left\{ 2, 2 \sup_{i \geq 1} \frac{|G_i|}{|A_i|} - 1 \right\} = \max \left\{ 2, \frac{2}{d(A)} - 1 \right\},$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. *Let G be a group which is σ -finite with respect to the sequence of subgroups $\{G_i\}$. Let A be a subset of G such that $1 \in A$ and $\delta(A) > 0$, where $\delta(A)$ is the upper asymptotic density of A with respect to $\{G_i\}$. Then A is a basis for $K = \langle A \rangle$ of exact order at most $\max \left\{ 2, \frac{2}{\delta(A)} - 1 \right\}$.*

PROOF. By (4) and the condition $\delta(A) > 0$, we have $\delta_K(A) > 0$. Given an arbitrary ε in the interval $0 < \varepsilon < \delta_K(A)$. Let $k \in K = \langle A \rangle$. Then there exists an i such that $k \in K_i$ and

$$\frac{|A_i|}{|K_i|} \geq \delta_K(A) - \varepsilon.$$

By Lemma 1, there exists a positive integer h such that $k \in A_i^h$ and

$$h \leq \max \left\{ 2, 2 \frac{|K_i|}{|A_i|} - 1 \right\},$$

so that

$$(5) \quad h \leq \max \left\{ 2, \frac{2}{\delta_K(A) - \varepsilon} - 1 \right\}.$$

We thus have that for an arbitrary ε in the interval $0 < \varepsilon < \delta_K(A)$, there is an h satisfying (5) such that $A^h = K$. Hence,

$$h \leq \max \left\{ 2, \frac{2}{\delta_K(A)} - 1 \right\} \leq \max \left\{ 2, \frac{2}{\delta(A)} - 1 \right\},$$

where we also used (4).

EXAMPLE 1. For an integer $n \geq 3$, let G be the additive group $Z_n[X]$, and let G_i be the subgroup consisting of all polynomials of degree strictly less than i . Let A be the set of polynomials with constant term 0 or 1. Then A is a basis for G of exact order $n - 1$. We also have $d(A) = \delta(A) = 2/n$, and we see that both Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 are “sharp”.

4. Further results.

It is possible to improve upon the bound given in Lemma 1 by imposing additional restrictions upon the set A . Improvements of the bound in Lemma 1 give similar improvements of the bounds in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

Here we shall improve upon Theorem 2 in the two cases $A \cap A^{-1} = \{1\}$ and $A = A^{-1}$. For the sake of simplicity we shall deduce our results from a well-known theorem of Kneser [9], [10], [11]. Kneser’s theorem holds, however, only for an abelian G . In this section we therefore assume G to be abelian. For the nonabelian case we refer the reader to the paper [5].

KNESER’S THEOREM. *Let A, B be nonempty finite subsets of an abelian group G . Let H be the largest subgroup of G satisfying $ABH = AB$. Then*

$$|AB| \geq |AH| + |BH| - |H|.$$

A nice proof of Kneser’s theorem can be found in [8]. That proof is also presented in both [12] and [16].

LEMMA 2. *For a positive integer r , let H be the largest subgroup of G satisfying $A^r H = A^r$. Then*

$$|A^r| \geq r|AH| - (r - 1)|H|.$$

PROOF. Putting $H = H_r$, notice that $H_1 \subseteq H_2 \subseteq \dots$. Now, use Kneser’s theorem and induction on r to prove that $|A^r| \geq r|A| - (r - 1)|H_r|$. Then apply this result with A replaced by AH_r .

Now, suppose that $1 \in A$ and that A generates G . Let h be the exact order of A . Also, assume that $h \geq 3$. Let H be the largest subgroup of G satisfying $A^{h-2}H = A^{h-2}$. Then $(AH)A^{h-2} \neq G$, and (1) gives

$$|G| \geq |AH| + |A^{h-2}|.$$

By Lemma 2, we get

$$(6) \quad |G| \geq (h-1)|AH| - (h-3)|H| \text{ for } h \geq 3.$$

We have that AH is a disjoint union of $s \geq 1$ H -cosets. Since $1 \in A$, one of these cosets is H itself. If $s = 1$, then $A \subseteq H$, so that $G = \langle A \rangle \subseteq H$. This implies $A^{h-2} = G$, a contradiction. Hence $s \geq 2$.

By (6), we also have

$$(7) \quad |G| \geq ((h-1)s - (h-3))|H|.$$

Further we have $|A| \leq |AH| = s|H|$, so that by (7),

$$(8) \quad |G| \geq \left(h - 1 - \frac{h-3}{s} \right) |A|.$$

Since $s \geq 2$, this inequality gives us immediately Lemma 1 for the special case of G being abelian.

Here we use this method to prove Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 below.

LEMMA 3. *Let A be a subset of the finite abelian group G . Suppose that $1 \in A$, $A = A^{-1}$, and that A generates G . Then A is a basis for G of exact order h , where*

$$h \leq \max \left\{ 2, \frac{3|G|}{2|A|} \right\}.$$

PROOF. Suppose that $h \geq 3$. For the number s defined above, suppose that $s = 2$. Then $AH = H \cup aH$ for some $a \notin H$. Since $A = A^{-1}$, we have

$$H \cup a^{-1}H = (AH)^{-1} = AH = H \cup aH,$$

so that $a \in a^{-1}H$. Hence $a^2 \in H$, and it follows that $(AH)^2 = AH$. Since A generates G , we thus have $AH = G$, so that $A^{h-2} = A^{h-2}H = G$, a contradiction. Thus $s \geq 3$, and Lemma 3 follows immediately from (8).

THEOREM 3. *Let G be an abelian σ -finite group. Let A be a subset of G such that $1 \in A$, $A = A^{-1}$, and $\delta(A) > 0$. Then A is a basis for $K = \langle A \rangle$ of exact order at most*

$$\max \left\{ 2, \frac{3}{2\delta(A)} \right\}.$$

PROOF. Clearly, $1 \in A_i$ and $A_i = A_i^{-1}$. Hence, by Lemma 3, A_i is a basis for K_i of exact order at most $\max \left\{ 2, \frac{3|K_i|}{2|A_i|} \right\}$. Now, Theorem 3 follows in the same way as we deduced Theorem 2 from Lemma 1.

EXAMPLE 2. Let n, G, G_i be as in Example 1. This time, let A be the set of polynomials with constant term $-1, 0$, or 1 . Then A satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3. We have that A is a basis for G of exact order $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, and that $\delta(A) = 3/n$. This shows that Theorem 3 is sharp.

LEMMA 4. Let A be a subset of the finite abelian group G . Suppose that $A \cap A^{-1} = \{1\}$ and that A generates G . Then A is a basis for G of exact order h , where

$$(9) \quad h \leq \max \left\{ \frac{|G|}{|A| - \frac{1}{2}} + 1, \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{|G|}{|A| - \frac{1}{2}} - 1 \right\}.$$

PROOF. Since $A \cap A^{-1} = \{1\}$, we have $2|A| - 1 \leq |G|$. Therefore (9) holds if $h \leq 2$.

Suppose that $h \geq 3$. Since $A \cap A^{-1} = \{1\}$, at most one of the statements $x \in A, x^{-1} \in A$ holds for $1 \neq x \in H$. Hence,

$$s|H| = |AH| \geq |A \cup H| \geq |A| + \frac{|H| - 1}{2},$$

and by (7),

$$|G| \geq \left(h - 1 - \frac{h - 5}{2s - 1} \right) \left(|A| - \frac{1}{2} \right),$$

so that

$$h \leq \frac{|G|}{|A| - \frac{1}{2}} + 1 \text{ if } h \leq 5,$$

and, since $s \geq 2$,

$$h \leq \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{|G|}{|A| - \frac{1}{2}} - 1 \text{ if } h \geq 5.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.

THEOREM 4. Let G be a group which is abelian, infinite, and σ -finite. Let A be a subset of G such that $A \cap A^{-1} = \{1\}$ and $\delta(A) > 0$. Then A is a basis for $K = \langle A \rangle$ of exact order h , where

$$h \leq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\delta(A)} + 1, \frac{3}{2\delta(A)} - 1 \right\}.$$

PROOF. The conditions G infinite and $\delta(A) > 0$ imply that $|A_i| \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $|K_i| \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, so that for $\delta_K(A)$ given by (3), we also have

$$\delta_K(A) = \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A_i| - \frac{1}{2}}{|K_i|}.$$

Further we have $A_i \cap A_i^{-1} = \{1\}$, and Theorem 4 now follows from Lemma 4 in the same way as Theorem 2 followed from Lemma 1.

EXAMPLE 3. Suppose that $n > 3$ is odd, and let G, G_i be as in Example 1. Let the set B consist of 0 and all polynomials with constant term 0 and leading coefficient congruent mod n to some integer in the interval $1 \leq c \leq (n-1)/2$. Let A be the union of B and the set of all polynomials with constant term 1. Then the conditions of Theorem 4 are satisfied. We see that A is a basis for G of exact order $n-1$, and that $|A_i| = (3n^{i-1} + 1)/2$, so that $\delta(A) = 3/2n$. This shows that Theorem 4 is sharp.

5. Postscript.

Professor Melvyn B. Nathanson has kindly drawn our attention to the fact that for abelian G , the bound given in Theorem 2 can be found in a handwritten manuscript by Deshouillers and Wirsing [4]. In that manuscript this result is deduced from a more complicated and general theorem on sumsets in σ -finite abelian groups.

Most of the results in this paper were independently obtained by each of the two present authors, after we read a presentation of the paper [6] in a preliminary version of Nathanson's book [12]. On the suggestion of Professor Nathanson we merged our results into the present joint paper.

REFERENCES

1. J. Cherly, *Méthodes élémentaires dans l'arithmétique de $F_q[X]$* , Thèse 3ème cycle, Bordeaux, 1976.
2. J. Cherly, *Addition theorems in $F_q[x]$* , *J. reine angew. Math.* 293/294 (1977), 223–227.
3. J. Cherly and J.-M. Deshouillers, *Un théorème d'addition dans $F_q[x]$* , *J. Number Theory* 34 (1990), 128–131.
4. J.-M. Deshouillers and E. Wirsing, *Untitled manuscript*, Undated.
5. Y. O. Hamidoune, *An application of connectivity theory in graphs to factorizations of elements in groups*, *Europ. J. Comb.* 2 (1981), 349–355.
6. X.-D. Jia and M. B. Nathanson, *Addition theorems for σ -finite groups*, In *Proc. Hans Rademacher Centenary Conference*, *Contemp. Math.*, 1994.
7. J. H. B. Kemperman, *On complexes in a semigroup*, *Indag. Math* 18 (1956), 247–254.
8. J. H. B. Kemperman, *On small sumsets in an abelian group*, *Acta Math.* 103 (1960), 63–88.
9. M. Kneser, *Abschätzung der asymptotische Dichte von Summenmengen*, *Math. Z.* 58 (1953), 459–484.
10. M. Kneser, *Ein Satz über abelsche Gruppen mit Anwendungen auf die Geometrie der Zahlen*, *Math. Z.* 61 (1955), 429–434.
11. M. Kneser, *Summenmengen in lokalkompakten abelschen Gruppen*, *Math. Z.* 66 (1956), 88–110.

12. M. B. Nathanson, *Additive Number Theory: 2. Inverse Problems and the Geometry of Sumsets*, Springer-Verlag, to appear.
13. J. E. Olson, *Sums of sets of group elements*, Acta Arith. 28 (1975), 147–156.
14. J. E. Olson, *On the sum of two sets in a group*, J. Number Theory 18 (1984), 110–120.
15. Ö. J. Rödseth, *Two remarks on linear forms in non-negative integers*, Math. Scand. 51 (1982), 193–198.
16. W. D. Wallis, A. P. Street, and J. S. Wallis, *Combinatorics: Room Squares, Sum-Free Sets, Hadamard Matrices*, Lecture Notes in Math. 292 (1972).

UNIVERSITÉ P. ET M. CURIE
COMBINATOIRE, CASE 189
4 PLACE JUSSIEU
75005 PARIS
FRANCE
e-mail: yha@ccr.jussieu.fr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF BERGEN
ALLEGT. 55
N-5007 BERGEN
NORWAY
e-mail: rodseth@mi.uib.no