

# ISOMORPHISM BETWEEN THE ASSOCIATIVE AND NON-ASSOCIATIVE $L_p$ -SPACES OF TYPE II<sub>1</sub> HYPERFINITE FACTORS

SH. A. AYUPOV, S. V. FERLEGER and F. A. SUKOCHEV\*

## Abstract.

We prove that for each  $p \in [1, \infty]$  the non-associative  $L_p$ -space associated with any type II<sub>1</sub> hyperfinite JW-factor is isomorphic to the non-commutative  $L_p$ -space associated with the type II<sub>1</sub> hyperfinite factor.

## 0. Introduction.

It is one of the interesting problems of the theory of non-commutative integration, and as well of non-associative integration, to study linear-topological properties of different classes of Banach spaces, associated with von Neumann and Jordan algebras. The  $L_p$ -spaces associated with injective factors which are, perhaps, the most important objects in the theory of these algebras, present a special interest. The most well-known objects here are the  $L_p$ -spaces associated with the type I<sub>∞</sub> factor, which are nothing but the von Neumann-Schatten  $p$ -classes  $C_p$ , whose geometric structure has been studied very intensively during the last thirty years. The structure of  $L_p$ -spaces associated with non-atomic (non-commutative) von Neumann algebras are less well-known. It appears that the study of their isomorphic structure requires new techniques (see, for example [SC], [FS 1-3], [Su]).

Weakly closed Jordan algebras of self-adjoint operators, the (so-called) JW-algebras, present a non-associative real counterpart to von Neumann algebras (see for details [HS], [Io1], [Ayu 1]). The corresponding non-associative integration theory was developed in [Io1], [Io2], [Ayu 1]. In particular, the “non-associative” analogue of Yeadon’s description [Ye] of isometries between two non-commutative  $L_p$ -spaces, was established in [Ayu 2] and [AA] and it follows that the only possibility for two non-associative  $L_p$ -spaces ( $p \neq 2$ )

---

\* Research supported by A.R.C.

Received October 3, 1994.

to be isometrically isomorphic is to have Jordan isomorphic associated JW-algebras. It follows, for example, that there exist two *non-isometric* non-associative  $L_p$ -spaces for type  $\text{II}_1$  hyperfinite JW-factors (see details in Section 2 below).

In the present paper, we study the linear-topological structure of  $L_p$ -spaces associated with type  $\text{II}_1$  hyperfinite factors and show that, in this case, these two (a priori different) classes of non-commutative and non-associative  $L_p$ -spaces coincide as linear topological spaces. The main technical tool of the paper is a certain total and minimal system ( $D$ -system or non-commutative Walsh system) in the non-commutative  $L_p$ -spaces which is a natural generalization of the classical Walsh system in the usual function spaces  $L_p(0, 1)$ . These systems were introduced in [FS 1] as the eigenvectors of a representation of the dyadic group  $D$  and appear to be useful in the study of geometry of  $L_p$ -spaces associated with the type  $\text{II}_1$  hyperfinite factor  $R$ . For instance, taken in the so-called Walsh-Paley ordering, the form (Schauder) bases in all  $L_p(R)$  spaces for  $1 < p < \infty$  [FS 1,2] and this appears to be the first example of a basis in such spaces for  $p \neq 2$ . Another application of these systems can be found in [FS 3], where it was proved that the homotopic type of general linear groups of  $L_p(R)$  is trivial for all  $1 < p < \infty$ . The non-commutative Walsh system used in the present text is closely connected with the  $D$ -system from [FS 1], Corollary 8, however there is some difference in the methods of their constructions. Namely, we prefer here to define the non-commutative Walsh system via the corresponding non-commutative Rademacher system (a set of its multiplicative generators) which forms a spin system of  $R$ . We construct these systems and study their properties in Section 1 and consider connections with non-associative  $L_p$ -spaces of hyperfinite Jordan factors of type  $\text{II}_1$  in Section 2 below.

We use the terminology of the theory of von Neumann algebras and non-commutative integration (respectively, JW-algebras and non-associative integration) from [Sa], [Ta], [FK] (respectively from [Ayul]). The existence of a linear-topological isomorphism between Banach spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  will be denoted by  $X \approx Y$ .

## 1. Non-commutative Walsh system and auxiliaries.

Let  $M$  be the algebra of complex  $2 \times 2$  matrices with normalized trace  $\text{tr}$  and the identity  $\mathbf{1}_M$ , and let  $R = \otimes_{i=1}^{\infty} (M, \text{tr})$  be the infinite tensor product (see [Sa]) with the faithful normal finite trace  $\tau = \otimes_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{tr}$ . It is well-known that  $R$  is the unique hyperfinite type  $\text{II}_1$  von Neumann factor. The finite dimensional von Neumann factors  $\otimes_{i=1}^n (M, \text{tr}) \otimes \otimes_{i=n+1}^{\infty} (\mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{1}_M, \text{tr})$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , will be denoted by  $R_n$ . The symbol  $\mathbf{1}$  henceforth means the identity of the algebra  $R$ .

Throughout the paper, let  $D$  be the dyadic group, i.e. the group  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_2$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\hat{D}$  denotes the dual group. Recall that each  $\gamma \in \hat{D}$  can be identified with an eventually zero sequence  $(\gamma_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}, \gamma_n \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  such that for every  $t = (t_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in D$  one has  $\gamma(t) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n(t_n)$ . Put  $D_n^* = \{\gamma \in \hat{D} \mid \gamma_i = 0 \text{ for every } i > n\}$  and  $A_n = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \gamma_n = 1\}$ .

Consider the system of generators of the complex Lie group  $SU(2)$ , which are known as Pauli matrices:

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and define the “anticommutative Rademacher system” in  $R$  as follows:

$$(1) \quad r_0 = \mathbf{1}, \quad r_1 = \sigma_1 \otimes \otimes_{i=2}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M, \quad r_2 = \sigma_2 \otimes \otimes_{i=2}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M,$$

and for  $n > 2$

$$r_n = \begin{cases} \otimes_{i=1}^{n-1} \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_1 \otimes \otimes_{i=\frac{n+1}{2}}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M \\ \otimes_{i=1}^{n-1} \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \otimes_{i=\frac{n+1}{2}+1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M \end{cases}$$

The next lemma shows that the above system is really anticommutative and forms a concrete spin system.

LEMMA 1. *The system  $(r_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset R$  has the following properties:*

- (i)  $r_n r_m = -r_m r_n$  if  $n \neq m$ ; and  $r_n^2 = \mathbf{1}$  for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (ii)  $r_n^* = r_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

PROOF. (i) We can consider inly the case  $n > m$  that falls into two subcases:

- a)  $m$  is odd and  $n = m + 1$ ;
- b)  $n = 2k + s, m = 2l + t, k > l$  and  $s, t \in \{1, 2\}$ .

In the case a) we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_n r_m &= r_{m+1} r_m = \\ & (\otimes_{i=1}^{\frac{m+1}{2}-1} \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \otimes_{i=\frac{m+1}{2}+1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M) \cdot (\otimes_{i=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_1 \otimes \otimes_{i=\frac{m+1}{2}}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M) = \\ & (\otimes_{i=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \sigma_3^2 \otimes (\sigma_2 \sigma_1) \otimes \otimes_{i=\frac{m+1}{2}}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M) = - (\otimes_{i=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \sigma_3^2 \otimes (\sigma_1 \sigma_2) \otimes \otimes_{i=\frac{m+1}{2}}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M) = \\ & - (\otimes_{i=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_1 \otimes \otimes_{i=\frac{m+1}{2}}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M) \cdot (\otimes_{i=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \otimes_{i=\frac{m+1}{2}}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M) = \\ & - r_m r_{m+1} = -r_m r_n, \end{aligned}$$

and in the case b) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
r_n r_m &= (\otimes_{i=1}^k \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_s \otimes \otimes_{i=k+2}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M) \cdot (\otimes_{i=1}^l \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_t \otimes \otimes_{i=l+2}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M) = \\
& (\otimes_{i=1}^l \sigma_3 \otimes \otimes_{i=l+1}^k \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_s \otimes \otimes_{i=k+2}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M) \cdot (\otimes_{i=1}^l \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_t \otimes \otimes_{i=l+2}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M) = \\
& \quad \otimes_{i=1}^l \sigma_3^2 \otimes (\sigma_3 \sigma_t) \otimes \otimes_{i=l+2}^k \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_s \otimes \otimes_{i=k+2}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M = \\
& \quad - (\otimes_{i=1}^l \sigma_3^2 \otimes (\sigma_t \sigma_3) \otimes \otimes_{i=l+2}^k \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_s \otimes \otimes_{i=k+2}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M) = \\
& \quad - (\otimes_{i=1}^l \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_t \otimes \otimes_{i=l+2}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M) \cdot (\otimes_{i=1}^k \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_s \otimes \otimes_{i=k+2}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M) = -r_m r_n.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the first part of our claim. For the other part it is sufficient to note that if  $n = 2k + s$ , where  $s \in \{1, 2\}$ , then

$$r_n^2 = (\otimes_{i=1}^k \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_s \otimes \otimes_{i=k+2}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M)^2 = \otimes_{i=1}^k \sigma_3^2 \otimes \sigma_s^2 \otimes \otimes_{i=k+2}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M = \otimes_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M = \mathbf{1}.$$

Notice that we repeatedly used the properties  $\sigma_i \sigma_j = -\sigma_j \sigma_i$ ,  $\sigma_i^2 = \mathbf{1}_M$  for all  $i \neq j$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

(ii) Again for  $n = 2k + s$ , where  $s \in \{1, 2\}$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}
r_n^* &= (\otimes_{i=1}^k \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_s \otimes \otimes_{i=k+2}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M)^* = \\
& \otimes_{i=1}^k \sigma_3^* \otimes \sigma_s^* \otimes \otimes_{i=k+2}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M = \otimes_{i=1}^k \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_s \otimes \otimes_{i=k+2}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M = r_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we have a Rademacher system in  $R$  and it is natural to define the Walsh system in  $R$ , for which this Rademacher system is a system of multiplicative generators.

**DEFINITION.** The *non-commutative Walsh system* is an operator system  $\{w_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \hat{D}}$  in  $R$ , such that

$$w_0 = \mathbf{1}, \quad w_\gamma = \prod_{n \in A_\gamma} r_n, \quad \text{for } 0 \neq \gamma \in \hat{D}.$$

We collect simple but important properties of this system in the following statement.

**LEMMA 2.** Let  $I(\gamma, \eta)$  be the number of inversions in the sequence

$$n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k, m_1 + \frac{1}{2}, m_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, m_l + \frac{1}{2},$$

where  $A_\gamma = \{n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k\}$ , and  $A_\eta = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_l\}$ .

Then  $w_\gamma w_\eta = (-1)^{I(\gamma, \eta)} w_{\gamma + \eta}$ ;

(ii)  $\tau(w_0) = 1$ , and  $\tau(w_\gamma) = 0$  for each  $0 \neq \gamma \in \hat{D}$ ;

(iii)  $w_\gamma = w_\eta$  if and only if  $\gamma = \eta$ ;

(iv) the system  $\{w_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \hat{D}_{2n}^*}$  is the basis of the linear space  $R_n$ ;

(v) for every  $\gamma \in \hat{D}$ ,  $k = \text{Card } A_\gamma$ , one has  $w_\gamma^* = (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} w_\gamma$ ;

(vi) the system  $\{w_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \hat{D}}$  is a total and minimal system in every  $L_p(R)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

**PROOF.** (i) First, observe that if  $\text{Card } A_\gamma = \text{Card } A_\eta = 1$ , then our claim is nothing but the statement (i) of Lemma 1. The general case can be easily deduced from it – one should use the double induction: by  $\text{Card } A_\gamma$  and by  $\text{Card } A_\eta$ .

(ii) The first part of the statement is trivial. Consider some  $0 \neq \gamma \in \hat{D}$  and let  $A_\gamma = \{n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k\}$ . Recall that by Lemma 1 for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  the operator  $r_m$  is a unitary operator, therefore  $\tau(r_m^* w_\gamma r_m) = \tau(r_m w_\gamma r_m) = \tau(w_\gamma)$ . Then, if  $k$  is an even number we have

$$\tau(w_\tau) = \tau(r_{n_1} w_\gamma r_{n_1}) = \tau(-w_\gamma) = -\tau(w_\gamma),$$

because the number of inversions in the sequence  $n_1, n_1 + \frac{1}{2}, n_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, n_k + \frac{1}{2}$  is 0, and the number of inversions in the sequence  $n_2, \dots, n_k, n_1 + \frac{1}{2}$  is  $k - 1$ . Therefore the statement (i) of this lemma implies that  $r_{n_1} w_\gamma r_{n_1} = -w_\gamma$ . It follows that  $\tau(w_\gamma) = 0$  if  $k$  is even. Similarly, if  $k$  is odd then for some  $m > n_k$  we obtain

$$\tau(w_\gamma) = \tau(r_m w_\gamma r_m) = \tau(-w_\gamma) = -\tau(w_\gamma),$$

i.e.  $\tau(w_\gamma) = 0$  in this case as well.

(iii) Let  $w_\gamma = w_\eta$ . Then  $w_\gamma w_\eta$  and by point (i) of this lemma it means that

$$(-1)^{I(\gamma, \gamma)} w_0 = (-1)^{I(\gamma, \eta)} w_{\gamma + \eta}.$$

Since the trace of the left side of the equality is non-zero, the trace of the right side should be also non-zero. By point (ii) of this lemma it is possible if and only if  $\gamma + \eta = 0$ , therefore  $\gamma = \eta$ .

(iv) Since the dimension of the linear space  $R_n$  is  $4^n$  and  $\text{Card} \{w_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in D_{2n}^*}$  is also  $4^n$ , it is sufficient to show that if  $\sum_{\gamma \in D_{2n}^*} a_\gamma w_\gamma = 0$  then  $a_\gamma = 0$  for each element  $\gamma \in D_{2n}^*$ .

But

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \tau\left(\sum_{\gamma \in D_{2n}^*} a_\gamma w_\gamma\right) w_\eta = \tau\left(\sum_{\gamma \in D_{2n}^*} a_\gamma w_\gamma w_\eta\right) = \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in D_{2n}^*} \tau(a_\gamma (-1)^{I(\gamma, \eta)} w_{\gamma + \eta}) = a_\eta (-1)^{I(\eta, \eta)}, \end{aligned}$$

therefore  $a_\eta = 0$  for every  $\eta \in D_{2n}^*$ .

(v) Let  $A_\gamma = \{n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k\}$ . By the statement (i) of the present lemma we can write

$$\begin{aligned} w_\gamma^* &= r_{n_k}^* r_{n_{k-1}}^* \dots r_1^* = (-1)^{k-1} r_{n_{k-1}} \dots r_1 r_{n_k} = \\ &= (-1)^{k-1} (-1)^{k-2} r_{n_{k-2}} \dots r_1 r_{n_{k-1}} r_{n_k} = \dots = \\ &= (-1)^{(k-1)+(k-2)+\dots+1} r_1 r_2 \dots r_{n_k} = (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} w_\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

(vi) It is easy to see that the set  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty R_n$  is dense in the norm topology in every space  $L_p(R)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Now (iv) implies that the system  $\{w_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \hat{D}}$  is total in the mentioned spaces. Suppose that  $\{w_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \hat{D}}$  is not minimal in some  $L_p(R)$ . Then there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\eta \in \hat{D}_n$  and  $\{a_\gamma\}_{\gamma \neq \eta} \subset \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\|w_\eta - \sum_{\gamma \neq \eta} a_\gamma w_\gamma\|_{L_p(R)} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , hence  $\|w_\eta(w_\eta - \sum_{\gamma \neq \eta} a_\gamma w_\gamma)\|_{L_p(R)} \leq \frac{1}{2}$  or  $\|1 - \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} b_\gamma w_\gamma\|_{L_p(R)} \leq \frac{1}{2}$  where  $b_\gamma = a_\gamma + \eta (-1)^{I(\eta, \gamma) + I(\eta, \eta)}$  for every  $\gamma \neq 0$ . Consider the  $*$ -subalgebra  $Z = \mathbb{C}1$  of the

factor  $R$ , and let  $E^Z: L_p(R) \mapsto L_p(R)$  be conditional expectation on the subalgebra  $Z$ . Since the conditional expectation is a trace preserving operator and taking into account the statement (ii) of this lemma we can conclude that  $E^Z w_\gamma = 0$  for every  $\gamma \neq 0$ . Since  $E^Z$  is a contractive projection we obtain

$$1 = \|\mathbf{1}\|_{L_p(R)} = \|E^Z(\mathbf{1} - \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} b_\gamma w_\gamma)\|_{L_p(R)} \leq \|\mathbf{1} - \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} b_\gamma w_\gamma\|_{L_p(R)} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

This contradiction implies that the system  $\{w_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \hat{D}}$  is minimal.

Let  $A$  be a subset of  $\hat{D}$ , and let  $\chi_A$  be the indicator of  $A$ . The bounded operator  $T(A): L_p(R) \mapsto L_p(R)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , is called a *projection along  $A$*  if  $T(A)w_\gamma = \chi_A(\gamma)w_\gamma$  for each  $\gamma \in \hat{D}$  (note that in this case it is indeed a projection). By point (vi) of Lemma 2 if such operator exists then it is determined uniquely. The following properties of projections along sets are straightforward:

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} T(A_2)T(A_1) &= T(A_1 \cap A_2) = T(A_1)T(A_2), \\ T(\hat{D}/A_1) &= \text{Id} - T(A_1), \\ T(A_1 \cup A_2) &= T(A_1) + T(A_2) - T(A_1)T(A_2) \end{aligned}$$

for every  $A_1, A_2 \subset \hat{D}$ .

In general, there are no reasons for the projection along an arbitrary set  $A$  to exist. However, there are some important classes of subsets for which these projections always exist.

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $G$  be a subgroup of  $\hat{D}$ . Then the following projections exist and are bounded in every space  $L_p(R)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ :*

- (i) *the projection along  $G$ ;*
- (ii) *the projection along  $\gamma + G$  for each  $\gamma \in \hat{D}$ .*

**PROOF.** (i) Let us consider the subsets

$$M_n = \{\sum_{\gamma \in G \cap D_n^*} a_\gamma w_\gamma \mid a_\gamma \in \mathbb{C}\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

of the factor  $R$ . By (i),(v) of Lemma 2, every  $M_n$  is a  $*$ -subalgebra of  $R$ . Let  $T_n: L_p(R) \mapsto L_p(R)$  be conditional expectation on  $M_n$ . Fix some  $\mu \in \hat{D}$ . Since  $T_n w_\mu \in M_n$ , we can put  $T_n w_\mu = \sum_{\rho \in G \cap D_n^*} a_{\rho\mu} w_\rho$ . Properties of conditional expectations imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(w_\gamma w_\mu) &= \tau(w_\gamma T_n w_\mu) = \tau(w_\gamma \sum_{\rho \in G \cap D_n^*} a_{\rho\mu} w_\rho) = \\ &= \tau(\sum_{\rho \in G \cap D_n^*} a_{\rho\mu} (-1)^{I(\gamma, \rho)} w_{\gamma+\rho}) = (-1)^{I(\gamma, \mu)} a_{\gamma\mu} \end{aligned}$$

for every  $\gamma \in G \cap D_n^*$ . In particular, if  $\mu \notin G \cap D_n^*$  then  $\tau(w_\gamma w_\mu) = 0$ , i.e.  $a_{\gamma\mu} = 0$  for every  $\gamma \in G \cap D_n^*$ , so that  $T_n w_\mu = 0$ ; and if  $\mu \in G \cap D_n^*$  then  $a_{\gamma\mu} = 0$  for  $\gamma \neq \mu$  as before and

$$(-1)^{I(\mu, \mu)} = \tau(w_\mu w_\mu) = (-1)^{I(\mu, \mu)} a_{\mu\mu},$$

i.e.  $a_{\mu\mu} = 1$ , so that  $T_n w_\mu = w_\mu$ .

Now consider the sequence  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ . For every  $x = \sum_{\gamma \in \hat{D}} x_\gamma w_\gamma$ , where the sum is finite, the sequence  $\{T_n x\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is eventually equal to  $\sum_{\gamma \in \hat{D}} \chi_G(\gamma) x_\gamma w_\gamma$  therefore it is a convergent sequence. By point (vi) of Lemma 2 the set of all above elements  $x$  is dense in the space  $L_p(R)$ . So, taking into account that  $T_n$  are contractive projective projections in  $L_p(R)$  we obtain that there exists a strong limit, say  $T$ , of the operator sequence  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and, in addition,  $\|T\|_{L_p(R) \rightarrow L_p(R)} \leq 1$ . Now it is sufficient to notice that  $T = T(G)$ , because

$$T w_\gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n w_\gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_G(\gamma) w_\gamma = \chi_G(\gamma) w_\gamma.$$

(ii) It is a simple corollary from the preceding point. If  $\gamma \in G$  then  $T(\gamma + G) = T(G)$ . In the other case consider the set  $\Gamma = G \cup (\gamma + G) \in \hat{D}$  which is evidently a subgroup. Then the projection  $T(\Gamma) - T(G)$  is just  $T(\gamma + G)$  by (2).

Given an increasing sequence  $(n_k)_{k=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{N}$ , consider the following group homomorphisms  $\varphi(n_k): \hat{D} \mapsto \hat{D}$ :

$$\varphi(n_k)(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_k, \dots) = (0, \dots, \gamma_1, 0, \dots, 0, \gamma_2, 0, \dots, 0, \gamma_k, 0, \dots),$$

where  $\gamma_k$  is on the  $n_k$ th place.

There are trivial but significant observation, namely

$$(3) \quad \text{Card } A_\gamma = \text{Card } A_{\varphi(n_k)\gamma}; \quad I(\gamma, \mu) = I(\varphi(n_k)\gamma, \varphi(n_k)\mu).$$

Next, let  $\Phi(n_k): \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty R_n \mapsto \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty R_n$ , where

$$\Phi(n_k)(\sum_{\gamma \in D_n^*} a_\gamma w_\gamma) = \sum_{\gamma \in D_n^*} a_\gamma w_{\varphi(n_k)\gamma}.$$

By (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(n_k)(xy) &= \Phi(n_k)(\sum_{\gamma \in D_n^*} x_\gamma w_\gamma \cdot \sum_{\mu \in D_n^*} y_\mu w_\mu) = \\ &= \Phi(n_k)(\sum_{\gamma \in D_n^*} \sum_{\mu \in D_n^*} x_\gamma y_\mu \cdot (-1)^{I(\gamma, \mu)} w_{\gamma + \mu}) = \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in D_n^*} \sum_{\mu \in D_n^*} x_\gamma y_\mu (-1)^{I(\gamma, \mu)} w_{\varphi(n_k)(\gamma + \mu)} = \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in D_n^*} \sum_{\mu \in D_n^*} x_\gamma y_\mu W_{\varphi(n_k)\gamma} W_{\varphi(n_k)\mu} = \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in D_n^*} x_\gamma W_{\varphi(n_k)\gamma} \sum_{\mu \in D_n^*} y_\mu W_{\varphi(n_k)\mu} = \\ &= \Phi(n_k)(x) \Phi(n_k)(y), \end{aligned}$$

and putting  $J(\gamma) = \text{Card } A_\gamma$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(n_k)(x^*) &= \Phi(n_k)((\sum_{\gamma \in D_n^*} x_\gamma w_\gamma)^*) = \Phi(n_k)(\sum_{\gamma \in D_n^*} \bar{x}_\gamma w_\gamma^*) = \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in D_n^*} \bar{x}_\gamma \Phi(n_k) w_\gamma^* = \sum_{\gamma \in D_n^*} \bar{x}_\gamma \Phi(n_k)((-1)^{\frac{J(\gamma)(J(\gamma)-1)}{2}} w_\gamma) = \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in D_n^*} \bar{x}_\gamma (-1)^{\frac{J(\varphi(n_k)\gamma)(J(\varphi(n_k)\gamma)-1)}{2}} \Phi(n_k) w_\gamma = \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in D_n^*} \bar{x}_\gamma (-1)^{\frac{J(\varphi(n_k)\gamma)(J(\varphi(n_k)\gamma)-1)}{2}} w_{\varphi(n_k)\gamma} = \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in D_n^*} \bar{x}_\gamma = \sum_{\gamma \in D_n^*} \bar{x}_\gamma w_{\varphi(n_k)\gamma}^* = \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in D_n^*} \bar{x}_\gamma (\Phi(n_k) w_\gamma)^* = (\Phi(n_k) \sum_{\gamma \in D_n^*} x_\gamma w_\gamma)^* = (\Phi(n_k)(x))^* \end{aligned}$$

for every  $x = \sum_{\gamma \in D_n^*} x_\gamma w_\gamma \in \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty R_n$ ,  $y = \sum_{\gamma \in D_n^*} y_\gamma w_\gamma \in \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty R_n$ . Therefore  $\Phi(n_k)$  is a well-defined \*-homomorphism of  $R_n$  into  $R_{n_k}$  preserving the trace, so for every  $x \in \bigcup R_n$  one has  $\|\Phi(n_k)x\|_{L_p(R)} = \|x\|_{L_p(R)}$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Since the set  $\bigcup R_n$  is norm-dense in the Banach space  $X = L_p(R)$ , this  $\Phi(n_k)$  uniquely extends to an isometry of whole  $L_p(R)$ . In the sequel we shall denote this isometry also by  $\Phi(n_k)$ . Similarly, we will use the symbol  $T(n_k)$  having in mind the projection along the subgroup  $A = \varphi(n_k)\hat{D}$ . It is straightforward that  $\Phi(n_k)X = T(n_k)X$ ,  $X = L_p(R)$ .

We recall now, that the Pelczynski decomposition principle is the following statement (see, for example [LT]):

*Given a Banach space  $X$ , if  $X \approx l_p(X)$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and some  $Y$  is a complemented subspace in  $X$ , and some  $Z$  is a complemented subspace in  $Y$  and besides  $Z \approx X$ , then  $Y \approx X$ .*

Here

$$l_p(X) = \{(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \mid x_n \in X, \|(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty\|_{l_p(X)} = (\sum_{n=1}^\infty \|x_n\|_X^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty\}.$$

The following lemma shows that the Pelczynski decomposition principle may be applied to the Banach space  $X = L_p(R)$  (see also [FS 3], Lemma 2.1).

**LEMMA 4.** *For every  $p \in [1, \infty)$  the spaces  $l_p(L_p(R))$  and  $L_p(R)$  are isomorphic.*

**PROOF.** Let  $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be an arbitrary family of mutually orthogonal projections from  $R$ , and let  $P : L_p(R) \rightarrow L_p(R)$  be the associated block projection operator which was constructed in [CKS]. It follows from [CKS] that  $P$  is a bounded projection and for every  $x \in L_p(R)$  we have  $Px = \sum_{k=1}^\infty p_k x p_k$ . It is clear that  $Y = P(L_p(R))$  is a complemented subspace of  $L_p(R)$ . Since the von Neumann algebras  $R$  and  $p_k R p_k$  are \*-isomorphic for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  (see [Ye]) there exists a bijective isometry  $j_k : L_p(R) \rightarrow L_p(p_k R p_k)$ . Consider the operator  $T : l_p(L_p(R)) \rightarrow Y$  defined by  $T((x_k)_{k=1}^\infty) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty j_k x_k$ . Since



$$\|(x_k)\|_{L_p(L_p(M,\tau))}^p = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k\|_{L_p(M,\tau)}^p = \|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} j_k x_k\|_{L_p(M,\tau)}^p,$$

$T$  is an isometric map. It is easily seen that the map

$$Y \ni y \mapsto (j_k^{-1}(p_k y p_k))_{k=1}^{\infty} \in l_p(L_p(R))$$

is the inverse of  $T$ . Therefore  $T$  isometrically maps the space  $l_p(L_p(R))$  onto  $Y$ . Now it is sufficient to use the Pelczynski decomposition principle for the space  $l_p(L_p(R))$ .

**2. Non-associative  $L_p$ -spaces associated with type  $II_1$  hyperfinite Jordan factors.**

The principal objective of this section is to show that for every  $p \in [1, \infty]$  all non-associative  $L_p$ -spaces, associated with type  $II_1$  hyperfinite JW-factors are topologically isomorphic to  $L_p(R)$ . Complete classification of injective JW-factors was suggested in [Ayu 1]. In fact, there are only two non-isomorphic type  $II_1$  hyperfinite JW-factors. The first, say  $R_1$ , is just the self-adjoint part of  $R$ . The more complicated one, say  $R_0$ , may be described as the set of all fixed points of  $R_1$  under an involutive  $*$ -antiautomorphism (*involution*) of  $R$  with the induced algebraic structure. Notice that in spite of  $R$  admits a lot of involutions, the corresponding JW-factors  $R_0$  are known to be Jordan isomorphic, because in the injective factor  $R$  all involutions are conjugated (see [St], [Gi1], [Gi2]).

In order to choose a concrete involution  $\alpha$  of  $R$ , let us consider the crossed product (see [Ta, ch.V])  $L^\infty(D) \otimes_\omega \hat{D}$  where

$$(\omega(\gamma)f)(t) = f(t + \gamma) \text{ for every } \gamma \in \hat{D} \text{ and } f \in L^\infty(D),$$

and  $t + \gamma$  is the element  $(t_i + \gamma_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of  $D$ . By [Sa, 4.4.6] we have that  $L^\infty(D) \otimes_\omega \hat{D}$  is a hyperfinite factor of type  $II_1$  and there is a  $*$ -isomorphism  $\Psi : L^\infty(D) \otimes_\omega \hat{D} \mapsto R$  such that for each  $\gamma = (\gamma_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \in \hat{D}$

$$\Psi(\pi(\gamma(t))) = \otimes_{i=1}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^{\gamma_i}, \quad \Psi(U_\gamma) = \otimes_{i=1}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{\gamma_i}.$$

Here  $\pi(f(t))$ , and  $U_\gamma$  are standard generators of  $L^\infty(D) \otimes_\omega \hat{D}$ .

Let  $\beta$  be the canonical involution of  $L^\infty(D) \otimes_\omega \hat{D}$  (see [Ayu], Ch.2, 3, example 1). Recall that if  $x$  is a finite sum  $\sum \pi(f_\gamma) U_\gamma, f_\gamma \in L^\infty(D)$ , then by definition  $\beta(x) = \sum U_\gamma \pi(f_\gamma)$ . We put for every  $x \in R$ :

$$\alpha(x) = \Psi \beta \Psi^{-1} x.$$

Clearly,  $\alpha$  is an involution of  $R$ .

LEMMA 5. For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  one has

$$\alpha(r_n) = \begin{cases} r_n & , \text{ if } n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}, \\ -r_n & , \text{ if } n \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. We shall consider only the case  $n \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ , because the other cases are quite similar. Let  $n = 4k, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$r_n = \otimes_{i=1}^{2k-1} \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \otimes_{i=2k+1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M = \otimes_{i=1}^{2k-1} (\mathbf{i}\sigma_1 \sigma_2) \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \otimes_{i=2k+1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M =$$

$$(\otimes_{i=1}^{2k-1} \mathbf{i}\sigma_1 \otimes \otimes_{i=2k}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M) \cdot (\otimes_{i=1}^{2k} \sigma_2 \otimes \otimes_{i=2k+1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M),$$

and therefore

$$\Psi^{-1} r_n = \Psi^{-1} (\otimes_{i=1}^{2k-1} \mathbf{i}\sigma_1 \otimes \otimes_{i=2k}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M) \cdot \Psi^{-1} (\otimes_{i=1}^{2k} \sigma_2 \otimes \otimes_{i=2k+1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M) =$$

$$\mathbf{i}^{2k-1} \pi(\gamma(t)) U_{\mu},$$

where  $\gamma = (1, 1, \dots, 1_{2k-1}, 0, 0, \dots)$  (first  $2k - 1$  coordinates are 1, the rest are 0), and  $\mu = (1, 1, \dots, 1_{2k}, 0, 0, \dots)$  (first  $2k$  coordinates equal to 1, others being 0). It follows that

$$\beta(\Psi^{-1} r_n) = \mathbf{i}^{2k-1} \beta(\pi(\gamma(t)) U_{\mu}) = \mathbf{i}^{2k-1} U_{\mu} \pi(\gamma(t)).$$

Finally,

$$\alpha(r_n) = \Psi \beta \Psi^{-1} r_n = \mathbf{i}^{2k-1} \Psi(U_{\mu} \pi(\gamma(t))) =$$

$$\Psi(\Psi^{-1} (\otimes_{i=1}^{2k} \sigma_2 \otimes \otimes_{i=2k+1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M) \cdot \Psi^{-1} (\otimes_{i=1}^{2k-1} \mathbf{i}\sigma_1 \otimes \otimes_{i=2k}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M)) =$$

$$(\otimes_{i=1}^{2k} \sigma_2 \otimes \otimes_{i=2k+1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M) \cdot (\otimes_{i=1}^{2k-1} \mathbf{i}\sigma_1 \otimes \otimes_{i=2k}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M) =$$

$$\otimes_{i=1}^{2k-1} (\mathbf{i}\sigma_2 \sigma_1) \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \otimes_{i=2k+1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M = \otimes_{i=1}^{2k-1} (-\mathbf{i}\sigma_1 \sigma_2) \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \otimes_{i=2k+1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M =$$

$$(-1)^{2k-1} \otimes_{i=1}^{2k-1} (\mathbf{i}\sigma_1 \sigma_2) \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \otimes_{i=2k+1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M = -\otimes_{i=1}^{2k-1} \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \otimes_{i=2k+1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_M$$

$$= -r_n.$$

From now on, we set  $R_0 = \{x \in R_1 : \alpha(x) = x\}$ . We shall prove now the main result of the paper.

**THEOREM 1.** *For each  $p \in [1, \infty]$  the spaces  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $L_p(R_0)$  and  $L_p(R_1)$  are isomorphic as real Banach spaces.*

PROOF. First let  $p < \infty$ . Below overlines denote the closure of a set in the  $L_p$ -norm topology. The main idea of the proof is to consider the following chain of real Banach spaces

$$X = L_p(\mathbb{R}) \supset X_0 \supset X_1 \supset X_2 \supset X_3,$$

where

$$X_0 = \overline{\{\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} a_{\gamma} w_{\gamma} \mid a_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{C}\}}, \quad X_1 = \overline{\{\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} a_{\gamma} w_{\gamma} \mid a_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{R}\}},$$

$$X_2 = \overline{\{\sum_{\gamma \in A_2} a_{\gamma} w_{\gamma} \mid a_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{R}\}}, \quad X_3 = \overline{\{\sum_{\gamma \in A_3} a_{\gamma} w_{\gamma} \mid a_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{R}\}},$$

$A_2 = \{\gamma \in \hat{D} \mid \text{Card } A_\gamma \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}\}$ ,  $A_3 = \{\gamma \in A_2 \mid \text{if } n \in A_\gamma \text{ then } n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}\}$ ,  
 and repeated application of the decomposition principle to show that all these spaces are isomorphic. On the other hand, it turns out that

$$X_3 \subset L_p(R_0) \subset L_p(R_1) \approx L_p(R);$$

using the decomposition principle once more, we will obtain our claim.

For each  $x = \sum_{\gamma \in \hat{D}} x_\gamma w_\gamma \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n$  put  $\theta(x) = \sum_{\gamma \in \hat{D}} x_\gamma w_\gamma^*$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(xy) &= \theta\left(\sum_{\gamma \in \hat{D}} x_\gamma w_\gamma \cdot \sum_{\mu \in \hat{D}} y_\mu w_\mu\right) = \theta\left(\sum_{\gamma \in \hat{D}} \sum_{\mu \in \hat{D}} x_\gamma y_\mu (-1)^{l(\gamma, \mu)} w_{\gamma+\mu}\right) = \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \hat{D}} \sum_{\mu \in \hat{D}} x_\gamma y_\mu (-1)^{l(\gamma, \mu)} w_{\gamma+\mu}^* = \sum_{\gamma \in \hat{D}} \sum_{\mu \in \hat{D}} x_\gamma y_\mu (w_\gamma w_\mu)^* = \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \hat{D}} \sum_{\mu \in \hat{D}} x_\gamma y_\mu w_\mu^* w_\gamma^* = \sum_{\mu \in \hat{D}} y_\mu w_\mu^* \cdot \sum_{\gamma \in \hat{D}} x_\gamma w_\gamma^* = \theta(y)\theta(x), \\ \theta(x^*) &= \theta\left(\left(\sum_{\gamma \in \hat{D}} x_\gamma w_\gamma\right)^*\right) = \theta\left(\sum_{\gamma \in \hat{D}} \bar{x}_\gamma w_\gamma^*\right) = \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \hat{D}} \bar{x}_\gamma w_\gamma = \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \hat{D}} x_\gamma w_\gamma^*\right)^* = \theta\left(\sum_{\gamma \in \hat{D}} x_\gamma w_\gamma\right)^* = \theta(x)^*, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tau(\theta(x)) = \tau\left(\theta\left(\sum_{\gamma \in \hat{D}} x_\gamma w_\gamma\right)\right) = \tau\left(\sum_{\gamma \in \hat{D}} x_\gamma w_\gamma^*\right) = x_0 = \tau\left(\sum_{\gamma \in \hat{D}} x_\gamma w_\gamma\right) = \tau(x),$$

$\theta$  is a trace preserving \*-antiautomorphism of every algebra  $R_n$ . Therefore  $\|\theta(x)\|_{L_p(R)} = \|x\|_{L_p(R)}$  for every  $x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n$ , so  $\theta$  can be uniquely extended to an isometry of whole  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n$ . Define the map  $Px = \frac{1}{2}(x + \theta(x)^*)$ . It is straightforward that  $P$  is a contractive projection and for each  $x = \sum_{\gamma \in \hat{D}} x_\gamma w_\gamma \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n$ :

$$Px = \frac{1}{2}(x + \theta(x)^*) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{\gamma \in \hat{D}} x_\gamma w_\gamma + \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \hat{D}} x_\gamma w_\gamma^*\right)^*\right) = \sum_{\gamma \in \hat{D}} \text{Re}(x_\gamma) w_\gamma.$$

Now let us prove that the spaces  $X_i$ ,  $i = \overline{0, 3}$ , are complemented in  $X$ . By definitions

$$X_0 = T(\hat{D}/\{0\})X, \quad X_1 = PT(\hat{D}/\{0\})X,$$

$$X_2 = T(A_2)PT(\hat{D}/\{0\})X, \quad X_3 = T(B)T(A_2)PT(\hat{D}/\{0\})X.$$

where  $B = \{\gamma \in \hat{D} \mid \text{if } n \in A_\gamma \text{ then } n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}\}$  so that  $A_3 = B \cap A_2$ . Each of the above operators is a projection (this follows from (2) and the simple fact that  $P$  commutes with any projection along a set). Next,  $T(\hat{D}/\{0\}) = \text{Id} - T(\{0\})$  and since  $T(\{0\})$  is a bounded projection along the trivial subgroup  $\{0\}$ , by Lemma 3 the operator  $T(\hat{D}/\{0\})$  is also bounded. For every  $x \in PX$  let  $Nx = \frac{1}{2}(x + x^*)$ . Taking into account the assertion (v) of Lemma 2 one has for every  $\gamma \in \hat{D}$ ,  $\text{Card } A_\gamma = k$ :

$$Nw_\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(w_\gamma + w_\gamma^*) = \frac{1}{2}(w_\gamma + (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} w_\gamma) = \chi_{A_2}(\gamma) w_\gamma = T(A_2)w_\gamma \in PX$$

and we conclude that  $N$  is a contractive projection in  $PX$ . Moreover  $T(A_2)|_{PX} = N$ , i.e. the projection  $T(A_2)P$  is bounded. Finally, notice that  $B$  is a subgroup of  $\hat{D}$  so by Lemma 3 the projection  $T(B)$  is bounded. Bringing these facts together we obtain that the spaces  $X_i, i = \overline{0, 3}$ , are complemented in  $X$ .

Fix some  $0 \neq \gamma \in \varphi(2k - 1)\hat{D}$ , let  $Z = \{aw_\gamma | a \in \mathbb{C}\}$  so that  $\dim Z = 1$ , and consider the space

$$Y = T(\{\gamma\} \cup \varphi(2k)\hat{D})X_0 = \Phi(2k)X_0 \oplus Z \subset X_0.$$

The projection  $T(\{\gamma\} \cup \varphi(2k)\hat{D}) = T(\{\gamma\}) + T(2k)$  is bounded by Lemma 3, hence  $Y$  is complemented in  $X_0$ . In addition, since  $\Phi(2k)$  is an isometry, we have

$$Y = \Phi(2k)X_0 \oplus Z \approx X_0 \oplus T(\{0\})X = X,$$

and by the decomposition principle

$$(4) \quad X_0 \approx X.$$

We have  $X_0 = PX_0 \oplus (\text{Id} - P)X_0 = X_1 \oplus iX_1 \approx X_1 \oplus X_1$ .

Put  $Y = T(\varphi(2k - 1)\hat{D} \cup \varphi(2k)\hat{D})X_1$ .

By definition,  $Y$  is complemented in  $X_1$ . On the other hand

$$Y = T(2k)X_1 \oplus T(2k - 1)X_1 = \Phi(2k)X_1 \oplus \Phi(2k - 1)X_1 \approx X_1 \oplus X_1 \approx X_0.$$

Using the decomposition principle we obtain that

$$(5) \quad X_0 \approx X_1.$$

The case of  $X_2$  is slightly more complicated. Evidently

$$(6) \quad X_1 = T(A_2)X_1 \oplus T(\hat{D}/A_2)X_1 = X_2 \oplus Z,$$

where  $Z = T(\hat{D}/A_2)X_1$ . Let  $\Delta: X \mapsto X$  be the map  $\Delta x = r_1 r_2 x$ . It is clear that  $\Delta$  is an isometry. Consider the spaces

$$Y_1 = \Phi(2k - 1)X_2, Y_2 = \Phi(2k)\Delta\Phi(k + 2)Z.$$

If we put  $\delta: \hat{D} \mapsto \hat{D}, \delta(\gamma) = (1, 1, 0, 0, \dots) + \gamma$  and

$$L = \varphi(2k) \circ \delta \circ \varphi(k + 2)(\hat{D}/A_2) =$$

$$\{(0, 1, 0, 1, 0, \gamma_1, 0, \gamma_2, 0, \dots): \text{Card}\{i | \gamma_i = 1\} \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}\},$$

then we can write that

$$\begin{aligned} Y_2 &= \Phi(2k)\Delta\Phi(k + 2)T(\hat{D}/A_2)X_1 = \Phi(2k)\Delta T(\varphi(k + 2)(\hat{D}/A_2))X_1 = \\ &= \Phi(2k)T(\delta \circ \varphi(k + 2)(\hat{D}/A_2))X_1 = T(\varphi(2k) \circ \varphi(k + 2)(\hat{D}/A_2))X_1 = T(L)X_1. \end{aligned}$$

Of course the last equality is possible only if the projection along  $L$  exists. But  $L = A_2 \cap (\mu + \varphi(2(k + 2))\hat{D})$ , where  $\mu = (0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$  hence by Lemma 3 (ii) and (2)  $T(L)$  is bounded. Now consider the space

$$Y = T(\varphi(2k - 1)\hat{D} \cup L)X_2$$

which is obviously complemented in  $X_2$ . On the other hand, since

$$\varphi(2k - 1)\hat{D} \cap L \subset \varphi(2k - 1)\hat{D} \cap \varphi(2k)\hat{D} = \{0\},$$

we have

$$Y = T(\varphi(2k - 1)\hat{D} \cup L)X_2 = (T(2k - 1) + T(L) - T(\{0\}))X_2.$$

Since  $T(\{0\})X_2 \subset T(\{0\})X_0 = T(\{0\})T(\hat{D}/\{0\})X = \{0\}$ , and using the equality  $T(L)X_2 = T(L)X_1$ , we obtain from (6) that

$$\begin{aligned} Y &\approx T(2k - 1)X_2 \oplus T(L)X_2 = Y_1 \oplus Y_2 = \\ &\Phi(2k - 1)X_2 \oplus \Phi(2k)\Delta\Phi(k + 2)Z \approx X_2 \oplus Z = X_1. \end{aligned}$$

The decomposition principle implies that

$$(7) \quad X_2 \approx X_1.$$

The case of  $X_3$  now is quite clear. By definition,  $X_3 = \Phi(n_k)X_2$  where  $n_{2k-1} = 4k - 3$ ,  $n_{2k} = 4k - 2$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that

$$(8) \quad X_3 \approx X_2.$$

The following equalities show that, in fact, the isomorphism  $L_p(R_1) \approx L_p(R)$  is obvious in view of (4) and (5):

$$\begin{aligned} L_p(R_1) &\approx T(\{0\})L_p(R_1) \oplus T(\hat{D}/\{0\})L_p(R_1) \approx \\ &T(\{0\})L_p(R_1) \oplus PT(\hat{D}/\{0\})L_p(R_1) \oplus (\text{Id} - P)T(\hat{D}/\{0\})L_p(R_1) = \\ &T(\{0\})L_p(R_1) \oplus \{x|x \in X_1, x^* = x\} \oplus \{ix|x \in X_1, x^* = -x\} \approx \\ &T(\{0\})L_p(R_1) \oplus \{x|x \in X_1, x^* = x\} \oplus \{x|x \in X_1, x^* = -x\} = \\ &T(\{0\})L_p(R_1) \oplus NX_1 \oplus (\text{Id} - N)X_1 \approx T(\{0\})L_p(R_1) \oplus X_1 \approx \\ &T(\{0\})L_p(R_1) \oplus X_0 \approx L_p(R). \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the map  $S: R \rightarrow R$ ,  $Sx = \frac{1}{2}(x + \alpha(x))$ . Since  $\alpha$  is involutive we have that  $S^2 = S$ , i.e.  $S$  is a projection. Moreover,  $\alpha$  is a trace-preserving  $*$ -anti-automorphism and thus for every  $x \in R$  one has  $\|\alpha(x)\|_{L_p(R)}$  and  $S$  is contractive. It follows that  $S$  uniquely extends to a contractive projective projection in  $L_p(R)$  and since  $SR_1 = R_0$  we obtain  $SL_p(R_1) = L_p(R_0)$ . Therefore  $L_p(R_0)$  is complemented in  $L_p(R_1)$ .

Finally, let us prove that  $X_3 \subset L_p(R_0)$ . Recall that by definition of  $X_3$  and  $L_p(R_0)$  it is sufficient to prove that if  $w_\gamma \in X_3$  then  $w_\gamma \in R_0$ . Since  $k = \text{Card } A_\gamma \equiv 0, 1 \pmod 4$  we have

$$(9) \quad w_\gamma^* = (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} w_\gamma = w_\gamma,$$

hence  $w_\gamma \in R_1$ . By definition, every  $w_\gamma \in X_3$  has the form

$$w_\gamma = r_{n_1} r_{n_2} \dots r_{n_k}, \quad k \equiv 0, 1 \pmod 4, \quad n_i \equiv 1, 2 \pmod 4, \quad i = \overline{1, k}.$$

Then by Lemma 5 and by (9) we see that

$$\alpha(w_\gamma) = \alpha(r_{n_1} r_{n_2} \dots r_{n_k}) = r_{n_k} r_{n_{k-1}} \dots r_{n_1} = w_\gamma^* = w_\gamma.$$

Therefore if  $w_\gamma \in X_3$  then  $w_\gamma \in R_0$ , i.e.  $X_3 \subset L_p(R_0)$ .

Now we are in a position to finish the proof. Since  $L_p(R_0)$  is complemented in  $L_p(R_1) \approx L_p(R)$  and  $X_3$  is complemented in  $L_p(R)$  (consequently, in  $L_p(R_0)$ ) and by (4), (5), (7), (8)  $X_3 \approx L_p(R)$ , so using the Pelczynski decomposition principle we can conclude that  $L_p(R_0) \approx L_p(R)$ .

Since  $L_\infty(R_i) = R_i \approx L_1(R_i)^*$ ,  $i = 0, 1$  (see [Ayu], Ch.4, Proposition 2.3), the case  $p = \infty$  obviously follows by duality.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.** It is a great pleasure for the first author to thank Prof. J.-L. Loday for his kind invitation at Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée (Université Louis Pasteur et C.N.R.S) in Strasbourg, where the final version was carried out.

#### REFERENCES

- [AA] Sh. A. Ayupov, R. Z. Abdullaev, *Isometries of non-associative  $L_p$ -spaces*, in: L. Accardi et al. (eds.) *Quantum Probability and Appl.* IV Lect. Notes Math. 1396 (1989), 99–106.
- [Ayu1] Sh. A. Ayupov, *Classification and Representation of Ordered Jordan Algebras*, Tashkent: Fan (1986).
- [Ayu2] Sh. A. Ayupov, *Isometries of non-associative  $L_p$ -space*, Preprint 05-1987, Università di Roma II.
- [CKS] V. I. Chilin, A. V. Krygin, F. A. Sukochev, *Extreme points of convex fully symmetric sets of measurable operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 15 (1992), 186–226.
- [FK] T. Fack, H. Kosaki, *Generalized  $s$ -numbers of  $\tau$ -measurable operators*, Pacific J. Math. 123 (1986), 269–300.
- [FS 1] S. V. Ferleger, F. A. Sukochev, *Harmonic analysis in (UMD)-spaces: an application to the bases theory*, Dokl. Ross. Akad. Nauk 319 (3) (1994), 307–310 (Russian).
- [FS 2] S. V. Ferleger, F. A. Sukochev, *Harmonic analysis in (UMD)-spaces: an application to the bases theory*, Matem. Zametki (to appear).
- [FS 3] S. V. Ferleger, F. A. Sukochev, *On the contractibility to a point of the linear groups of reflexive non-commutative  $L_p$ -spaces*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. (to appear).

- [Gi1] T. Giordano, *Antiautomorphismes involutifs des facteurs de von Neumann injectifs*, I. J. Operator Theory 10 (1983), 252–287.
- [Gi2] T. Giordano, *Antiautomorphismes involutifs des facteurs de von Neumann injectifs* II, J. Funct. Anal. 51 (1983), 326–360.
- [Io1] B. Iochum, *Cones autopolaires et algebres de Jordan*, Lect. Notes Math. 1049 (1984).
- [Io2] B. Iochum, *Non-associative  $L_p$ -spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 122 (1986), 417–433.
- [HS] H. Hanche-Olsen, E. Størmer, *Jordan Operator Algebras*, London, Pitman Ltd, 1984.
- [LT] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces* 11, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [Sa] S. Sakai,  *$C^*$ -algebras and  $W^*$ -algebras*, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [SC] F. A. Sukochev, V. I. Chilin, *Symmetric spaces of semifinite von Neumann algebras*, Soviet Math. Dokl. 42, No 1 (1991), 97–101.
- [St] E. Stormer, *Real structure in the hyperfinite factor*, Duke Math. J. 47 (1980), 145–153.
- [Su] F. A. Sukochev, *Non-isomorphism of  $L_p$ -spaces, associated with finite and infinite von Neumann algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
- [Ta] M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras I*, Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer, 1979.
- [Ye] F. Yedon, *Isometries of non-commutative  $L_p$ -spaces*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 90 (1980), 41–50.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
 UZBEKISTAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES  
 UZBEKISTAN

PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY  
 218 MC ALLISTER BUILDING PA  
 16802-6401  
 USA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS  
 THE FLINDERS UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH AUSTRALIA  
 GPO BOX 2100  
 AIDELAIDE 5001  
 AUSTRALIA