

CONVERGENCE OF TIGHT ASYMPTOTIC MARTINGALES IN A BANACH SPACE

ŁUKASZ KRUK*

Abstract.

In this paper we show that a L^1 -bounded martingale $(X_n, F_n, n \geq 1)$ taking values in a Banach space E converges almost surely iff a family (P_{x_n}) of distribution of (X_n) is tight. A L^1 -bounded tight asymptotic martingale (amart) need not converge a.s., although it always converges in probability and, if E^* is separable, it also converges weakly (in the sense of weak convergence in a Banach space) with probability 1.

1. Introduction.

A classical problem in the theory of martingales is to give conditions which assure their almost sure (a.s.) convergence. In [5] and [7] one can find theorems solving this problem in terms of vector measures and the Radon-Nikodym derivatives. In [8] another approach has been presented: a L^1 -bounded asymptotic martingale (X_n) taking values in a Banach space E converges a.s. in norm iff it is strongly tight, i.e. for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set K_ε such that $P\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} [X_n \in K_\varepsilon]\right) > 1 - \varepsilon$. It is natural to pose a question: can we replace strong tightness by tightness of a family of distributions of (X_n) ? In this paper we prove that it is, in general, false: a tight L^1 -bounded asymptotic martingale in a Banach space converges in probability to a Bochner integrable r.v. X , moreover, if the dual space E^* is separable, it also converges weakly for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$, but convergence in norm need not hold even in a separable Hilbert space and if E^* is not separable, weak convergence with probability 1 need not hold. However, it can be proved that every tight L^1 -bounded martingale taking values in a Banach space converges a.s.

2. Notation and definitions.

Let N denote a set of natural numbers, i.e. $N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Let (Ω, A, P) be a probability space. We can always assume that it is complete, i.e. for every $B \in A$

Received February 14, 1994; in revised form October 4, 1994.

such that $P(B) = 0$ and for every $C \subset B$ we have $C \in A$ [3]. Let $(F_n, n \geq 1)$ be an increasing sequence of sub- σ -fields of A (i.e. $F_n \subset F_{n+1} \subset A$ for every $n \in N$). Let $F_\infty = \sigma\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n\right)$. A mapping $\tau: \Omega \rightarrow N \cup \{\infty\}$ will be called a stopping time with respect to (F_n) iff for every $n \in N$ the event $[\tau = n]$ belongs to F_n . A stopping time τ will be called bounded iff there exists $M \in N$ such that $P[\tau \leq M] = 1$. A set of all bounded stopping times will be denoted by T . Let E be a Banach space with a norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let E^* be its dual and let $\|\cdot\|_*$ be a norm in E^* . The set of all Bochner integrable r.v.s with values in E (more precisely, the set of all their equivalence classes) will be denoted by L_E^1 or simply by L^1 , where it does not lead to confusion. Let F be a sub- σ -field of A . Definitions and basic properties of the Bochner integral EY and the conditional expectation $E^F Y$ of a r.v. $Y \in L_E^1$ can be found e.g. in [9].

Throughout this paper, let $(X_n, F_n, n \geq 1)$ be an adapted sequence of Bochner integrable random variables with values in a Banach space E .

DEFINITION 1. A sequence $(X_n, F_n, n \geq 1)$, will be called a *martingale* if, for every $n \in N$, $E^{F_n} X_{n+1} = X_n$ a.s.

DEFINITION 2 ([6]). A sequence $(X_n, F_n, n \geq 1)$ is called an *asymptotic martingale* (amart) iff for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\tau_0 \in T$ such that for every $\tau, \nu \in T, \tau, \nu \geq \tau_0$ a.s. we have

$$(1) \quad \left\| \int X_\tau dP - \int X_\nu dP \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

Obviously, every martingale is an asymptotic martingale.

It is well known that every (strongly) measurable r.v. with values in E is essentially separable valued (see [5], theorem 2.1.2). Thus, considering a sequence (indexed by elements of N) of such r.v.s, we can always, without loss of generality, assume that they take values in a separable subspace of E . We shall use this fact, assuming in proof (without loss of generality in the statements of the results) that E is itself separable.

DEFINITION 3. We shall say that a sequence $(X_n, n \geq 1)$ of E -valued r.v.s is L_E^1 (or simply L^1)-*bounded* iff $\sup_n E \|X_n\| < \infty$ and that is *strongly tight* iff for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact subset K_ε of E such that

$$(2) \quad P\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} [X_n \in K_\varepsilon]\right) > 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Let us recall that an indexed family $(\mu_t, t \in T)$ of probability measures defined on the σ -field $B(E)$ of the Borel subsets of E is called *tight* iff for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set $K \subset E$ such that for every $t \in T$ we have $\mu_t(K) > 1 - \varepsilon$. Obviously if a sequence $(X_n, n \in N)$ is strongly tight, the family of their dis-

tributions $(\mu_{x_n} : n \in N)$ is tight, but the reverse implication does not hold, e.g. take a sequence of i.i.d. real r.v.s having a standard normal distribution.

3. Main results.

In [8] the following theorem was proved.

THEOREM 1. *An L^1 -bounded asymptotic martingale in a Banach space converges almost surely if and only if it is strongly tight.*

Now we shall investigate convergence of tight martingales in a Banach space. We begin with a following lemma.

LEMMA 1. *Let $(X_n, F_n, n \geq 1)$ be a L^1 -bounded asymptotic martingale taking values in a Banach space. If the family (P_{x_n}) of distributions of (X_n) is tight, (X_n) converges in law.*

PROOF. It is well known that a tight family of distributions in a complete and separable metric space is conditionally compact in the Prokhorov metric and that convergence in this metric is equivalent to convergence in law (c.f. e.g. [10]). Thus the closure of the family (P_{x_n}) is compact in the Prokhorov metric. Let us suppose that (X_n) does not converge in law. In this case there exist subsequences $(X_{m_k}), (X_{n_l})$ and two distinct probabilities measures P_1, P_2 such that $P_{X_{m_k}} \xrightarrow{D} P_1, P_{X_{n_l}} \xrightarrow{D} P_2$.

Let us consider a countable family $\Gamma \subset E^*$ which separates points of E , i.e. such that for every $x \in E, x = 0$ iff $x^*x = 0$ for all $x^* \in E^*$ (for example, a family $\Gamma_0 = \{x_{j,k}^* \in E^* : \|x_{j,k}^*\|_* = 1, x_{j,k}^*(e_j - e_k) = \|e_j - e_k\|, j, k \in N, j \neq k\}$, where (e_n) is a countable dense subset of E). By theorem 2.1 [10] the Borel σ -field $B(X)$ coincides with a cylindrical σ -field $C(X, \Gamma)$ generated by cylindrical sets $C = \{x \in E : (x_{k_1}^*(x), \dots, x_{k_n}^*(x)) \in C'\}$, where $n, k_1, \dots, k_n \in N, x_{k_1}^*, \dots, x_{k_n}^* \in \Gamma$ and $C' \in B(R^n)$. By the Dynkin theorem [3] there exist a cylindrical set C such that $P_1(C) \neq P_2(C)$. Applying the Dynkin theorem once again to a π -system of finite intersections of open balls in R^n and a λ -system R^n we find that there exists a cylinder $G = \{x \in E : (x_{k_1}^*(x), \dots, x_{k_n}^*(x)) \in G'\}$, where G' is a finite intersection of open balls in R^n and $P_1(G) \neq P_2(G)$.

A random vector $((x_{k_1}^*(X_m), \dots, x_{k_n}^*(X_m)), m \geq 1)$ converges a.s. (so it also converges in law to some distribution P' on R^n), because for every $x^* \in E^*$ a sequence $x^*(X_m)$ is a L^1 -bounded real asymptotic martingale and thus it converges a.s. [1]. Let $x_0 \in G'$. Let us denote $\lambda \cdot G' = \{x_0 + \lambda(x - x_0) : x \in G'\}$. It is easy to see that if $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$, then $\lambda_1 \cdot G' \subset \lambda_2 \cdot G'$ and that if we take an increasing sequence $\lambda_n \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \cdot G' = G'$. Let us denote $\lambda \cdot G = (x_{k_1}^*, \dots, x_{k_n}^*)^{-1}(\lambda \cdot G')$.

Using the axiom of continuity we can choose such $\lambda < 1$ that $P_1[\lambda \cdot G] \neq P_2[\lambda \cdot G]$,

and $P_1[(x_{k_1}^*, \dots, x_{k_n}^*)^{-1}(\delta(\lambda \cdot G'))] = P_2[(x_{k_1}^*, \dots, x_{k_n}^*)^{-1}(\delta(\lambda \cdot G'))] = P'[\delta(\lambda \cdot G)] = 0$, where δC denotes the boundary of C . Thus we have (see [10], theorem 3.5)

$$P[X_{m_k} \in \lambda \cdot G] = P[(x_{k_1}^*, \dots, x_{k_n}^*)(X_{m_k}) \in \lambda \cdot G'] \rightarrow P_1[\lambda \cdot G]$$

and

$$P[X_{m_l} \in \lambda \cdot G] = P[(x_{k_1}^*, \dots, x_{k_n}^*)(X_{m_l}) \in \lambda \cdot G'] \rightarrow P_2[\lambda \cdot G],$$

But $P[(x_{k_1}^*, \dots, x_{k_n}^*)(X_m) \in \lambda \cdot G'] \rightarrow P'[\lambda \cdot G']$, so $P_1[\lambda \cdot G] = P_2[\lambda \cdot G]$. This contradiction completes the proof.

LEMMA 2. Let $(X_n, F_n, n \geq 1)$ be a tight L^1 -bounded asymptotic martingale. There exist an F_∞ -measurable, Bochner integrable r.v. X such that (X_n) converges to X in law and scalarly.

PROOF. By hypothesis, for every $m \in N$ there exists a compact set $K_m^\perp \subset E$ such that for every natural n $P[X_n \in K_m^\perp] \geq 1 - \frac{1}{m}$.

We can assume that for $m_1 \leq m_2$ $K_{m_1}^\perp \subset K_{m_2}^\perp$. Let $A_m = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} [X_k \in K_m^\perp]$ denote an event that infinitely many of points $X_n(\omega)$ belong to K_m^\perp . Obviously $P(A_m) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{m}$.

Let $\omega \in A_m$. By definition of A_m and compactness of K_m^\perp there exist a subsequence (n_k) and a point $x_1 = X(\omega, (n_k), K_m^\perp)$ such that $X_{n_k} \in K_m^\perp$ and $X_{n_k}(\omega) \rightarrow x_1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Let Γ_0 be the same as in lemma 1 and let C be a set of such ω that for every $x^* \in \Gamma_0$ a sequence $x^* X_n(\omega)$ converges. As it was mentioned in the proof of lemma 1, for every $x^* \in E^*$ $x^* X_n$ is a L^1 -bounded real amart and thus converges a.s., so $P(C) = 1$. Let $B_m = A_m \cap C$. Obviously $P(B_m) = P(A_m)$. Now we shall show that for every $\omega \in B_m$ and every subsequence (η_l) such that $X_{\eta_l}(\omega) \in K_m^\perp$ and $X_{\eta_l}(\omega) \rightarrow X(\omega, (\eta_l), K_m^\perp) = x_2$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$ $x_1 = x_2$, so the limit depends only on ω and K_m^\perp . If $\|x_1 - x_2\| = \varepsilon > 0$, there exist e_i, e_j belonging to the countable set dense in E which has been used in the definition of Γ_0 such that $\|x_1 - e_i\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{5}$ and $\|x_2 - e_j\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{5}$. Thus, for k, l sufficiently large, $|x_{i,j}^* X_{n_k}(\omega) - x_{i,j}^* e_i| < \frac{\varepsilon}{5}$, $|x_{i,j}^* X_{\eta_l}(\omega) - x_{i,j}^* e_j| < \frac{\varepsilon}{5}$ and, by definition of $x_{i,j}^*$, $|x_{i,j}^*(e_i - e_j)| = \|e_i - e_j\| > \frac{3\varepsilon}{5}$. By the triangle inequality we have $|x_{i,j}^* X_{n_k}(\omega) - x_{i,j}^* X_{\eta_l}(\omega)| > \frac{\varepsilon}{5}$ for k, l sufficiently large, so $\omega \notin C$, contradiction. Thus $x_1 = x_2$.

It is obvious that if $m_1 < m_2$, then $B_{m_1} \subset B_{m_2}$ and if points $X_{n_k}(\omega)$ belong to

$K_{m_1}^\perp$ and converge, they also belong to $K_{m_2}^\perp$ and converge in this set to the same limit. Thus for $\omega \in B_{m_1}$, $X(\omega, K_{m_1}^\perp) = X(\omega, K_{m_2}^\perp) = X(\omega)$. Thus we have defined a mapping $X : B \rightarrow E$, where $B = \bigcup_{m=1}^\infty B_m$. Obviously $P(B) = 1$. Put $X(\omega) = 0$ for $\omega \notin B$. By definition, X is almost separably (even separably!) valued. By the definition of B , for every $\omega \in B$ there exists a subsequence (n_k) such that $X_{n_k}(\omega) \rightarrow X(\omega)$, so for every $x^* \in E^*$ and $\omega \in B$ such that an L^1 -bounded real amart $x^* X_n(\omega)$ converges (a set of such ω has probability 1) we have $x^* X_{n_k}(\omega) \rightarrow x^* X(\omega)$. By this fact and completeness of the probability space, $x^* X$ is F_∞ -measurable for every $x^* \in E^*$, so, by the Pettis Measurability Criterion (see e.g. [5]), X is F_∞ -(strongly) measurable.

It remains to show that $X_n \xrightarrow{D} X$ and that X is integrable. Let us remark that for every $x_{k_1}^*, \dots, x_{k_n}^* \in \Gamma_0$ a random vector $(x_{k_1}^*, \dots, x_{k_n}^*)(X_n)$ converges almost surely to $(x_{k_1}^*, \dots, x_{k_n}^*)(X)$, so, by reasoning similar to that given in lemma 1, a limit distribution of (X_n) (which exists by lemma 1) and the distribution of X coincide. Now let $a > 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \infty > \sup_n E \|X_n\| &\geq \int_{\Omega} \min(\|X_n\|, a) dP = \int_E \min(\|x\|, a) dP_{X_n} \rightarrow \\ &\int_E \min(\|x\|, a) dP_X = \int_{\Omega} \min(\|X\|, a) dP, \end{aligned}$$

letting $a \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain $E \|X\| \leq \sup_n E \|X_n\|$. The proof is complete.

We are now ready to prove our main result.

THEOREM 2. *Let $(X_n, F_n, n \geq 1)$ be a L^1 -bounded tight asymptotic martingale taking values in a Banach space E . There exists an integrable r.v. X such that (X_n) converges to X in probability. Moreover, if the dual space E^* is separable, then for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$ a sequence $(X_n(\omega))$ converges weakly to $X(\omega)$.*

PROOF. Let X be a E -valued random variable fulfilling the conditions given in lemma 2. It is well known that $E^{F_n} X \rightarrow E^{F_\infty} X = X$ almost surely and in L^1 [9]. Let $Y_n = X_n - E^{F_n} X$. (Y_n) is a L^1 -bounded asymptotic martingale with respect to (F_n) . It is easy to see that if K_1, K_2 are compact subsets of E , then $K_1 - K_2 = \{x_1 - x_2 : x_1 \in K_1, x_2 \in K_2\}$ is compact, so (Y_n) is tight. Thus, by lemma 2, (Y_n) converges in law, the method of construction of a limit given in its proof assures that $Y_n \xrightarrow{D} X - X = 0$. Thus $Y_n \xrightarrow{P} 0$, because convergence in law to a constant is equivalent to convergence in probability to the same limit. Thus $X_n = Y_n + E^{F_n} X \xrightarrow{P} X$.

The second statement of the theorem follows from the fact that, by lemma 2, for every $x^* \in X^*$ $x^* X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} x^* X$ and that, by $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$, $P[\sup \|X_n\| < \infty] = 1$. The remainder of the proof is the same as the end of the proof of the theorem 5.2a) from [6].

EXAMPLE 1. *Almost sure convergence need not hold even in a separable Hilbert space.* Let $(\Omega, A, P) = ([0, 1], B([0, 1]), \mu)$, where μ is the Lebesgue measure. Let $E = l^2$ and let $(e_n^i, n \in N, i = 1, \dots, 2^n)$ be a standard orthonormal basis in l^2 in some order. Let, for every $n \in N$, $A_n^i, i = 1, \dots, 2^n$, be such sets that $A_n^i \cap A_n^j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, $P(A_n^i) = \frac{1}{2^n}, i = 1, \dots, 2^n$, and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{2^n} A_n^i = \Omega$. Let $Y_n^i = e_n^i I_{A_n^i}, n \in N, i = 1, \dots, 2^n$. Put $X_{2^n-2+i} = Y_n^i$ and let $F_n = B([0, 1])$ for every natural n and $i = 1, \dots, 2^n$. We shall show that $(X_n, F_n, n \geq 1)$ is a L^1 -bounded asymptotic martingale.

It is obvious that $\|X_n\| \leq 1$ a.s., so $\sup_n E \|X_n\| < \infty$. Let $\tau \in T$ and let

$$I_\tau = \{(n, i) : n \in N, i \in \{1, \dots, 2^n\}, P[\tau = 2^n - 2 + i] > 0\}.$$

It is easy to see that if $\tau \geq 2^{k+1} - 2$ a.s., then for every $(n, i) \in I_\tau$ we have $k \leq n$. Let $B_n^i = A_n^i \cap \{\tau = 2^n - 2 + i\}, n \in N, i \in \{1, \dots, 2^n\}$. Let us remark that $B_n^i \cap B_m^j = \emptyset$ for $m \neq n$ or $i \neq j$ and that obviously $P(B_n^i) \leq P(A_n^i)$. Therefore $X_\tau =$

$$\sum_{(n,i) \in I_\tau} Y_n^i I_{\{\tau = 2^n - 2 + i\}} = \sum_{(n,i) \in I_\tau} e_n^i I_{B_n^i}, \text{ so } EX_\tau = \sum_{(n,i) \in I_\tau} e_n^i P(B_n^i) \text{ and}$$

$$\|EX_\tau\|^2 = \sum_{(n,i) \in I_\tau} P(B_n^i)^2 \leq \frac{1}{2^k} \sum_{(n,i) \in I_\tau} P(B_n^i) \leq \frac{1}{2^k}.$$

Thus (X_n) is an amart.

It is clear that for $n \geq n_0$ $P[Y_n^i = 0] = 1 - \frac{1}{2^n} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2^{n_0}}$, so if $K_{n_0} = \{0, e_m^i, m < n_0, i = 1, \dots, 2^m\}$, (it is finite, hence compact), K_{n_0} contains all the values taken by $Y_m^i, m < n_0, i = 1, \dots, 2^m$ and thus $P[Y_n^i \in K_{n_0}] \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2^{n_0}}$ for all $n \in N$. We have proved that (X_n) is tight.

Let us consider an arbitrary $\omega \in \Omega$. For every n there exist $i_n, j_n \in \{1, \dots, 2^n\}$ such that $\omega \in A_n^{i_n}$ and $\omega \notin A_n^{j_n}$. Obviously $\|Y_n^{i_n}(\omega)\| = 1, \|Y_n^{j_n}(\omega)\| = 0$. Thus a sequence $(X_n(\omega))$ does not convergence for any ω . By theorem 1 it is not strongly tight, moreover, it is easy to see that for every compact set $K \subset E \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty [X_n \in K] = \emptyset$. Indeed, for every $\omega \in \Omega$ $Y_n^{i_n}(\omega) = e_n^{i_n}$ and a set $\{e_n^i, n \in N\}$ does not have a compact closure, because for $m \neq n$ $\|e_n^m - e_n^i\| = \sqrt{2}$.

Thus a tight L^1 -bounded asymptotic martingale need not converge a.s. (or, equivalently, be strongly tight) even under some additional assumptions (separability of E^* , the Radon-Nikodym property of both E and E^* , etc.

EXAMPLE 2. If E^* is not separable, weak convergence with probability 1 need not hold. Let (Ω, A, P) and (X_n) be like in example 1, but now $E = l^1$. By the Schwarz inequality $\|x\|_1 \leq (\|x\|_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ denote the l^1 and l^2 -norm respectively. Thus X_n is again a tight L^1 -bounded asymptotic martingale such that for every $\omega \in \Omega$ the sequence $(X_n(\omega))$ does not converge in norm. It is well known that in l^1 weak convergence is equivalent to convergence in norm [2], so it does not converge weakly, either. Let us remark that $(l^1)^* = l^\infty$ is not separable.

Now we shall show that every L^1 -bounded tight martingale converges a.s.

THEOREM 3. An L^1 -bounded martingale in a Banach space converges almost surely if and only if it is tight.

PROOF. It is obvious that every sequence of r.v.s which converges a.s. is tight. Conversely, if (X_n) is a tight L^1 -bounded martingale in a Banach space, then, by lemma 2, there exist a r.v. X such that (X_n) converges to X scalarly. It is known (see [7], Proposition 5.3.21) that for L^1 -bounded martingales scalar convergence and almost sure convergence are equivalent, so (X_n) converges to X almost surely.

COROLLARY 1. A Banach space E has the Radon-Nikodym property iff every L^1 -bounded martingale with values in E is tight.

It is known that every real amart (X_n) has the so called "Riesz decomposition", i.e. it can be (uniquely) written as $X_n = Y_n + Z_n$, where (Y_n) is a martingale and (Z_n) is an amart which converges to 0 a.e. and in L^1 [6]. Corollary 2 and its proof show that it is, in general, not true even in a separable Hilbert space, although it has the Radon-Nikodym property. Thus the structure of asymptotic martingales in Banach spaces is more complicated than in the real case.

COROLLARY 2. There are asymptotic martingales taking values in a separable Hilbert space which do not have the Riesz decomposition.

PROOF. Consider the amart (X_n) constructed in example 1. Suppose that it has the Riesz decomposition $X_n = Y_n + Z_n$. Thus, by L^1 -boundedness and tightness of (X_n) and (Z_n) , (Y_n) is L^1 -bounded and tight (compare the proof of theorem 2). Thus, by theorem 3, (Y_n) converges a.s., so (X_n) converges a.s. The obtained contradiction ends the proof.

This result can be compared with (5.2.27) and (5.2.29) from [7], where a slightly different definition of the Riesz decomposition was given.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The author thanks the referee for his helpful comments.

REFERENCES

1. D. G. Austin, G. A. Edgar and A. Ionescu Tulcea, *Pointwise convergence in terms of expectations*, Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete 30 (1974), 17–26.
2. S. Banach, *Theory of Operators. Part I: Linear Operators*, Warsaw. (in Polish), 1931.
3. P. Billingsley, *Probability and Measure*, Wiley, New York, 1979.
4. S. D. Chatterji, *Martingale Convergence and the Radon-Nikodym theorem in Banach spaces*. Math. Scand. 22 (1968), 21–41.
5. J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, *Vector Measures*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1977.
6. G. A. Edgar and L. Sucheston, (1976). *Amarts: a class of asymptotic Martingales*. A. Discrete Parameter, J. Multivariate Anal. 6 (1976), 193–221.
7. G. A. Edgar and L. Sucheston, *Stopping Times and Directed Processes*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge/New York, 1992.
8. Ł. Kruk and W. A. Zięba, *Criterion of almost sure convergence of asymptotic Martingales in a Banach space*, Yokohama Math. J. 43 (1995), 61–72.
9. J. Neveu, *Discrete-Parameter Martingales*, North Holland/American Elsevier, 1975.
10. N. N. Vahania, V. I. Tarieladze, S. A. Chobanian, *Probability distributions in Banach spaces*, Nauka, Moscow (in Russian), 1985.

COURANT INSTITUTE
OF THE MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
251, MERCER STREET
NEW YORK, NY 10012
U.S.A
email: Kruk@acf4.nyu.edu

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
MARIA CURIE-SKŁODOWSKA UNIVERSITY
PL. MARIII CURIE-SKŁODOWSKIEJ 1
20-031 LUBLIN
POLAND
email: kruk@golem.umcs.lublin.pl