

THE DETERMINANT IN THE LAURENT RING AND THE L^2 -REIDEMEISTER-FRANZ TORSION

GÁBOR ELEK

Abstract.

We prove that the L^2 -Reidemeister-Franz torsion of Carey and Mathai is a non-zero algebraic number for infinite cyclic coverings.

1. Introduction.

The L^2 -Reidemeister-Franz torsion has been introduced by Carey and Mathai [1]. In the definition of their torsion invariant they required the weak invertibility of the combinatorial Laplacian. It essentially means that the Fuglede-Kadison determinant of the Laplacian is non-zero. See also [7].

In this paper we shall study the Fuglede-Kadison determinant of the von Neumann algebra NZ [4]. Our main result is that the determinant is non-zero on the non-zero elements of the $R[z, z^{-1}] \subset NZ$ subring. We shall also obtain an algebraic formula for the determinant. As a consequence of this formula, we shall prove that for infinite cyclic coverings the combinatorial Laplacian is always weakly invertible if it has trivial kernel. We shall also see that the L^2 -Reidemeister-Franz torsion is an algebraic number. For the sake of completeness, we recall some basic facts about the combinatorial L^2 -theory.

Let K be a finite simplicial complex of dimension n and let \tilde{K} be a normal simplicial covering of K , with the group Γ of deck transformations. $|K|_p$ resp. $|\tilde{K}|_p$ stands for the set of p -simplices in K resp. in \tilde{K} . Let $C_{(2)}^p$ denote the Hilbert space of square-integrable real-valued oriented p -cochains on \tilde{K} . The scalar product on $C_{(2)}^p$ is given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{\sigma \in |\tilde{K}|_p} f(\sigma)g(\sigma).$$

Note that for an oriented p -cochain: $f(-\sigma) = -f(\sigma)$. The combinatorial Laplace operator $\Delta_p = d_p^*d_p + d_{p-1}d_p^*$ is a bounded, non-negative, self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert space $C_{(2)}^p$ [3].

Let $D_p(\sigma, \tau)$ be the operator kernel of Δ_p , i.e.

$$(1) \quad \Delta_p(f)(\sigma) = \sum_{\tau \in |\tilde{K}|_p} D_p(\sigma, \tau) f(\tau)$$

for any $\sigma \in |\tilde{K}|$ and $f \in C_{(2)}^p$.

The Γ -invariant bounded operators on $C_{(2)}^p$ form a von Neumann algebra, which has a finite trace Tr_Γ such that $\text{Tr}_\Gamma(\text{Id}) = 1$ [1]. Then,

$$\text{Tr}_\Gamma(\Delta_p) = \sum_{\sigma \in |\tilde{K}|_p} D_p(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\sigma})$$

where $\pi(\tilde{\sigma}) = \sigma$ for the $\pi: \tilde{K} \rightarrow K$ covering map. For any $\tilde{\sigma} \in |\tilde{K}|_p$, $\chi_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ shall denote the characteristic function of $\tilde{\sigma}$.

In this paper we study only acyclic complexes i.e. for which $\ker \Delta_p = 0$, for any p . For an acyclic complex, the Laplacian is called weakly invertible [1] [5] if

$$\int_0^\infty \log \lambda \, d\phi_\lambda > -\infty,$$

where $\Delta_p = \int_p^\infty \lambda \, dE_\lambda$ is the spectral representation of Δ_p and $\phi_\lambda = \text{Tr}_\Gamma E_\lambda$. In this case the Fuglede-Kadison determinant of the Laplacian is

$$|\text{Det}|_\Gamma(\Delta_p) = \exp\left(\int_0^\infty \log \lambda \, d\phi_\lambda\right).$$

The L^2 -Reidemeister-Franz torsion is defined as

$$T_{(2)}(\tilde{K}) = \prod_{j=0}^n (|\text{Det}|_\Gamma(\Delta_j))^{\frac{j(-1)^{j+1}}{2}}.$$

REMARK. Lück and Rothenberg [6] defined the Reidemeister-von Neumann torsion as an element of $K_1^*(N\Gamma)^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$. They observed that if the Laplacians are weakly invertible, the L^2 -Reidemeister-Franz torsion is just the Fuglede-Kadison determinant of the Reidemeister-von Neumann torsion.

2. The representation of the Laplacian.

Let g be a greater of \mathbb{Z} and let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k$ be p -simplices, such that any p -simplex in \tilde{K} can be uniquely represented as $g^{a_i} \cdot \sigma_i$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $g^{a_i} \cdot \sigma_i$ denotes the left action of \mathbb{Z} on \tilde{K} . Note that the Laurent ring $\mathbb{R}[z, z^{-1}]$ is \mathbb{Z} -isomorphic to the group algebra $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{Z}$ with the group action $g^m \cdot [f(z)] = z^m f(z)$. Let us denote by $H[z]$ the space of Laurent series $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i z^i$ such that $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_i|^2 < \infty$. Then $H[z]^k$ is \mathbb{Z} -isomorphic to $C_{(2)}^p$ via the following \mathbb{Z} -isomorphism ψ ,

$$\psi(f_1(z), f_2(z), \dots, f_k(z))(g^j \cdot \sigma_i) = a_{ij},$$

where $f_i(z) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{ij} z^j$.

The trace τ on $\mathbb{R}[z, z^{-1}]$ is defined as $\tau(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k z^k) = c_0$. It gives us an inner product on $\mathbb{R}[z, z^{-1}]$ by $\langle f, g \rangle = \tau(f(z) \cdot g(z^{-1}))$.

We can extend the inner product to $H[z]$, which becomes the Hilbert closure of the pre-Hilbert space $\mathbb{R}[z, z^{-1}]$. Note that for $f(z) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i z^i$, $\|f\|^2 = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_i|^2$ and ψ is an isometry from $H[z]^k$ to $C_p^p(2)$. The inner product defines a $*$ -structure on $\text{Mat}_k(\mathbb{R}[z, z^{-1}])$ by $L^*(z) = L^T(z^{-1})$, where L^T stands for the usual matrix transposition.

DEFINITION 2.1. For any $A \in \text{Mat}_k(H[z])$ we have a densely defined \mathbb{Z} -invariant operator $\Psi(A)$ on $C_p^p(2)$.

$$\Psi(A)(\chi_{g^m \cdot \sigma_j}) = \sum_{i, l} a_{ij}^{(l-m)} \chi_{g^l \cdot \sigma_i}$$

where $(A)_{ij} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{ij}^{(n)} z^n$ is the i, j -entry of A .

The bounded \mathbb{Z} -invariant operators on $C_p^p(2)$ form a von Neumann algebra. This von Neumann algebra is isomorphic to $\text{Mat}_k(N\mathbb{Z})$ where $N\mathbb{Z}$ is the von Neumann algebra of \mathbb{Z} . The composition of the matrix trace and the type-II trace on $N\mathbb{Z}$ gives us the trace $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ on $\text{Mat}_k(N\mathbb{Z})$. Let us list some results on the representation Ψ [2], [6].

PROPOSITION 2.2. 1. $\Psi(A)$ is bounded, if and only, each A_{ij} converges almost everywhere to a bounded function on the unit circle.

2. If $\Psi(A)$ and $\Psi(B)$ are bounded operators;

a. $\Psi^*(A) = \Psi(A^*)$.

b. $\Psi(AB) = \Psi(A)\Psi(B)$, $\Psi(A + B) = \Psi(A) + \Psi(B)$.

c. For any $v \in H[z]^k$, $\psi(A(v)) = \Psi(A)(\psi(v))$.

d. $\tau(\text{tr}(A)) = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Psi(A))$, where tr is the matrix trace.

3. If $A = A^*$ non-negative definite on the unit circle, then

a. $\Psi(A)$ is a self-adjoint non-negative operator.

b. $\text{Ker } \Psi(A) = 0$ if and only if $\det A \neq 0$, where \det is the matrix determinant.

Note that we can define $A_p \in \text{Mat}_k(\mathbb{R}[z, z^{-1}])$ such that $\Psi(A_p) = \Delta_p$, the following way.

$$(A_p)_{ij} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{ij}^{(k)} z^k,$$

where, $a_{ij}^{(k)} = D_p(\sigma_i, g^{-k} \sigma_j)$. By Proposition 2.2, if $\text{Ker}(\Delta_p) = 0$, then $\det A_p \neq 0$.

3. The Fuglede-Kadison determinant on the Laurent ring.

First, let us recall the lemma on “the continuity of the roots”.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an open set and let $\{f_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ be holomorphic functions on U . Suppose that $\{f_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ converges uniformly to a function $f \neq 0$. Let $W \subset \bar{W} \subset U$ be an other open set and $p \in W$. Suppose that p is the only zero of f in U and p has multiplicity k . Then for sufficiently large n , f_n has exactly k zeroes (with multiplicity) in W .*

Let S denote the set of all non-zero $f \in R[z, z^{-1}]$, which has real and non-negative value on the unit circle. Then, for any $f \in S$, $f(z) = f(z^{-1})$. Therefore, if $p \neq \pm 1$ is a zero of f , then p^{-1} is also a zero of f , with the same multiplicity.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $f \in S$. Suppose $p = \pm 1$ is a zero of f with multiplicity k . Then k is an even number.*

PROOF. Let $f_n = f + \frac{1}{n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Choose a U open set, such that p is the only zero of f in U . Let $W \subset \bar{W} \subset U$ be a symmetric neighbourhood of p i.e. if $z \in W$, then $z^{-1} \in W$, too. Since f_n is positive on the unit circle for all n , by our previous remark, f_n has even amount of roots in W . $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f$, therefore the lemma follows from the “continuity of roots”.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *For any $f \in S$, we can construct $F \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ such that*

$$f(z) = F(z)F(z^{-1})$$

and F has no zeroes inside the unit circle.

PROOF. Let $f(z) = \lambda \frac{1}{z^l} \prod_{i=1}^k (z - a_i)$; $|a_i| > 0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \frac{1}{z^l} \sum_{i=1}^k (z - a_i) &= \lambda z^l \sum_{i=1}^k (z^{-1} - a_i) \\ &= \lambda z^l \sum_{i=1}^k (z - a_i^{-1})(-a_i z^{-1}) = \lambda \frac{z^l}{z^k} \left((-1)^k \prod_{i=1}^k a_i \right) \prod_{i=1}^k (z - a_i^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can conclude that $k = 2l$. Let us define $F(z)$ by

$$F(z) = \sqrt{\lambda} \prod_{j=1}^m (z - a_j) \sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^m (-a_j)^{-1}}$$

where the product is taken the following way. Any root of f outside the unit circle is counted with its full multiplicity. If ± 1 is a root, it is counted with half of its

multiplicity. For roots w on the unit circle such that $w \neq \pm 1$, we choose one from the (w, w^{-1}) pair with full multiplicity. Obviously, $l = m = \frac{1}{2}k$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(z)F(z^{-1}) &= \lambda \prod_{j=1}^m (z - a_j) \prod_{j=1}^m (z^{-1} - a_j) \prod_{j=1}^m (-a_j)^{-1} \\
 &= \lambda \prod_{j=1}^m (z - a_j) \prod_{j=1}^m (z - a_j^{-1})z^{-m} = \lambda \frac{1}{z^l} \prod_{i=1}^k (z - a_i) = f(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

The construction above does not give us a unique polynomial $F(z)$. However, $|F(0)|$ does not depend on the choice of the roots on the unit circle and the choice of the square root.

DEFINITION 3.4. For $f \in S$,

$$\text{Det}_L(f) = |F(0)|^2 \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Note, $\text{Det}_L(f) = |\lambda| \cdot \prod |a_i|$, where the product is taken for the roots outside the unit circle with their full multiplicity. Therefore, by the continuity of the roots,

$$(2) \quad \text{Det}_L(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Det}_L\left(f + \frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Note that Det_L can be extended to all non-zero element of the Laurent ring by

$$\text{Det}_L(f) = \sqrt{\text{Det}_L(ff^*)}$$

LEMMA 3.5. If $f \in S$ is strictly positive on the unit circle, then

$$\tau(\log f) = \log \text{Det}_L(f)$$

PROOF. By Proposition 3.3, $f(z) = F(z)F(z^{-1})$, where F has no zeroes in a neighbourhood of the unit disc. Therefore, we can define $\log F$ in a neighbourhood of the unit disc. Let us consider the Taylor-series of $\log F$.

$$\log F(z) = \log(F(0)) + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$$

Then, $\log(F(0)) + c_1z^{-1} + c_2z^{-2} + \dots$ is the Laurent series of $\log F(z^{-1})$ that converges in a neighbourhood of the unit circle, f is positive on the unit circle, thus we can also define $\log f$ in a neighbourhood of the unit circle and we can suppose that it has real value on the unit circle. Obviously,

$$\log f(z) = \log F(z) + \log F(z^{-1}) + 2\pi is,$$

where $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence,

$$\tau(\log(f)) = 2 \log(F(0)) + 2\pi is.$$

Let us note that $\tau(\log f)$ is real, therefore

$$\tau(\log(f)) = \text{Re} \log(F^2(0)) = \log \text{Det}_L(f)$$

Now, we can state our main theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let $B \in \text{Mat}_k(R[z, z^{-1}])$, such that $\det B \neq 0$. Then,*

$$|\text{Det}|_r \Psi(B) = \text{Det}_r(\det B).$$

PROOF. We may suppose that B is non-negative definite on the unit circle. Let $B_n = B + \frac{1}{n}$. By the definition of the Fuglede-Kadison determinant,

$$|\text{Det}|_r \Psi(B) = \exp \int_{[0, \infty]} \log \lambda d\phi_\lambda$$

where $\int_{[0, \infty]} \lambda dE_\lambda$ is the spectral decomposition of $\Psi(B)$ and $\phi_\lambda = \text{Tr}_Z E_\lambda$. Therefore,

$$|\text{Det}|_r \Psi(B) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp \int_{[0, \infty]} \log \left(\lambda + \frac{1}{n} \right) d\phi_\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp(\text{Tr}_Z(\log \Psi(B_n))).$$

By Proposition 2.2, $\log \Psi(B_n) = \Psi(\log B_n)$ and $\text{Tr}_Z(\Psi(\log(B_n))) = \tau(\text{tr}(\log B_n))$. On the other hand, $\text{tr}(\log B_n) = \log(\det B_n)$. Hence, by Lemma 3.5,

$$|\text{Det}|_r \Psi(B) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp \tau(\log(\det B_n)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Det}_r(\det B_n).$$

by (2), $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Det}_L(\det B_n) = \text{Det}_L(\det B)$. Therefore,

$$|\text{Det}|_r \Psi(B) = \text{Det}_L(\det B)$$

When we apply our theorem to the Laplacians Δ_p , we obtain the following corollaries.

COROLLARY 3.6. *If $\text{Ker } \Delta_p = 0$, then $|\text{Det}|_r \Delta_p = \text{Det}_L(\det A_p) \neq 0$. Thus Δ_p is weakly acyclic.*

COROLLARY 3.7. *The L^2 -Reidemeister-Franz torsion of an acyclic \mathbb{Z} -covering is always a non-zero algebraic number.*

REFERENCES

1. A. Carey and V. Mathai, L^2 -Torsion invariants, *J. Funct. Anal.* 110 (1992), 377–409.
2. J. Dixmier, *von Neumann Algebras*, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1981).
3. J. Dodziuk, *de Rham-Hodge theory for L^2 -cohomology of infinite coverings*, *Topology* 16 (1977), 157–165.

4. R. Fuglede and R. V. Kadison, *Determinant theory in finite factors*, Ann. of Math. 55 (1952), 520–530.
5. W. Lück, *Approximating, L^2 -invariants by their finite dimensional analogues*, preprint 1993.
6. W. Lück and M. Rothenberg, *Reidemeister torsion and the K-Theory on von Neumann Algebras*, K-Theory 5 (1991), 215–264.
7. S. P. Novikov and M. A. Shubin, *Morse inequalities and von Neumann II_1 Factors*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. Sssr 289 (1986), 289–292.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
PURDUE UNIVERSITY
WEST LAFAYETTE IN 47906
U.S.A.

CURRENT ADDRESS:
MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF
THE HUNGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
BUDAPEST P.O.B. 127
HUNGARY H-1364
elek@math-inst.hu