

ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS, L^2 -SPACES AND ENTIRE FUNCTIONS.

CHRISTIAN BERG and ANTONIO J. DURAN

Abstract.

We show that for determinate measures μ having moments of every order and finite index of determinacy, (i.e., a polynomial p exists for which the measure $|p|^2\mu$ is indeterminate) the space $L^2(\mu)$ consists of entire functions of minimal exponential type in the Cartwright class.

1. Introduction.

Let \mathcal{M}^* denote the set of positive Borel measures on the real line having moments of every order and infinite support. We are interested in finding conditions on $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^*$ such that $L^2(\mu)$ consists of entire functions in the following sense: (i) There exists a continuous linear injection $E : L^2(\mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$, where $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ denotes the set of entire functions with the topology of compact convergence. (ii) For all $f \in L^2(\mu)$ we have $E(f) = f$ μ -a.e.. We say that E is a *realization* of $L^2(\mu)$ as entire functions. In the discussion of this problem we need for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^*$ the corresponding sequence of orthonormal polynomials (p_n) . It is uniquely determined by the orthonormality condition and the requirement that p_n is a polynomial of degree n with positive leading coefficient. The sequence of orthonormal polynomials depends only on the moments of μ , so if μ is *indeterminate*, i.e. there are other measures having the same moments as μ , all these measures lead to the same sequence (p_n) .

When the measure μ is indeterminate, the Fourier expansion of $f \in L^2(\mu)$

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\int f(t)p_n(t)d\mu(t) \right) p_n(z)$$

converges in $L^2(\mu)$ and uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} to an entire function $F(f)(z)$, which is the orthogonal projection of f onto the closure in $L^2(\mu)$ of the set $\mathbb{C}[t]$ of polynomials. We recall that $z \mapsto (p_n(z))_n$ is an entire

The work of the second author was supported by DGICYT ref. PB 93–0926.

Received April 6, 1995.

function with values in the Hilbert space ℓ^2 , so in particular $(p_n^{(m)}(z))_n \in \ell^2$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, cf. [BD1]. By a theorem of M. Riesz ([R], [A]) $F(f)$ is of minimal exponential type. If the indeterminate measure μ is *Nevanlinna extremal* (*N-extremal* in short), which means that $\mathbb{C}[t]$ is dense in $L^2(\mu)$, then μ is discrete and $F(f)(x) = f(x)$ for $x \in \text{supp}(\mu)$. This means that $F(f)$ is an extension of f to an entire function of minimal exponential type.

Furthermore $f \mapsto F(f)$ is a continuous injection of $L^2(\mu)$ into $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$. In fact, for any compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ we find by (1.1) and Parsevals formula

$$\sup_{z \in K} |F(f)(z)| \leq \|f\|_2 \sup_{z \in K} \rho(z),$$

where

$$\rho(z) = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |p_k(z)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

is continuous. Riesz ([R]) also showed that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\log \rho(t)}{1+t^2} dt < \infty,$$

and it follows that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\log^+ |F(f)(t)|}{1+t^2} dt < \infty.$$

For a survey of the interplay between entire functions and indeterminate moment problems see [B].

In the following we denote by \mathcal{C}_0 the class of entire functions f of minimal exponential type satisfying

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\log^+ |f(t)| dt}{1+t^2} < \infty.$$

It is the functions in the Cartwright class which are of minimal exponential type.

In the case of an *N-extremal* measure μ we have thus seen that $L^2(\mu)$ consists of entire functions of class \mathcal{C}_0 . The function $F(f)$ given by (1.1) will be called the *canonical extension* of f .

The purpose of the present paper is to establish that also for certain determinate measures $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^*$ the space $L^2(\mu)$ consists of entire functions. A determinate measure μ with this property must necessarily be discrete, as we shall see below. It turns out that $L^2(\mu)$ consists of entire functions of class \mathcal{C}_0 , if μ is a determinate measure of finite index, meaning that there exists a

polynomial p such that the measure $|p|^2\mu$ is indeterminate. If k is the smallest possible degree of a polynomial p such that $|p|^2\mu$ is indeterminate, then $k - 1$ is the index of μ . This concept was studied in previous papers of the authors, cf. [BD1], [BD2].

In the case of an N -extremal measure μ the canonical extension $F(f)$ of $f \in L^2(\mu)$ has the additional property that $F(p)(z) = p(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbf{C}$, when p is a polynomial. We shall see that this property cannot subsist in the determinate case. It will be replaced by a condition which involves discrete differential operators of the form

$$(1.2) \quad T = \sum_{l=1}^N \sum_{j=0}^{k_l} a_{l,j} \delta_{z_l}^{(j)}, \quad a_{l,j} \in \mathbf{C}$$

associated to a system (z_i, k_i) , $i = 1, \dots, N$ of mutually different points $z_i \in \mathbf{C}$ and multiplicities $k_i \in \mathbf{N}$. These operators act on entire functions F via the formula

$$T(F) = \sum_{l=1}^N \sum_{j=0}^{k_l} a_{l,j} F^{(j)}(z_l).$$

It is well-known that any T of the form (1.2) has a unique continuous extension from $\mathbf{C}[t]$ to $L^2(\mu)$ if μ is N -extremal. This extension \tilde{T} satisfies

$$(1.3) \quad \tilde{T}(f) = T(F(f)), \quad f \in L^2(\mu),$$

where $F(f)$ is the canonical extension of $f \in L^2(\mu)$. In fact, if $(q_n) \in \mathbf{C}[t]$ converges in $L^2(\mu)$ to $f \in L^2(\mu)$ then $q_n = F(q_n)$ converges in $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{C})$ to $F(f)$ and hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(q_n) = T(F(f))$. We notice that $(T(p_n)) \in \ell^2$, and if $f \in L^2(\mu)$ has the Fourier expansion $\sum c_n p_n$ then

$$(1.4) \quad \tilde{T}(f) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n T(p_n).$$

If μ is determinate then T given by (1.2) has a (unique) continuous extension from $\mathbf{C}[t]$ to $L^2(\mu)$ if and only if $(T(p_n)) \in \ell^2$. Although $(p_n(z)) \notin \ell^2$ for $z \notin \text{supp}(\mu)$, it is possible to characterize the differential operators T for which $(T(p_n)) \in \ell^2$. This was done in [BD2]. For determinate measures μ of finite index there are “many” of these operators, see below, and we shall prove the following:

THEOREM 1.1. *Let μ be a determinate measure of finite index. Then $L^2(\mu)$ consists of entire functions of class \mathcal{C}_0 via a continuous linear injection $E : L^2(\mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{C})$ with the additional property that*

$$(1.5) \quad \tilde{T}(f) = T(E(f))$$

for all $f \in L^2(\mu)$ and all operators T of the form (1.2) for which $(T(p_n)) \in \ell^2$.

A realization $f \mapsto E(f)$ satisfying (1.5) is not uniquely determined. We give several different realizations, and to complete the paper, we characterize for given $f \in L^2(\mu)$ the set of entire functions F satisfying

$$\tilde{T}(f) = T(F)$$

for all operators T such that $(T(p_n)) \in \ell^2$. All these functions F turn out to be of class \mathcal{C}_0 .

2. Preliminary results.

As claimed in the introduction it imposes severe restrictions on a determinate measure μ , if $L^2(\mu)$ consists of entire functions.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^*$ be determinate and assume that $E : L^2(\mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ is a realization of $L^2(\mu)$ as entire functions. Then μ is a discrete measure, and for each $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{supp}(\mu)$ there exists $p \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ such that $p(z) \neq E(p)(z)$.*

PROOF. If the support S of μ is non-discrete we can choose $x_0 \in S$ and a compact subset $F \subseteq S \setminus \{x_0\}$ having accumulation points. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function with compact support vanishing on F and such that $f(x_0) = 1$. The extension $E(f)$ of f to an entire function must necessarily vanish identically, but this is a contradiction.

For a discrete determinate measure μ it is known that $\sum |p_n(z)|^2 = \infty$ for all $z \notin \text{supp}(\mu)$. Fix $z \notin \text{supp}(\mu)$ and let us assume that the realization E has the property $E(p)(z) = p(z)$ for all $p \in \mathbb{C}[t]$. We define a sequence S_n of continuous linear functionals on ℓ^2 by

$$S_n(c) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_k p_k(z), \quad c = (c_n) \in \ell^2.$$

For any $c \in \ell^2$ there exists $f \in L^2(\mu)$ such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^n c_k p_k \rightarrow f \text{ in } L^2(\mu),$$

and hence

$$S_n(c) = E\left(\sum_{k=0}^n c_k p_k\right)(z) \rightarrow E(f)(z).$$

By the Banach-Steinhaus Theorem this implies that

$$\sup_n \|S_n\| = \left(\sum_0^\infty |p_k(z)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty,$$

which is a contradiction.

The determinate measures of finite index are discrete, and we shall realize $L^2(\mu)$ as entire functions for this class of measures.

The index of determinacy of a determinate measure μ was introduced and studied by the authors in [BD1]. This index checks the determinacy under multiplication by even powers of $|t - z|$ for z a complex number, and it is defined as

$$(2.1) \quad \text{ind}_z(\mu) = \sup\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid |t - z|^{2k} \mu \text{ is determinate}\}.$$

Using the index of determinacy, determinate measures can be classified as follows:

If μ is constructed from an N -extremal measure by removing the mass at $k + 1$ points in the support, then μ is determinate with

$$(2.2) \quad \text{ind}_z(\mu) = \begin{cases} k, & \text{for } z \notin \text{supp}(\mu) \\ k + 1, & \text{for } z \in \text{supp}(\mu). \end{cases}$$

For an arbitrary determinate measure μ the index of determinacy is either infinite for every z , or finite for every z . In the latter case the index has the form (2.2), and μ is derived from an N -extremal measure by removing the mass at $k + 1$ points. Such an N -extremal measure is highly non-unique by a perturbation result of Berg and Christensen, cf. [BC, Theorem 8].

Using that the index of determinacy is constant at complex numbers outside of the support of μ , we define the index of determinacy of μ by

$$(2.3) \quad \text{ind}(\mu) := \text{ind}_z(\mu), \quad z \notin \text{supp}(\mu).$$

We stress that a measure μ of finite index is discrete and $\text{ind}(\mu) + 1$ is the smallest degree of a polynomial p such that $|p|^2 \mu$ is indeterminate.

To each measure μ which is either N -extremal or determinate of finite index we associate an entire function F_μ with simple zeros at the points of $\text{supp}(\mu)$. We recall from [BD1] that

$$(2.4) \quad F_\mu(w) = \exp\left(-w \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{x_n}\right) \prod_{n=0}^\infty \left(1 - \frac{w}{x_n}\right) \exp\left(\frac{w}{x_n}\right),$$

where $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is the support of μ . This function F_μ is the uniquely determined entire function of minimal exponential type having $\text{supp}(\mu)$ as its

set of zeros and satisfying $F_\mu(0) = 1$. In the above formulation we tacitly assume $0 \notin \text{supp}(\mu)$. If however $0 \in \text{supp}(\mu)$, the above expression for F_μ shall be multiplied with w and $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \text{supp}(\mu) \setminus \{0\}$.

That F_μ is of minimal exponential type follows by a theorem of M. Riesz [R], according to which the entire functions in the Nevanlinna matrix for an indeterminate moment problem are of minimal exponential type. The function F_μ is also in the Cartwright class.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let μ be N -extremal. For each $f \in L^2(\mu)$ we have*

$$F(f)(z) = \sum_{x \in \text{supp}(\mu)} \frac{F_\mu(z)}{F'_\mu(x)(z-x)} f(x), \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

where the series converges uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} .

PROOF. Without loss of generality we may assume that $0 \in \text{supp}(\mu)$, so F_μ is proportional to the function D from the Nevanlinna matrix, cf. [A], and it is well known that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(z)p_n(x) = \frac{B(z)D(x) - B(x)D(z)}{z-x},$$

cf. [BD1], [BuCa], where

$$B(z) = -1 + z \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n(0)p_n(z).$$

Here (q_n) denotes the sequence of polynomials of the second kind given by

$$q_n(z) = \int \frac{p_n(z) - p_n(x)}{z-x} d\mu(x).$$

Since D vanishes on $\text{supp}(\mu)$ we get

$$F(f)(z) = \int \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(z)p_n(x) \right) f(x) d\mu(x) = -D(z) \int \frac{B(x)f(x)}{z-x} d\mu(x),$$

and

$$\frac{B(x)f(x)}{z-x} = -\frac{f(x)}{z-x} + \frac{xf(x)}{z-x} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n(0)p_n(x)$$

belongs to $L^1(\mu)$ because $\sum q_n(0)p_n(x) \in L^2(\mu)$.

The mass at $x \in \text{supp}(\mu)$ is given by ([A, p. 114])

$$\mu(\{x\}) = \frac{-1}{B(x)D'(x)}$$

showing that

$$F(f)(z) = \sum_{x \in \text{supp}(\mu)} \frac{D(z)}{D'(x)(z-x)} f(x)$$

and the series converges uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} . Since D and F_μ are proportional the result follows.

From Theorem 2.2 it is easy to verify that the realization $F(L^2(\mu))$ is a Hilbert space of entire functions in the sense of de Branges, see [Br, p. 57]. For details see Corollary 3.3 below.

In [BD2] we obtained the following result:

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^*$ be determinate and let (p_n) be the sequence of orthonormal polynomials corresponding to μ . Let $(z_1, k_1), \dots, (z_N, k_N)$ be given, where the z 's are different complex numbers and the k 's are nonnegative integers. Putting $M = \sum_{l=1}^N (k_l + 1)$ and*

$$\mathcal{T} = \left\{ T = \sum_{l=1}^N \sum_{j=0}^{k_l} a_{l,j} \delta_{z_l}^{(j)} \mid a_{l,j} \in \mathbb{C} \right\},$$

we have

(i) If

$$\text{ind}(\mu) \geq \left(\sum_{l: \mu(\{z_l\}) > 0} k_l + \sum_{l: \mu(\{z_l\}) = 0} (k_l + 1) \right) - 1,$$

then the sequence $(T(p_n))$ belongs to ℓ^2 only in the trivial cases, i.e., if and only if T is a linear combination of Dirac deltas evaluated at points z_l which are mass points of the measure μ .

(ii) If

$$0 \leq \text{ind}(\mu) \leq \left(\sum_{l: \mu(\{z_l\}) > 0} k_l + \sum_{l: \mu(\{z_l\}) = 0} (k_l + 1) \right) - 2,$$

then,

$$\dim\{T \in \mathcal{T} \mid (T(p_n)) \in \ell^2\} = M - \text{ind}(\mu) - 1 \geq 1.$$

Furthermore, $(T(p_n)) \in \ell^2$ if and only if $T(z^k F_\mu(z)) = 0$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, \text{ind}(\mu)$.

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^*$ be a determinate measure of finite index. For an operator $T \in \mathcal{F}$ we have $(T(p_n)) \in \ell^2$ if and only if $T(z^k F_\mu(z)) = 0$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, \text{ind}(\mu)$.*

PROOF. It is enough to consider the case (i), and to prove that the equations $T(z^k F_\mu(z)) = 0$ for $k \leq \text{ind}(\mu)$ imply that T is a linear combination of Dirac deltas at mass points of μ . To simplify the notation we assume that the system is ordered such that there exist positive integers $0 \leq N_1 \leq N_2 \leq N$ for which

$$\begin{cases} \mu(\{z_l\}) > 0 \text{ and } k_l = 0 \text{ for } l = 1, \dots, N_1 \\ \mu(\{z_l\}) > 0 \text{ and } k_l > 0 \text{ for } l = N_1 + 1, \dots, N_2 \\ \mu(\{z_l\}) = 0 \text{ for } l = N_2 + 1, \dots, N. \end{cases}$$

Using $F_\mu(z_l) = 0$ for $l = 1, \dots, N_2$, the equations $T(z^k F_\mu(z)) = 0$ can be written

$$\sum_{l=N_1+1}^{N_2} \sum_{j=1}^{k_l} a_{l,j} \delta_{z_l}^{(j)}(z^k F_\mu(z)) + \sum_{l=N_2+1}^N \sum_{j=0}^{k_l} a_{l,j} \delta_{z_l}^{(j)}(z^k F_\mu(z)) = 0.$$

This system has

$$p := \sum_{l=N_1+1}^{N_2} k_l + \sum_{l=N_2+1}^N (k_l + 1)$$

variables $a_{l,j}$ and $\text{ind}(\mu) + 1$ equations, and $p \leq \text{ind}(\mu) + 1$ since we consider the case (i). We claim that the system of equations with $k \leq p - 1$ ($\leq \text{ind}(\mu)$) has a non-singular matrix, and therefore the variables involved are 0, i.e.

$$T = \sum_{l=1}^{N_2} a_{l,0} \delta_{z_l}.$$

The columns of the matrix can be put together in blocks

$$\left\{ \delta_{z_l}^{(j)}(z^k F_\mu(z)) \right\}_{\substack{k=0, \dots, p-1, \\ j=1, \dots, k_l}}, \quad l = N_1 + 1, \dots, N_2$$

and

$$\left\{ \delta_{z_l}^{(j)}(z^k F_\mu(z)) \right\}_{\substack{k=0, \dots, p-1, \\ j=0, \dots, k_l}}, \quad l = N_2 + 1, \dots, N.$$

Since $F_\mu(z_l) = 0$, $F'_\mu(z_l) \neq 0$ for $l = N_1 + 1, \dots, N_2$ and $F_\mu(z_l) \neq 0$ for $l = N_2 + 1, \dots, N$, column operations show that these blocks are equivalent to the blocks

$$\left\{ \delta_{z_i}^{(j)}(z^k) \right\}_{\substack{k=0, \dots, p-1 \\ j=0, \dots, k_i-1}}, \left\{ \delta_{z_i}^{(j)}(z^k) \right\}_{\substack{k=0, \dots, p-1 \\ j=0, \dots, k_i}}$$

The determinant of the matrix formed by these blocks is a variant of Vandermondes determinant and is non-zero.

3. The determinate case.

For a given measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^*$ of finite index of determinacy we denote by $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$ the set of operators of the form (1.2) for which $(T(p_n)) \in \ell^2$, allowing the system (z_i, k_i) and N to vary. It is an infinite dimensional vector space. Any $T \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ can be extended from $\mathbb{C}[t]$ to a continuous linear operator \tilde{T} in the space $L^2(\mu)$ via Fourier expansions:

$$\tilde{T}(f) = \sum_n \left(\int_R f(t) p_n(t) d\mu(t) \right) T(p_n), \quad \text{for } f \in L^2(\mu).$$

We choose different real numbers $x_0, \dots, x_{\text{ind}(\mu)}$ outside of the support of μ and consider the measure

$$(3.1) \quad \sigma = \mu + \sum_{i=0}^{\text{ind}(\mu)} \delta_{x_i}.$$

From the above, cf. Theorem 3.9 (1) in [BD1], it follows that the measure σ is N -extremal.

Given a function $f \in L^2(\mu)$, we extend it to a function \tilde{f} in the space $L^2(\sigma)$ in the following way

$$(3.2) \quad \tilde{f}(t) = \begin{cases} f(t), & \text{for } t \in \text{supp}(\mu) \\ 0, & \text{for } t = x_i, i = 0, \dots, \text{ind}(\mu). \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $f \mapsto \tilde{f}$ is a linear isometry of $L^2(\mu)$ into $L^2(\sigma)$.

Since σ is N -extremal, \tilde{f} has a canonical extension to an entire function of class \mathcal{E}_0 given by

$$(3.3) \quad F(\tilde{f})(z) = \sum_n \left(\int_R \tilde{f}(t) q_n(t) d\sigma(t) \right) q_n(z),$$

where (q_n) is the sequence of orthonormal polynomials with respect to σ . We can now formulate:

THEOREM 3.1. *Let μ be a determinate measure with finite index of determinacy $\text{ind}(\mu)$. The mapping $E(f) := F(\tilde{f})$ given by (3.3) is a realization of $L^2(\mu)$ as entire functions of class \mathcal{E}_0 such that for any operator $T \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$*

$$(3.4) \quad \tilde{T}(f) = T(E(f)), \quad f \in L^2(\mu).$$

PROOF. It is clear that $E(f) = F(\tilde{f})$ is a realization of $L^2(\mu)$ as entire functions of class \mathcal{C}_0 .

The set of functions $f \in L^2(\mu)$ for which (3.4) holds is a closed subspace, and therefore it suffices to prove (3.4) for $f = \chi_{\{x\}}$, $x \in \text{supp}(\mu)$, where χ_A denotes the indicator function of the set A . This is a consequence of the following result:

PROPOSITION 3.2. *For $x \in \text{supp}(\mu)$ we have*

$$E(\chi_{\{x\}})(z) = \frac{F_\mu(z)p(z)}{F'_\mu(x)p(x)(z-x)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

where p is the unique monic polynomial of degree $\text{ind}(\mu) + 1$ which vanishes at $x_0, \dots, x_{\text{ind}(\mu)}$.

The function

$$\frac{F_\mu(z)}{F'_\mu(x)(z-x)}$$

is an entire function of class \mathcal{C}_0 equal to $\chi_{\{x\}}$ on $\text{supp}(\mu)$ and we have

$$\tilde{T}(\chi_{\{x\}}) = T(E(\chi_{\{x\}})) = T\left(\frac{F_\mu(z)}{F'_\mu(x)(z-x)}\right) \quad \text{for } T \in \mathcal{D}(\mu).$$

PROOF. For $f = \chi_{\{x\}}$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(t) &= \begin{cases} f(t), & \text{if } t \in \text{supp}(\mu) \\ 0, & \text{for } t = x_i, i = 0, \dots, \text{ind}(\mu) \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } t = x, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \chi_{\{x\}}(t). \end{aligned}$$

For $T \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ we denote by \tilde{T} and \tilde{T}_σ the continuous extensions of T from $\mathbb{C}[t]$ to $L^2(\mu)$ and $L^2(\sigma)$ respectively. We then have $\tilde{T}(f) = \tilde{T}_\sigma(\tilde{f})$ for $f \in L^2(\mu)$ because $\|f - p\|_{L^2(\mu)} \leq \|\tilde{f} - p\|_{L^2(\sigma)}$ when $p \in \mathbb{C}[t]$, and in particular $\tilde{T}(\chi_{\{x\}}) = \tilde{T}_\sigma(\chi_{\{x\}})$ when $x \in \text{supp}(\mu)$.

By Theorem 2.2 we have

$$F(\tilde{f})(z) = \frac{F_\sigma(z)}{F'_\sigma(x)(z-x)} = \frac{F_\mu(z)p(z)}{F'_\mu(x)p(x)(z-x)},$$

because $F_\sigma(z) = \beta p(z)F_\mu(z)$ for a certain constant β , and hence $F'_\sigma(x) = \beta p'(x)F_\mu(x) + \beta p(x)F'_\mu(x) = \beta p(x)F'_\mu(x)$. This gives by (1.3)

$$\tilde{T}(\chi_{\{x\}}) = T\left(\frac{F_\mu(z)p(z)}{F'_\mu(x)p(x)(z-x)}\right),$$

but since

$$\frac{F_\mu(z)p(z)}{F'_\mu(x)p(x)(z-x)} = \frac{F_\mu(z)}{F'_\mu(x)(z-x)} + q(z)F_\mu(z),$$

where

$$q(z) = \frac{p(z) - p(x)}{F'_\mu(x)(z-x)p(x)},$$

is a polynomial of degree $\text{ind}(\mu)$, we have $T(qF_\mu) = 0$ by Corollary 2.4, and the second assertion follows.

COROLLARY 3.3. *With the notation above we have*

$$(3.5) \quad E(f)(z) = \sum_{x \in \text{supp}(\mu)} \frac{F_\mu(z)p(z)}{F'_\mu(x)p(x)(z-x)} f(x) \text{ for } f \in L^2(\mu),$$

where the series converges uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} .

The realization $E(L^2(\mu)) \subseteq \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ is a Hilbert space of entire functions in the sense of de Branges.

PROOF. Formula (3.5) follows immediately from Theorem 2.2 and Proposition 3.2. To see that $E(L^2(\mu))$ is a Hilbert space of entire functions in the sense of de Branges we shall verify the properties (H1)–(H3) from [Br, p. 57]. We shall only comment on (H1): If $w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ is a zero of $E(f)$ we have

$$\sum_{x \in \text{supp}(\mu)} \frac{f(x)}{F'_\mu(x)p(x)(w-x)} = 0,$$

and hence for $z \neq w$

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(f(x)\frac{x-\bar{w}}{x-w}\right)(z) &= F_\mu(z)p(z) \sum_{x \in \text{supp}(\mu)} \frac{f(x)}{F'_\mu(x)p(x)(z-x)} \left(1 + \frac{w-\bar{w}}{x-w}\right) \\ &= E(f)(z) + F_\mu(z)p(z)(w-\bar{w})S(z), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S(z) = \sum_{x \in \text{supp}(\mu)} \frac{f(x)}{F'_\mu(x)p(x)} \left(\frac{1}{(z-x)(x-w)} + \frac{1}{(z-w)(w-x)} \right).$$

Therefore we get

$$E \left(f(x) \frac{x - \bar{w}}{x - w} \right) (z) = E(f)(z) \frac{z - \bar{w}}{z - w},$$

which shows (H1).

In Theorem 3.1, to get an extension of $f \in L^2(\mu)$ to an entire function, we add mass points to the measure μ until we reach an N -extremal measure σ . We next extend f by zero to a function in $L^2(\sigma)$, and use its canonical extension to an entire function. However, there is a different way to obtain N -extremal measures from a determinate measure μ having finite index of determinacy. We prove that this approach can also be used to find entire extensions of functions in $L^2(\mu)$, such that (3.4) holds.

For a determinate measure μ with finite index of determinacy $\text{ind}(\mu)$, we take a polynomial

$$R(t) = \prod_{l=1}^N (t - z_l)^{k_l+1}, \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{l=1}^N (k_l + 1) = \text{ind}(\mu) + 1,$$

where $z_l \notin \text{supp}(\mu)$, $l = 1, \dots, N$.

It follows from Lemma 2.1 in [BD2] that $\sigma = |R|^2 \mu$ is an indeterminate measure, but the measure $|R(t)/(t - z_1)|^2 \mu$ is determinate. According to Lemma A in Section 3 of [BD1], we conclude that the measure $\sigma = |R|^2 \mu$ is N -extremal.

Given a function $f \in L^2(\mu)$, we define $f^{\natural} \in L^2(\sigma)$ by $f^{\natural} = f/R$. Since σ is N -extremal, f^{\natural} has a canonical extension $F(f^{\natural})$ and we define

$$(3.6) \quad E(f)(z) := R(z)F(f^{\natural})(z).$$

THEOREM 3.4. *Let μ be a determinate measure of finite index and let R be as above. Then $L^2(\mu)$ is realized as entire functions of class \mathcal{C}_0 via (3.6), and it has the property*

$$(3.7) \quad \tilde{T}(f) = T(E(f)), \quad f \in L^2(\mu)$$

for any discrete differential operator $T \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$.

PROOF. The set of functions $f \in L^2(\mu)$ for which (3.7) holds is a closed subspace, and therefore it suffices to prove (3.7) for $f = \chi_{\{x\}}$, $x \in \text{supp}(\mu)$.

In this case $f^{\natural}(t) = (1/R(x))\chi_{\{x\}}(t)$, and since $F_\mu = F_\sigma$ we get

$$F(f^\natural)(z) = \frac{F_\mu(z)}{R(x)F'_\mu(x)(z-x)},$$

hence

$$R(z)F(f^\natural)(z) = \frac{F_\mu(z)}{F'_\mu(x)(z-x)} + r(z)F_\mu(z),$$

where

$$r(z) = \frac{1}{R(x)F'_\mu(x)} \frac{R(z) - R(x)}{z-x}$$

is a polynomial of degree $\text{ind}(\mu)$. Now formula (3.7) follows from Corollary 2.4 and Proposition 3.2.

Like in Corollary 3.3 we have

$$E(f)(z) = \sum_{x \in \text{supp}(\mu)} \frac{F_\mu(z)R(z)}{F'_\mu(x)R(x)(z-x)} f(x) \text{ for } f \in L^2(\mu).$$

The realization $E(L^2(\mu))$ is a Hilbert space in the sense of de Branges if R is a real polynomial.

For given $f \in L^2(\mu)$ we shall now describe the set of all entire functions F satisfying

$$(3.8) \quad \tilde{T}(f) = T(F) \text{ for all } T \in \mathcal{D}(\mu).$$

THEOREM 3.5. *Let μ be a determinate measure of finite index and let $f \in L^2(\mu)$.*

(i) *Given $(z_1, k_1), \dots, (z_N, k_N)$, where z_1, \dots, z_N are different points of \mathbb{C} , $k_1, \dots, k_N \in \mathbb{N}$, and assume that $0 \leq N_2 \leq N$ exists such that $z_l \in \text{supp}(\mu)$ and $k_l > 0$ for $l = 1, \dots, N_2$ and $z_l \notin \text{supp}(\mu)$ for $l = N_2 + 1, \dots, N$ and that*

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{l=1}^{N_2} k_l + \sum_{l=N_2+1}^N (k_l + 1) = \text{ind}(\mu) + 1,$$

then there exists a unique entire function F satisfying (3.8) and the interpolation conditions

$$(3.10) \quad F^{(j)}(z_l) = \alpha_{lj} \quad \begin{cases} j = 1, \dots, k_l, l = 1, \dots, N_2 \\ j = 0, \dots, k_l, l = N_2 + 1, \dots, N \end{cases}$$

where α_{lj} are arbitrarily given. This entire function F is of class \mathcal{C}_0 .

(ii) *If F is an entire function satisfying (3.8), then $F + pF_\mu$, where p is any polynomial of degree not bigger than $\text{ind}(\mu)$, are the only entire functions satisfying (3.8). All of them are of class \mathcal{C}_0 .*

PROOF. (i) We first prove the existence. Assume that F is an entire function satisfying (3.8). From the hypothesis on the z_l 's and since F_μ has simple zeros, we deduce that $F'_\mu(z_l) \neq 0$ for $l = 1, \dots, N_2$ and $F_\mu(z_l) \neq 0$ for $l = N_2 + 1, \dots, N$. Hence, if p denotes a polynomial, the equations

$$\delta_{z_l}^{(j)}(p(z)F_\mu(z)) = F^{(j)}(z_l) - \alpha_{l,j}, \begin{cases} j = 1, \dots, k_l, l = 1, \dots, N_2 \\ j = 0, \dots, k_l, l = N_2 + 1, \dots, N \end{cases}$$

determine the quantities $p^{(j)}(z_l)$ uniquely for $j = 0, \dots, k_l - 1, l = 1, \dots, N_2$ and for $j = 0, \dots, k_l, l = N_2 + 1, \dots, N$. The hypothesis (3.9) guarantees that p is uniquely determined as a polynomial of degree $\leq \text{ind}(\mu)$. This means that $F - pF_\mu$ satisfies the interpolation conditions (3.10), and $F - pF_\mu$ still satisfies (3.8) by Corollary 2.4.

To prove uniqueness, assume that F and G are entire functions satisfying (3.8) and (3.10). We shall prove that $F(x) = G(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\text{supp}(\mu) \cup \{z_{N_2+1}, \dots, z_N\})$. This clearly implies $F \equiv G$. For x as above we consider the linear system

$$\sum_{l=1}^{N_2} \sum_{j=1}^{k_l} a_{l,j} \delta_{z_l}^{(j)}(z^k F_\mu(z)) + \sum_{l=N_2+1}^N \sum_{j=0}^{k_l} a_{l,j} \delta_{z_l}^{(j)}(z^k F_\mu(z)) = x^k F_\mu(x)$$

where $0 \leq k \leq \text{ind}(\mu)$. The system is quadratic by (3.9), and it has a unique solution $(a_{l,j})$, cf. the proof of Corollary 2.4. This means that the operator

$$T := \sum_{l=1}^{N_2} \sum_{j=1}^{k_l} a_{l,j} \delta_{z_l}^{(j)} + \sum_{l=N_2+1}^N \sum_{j=0}^{k_l} a_{l,j} \delta_{z_l}^{(j)} - \delta_x$$

belongs to $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$, so $T(F) = T(G) = \tilde{T}(f)$ by (3.8), but since F and G both satisfy (3.10) we conclude that $F(x) = G(x)$.

Since (3.8) has a solution F which is of class \mathcal{C}_0 , the solution $F - pF_\mu$ from the existence part is again of class \mathcal{C}_0 .

(ii) Let F, G be entire functions satisfying (3.8). The method in (i) shows that it is possible to find a polynomial p of degree $\leq \text{ind}(\mu)$ such that $G - pF_\mu$ satisfies the interpolation conditions

$$\delta_{z_l}^{(j)}(G - pF_\mu) = F^{(j)}(z_l)$$

with l, j as in (3.10). By the uniqueness assertion $G - pF_\mu = F$.

REFERENCES

- [A] N. I. Akhiezer, *The classical moment problem*, Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, 1965.
- [B] C. Berg, *Indeterminate moment problems and the theory of entire functions*, J. Comp. Appl. Math. 65 (1995), 27–55.
- [BC] C. Berg and J. P. R. Christensen, *Density questions in the classical theory of moments*, Ann. Inst. Fourier 31,3 (1981), 99–114.
- [BD1] C. Berg and A. J. Duran, *The index of determinacy for measures and the ℓ^2 -norm of orthonormal polynomials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 347 (1995), 2795–2811.
- [BD2] C. Berg and A. J. Duran, *When does a discrete differential perturbation of a sequence of orthonormal polynomials belong to ℓ^2 ?* J. Funct. Anal. 136 (1996), 127–153.
- [Br] L. de Branges, *Hilbert spaces of entire functions*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1968.
- [BuCa] H. Buchwalter, and G. Cassier, *La paramétrisation de Nevanlinna dans le problème des moments de Hamburger*, Exposition. Math. 2 (1984), 155–178.
- [R] M. Riesz, *Sur le problème des moments. Troisième Note.*, Arkiv för Mat., astr. och fys. 17 (1923), no. 16.

MATEMATISK INSTITUT
 KØBENHAVNS UNIVERSITET
 UNIVERSITETSPARKEN 5
 DK-2100 KØBENHAVN Ø
 DENMARK

DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS
 MATEMÁTICO
 UNIVERSIDAD DE SEVILLA
 APDO. 1160. 41080-SEVILLA
 SPAIN