

ISOMORPHISMS OF HILBERT C*-MODULES AND *-ISOMORPHISMS OF RELATED OPERATOR C*-ALGEBRAS

MICHAEL FRANK

Abstract.

Let \mathcal{M} be a Banach C*-module over a C*-algebra A carrying two A -valued inner products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ which induce norms on \mathcal{M} equivalent to the given one. Then the appropriate unital C*-algebras of adjointable bounded A -linear operators on the Hilbert A -modules $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1\}$ and $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2\}$ are shown to be *-isomorphic if and only if there exists a bounded A -linear isomorphism S of these two Hilbert A -modules satisfying the identity $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2 \equiv \langle S(\cdot), S(\cdot) \rangle_1$. This result extends other equivalent descriptions due to L. G. Brown, H. Lin and E. C. Lance. An example of two non-isomorphic Hilbert C*-modules with *-isomorphic C*-algebras of "compact"/adjointable bounded module operators is indicated.

Investigations in operator and C*-theory make often use of C*-modules as a tool for proving, especially of Banach and Hilbert C*-modules. Impressing examples of such applications are G. G. Kasparov's approach to K- and KK-theory of C*-algebras [7,18] or the investigations of M. Baillet, Y. Denizeau and J.-F. Havet [1] and of Y. Watatani [17] on (normal) conditional expectations of finite index on W*-algebras and C*-algebras. In addition, the theory of Hilbert C*-modules is interesting in its own.

Our standard sources of reference to Hilbert C*-module theory are the papers [13,9,5,6], chapters in [7,18] and the book of E. C. Lance [11]. We make the convention that all C*-modules of the present paper are left modules by definition. A *pre-Hilbert A -module over a C*-algebra A* is an A -module \mathcal{M} equipped with an A -valued mapping $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow A$ which is A -linear in the first argument and has the properties:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle^* , \langle x, x \rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{with equality iff } x = 0.$$

The mapping $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is called *the A -valued inner product on \mathcal{M}* . A pre-Hilbert A -module $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\}$ is *Hilbert* if and only if it is complete with respect to the norm $\| \cdot \| = \| \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \|_A^{1/2}$. We always assume that the linear structures of A and \mathcal{M} are compatible.

One of the key problems of Hilbert C^* -module theory is the question of isomorphism of Hilbert C^* -modules. First of all, they can be isomorphic as Banach A -modules. But there is another natural definition: Two Hilbert A -modules $\{\mathcal{M}_1, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1\}$, $\{\mathcal{M}_2, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2\}$ over a fixed C^* -algebra A are *isomorphic as Hilbert C^* -modules* if and only if there exists a bijective bounded A -linear mapping $S : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ such that the identity $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1 \equiv \langle S(\cdot), S(\cdot) \rangle_2$ is valid on $\mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_1$. In 1985 L. G. Brown presented two examples of Hilbert C^* -modules which are isomorphic as Banach C^* -modules but which are non-isomorphic as Hilbert C^* -modules, cf. [2,12,6]. This result was very surprising since Hilbert space theory, the classical investigations on Hilbert C^* -modules like [13,9], G. G. Kasparov's approach to KK-theory of C^* -algebras relying on countably generated Hilbert C^* -modules and other well-known investigations in this field did not give any indication of such a serious obstacle in the general theory of Hilbert C^* -modules. L. G. Brown obtained his examples from the theory of different kinds of multipliers of C^* -algebras without identity. Furthermore, making use of the results of the Ph.D. thesis of Nien-Tsu Shen [15] he proved the following: For a Banach C^* -module \mathcal{M} over a C^* -algebra A carrying two A -valued inner products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ which induce norms on \mathcal{M} equivalent to the given one the appropriate C^* -algebras of "compact" bounded A -linear operators on the Hilbert A -modules $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1\}$ and $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2\}$ are $*$ -isomorphic if and only if there exists a bounded A -linear isomorphism S of these two Hilbert A -modules satisfying $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2 \equiv \langle S(\cdot), S(\cdot) \rangle_1$, cf. [2, Thm. 4.2, Prop. 4.4] together with [6, Prop. 2.3], ([4]). By definition, the set of "compact" operators $K_A(\mathcal{M})$ on a Hilbert A -module $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\}$ is defined as the norm-closure of the set $K_A^0(\mathcal{M})$ of all finite linear combinations of the operators

$$\{\theta_{x,y} : \theta_{x,y}(z) = \langle z, x \rangle y \text{ for every } x, y, z \in \mathcal{M}\}.$$

It is a C^* -subalgebra and a two-sided ideal of $\text{End}_A^*(\mathcal{M})$, the set of all adjointable bounded A -linear operators on $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\}$, what is the multiplier C^* -algebra of $K_A(\mathcal{M})$ by [9, Thm. 1]. Note, that in difference to the well-known situation for Hilbert spaces, the properties of an operator to be "compact" or to possess an adjoint depend heavily on the choice of the A -valued inner product on \mathcal{M} . These properties are not invariant even up to isomorphic Hilbert structures on \mathcal{M} , in general, cf. [6]. We make the convention that operators T which are "compact"/adjointable with respect to some A -valued inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_i$ will be marked $T^{(i)}$ to note where this property arises from. The same will be done for sets of such operators.

In 1994 E. C. Lance showed that two Hilbert C^* -modules are isomorphic as Hilbert C^* -modules if and only if they are isometrically isomorphic as Banach C^* -modules ([10]) opening the geometrical background of this func-

tional-analytical problem and extending a central result for C*-algebras: C*-algebras are isometrically multiplicatively isomorphic if and only if they are *-isomorphic, [8, Thm. 7, Lemma 8].

At the contrary, non-isomorphic Hilbert structures on a given Hilbert A -module \mathcal{M} over a C*-algebra A can not appear at all if \mathcal{M} is *self-dual*, i. e. every bounded module map $r : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow A$ is of the form $\langle \cdot, a_r \rangle$ for some element $a_r \in \mathcal{M}$ (cf. [5, Prop. 2.2, Cor. 2.3]), or if A is unital and \mathcal{M} is *countably generated*, i. e. there exists a countably set of generators inside \mathcal{M} such that the set of all finite A -linear combinations of generators is norm-dense in \mathcal{M} (cf. [2, Cor. 4.8, Thm. 4.9] together with [7, Cor. 1.1.25] and [6, Prop. 2.3]).

Now, we come to the goal of the present paper: Whether for a Banach C*-module \mathcal{M} over a C*-algebra A carrying two A -valued inner products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ which induce norms on \mathcal{M} equivalent to the given one the appropriate C*-algebras $\text{End}_A^{(1,*)}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\text{End}_A^{(2,*)}(\mathcal{M})$ of all adjointable bounded A -linear operators on \mathcal{M} are *-isomorphic, or not? This question is non-trivial since even non-*-isomorphic non-unital C*-algebras can possess a common multiplier C*-algebra:

Consider the commutative AW*-algebra $A = L^\infty([0, 1]) \oplus D([0, 1])$, where $L^\infty([0, 1])$ is the W*-algebra of all Lebesgue-measurable, essentially bounded functions on $[0, 1]$ factorized by the subset of those functions which equal to zero outside a set of measure zero, and where $D([0, 1])$ is the Dixmier algebra of all Borel functions on $[0, 1]$ factorized by the subset of all those functions which equal to zero outside a meager set. By the Gel'fand theorem the commutative AW*-algebra A can be described as the set of continuous functions on the disjoint union of two compact Hausdorff spaces: K_1 -- a hyperstonean one, K_2 -- a stonean one without any non-trivial normal measure and with a dense subset of first category, (cf. [16, III, Thm. 1.17]). Both these compact spaces possess accumulation points $x_1 \in K_1$, $x_2 \in K_2$. Set

$$B_1 = \{f \in A : f(x_1) = 0\} \quad , \quad B_2 = \{f \in A : f(x_2) = 0\} .$$

The sets B_1 and B_2 are two-sided norm-closed ideals of A with trivial orthogonal complements. Since A is an AW*-algebra their multiplier C*-algebras are injectively embedded in A as C*-subalgebras and coincide with their sets of two-sided multipliers estimated with respect to A , [14]. Consequently, $M(B_1) \equiv M(B_2) \equiv A$, whereas B_1 is not *-isomorphic to B_2 by the Stone-Weierstraß theorem and by the very different properties of the compact spaces K_1 , K_2 . (Note, that there are topological reasons for this phenomenon which are of separate interest.)

At the contrary, if one of two non-unital C*-algebras with *-isomorphic multiplier C*-algebras is separable then the initial C*-algebras are *-iso-

morphic by [3], what fits with the result on countably generated Hilbert C^* -modules by [7, Cor. 1.1.25]. That is, additional arguments are needed to describe the relation between the multiplier C^* -algebras of non- $*$ -isomorphic C^* -algebras of "compact" operators on some Banach C^* -modules carrying non-isomorphic C^* -valued inner products. One quickly realizes that the techniques of multiplier theory are not suitable to shed some more light on this general situation. One has to turn back to C^* -theory and to the properties of $*$ -isomorphisms, as well as to the theory of Hilbert C^* -modules.

THEOREM. *Let A be a C^* -algebra and \mathcal{M} be a Banach A -module carrying two A -valued inner products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ which induce norms equivalent to the given one. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The Hilbert A -modules $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1\}$ and $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2\}$ are isomorphic as Hilbert C^* -modules.*
- (ii) *The Hilbert A -modules $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1\}$ and $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2\}$ are isometrically isomorphic as Banach A -modules.*
- (iii) *The C^* -algebras $K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M})$ and $K_A^{(2)}(\mathcal{M})$ of all "compact" bounded A -linear operators on both these Hilbert C^* -modules, respectively, are $*$ -isomorphic.*
- (iv) *The unital C^* -algebras $\text{End}_A^{(1,*)}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\text{End}_A^{(2,*)}(\mathcal{M})$ of all adjointable bounded A -linear operators on both these Hilbert C^* -modules, respectively, are $*$ -isomorphic.*

Further equivalent conditions in terms of positive invertible quasi-multipliers of $K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M})$ can be found in [6].

PROOF. The equivalence of (i) and (ii) was shown by E. C. Lance [10], and the equivalence of (i) and (iii) turns out from a result for C^* -algebras of L. G. Brown [2, Thm. 4.2, Prop. 4.4] in combination with [6, Prop. 2.3]. Referring to G. G. Kasparov [9, Thm. 1] the implication (iii) \rightarrow (iv) yields naturally.

Now, suppose the unital C^* -algebras $\text{End}_A^{(1,*)}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\text{End}_A^{(2,*)}(\mathcal{M})$ are $*$ -isomorphic. Denote this $*$ -isomorphism by ω . One quickly checks that the formula

$$x \in \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \langle x, x \rangle_{1,Op.} = \theta_{x,x}^{(1)} \in K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M})$$

defines a $K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M})$ -valued inner product on the Hilbert A -module \mathcal{M} regarding it as a right $K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M})$ -module. Moreover, the set $\{K(x) : x \in \mathcal{M}, K \in K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M})\}$ is norm-dense inside \mathcal{M} since the limit equality

$$x = \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\theta_{x,x}^{(1)}(\theta_{x,x}^{(1)} + n^{-1})^{-1})(x)$$

holds for every $x \in \mathcal{M}$.

As a first step we consider the intersection of the two C*-subalgebras and two-sided ideals $\omega(K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M}))$ and $K_A^{(2)}(\mathcal{M})$ inside the unital C*-algebra $\text{End}_A^{(2,*)}(\mathcal{M})$. The intersection of them is a C*-subalgebra and two-sided ideal of $\text{End}_A^{(2,*)}(\mathcal{M})$ again. It contains the operators

$$\theta_{x,y}^{(2)} \cdot \omega(\theta_{z,t}^{(1)}) = \theta_{\omega(\theta_{z,t}^{(1)})(x),y}^{(2)} = \theta_{\omega(\theta_{z,t}^{(1)})(x),y}^{(2)}$$

for every $x, y, z, t \in \mathcal{M}$. Since the set of all finite linear combinations of special operators $\{\theta_{z,t}^{(1)} : z, t \in \mathcal{M}\}$ is norm-dense inside $K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M})$ by definition the intersection of $\omega(K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M}))$ and $K_A^{(2)}(\mathcal{M})$ contains the set

$$\{\theta_{\omega(K^{(1)})(x),y}^{(2)} : K^{(1)} \in K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M}), x, y \in \mathcal{M}\}.$$

Because of the limit equality

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(\theta_{x,x}^{(1)}(\theta_{x,x}^{(1)} + n^{-1})^{-1})(x) \\ &= \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(\theta_{x,x}^{(1)})\omega((\theta_{x,x}(1) + n^{-1})^{-1})(x) \end{aligned}$$

the set $\{\omega(K^{(1)})(x) : K^{(1)} \in K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M}), x \in \mathcal{M}\}$ is norm-dense inside \mathcal{M} . Consequently, the intersection of $\omega(K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M}))$ and $K_A^{(2)}(\mathcal{M})$ inside the unital C*-algebra $\text{End}_A^{(2,*)}(\mathcal{M})$ contains the set of "compact" operators $\{\theta_{x,y}^{(2)} : x, y \in \mathcal{M}\}$ generating one of the intersecting sets, $K_A^{(2)}(\mathcal{M})$, completely, and the inclusion relation $K_A^{(2)}(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \omega(K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M}))$ holds.

Secondly, by the symmetry of the situation and of the arguments the inclusion relation $K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \omega^{-1}(K_A^{(2)}(\mathcal{M}))$ holds, too, inside the unital C*-algebra $\text{End}_A^{(1,*)}(\mathcal{M})$. Both inclusions together prove that ω realizes a *-isomorphism of the C*-algebras $K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M})$ and $K_A^{(2)}(\mathcal{M})$ automatically, what implies (iii) and hence, (i).

Whether the *-isomorphism of the C*-algebras of "compact" bounded A -linear operators of two different Hilbert A -modules \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} over some C*-algebras A implies their isomorphism as Hilbert C*-modules, or not? The answer is negative, even in the quite well-behaved cases. Counterexamples appear because of nontrivial K_0 -groups of A , for instance. Let A be the hyperfinite type II_1 W*-factor. Set $\mathcal{M} = A$ and $\mathcal{N} = A^2$ with the usual A -valued inner products. Both these Hilbert A -modules are self-dual and finitely generated. Obviously, $K_A(\mathcal{M})$ and $K_A(\mathcal{N})$ are *-isomorphic to A as C*-algebras. Nevertheless, \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} are not isomorphic as Banach A -modules because of the non-existence of non-unitary isometries for the identity

caused by the existence of a faithful trace functional on A . The K_0 -group of A equals R , i. e., it is non-trivial, and $A \cong A \otimes M_2(C)$.

In general, one could search for some special unital C^* -algebra A with non-trivial K_0 -group, a natural number $n \geq 1$ and two projections $p, q \in M_n(A)$ such that for every $N \geq n$ the finitely generated Hilbert A -modules $A^N p$ and $A^N q$ are non-isomorphic (i. e., $[p] \neq [q] \in K_0(A)$), but the C^* -algebras $pM_n(A)p$ and $qM_n(A)q$ are $*$ -isomorphic.

Closing, we pose the problem whether for a Banach C^* -module \mathcal{M} over a C^* -algebra A carrying two A -valued inner products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ which induce norms on \mathcal{M} equivalent to the given one the appropriate Banach algebras of all (not necessarily adjointable) bounded A -linear operators on \mathcal{M} are *isometrically* multiplicatively isomorphic, or not, especially in the case of non-isomorphic Hilbert structures. Those properties of all these kinds of operator algebras which are preserved switching from one A -valued inner product on \mathcal{M} to another have to be investigated in the future extending results for the "compact" case of [4,6].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author thanks the referee for his valuable comments which led to an improved motivating example. The counterexample was suggested by V.M. Manuilov during discussions.

REFERENCES

1. M. Baillet, Y. Denizeau, J.-F. Havet, *Indice d'une esperance conditionelle*, Compositio Math. 66(1988), 199--236.
2. L. G. Brown, *Close hereditary C^* -subalgebras and the structure of quasi-multipliers*, MSRI preprint # 11211--85, Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA, 1985.
3. L. G. Brown, *Determination of A from $M(A)$ and related matters*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci., Soc. R. Canada 10(1988), 273--278.
4. L. G. Brown, J. A. Mingo, Nien-Tsu Shen, *Quasi-multipliers and embeddings of Hilbert C^* -bimodules*, Canad. J. Math. 46(1994), 1150--1174.
5. M. Frank, *Self-duality and C^* -reflexivity of Hilbert C^* -modules*, Z. Anal. Anwendungen 9(1990), 165--176.
6. M. Frank, *Geometrical aspects of Hilbert C^* -modules*, preprint 22/1993, University of Copenhagen, Denmark, 1993.
7. K. K. Jensen, K. Thomsen, *Elements of KK -Theory*, (Series: Mathematics: Theory & Applications), Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Berlin, 1991.
8. R. V. Kadison, *Isometries of operator algebras*, Ann. of Math. 54(1951), 325--338.
9. G. G. Kasparov, *Hilbert C^* -modules: The theorems of Stinespring and Voiculescu*, J. Operator Theory 4(1980), 133--150.
10. E. C. Lance, *Unitary operators on Hilbert C^* -modules*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 26(1994), 363--366.
11. E. C. Lance, *Hilbert C^* -modules – a toolkit for operator algebraists*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 210, 1995.
12. H. Lin, *Injective Hilbert C^* -modules*, Pacific J. Math. 154(1992), 131--164.

13. W. L. Paschke, *Inner product modules over B^* -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 182(1973), 443--468.
14. G. K. Pedersen, *Multipliers in AW^* -algebras*, Math. Z. 187(1984), 23--24.
15. Nien-Tsu Shen, *Embeddings of Hilbert bimodules*, Ph.D., Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA, 1982.
16. M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras, I*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
17. Y. Watatani, *Index for C^* -subalgebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 424(1990).
18. N. E. Wegge-Olsen, *K -theory and C^* -algebras – a friendly approach*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1993.

UNIVERSITÄT LEIPZIG
FB MATHEMATIK/INFORMATIK
MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT
AUGUSTUSPLATZ 10
D-04109 LEIPZIG
email: frank@mathematik.uni-leipzig.de