

EXACTNESS OF A RANK ONE QUANTUM INDUCTION FUNCTOR

JENS G. JENSEN

Abstract

We give a short and elementary proof of the exactness of the induction functor $H_A^0(U_A/U_A^0, -)$ for $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

1. Introduction

Let U be the quantized universal enveloping algebra (quantum group) associated to a simple finite dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Then U has a Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt type decomposition $U = U^- U^0 U^+$. We may use a given module for the subalgebra U^0 to construct modules for U by “induction”; in this paper we study such a functor in the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$. In [1] induction is studied for a quantum algebra over a certain localization of $A = \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$, in particular, exactness is proved in [1, 2.11]. The proof involves (among other things) specialization to the case $q = 1$ and Kempf’s vanishing theorem. It is also possible via other specializations to avoid this localization but the complete proof becomes quite long and non-trivial (an alternative proof may be given using Lusztig’s canonical bases, see the related results on the quantum coordinate algebra in [3, 29.5].)

In this paper we give a short and elementary proof of the exactness of induction in the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ where U is an A -algebra (no localization). The result in this case is mentioned in [4, 2.3] but the proof sketched there is incorrect.

This question was put to me by Henning Haahr Andersen at the University of Aarhus, and it is my pleasure to acknowledge his support and guidance. I am also grateful for his suggestions in relation to the preparation of this paper.

2. Notation

Let $A = \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$, q an indeterminate, and let U be the quantized universal enveloping algebra of type \mathfrak{sl}_2 , i.e., U is the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra generated by E, F, K, K^{-1} with relations

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} KK^{-1} &= 1 = K^{-1}K, \\ KEK^{-1} &= q^2E, \quad KFK^{-1} = q^{-2}F, \\ [E, F] &= \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Define for $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, $[c] = \frac{q^c - q^{-c}}{q - q^{-1}}$, and for $t \in \mathbb{N}$, $[t]! = \prod_{j=1}^t [j]$ and $\begin{bmatrix} c \\ t \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{j=1}^t \frac{q^{c-j+1} - q^{-c+j-1}}{q^j - q^{-j}}$. In particular, $\begin{bmatrix} c \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 1$ and $[0]! = 1$, and $\begin{bmatrix} c \\ t \end{bmatrix} = 0$ for $t > c \geq 0$. For all c, t as above, the $\begin{bmatrix} c \\ t \end{bmatrix}$ belong to A . We define $E^{(r)} = \frac{1}{[r]!} E^r, F^{(r)} = \frac{1}{[r]!} F^r$; let U_A be the A -subalgebra of U generated by $E^{(r)}, F^{(r)}, K, K^{-1}, (r = 0, 1, \dots)$. We have a decomposition

$$(2) \quad U_A = U_A^- U_A^0 U_A^+$$

[2, Thm. 6.7] where U_A^- is generated by the $F^{(r)}$, U_A^+ by the $E^{(r)}$, and U_A^0 by $K, K^{-1}, \begin{bmatrix} K; c \\ t \end{bmatrix}$.

Define for $c \in \mathbb{Z}, t \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} K; c \\ t \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{j=1}^t \frac{Kq^{c-j+1} - K^{-1}q^{-c+j-1}}{q^j - q^{-j}};$$

these elements belong to U_A^0 .

For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define a *character* $\chi_m : U_A^0 \rightarrow A$ (cf. [1], Lemma 1.1) by

$$(3) \quad \chi_m(K^\pm) = q^{\pm m}, \quad \chi_m\left(\begin{bmatrix} K; c \\ t \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} m + c \\ t \end{bmatrix}, \quad c \in \mathbb{Z}, t \in \mathbb{N}$$

and for a U_A^0 -module M the m 'th *weight space* (of type 1, cf. [1, 1.2])

$$M_m = \{v \in M \mid \forall u \in U_A^0 : uv = \chi_m(u)v\}$$

We may consider A as a U_A^0 -module by letting $u \in U_A^0$ act as multiplication by $\chi_m(u)$; this U_A^0 -module is (by abuse of notation) written simply as χ_m .

Let M be a U_A -module, and define

$$\mathcal{F}M = \left\{ v \in \bigoplus_{\nu} M_{\nu} \mid E^{(r)}v = 0 = F^{(r)}v \text{ for } r \gg 0 \right\};$$

$\mathcal{F}M$ is a submodule of M (cf. the proof of Lemma 3 below) and we say that M is *integrable* if $\mathcal{F}M = M$. Let \mathcal{U}_A be the category of U_A -modules and let \mathcal{C}_A be the full subcategory of \mathcal{U}_A whose objects are the integrable U_A -mod-

ules; then \mathcal{F} is a functor $\mathcal{U}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_A$. We let \mathcal{C}'_A denote the category of “integrable” U_A^0 -modules (meaning that they are direct sums of their weight spaces.)

We define an *induction functor* as in [1, 1.9–10],

$$(4) \quad H^0(U_A/U_A^0, -) = \mathcal{F} \circ \text{Hom}_{U_A^0}(U_A, -) : \mathcal{C}'_A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_A;$$

where, if M is a U_A^0 -module, U_A acts on $\text{Hom}_{U_A^0}(U_A, M)$ as follows:

$$(5) \quad (uf)(x) = f(xu), \quad x, u \in U_A, f \in \text{Hom}_{U_A^0}(U_A, M)$$

3. Exactness of the induction functor

PROPOSITION 1. *Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $m < 0$ then $H_A^0(\chi_m) = 0$. If $m \geq 0$ then $H_A^0(\chi_m)$ is a free A -module; it has a basis e_0, e_1, \dots, e_m such that for all $r \geq 0$ and all $i \in \{0, \dots, m\}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} e_i &\in H_A^0(\chi_m)_{m-2i} \\ E^{(r)}e_i &= \begin{bmatrix} i \\ r \end{bmatrix} e_{i-r}, \quad i = 0, \dots, m \\ F^{(r)}e_i &= \begin{bmatrix} m-i \\ r \end{bmatrix} e_{i+r}, \quad i = 0, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

where we set $e_s = 0$ for $s < 0$ or $s > m$.

PROOF. Same as [1, Proposition 4.1].

Let $T : U_A \rightarrow U_A$ be an automorphism of A -algebras, and let M be a representation of U_A , i.e. an A -algebra homomorphism $\rho_M : U_A \rightarrow \text{End}_A(M)$. We define a T -twisted representation ${}^T M$ by letting U_A act on M by the homomorphism ${}^T \rho_M = \rho_M \circ T$. If $T(U_A^0) \subseteq U_A^0$ we can twist U_A^0 representations in the same way.

LEMMA 2. *Let $T : U_A \rightarrow U_A$ be an A -algebra endomorphism with $T(U_A^0) \subseteq U_A^0$ and let V be a U_A^0 -module. Then T induces a homomorphism of U_A modules*

$$\phi : {}^T \text{Hom}_{U_A^0}(U_A, V) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{U_A^0}(U_A, {}^T V), \quad f \longmapsto f \circ T$$

(Recall that the untwisted U_A -module structure is given by (5).) Moreover, if T is an isomorphism (of A -algebras) then ϕ is a module isomorphism (with inverse $f \mapsto f \circ T^{-1}$).

PROOF. This is straightforward.

LEMMA 3. *The functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{U}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_A$ is a left exact and commutes with direct sums.*

PROOF. Let M be a U_A -module. First we show that $\mathcal{F}(M)$ is indeed a U_A -module: for example, if $x \in \mathcal{F}(M)$, say $E^{(s)}x = 0$ for $s > s_0$ and $F^{(t)}x = 0$ for $t > t_0$, then $E^{(r)}x$ and $F^{(r)}x$ are also in $\mathcal{F}(M)$ (for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$), for $F^{(t)}F^{(r)}x = 0$ and, using Kac's formula (compare [3], 3.1.9),

$$E^{(s+r)}F^{(r)}x = \sum_{i=0}^r F^{(r-i)} \begin{bmatrix} K; 2i - 2r - s \\ i \end{bmatrix} E^{(s+r-i)}x = 0$$

(and similarly for $E^{(r)}x$). It is easy to see that \mathcal{F} is a functor.

To show that this functor is left exact, it suffices to prove that it preserves kernels. Let $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ be a morphism of \mathcal{U}_A :

$$\ker(\mathcal{F}\phi) = \ker(\phi|_{\mathcal{F}M}) = \ker \phi \cap \mathcal{F}M = \mathcal{F}(\ker \phi)$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{F}(M \oplus N) = \mathcal{F}(M) \oplus \mathcal{F}(N)$ for all M, N in \mathcal{U}_A .

COROLLARY 4. *The functor $H_A^0(U_A/U_A^0, -) : \mathcal{C}'_A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_A$ is left exact and commutes with direct sums.*

In the rest of this section we shall work only with one specific automorphism T , namely the one given by

$$(6) \quad K \mapsto K^{-1}, \quad E \mapsto F, \quad F \mapsto E$$

(using (1) one checks that this is an A -algebra automorphism with $T(U_A^0) \subset U_A^0$.)

COROLLARY 5. *With T as in (6), there is a U_A -isomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned} {}^T H^0(U_A/U_A^0, V) &\cong H^0(U_A/U_A^0, {}^T V) \\ f &\mapsto f \circ T \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. First, ϕ of Lemma 2 is an isomorphism. From the identity

$$(7) \quad ({}^T M)_m = T(M_{-m}), \quad (M \text{ any } U_A\text{-module})$$

and from $T(E^{(r)}) = F^{(r)}$, $T(F^{(r)}) = E^{(r)}$ we deduce that ${}^T \mathcal{F}(M) = \mathcal{F}({}^T M)$; with this identification $\mathcal{F}\phi$ is the required isomorphism.

One may check that T -twist (with T given by (6)) is an equivalence functor from \mathcal{U}_A to itself (In particular, the functor is faithfully exact.) The restriction of this functor maps \mathcal{C}_A to itself.

LEMMA 6. *If $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $V \in \mathcal{C}_A^0$ and $V_n = 0$ for $n < -m$, then there is an isomorphism*

$$(8) \quad H_A^0(U_A/U_A^0, V)_m \cong \{(a_{rs})_{(r,s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \mid a_{rs} \in V_{m+2(s-r)}, a_{rs} = 0 \text{ for } s \gg 0 \text{ and all } r\}$$

$$(9) \quad f \longmapsto (f(F^{(r)}E^{(s)}))$$

PROOF. First we observe that any $f \in H_A^0(U_A/U_A^0, V)_m$ is given uniquely by its values on $F^{(r)}E^{(s)}$, $r, s \geq 0$ (since these constitute a basis for U_A over U_A^0 , see [2, 6.7]). Put $a_{rs} = f(F^{(r)}E^{(s)})$; since f has weight m we get

$$\begin{aligned} q^m a_{rs} &= q^m f(F^{(r)}E^{(s)}) = (K \cdot f)(F^{(r)}E^{(s)}) = f(F^{(r)}E^{(s)}K) \\ &= q^{2(r-s)} K f(F^{(r)}E^{(s)}) = q^{2(r-s)} K a_{rs} \end{aligned}$$

(and similarly for the other generators of U_A^0) so a_{rs} has weight $m + 2(s - r)$. Conversely, if $a_{rs} \in V_{m+2(s-r)}$ for all $r, s \geq 0$ then

$$(10) \quad (uF^{(r)}E^{(s)} \mapsto ua_{rs}), \quad u \in U_A^0$$

defines a function $U_A \rightarrow V$ that clearly belongs to $\text{Hom}_{U_A^0}(U_A, V)_m$.

Consider first any $f \in H_A^0(U_A/U_A^0, V)_m$:

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \exists s_0 > 0 \quad \forall s_1 > s_0 & \quad : E^{(s_1)} \cdot f = 0 \\ \iff \exists s_0 > 0 \quad \forall s_1 > s_0 \quad \forall r, s \geq 0 & : f(F^{(r)}E^{(s)}E^{(s_1)}) = 0 \\ \iff \exists s_0 > 0 \quad \forall s_1 > s_0 \quad \forall r, s \geq 0 & : \begin{bmatrix} s & + & s_1 \\ & & s \end{bmatrix} a_{r, s+s_1} = 0 \\ \iff \exists s_0 > 0 \quad \forall s_1 > s_0 \quad \forall r \geq 0 & : a_{r, s_1} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

This proves that f is indeed sent to the RHS of (8).

Conversely, let (a_{rs}) from the RHS of (8) be given, and consider the corresponding function, call it f , as given by (10). By (11) above we deduce that $E^{(s)} \cdot f = 0$ for $s \gg 0$ and we need only show that a sufficiently high power of F kills f :

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \exists j_0 > 0 \quad \forall j > j_0 & \quad : F^{(j)} \cdot f = 0 \\ \iff \exists j_0 > 0 \quad \forall j > j_0 \quad \forall r, s \geq 0 & : (F^{(j)} \cdot f)(F^{(r)}E^{(s)}) = 0 \\ \iff \exists j_0 > 0 \quad \forall j > j_0 \quad \forall r, s \geq 0 & : f(F^{(r)}E^{(s)}F^{(j)}) = 0 \\ \iff \exists j_0 > 0 \quad \forall j > j_0 \quad \forall r, s \geq 0 & : \\ f \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\min\{j,s\}} \begin{bmatrix} r+j-t \\ r \end{bmatrix} F^{(r+j-t)} \begin{bmatrix} K; 2t-j-s \\ t \end{bmatrix} E^{(s-t)} \right) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\iff \exists j_0 > 0 \forall j > j_0 \forall r, s \geq 0 :$$

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\min\{j,s\}} \begin{bmatrix} r+j-t \\ r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K; 2r+j-s \\ t \end{bmatrix} f\left(F^{(r+j-t)}E^{(s-t)}\right) = 0$$

$$\iff \exists j_0 > 0 \forall j > j_0 \forall r, s \geq 0 :$$

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\min\{j,s\}} \begin{bmatrix} r+j-t \\ r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m+s-j \\ t \end{bmatrix} a_{r+j-t,s-t} = 0$$

Note that for $r-s > m$ we get $m+2(s-r) < -m$ and hence $a_{rs} = 0$ by the assumption that V has no weights below $-m$. We shall prove (12) by considering two cases:

$m+s-j < 0$: $(r+j-t) - (s-t) = r+j-s > r+m \geq m$, so $a_{r+j-t,s-t} = 0$ for all t .

$m+s-j \geq 0$: In this case $\begin{bmatrix} m+s-j \\ t \end{bmatrix} = 0$ for $t > m+s-j$; and if $0 \leq t \leq m+s-j$ we have $s-t \geq j-m$, whence it follows that $a_{r+j-t,s-t} = 0$ (according to (12)) if we choose j_0 greater than $m+s_0$ (and greater than 0), which we may do without loss of generality.

LEMMA 7. *If $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and*

$$0 \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow Q \xrightarrow{\pi} R \longrightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence in \mathcal{C}'_A and $P_n = Q_n = R_n = 0$ for $n < -m$ then there is an exact sequence of U_A^0 -modules

$$0 \rightarrow H_A^0(U_A/U_A^0, P)_m \rightarrow H_A^0(U_A/U_A^0, Q)_m \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} H_A^0(U_A/U_A^0, R)_m \rightarrow 0$$

PROOF. According to Corollary 4 we only have to prove that $\tilde{\pi}$ is surjective. Choose an arbitrary $g \in H_A^0(U_A/U_A^0, R)_m$ and let $b_{rs} = g(F^{(r)}E^{(s)}) \in R_{m+2(s-r)}$, $r, s \geq 0$. For all $r, s \geq 0$ find $a_{rs} \in Q_{m+2(s-r)}$ such that $\pi(a_{rs}) = b_{rs}$ and $b_{rs} = 0 \Rightarrow a_{rs} = 0$ (π is surjective). As in (10) above, let $f \in \text{Hom}_{U_A^0}(U_A, V)_m$ be given by $uF^{(r)}E^{(s)} \mapsto a_{rs}$ ($u \in U_A^0$). By Lemma 6, $f \in H_A^0(U_A/U_A^0, Q)_m$ and clearly $\tilde{\pi}(f) = g$.

THEOREM 8. *The functor $H_A^0(U_A/U_A^0, -) : \mathcal{C}'_A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_A$ is exact.*

PROOF. Since $H_A^0(U_A/U_A^0, V) = \bigoplus_m H_A^0(U_A/U_A^0, V)_m$, it will suffice to prove the exactness of each $H_A^0(U_A/U_A^0, -)_m$ (as a functor from \mathcal{C}'_A to the category of A -modules.) So let an arbitrary fixed $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be given. For any V in \mathcal{C}'_A we define $V' = \bigoplus_{n \geq -m} V_n$ and $V'' = \bigoplus_{n < -m} V_n$; clearly $V = V' \oplus V''$. Given a short exact sequence in \mathcal{C}'_A

$$0 \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow 0$$

we obtain two short exact sequences (of U_A^0 -modules)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow P' \longrightarrow Q' \longrightarrow R' \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\longrightarrow P'' \longrightarrow Q'' \longrightarrow R'' \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 7 we find an exact sequence

$$(13) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_A^0(U_A/U_A^0, P')_m \rightarrow H_A^0(U_A/U_A^0, Q')_m \rightarrow H_A^0(U_A/U_A^0, R')_m \rightarrow 0$$

and by the exactness of the T -functor an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow {}^T P'' \longrightarrow {}^T Q'' \longrightarrow {}^T R'' \longrightarrow 0$$

Since $({}^T P'')_n = {}^T(P''_{-n}) = 0$ for $-n \geq -m$, i.e. for $n \leq m$, we can apply Lemma 7 again to obtain an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow H_A^0(U_A/U_A^0, {}^T P'')_m \rightarrow H_A^0(U_A/U_A^0, {}^T Q'')_m \\ &\rightarrow H_A^0(U_A/U_A^0, {}^T R'')_m \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Using Corollary 5 and (7) we deduce that the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow {}^T(H_A^0(U_A/U_A^0, P'')_m) \rightarrow {}^T(H_A^0(U_A/U_A^0, Q'')_m) \\ &\rightarrow {}^T(H_A^0(U_A/U_A^0, R'')_m) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is exact, and, since T is faithfully exact, that

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow H_A^0(U_A/U_A^0, P'')_m \longrightarrow H_A^0(U_A/U_A^0, Q'')_m \\ &\longrightarrow H_A^0(U_A/U_A^0, R'')_m \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is exact. Finally, applying Corollary 4 to (13) and (14) yields an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H_A^0(U_A/U_A^0, P)_m \longrightarrow H_A^0(U_A/U_A^0, Q)_m \longrightarrow H_A^0(U_A/U_A^0, R)_m \longrightarrow 0$$

as desired.

4. Applications

We can define an induction functor

$$H^0(U_A/U_A^- U_A^0, -) = \mathcal{F} \circ \mathrm{Hom}_{U_A^- U_A^0}(U_A, -)$$

from the category of integrable $U_A^- U_A^0$ -modules to \mathcal{C}_A . This functor is left exact but not exact, so we let $H^i(U_A/U_A^- U_A^0, -)$ denote the i th derived functor (the category of integrable $U_A^- U_A^0$ -modules has enough injectives). This functor is often written quite simply as $H_A^0(-)$ and the derived functors as

$H_A^i(-)$. As in [4, section 2] we may use Theorem 8 to prove vanishing theorems. We may extend the U_A^0 -module χ_m to a $U_A^- U_A^0$ -module by letting U_A^- act trivially. Then we have:

PROPOSITION 9 (Kempf vanishing). *Let $m \geq 0$. Then $H_A^i(\chi_m) = 0$ for $i > 0$.*

PROOF. [4, 2.4]

PROPOSITION 10. *$H^i(-) = 0$ for $i > 1$*

PROOF. [4, 2.5] or [1, 4.3]

Let k be a field where we choose a distinguished element $\xi \in k^\times$; we may then consider k as an A -algebra by $q \mapsto \xi$. We have then a quantum algebra $U_k = k \otimes_A U_A$ with a decomposition as (2), $U_k = U_k^- U_k^0 U_k^+$, where $U_k^0 = k \otimes_A U_A^0$ and similarly for U_k^- and U_k^+ . We now consider $E^{(r)}$, $F^{(r)}$, K , K^{-1} and $\begin{bmatrix} K & c \\ & t \end{bmatrix}$ as elements of U_k . We may then define for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ characters $\chi_m : U_k \rightarrow k$ by composing the map in (3) with the algebra map $A \rightarrow k$. We also extend the concept of integrable modules to U_k -modules (resp. U_k^0 -modules), and we have then an induction functor as in (4) which we denote $H_k^0(U_k/U_k^- U_k^0, -)$, or simply $H_k^0(-)$.

PROPOSITION 11. *Let V be an integrable U_A^0 -module. Then [4, 2.9]*

$$H_k^0(k \otimes_A V) \cong k \otimes_A H_A^0(V)$$

PROOF. As in the proof of Theorem 8 we write $V = V' \oplus V''$ where $V' = \bigoplus_{n \geq -m} V_n$ and $V'' = \bigoplus_{n < -m} V_n$. In the same notation, $(k \otimes V)' = k \otimes (V')$ and $(k \otimes V)'' = k \otimes (V'')$ since $(k \otimes V)_n = k \otimes V_n$. Using Lemma 6 and a similar version for $H^0(U_k/U_k^0, -)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (15) \quad k \otimes H^0(U_A/U_A^0, V')_m &= k \otimes \{ (a_{rs}) \mid a_{rs} \in V_{m+2(s-r)}, a_{rs} = 0, s \gg 0 \} \\ &= \left\{ (\bar{a}_{rs}) \mid \bar{a}_{rs} \in (k \otimes V')_{m+2(s-r)}, \bar{a}_{rs} = 0, s \gg 0 \right\} \\ &= H^0(U_k/U_k^0, k \otimes V')_m \end{aligned}$$

As in Corollary 5, we have for each U_k^0 -module M an isomorphism of U_k -modules

$$(16) \quad {}^T H^0(U_k/U_k^0, M) \cong H^0(U_k/U_k^0, {}^T M)$$

and then, using Corollary 5, (16), and (15) with ${}^T V''$ and $-m$ substituted for, respectively, V' and m ,

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^T((k \otimes H^0(U_A/U_A^0, V''))_m) &\cong k \otimes {}^T(H^0(U_A/U_A^0, V''))_m \\
&= k \otimes H^0(U_A/U_A^0, {}^T V'')_{-m} \\
&\cong H^0(U_k/U_k^0, k \otimes {}^T V'')_{-m} \\
&= H^0(U_k/U_k^0, {}^T(k \otimes V''))_{-m} \\
&\cong {}^T(H^0(U_k/U_k^0, k \otimes V''))_m
\end{aligned}$$

whence we get

$$(17) \quad H^0(U_k/U_k^0, k \otimes V'')_m \cong k \otimes H^0(U_A/U_A^0, V'')_m$$

Finally, we take the direct sum of (15) and (17) and use that also $H^0(U_k/U_k^0, -)$ commutes with direct sums (cf. Corollary 4).

REFERENCES

1. Henning Haahr Andersen, Patrick Polo, and Wen Kexin, *Representations of quantum algebras*, Invent. Math. 104 (1991).
2. George Lusztig, *Quantum Groups at roots of 1*, Geom. Dedicata 35 (1990).
3. George Lusztig, *Introduction to quantum groups*, Progr. Math. 110 (1993).
4. Lars Thams, *Two classical results in the quantum mixed case*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 436 (1993).

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF AARHUS
NY MUNKEGADE
DK-8000 AARHUS C
DENMARK
Email: jens@mi.aau.dk