

# A UNIQUENESS CRITERION IN THE MULTIVARIATE MOMENT PROBLEM

MIHAI PUTINAR and FLORIAN-HORIA VASILESCU\*

## Abstract

A determinacy criterion for the multivariate Hamburger moment problem is derived from a recent existence by extension result, [10].

## 1. Introduction

The present note is a companion to the article [10]. By exploiting an existence result of [10] we derive below a uniqueness criterion for Hamburger's moment problem in any number of dimensions. Typically, the known determinacy criteria are stated in terms of density of polynomials in certain weighted  $L^p$  norms, cf. [3], [7], [12]. A notable exception is the Carleman type condition of [5]. We propose below a numerical sufficient condition of determinacy, completely expressible in terms of some associated orthogonal polynomials. We follow the path via a variational problem first studied by M. Riesz, [11].

First let us fix some notation. Let  $d$  be a positive integer and let  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)$  be the coordinates in  $\mathbf{R}^d$ . When embedding (naturally)  $\mathbf{R}^d$  into  $\mathbf{C}^d$  we will denote by  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d)$  the complex coordinates. We put  $z \cdot z = z_1^2 + z_2^2 + \dots + z_d^2$ , so that the euclidean norm of the vector  $x$  is  $|x| = \sqrt{x \cdot x}$ . The algebra of polynomials in the indeterminates  $x$  will be denoted by  $\mathbf{R}[x]$ , in the case of real coefficients, and by  $\mathbf{C}[z]$  when allowing complex coefficients. For a fixed positive integer  $n$ , the space of polynomials of degree less or equal than  $n$  will be denoted by  $\mathbf{R}_n[x]$ , respectively  $\mathbf{C}_n[z]$ . Whenever it will be necessary, the domain of the polynomial map associated to an element  $p \in \mathbf{R}[x]$  will automatically be extended to  $\mathbf{C}^d$ . Throughout this note we denote  $\mathbf{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .

Let  $\mu$  be a positive, rapidly decreasing at infinity measure on  $\mathbf{R}^d$ , and let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^d}$  be the corresponding moment sequence:

$$a_\alpha = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} x^\alpha d\mu(x), \quad \alpha \in \mathbf{N}^d.$$

---

\* Partially supported by the National Science Foundation Grant DMS 9800666.  
Received October 4, 2000.

Associated solely to the moment sequence is the integration functional:

$$L(p) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} p d\mu, \quad p \in \mathbf{R}[x].$$

First we recall some basic facts in dimension  $d = 1$ . Let  $n$  be a positive integer, and let us consider (after Riesz [11]) the variational problem:

$$(1) \quad \rho_n = \min\{L(p^2); p \in \mathbf{R}_n[x], |p(\pm i)| = 1\}.$$

The sequence  $\rho_n$  is obviously decreasing and the limit  $\rho = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n$  is equal to zero if and only if the initial moment problem is *determinate* (that is, in our notation,  $\mu$  is the unique measure with moments  $\mathbf{a}$ ). The real numbers  $\rho_n$  are the radii of a decreasing set of disks in the plane, representing the values (at  $z = i$ ) of the diagonal Padé approximants of the Cauchy transform of the measure  $\mu$ , see [1] for full details. Most of the uniqueness criteria in the theory of moments in one variable are related to estimates, in different terms, of the limit radius  $\rho$ .

Since the relation (1) refers to real polynomials  $p$ , we can obviously replace the condition  $|p(\pm i)| = 1$  by  $|p(i)| = 1$ . Also, we recall that the numbers  $\pm i$  are not privileged; they can be replaced by any pair  $\alpha, \bar{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha \notin \mathbf{R}$ , see [11].

In arbitrary dimension  $d \geq 1$  we can define an analogous quantity:

$$(2) \quad \rho_n = \min\{L(p^2); p \in \mathbf{R}_n[x], |p(z)| = 1 \text{ for } z \cdot z + 1 = 0\},$$

and set  $\rho = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n$ .

The aim of the present note is to prove that, in any dimension  $d$ , if  $\rho = 0$ , then the initial moment problem is determinate. We will show that actually the numbers  $\rho_n$  are computable, for instance in terms of certain orthogonal polynomials depending only on the moment sequence  $\mathbf{a}$ .

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.** This work was completed when the first author was visiting the Mathematics Department at the University of Science and Technology of Lille. It is a pleasure for him to thank this institution for hospitality and support.

## 2. Main result

Throughout this section we use the notation introduced before:  $\mathbf{a}$  is the moment sequence of the measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbf{R}^d$ ,  $d > 1$ , with associated integration functional  $L$  defined on polynomials, and  $\rho = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n$ , as in relation (2).

First we note that  $\rho_n$  can be interpreted as a distance in the norm  $\|p\|^2 = L(p^2)$ ,  $p \in \mathbf{R}[x]$ . Indeed, the complex variety  $V = \{z \in \mathbf{C}^d; z \cdot z = -1\}$  is a connected smooth hypersurface in  $\mathbf{C}^d$ ,  $d > 1$ , hence by the maximum modulus

principle (cf. for instance [8] pp. 118), if a polynomial  $p \in \mathbf{C}[z]$  satisfies  $|p(z)| = 1, z \in V$ , then there is a constant  $c, |c| = 1$  such that  $p(z) = c, z \in V$ . To see that the variety  $V$  is connected it is sufficient to decompose a point  $z \in V$  into real and imaginary parts:  $z = x + iy, x, y \in \mathbf{R}^d$ , and to remark that the equation of  $V$  becomes  $|x|^2 - |y|^2 + 1 = 0, x \cdot y = 0$ . Then, we can deform  $x$  along its direction to zero (specifically  $tx, t \in [0, 1]$ ) and deform correspondingly  $y$  to the unit vector  $y/|y|$ . Thus,  $V$  is homotopically equivalent to the unit sphere in  $\mathbf{R}^d, d > 1$ , hence it is connected.

Moreover, a standard division argument shows that:

$$p(z) = c - (1 + z \cdot z)q(z), \quad q \in \mathbf{C}[z].$$

Indeed, the ideal generated by the polynomial  $z \cdot z + 1$  is prime in every localization of the polynomial ring  $\mathbf{C}[z]$ , hence it is prime in  $\mathbf{C}[z]$ . By Hilbert Nullstellensatz ([8] pp. 404), since the polynomial  $p(z) - c$  vanishes on  $V$  it can be factored by  $1 + z \cdot z$ .

By taking real and imaginary parts in the coefficients of  $q$  we obtain polynomials  $r(z), s(x)$ , such that  $r(x) = \operatorname{Re} q(x), s(x) = \operatorname{Im} q(x), x \in \mathbf{R}^d$ . Therefore, since we have started with a real polynomial  $p$  we obtain:

$$p(x) = \operatorname{Re} c - (1 + |x|^2)r(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^d,$$

and

$$0 = \operatorname{Im} c - (1 + |x|^2)s(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^d.$$

But the second condition implies  $c \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $s(z) = 0$ , hence  $c = \pm 1$ . Without loss of generality we can assume henceforth that  $c = 1$ .

In conclusion, for  $d > 1$  and  $n \geq 2$  we have proved the following formula:

$$(3) \quad \rho_n = \min\{L(|p|^2); p(z) = 1 - (1 + z \cdot z)q(z), q \in \mathbf{C}_{n-2}[z]\}.$$

By decomposing  $q(x) = r(x) + is(x), x \in \mathbf{R}^d$ , as before in real and imaginary parts, we observe that:

$$|p(x)|^2 = [1 - (1 + |x|^2)r(x)]^2 + (1 + |x|^2)^2s(x)^2, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^d,$$

so that the minimum in the above expression of  $\rho_n$  is indeed attained on real polynomials.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *A moment sequence with invariant  $\rho = 0$  is determinate.*

**PROOF.** As recalled before, the case  $d = 1$  is classical [11], so we can assume  $d > 1$ , in which situation formula (3) holds. If  $\rho = 0$ , then there exists a sequence of polynomials  $q_n \in \mathbf{R}[x]$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L([1 - (1 + |x|^2)q_n(x)]^2) = 0.$$

Assume that  $\nu$  is another positive measure, rapidly decreasing at infinity in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and having the same moments  $\mathbf{a}$  as  $\mu$ . Then we have:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|1 - (1 + |x|^2)q_n(x)\|_{2,\mu} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|1 - (1 + |x|^2)q_n(x)\|_{2,\nu} = 0.$$

Since the function  $\frac{1}{1+|x|^2}$  is positive and bounded on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we infer:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{1 + |x|^2} - q_n(x) \right\|_{2,\mu} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{1 + |x|^2} - q_n(x) \right\|_{2,\nu} = 0.$$

Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$  be an arbitrary multi-index and let  $m$  be a non-negative integer. Our aim is to prove that:

$$(4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x^\alpha}{(1 + |x|^2)^m} d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x^\alpha}{(1 + |x|^2)^m} d\nu(x).$$

Then a direct argument, or the main result of [10], can be applied and conclude that  $\mu = \nu$ .

We prove relation (4) by induction on  $m \geq 0$ . The case  $m = 0$  follows from the assumption that both measures have the same moments. Assume that relation (4) is valid for  $m$  replaced by  $m - 1$ . Let  $\sigma$  be one of the measures  $\mu, \nu$ . Since  $\frac{x^\alpha}{(1+|x|^2)^{m-1}} \in L^2(\sigma)$  and  $q_n(x) \rightarrow \frac{1}{1+|x|^2}$  in  $L^2(\sigma)$ , we obtain  $\frac{x^\alpha q_n(x)}{(1+|x|^2)^{m-1}} \rightarrow \frac{x^\alpha}{(1+|x|^2)^m}$  in  $L^1(\sigma)$ . But according to the induction hypothesis this implies (4).

Note that in the above proof only the convergence  $\|q_n(x) - \frac{1}{1+|x|^2}\|_{2,\mu} \rightarrow 0$  was used. However, this latter condition is not intrinsic in the moments  $\mathbf{a}$ .

Since, by formula (3),  $\sqrt{\rho}$  is the distance in  $L^2(\mu)$  between the constant function  $\mathbf{1}$  and the subspace  $(1 + |x|^2)\mathbb{C}[z]$ , we obtain the following constructive way of computing this number.

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *Let  $P_\alpha(x), \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ , be a sequence of orthonormal polynomials with respect to the measure  $(1 + |x|^2)^2 d\mu(x)$  and define the coefficients:*

$$(5) \quad c_\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P_\alpha(x)(1 + |x|^2) d\mu(x), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d.$$

Then

$$(6) \quad \rho = a_0^2 - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} c_\alpha^2.$$

We remark that  $\rho$  is invariant under the orthogonal group action on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and moreover, the condition  $\rho = 0$  is invariant even under all linear transformations of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Also it is easy to remark from Corollary 2.2 that the density of

polynomials in  $L^2((1 + |x|^2)^2 d\mu(x))$  implies  $\rho = 0$  (compare with Fuglede's unltradeterminacy condition [7]).

Another possible way of checking the uniqueness condition  $\rho(\mathbf{a}) = 0$  is through the restriction of the moment sequences to the coordinate axes, as in [9]. To be more specific, let  $\mathbf{a}$  be the moment sequence of a positive measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbf{R}^d$ , and let  $\mathbf{a}_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq d$ , be the induced boundary moment sequences:

$$\mathbf{a}_j(\alpha) = \mathbf{a}(0, \dots, 0, \alpha_j, 0, \dots, 0) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} x_j^{\alpha_j} d\mu(x), \quad \alpha \in \mathbf{N}^d.$$

Then, according to Theorem 3 of [9], if  $\rho(\mathbf{a}_j) = 0$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq d$ , then  $\rho(\mathbf{a}) = 0$ . Moreover, the converse is also true in the case of product measures [9] Theorem 4. However, in general the converse is not valid, as shown by an example also contained in [9].

As expected, the condition  $\rho = 0$  is not necessary, in general, for the unique determination of the representing measure. We present below such an example, adapted after Schmüdgen [12].

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *There exists a determinate moment sequence in two variables with  $\rho \neq 0$ .*

**PROOF.** We closely follow the first example in [12]. Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on the real line which admits all moments and is indeterminate, yet N-extremal. That means the polynomials in one variable are dense in  $L^2(\mu)$ , but there exist other measures with the same moments, see also [11]. We define the measure  $\nu = (1 + x^2)^{-1}\mu$ , so that  $\nu$  is determinate (because for instance the multiplication by  $(x + i)$  on polynomials has dense range in  $L^2(\nu)$ ).

Let  $j(x) = (\sqrt{2}x, x^2)$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , be a fixed embedding of the line into  $\mathbf{R}^2$ , and let  $\sigma = j_*\nu$  be the image measure, supported by the parabola  $2y = x^2$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\sigma$  is a determinate measure, see [12].

Assume that the invariant  $\rho$  vanishes for the measure  $\sigma$ . This means that there exists a sequence of polynomials  $p_n \in \mathbf{C}[x, y]$  satisfying:

$$\|(1 + x^2 + y^2)p_n - 1\|_{2,\sigma} \longrightarrow 0.$$

This in turn implies:

$$\|(1 + x^2)^2 q_n - 1\|_{2,\nu} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $q_n(x) = p(\sqrt{2}x, x^2)$ . The last condition is equivalent to:

$$(7) \quad \left\| (x + i)(1 + x^2)q_n(x) - \frac{1}{x - i} \right\|_{2,\mu} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let  $V$  denote the closure of  $(x + i)\mathbf{C}[x]$  in  $L^2(\mu)$ . Relation (7) shows that  $\frac{1}{x-i} \in V$ . Since the measure  $\mu$  is indeterminate, for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a positive constant  $C$  with the property that:

$$|p(z)| \leq C e^{\epsilon|z|} \|p\|_{2,\mu}, \quad z \in \mathbf{C}, \quad p \in \mathbf{C}[x].$$

That is the evaluation at a given point  $z \in \mathbf{C}$  is a bounded linear functional on the closure of polynomials, hence on all  $L^2(\mu)$ . Moreover, one can identify in this way  $L^2(\mu)$  with a Hilbert space of entire functions of exponential type, see [11] or [1]. But this contradicts relation (7), because  $\frac{1}{z-i} \Big|_{z=-i} \neq 0$ , while all elements of the space  $V$  vanish at the point  $z = -i$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. Akhiezer, N. I., *The classical moment problem and some related questions in analysis*, Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, 1965.
2. Berg, C., *Moment problems and polynomial approximation*, preprint 1997.
3. Berg, C. and Christensen, J. P. R., *Density questions in the classical theory of moments*, Ann Inst. Fourier 31(1981), 99–114.
4. Berg, C. and Thill, M., *Rotation invariant moment problems*, Acta Math. 167 (1991), 207–227.
5. Cramér, H. and Wold, H., *Some theorems on distribution functions*, J. London Math. Soc. 11 (1936), 290–294.
6. Devinatz, A., *Two parameter moment problems*, Duke Math. J. 24 (1957), 481–498.
7. B. Fuglede, *The multidimensional moment problem*, Exposition. Math. 1 (1983), 47–65.
8. Lojasiewicz, S., *Introduction to Complex Analytic Geometry*, Birkhäuser, 1991.
9. Petersen, L. C., *On the relation between the multidimensional moment problem and the one-dimensional moment problem*, Math. Scand. 51 (1982), 361–366.
10. Putinar, M. and Vasilescu, F.-H., *Solving moment problems by dimensional extension*, Ann. of Math. 149 (1999), 1087–1107.
11. Riesz, M., *Sur le problème des moments. Troisième Note*, Ark. Mat. Fys. 17, 16 (1923), 1–52.
12. Schmüdgen, K., *On determinacy notions for the two dimensional moment problem*, Ark. Mat. 29 (1991), 277–284.
13. Shohat, J. A. and Tamarkin, J. D., *The Problem of Moments*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1943.
14. Vasilescu, F.-H., *Hamburger and Stieltjes moment problems in several variables*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 354 (2002), 1265–1278.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
SANTA BARBARA, CA 93106  
USA  
E-mail: mputinar@math.ucsb.edu

U. F. R. DE MATHÉMATIQUES  
UNIVERSITÉ DES SCIENCES ET TECHNOLOGIES DE LILLE  
U. M. R. DU C. N. R. S. 8524  
59655 VILLENEUVE D'ASCQ CEDEX  
FRANCE  
E-mail: fhvasil@gat.univ-lille1.fr