

A NOTE ON A THEOREM OF SPARR

Y. AMEUR

Abstract

We prove that, regardless of the choice of a positive, concave function ψ on \mathbf{R}_+ and a “weight function” λ , the weighted ℓ_2 -space $\ell_2(\psi(\lambda))$ is c -interpolation with respect to the couple $(\ell_2, \ell_2(\lambda))$, where $c \leq \sqrt{2}$. Our main result is that $c = \sqrt{2}$ is best possible here; a fact which is implicit in the work of G. Sparr.

1. A lemma on Pick functions

Of general interest in the theory of interpolation spaces is the class P' of functions representable in the form

$$(1) \quad h(\lambda) = \int_{[0, \infty]} \frac{(1+t)\lambda}{1+t\lambda} d\rho(t), \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{R}_+,$$

where ρ is some positive Radon measure on \mathbf{R}_+ . This class is known as the set of *positive Pick functions on \mathbf{R}_+* (cf. [2] or [4]). It is easy to see that P' constitutes a subcone of the convex cone of positive concave functions on \mathbf{R}_+ .

In the following, it will be convenient besides (1) to work with a modified representation for P' -functions (cf. [5], p. 266)

$$(2) \quad h(\lambda) = \alpha + \beta\lambda + \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda t}{\lambda + t} d\nu(t),$$

where $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$ and ν is a positive measure on \mathbf{R}_+ such that $\int_0^\infty d\nu(t)/(1+t^{-1}) < \infty$.

We have the following basic lemma.

LEMMA 1.1. *Let ψ be a positive concave function on \mathbf{R}_+ . Then there exists a function $h \in P'$ such that $h \leq \psi \leq 2h$.*

PROOF (Cf. Peetre [11], bottom of p. 168.). It is well-known that an arbitrary positive, concave function can be represented in the form (cf. [3], p. 117)

$$(3) \quad \psi(\lambda) = \alpha + \beta\lambda + \int_0^\infty \min(\lambda, t) d\nu(t),$$

where $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$ and ν a positive measure on \mathbf{R}_+ such that $\int_0^\infty d\nu(t)/(1+t^{-1}) < \infty$. Next observe that for $\lambda, t > 0$

$$\frac{\lambda t}{\lambda + t} \leq \min(\lambda, t) \leq 2 \frac{\lambda t}{\lambda + t}.$$

The lemma now follows from (2) and (3) on integration with respect to ν .

2. The Foiaş -Ong-Rosenthal question

As we shall see presently, Lemma 1.1 is closely related to an interpolation theorem of Foiaş , Ong and Rosenthal [8], which goes back to the work of Jaak Peetre [10], [11]. Before we formulate this theorem, let us remind of some notions from the theory of interpolation spaces. (For more details on this theory, we refer to [3]).

Relative to a Hilbert couple $\overline{\mathcal{H}} = (\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1)$, we have the K_2 -functional

$$K_2(t, f) = K_2(t, f; \overline{\mathcal{H}}) = \inf_{f=f_0+f_1} (\|f_0\|_0^2 + t\|f_1\|_1^2)^{1/2}.$$

Let $\mathcal{H}_0 \cap \mathcal{H}_1$ be dense in \mathcal{H}_0 and in \mathcal{H}_1 . The basic fact for K_2 is the following (see e.g. [1]). Denote by A the unbounded, densely defined, positive, injective operator in \mathcal{H}_0 such that

$$\|f\|_1^2 = (Af, f)_0, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}_0 \cap \mathcal{H}_1,$$

then

$$(4) \quad K_2(t, f)^2 = \left(\frac{tA}{1+tA} f, f \right)_0.$$

With respect to \mathcal{H}_0 and \mathcal{H}_1 it will be advantageous to make use of several notations for the operator norms.

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|T\|^2 &= \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_0)}^2 = \sup_{(f, f)_0 \leq 1} (T^*Tf, f)_0 \\ \|T\|_A^2 &= \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1)}^2 = \sup_{(Af, f)_0 \leq 1} (T^*ATf, f)_0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{L}(\overline{\mathcal{H}})$ be the set of linear operators on $\mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}_1$ such that the restriction of T to \mathcal{H}_i belongs to $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_i), i = 0, 1$. A Banach space norm on $\mathcal{L}(\overline{\mathcal{H}})$ is defined by

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(\overline{\mathcal{H}})} = \max(\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_0)}, \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1)}) = \max(\|T\|, \|T\|_A).$$

We note that $K_2(t, \cdot)$ is an *exact interpolation norm with respect to $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$* , i.e.

$$(6) \quad K_2(t, Tf) \leq \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(\overline{\mathcal{H}})} K_2(t, f), \quad T \in \mathcal{L}(\overline{\mathcal{H}}), \quad f \in \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}_1, \quad t > 0$$

which property is immediate from the definition of K_2 . Given a positive, concave function ψ on \mathbf{R}_+ , let an intermediate Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_* be defined as the completion of $\mathcal{H}_0 \cap \mathcal{H}_1$ under the norm

$$\|f\|_*^2 = (\psi(A)f, f)_0.$$

In accordance with (5) we shall use different notations for the operator norms

$$(7) \quad \|T\|_{\psi(A)}^2 = \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_*)}^2 = \sup_{(\psi(A)f, f)_0 \leq 1} (T^* \psi(A)Tf, f)_0.$$

By a theorem of Peetre [11], it is known that every positive, concave function ψ on \mathbf{R}_+ is an *interpolation function of power 2* meaning that (for any A, T)

$$(8) \quad \max(\|T\|, \|T\|_A) < \infty \quad \text{implies} \quad \|T\|_{\psi(A)} < \infty.$$

From the proof of Peetre's theorem, it can also be deduced that there exists a constant $c \geq 1$ such that \mathcal{H}_* is a *c-interpolation space* with respect to $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ in the sense that

$$(9) \quad \|T\|_{\psi(A)} \leq c \max(\|T\|, \|T\|_A), \quad T \in \mathcal{L}(\overline{\mathcal{H}}).$$

In 1972, Foiaş [6] noted that $c \leq 2$ for the best c . In a later paper, Foiaş, Ong and Rosenthal proved that $c \leq \sqrt{2}$, and also posed the question whether the constant $\sqrt{2}$ is best possible (cf. [8], question (i), p. 811). It is shown below that this is the case.

THEOREM 2.1. *The best c in (9) is $c = \sqrt{2}$.*

REMARK 2.2. This theorem is implicit in the work of Gunnar Sparr, cf. [12], Lemma 5.1. We shall here give a partially new proof, based on Lemma 1.1 and the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.3. *Every function h in the class P' is exact interpolation in the sense that*

$$(10) \quad \|T\|_{h(A)} \leq \max(\|T\|, \|T\|_A), \quad T \in \mathcal{L}(\overline{\mathcal{H}}).$$

REMARK 2.4. The above lemma is the easy half of a theorem of Foiaş and Lions [7] (see also [9]) which states that, for a positive function defined on \mathbf{R}_+ , the condition $h \in P'$ is equivalent to that h fulfill (10) for every Hilbert couple \mathcal{H} .

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.3.. Denote by E the spectral measure of A and let ρ be the measure associated with h as in (2). Then by (4)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|f\|_*^2 &= (h(A)f, f)_0 = \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{[0,\infty]} \frac{(1+t)\lambda}{1+t\lambda} d\rho(t) \right) d(E_\lambda f, f)_0 \\
 (11) \quad &= \int_{[0,\infty]} (1+t^{-1}) \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{t\lambda}{1+t\lambda} d(E_\lambda f, f)_0 \right) d\rho(t) \\
 &= \int_{[0,\infty]} (1+t^{-1}) K_2(t, f; \overline{\mathcal{H}})^2 d\rho(t), \quad f \in \mathcal{H}_0 \cap \mathcal{H}_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the latter expression extends to an exact interpolation norm with respect to $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$, viz. (10) holds (use (6) and integrate with respect to $d\rho(t)$).

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1. Referring to the smallest constant in (9), we first show that $c \leq \sqrt{2}$. Given an arbitrary concave, positive function ψ on \mathbf{R}_+ , let $h \in P'$ be such that $h \leq \psi \leq 2h$; then by Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (12) \quad \|T\|_{\psi(A)}^2 &= \sup_{(\psi(A)f, f)_0 \leq 1} (T^* \psi(A) T f, f)_0 \leq \sup_{(h(A)f, f)_0 \leq 1} 2(T^* h(A) T f, f)_0 \\
 &= 2\|T\|_{h(A)}^2 \leq 2 \max(\|T\|^2, \|T\|_A^2), \quad T \in \mathcal{L}(\overline{\mathcal{H}}),
 \end{aligned}$$

and the estimate $c \leq \sqrt{2}$ follows incidentally. Proving $c \geq \sqrt{2}$ is more subtle; we shall require a clever three-dimensional argument due to G. Sparr, cf. [12], Example 5.3. Let $\mathcal{H}_0 = \ell_2^3$ be the three-dimensional ℓ_2 -space. For $n \in \mathbf{N}$ let us put

$$A_n = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4n^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4n^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f^n = \begin{pmatrix} n \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2n} & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

then $T_n f^n = g$ and a direct calculation yields that

$$\|T_n\| = \|T_n\|_{A_n} = \sqrt{1 + 1/4n^2}, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

On the other hand, letting $\psi(\lambda) = \min(1, \lambda)$, we have

$$\|T_n f^n\|_{\psi(A_n)}^2 = \|g\|_{\psi(A_n)}^2 = (\psi(A_n)g, g)_0 = 1, \quad n \in \mathbf{N},$$

whereas

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|f^n\|_{\psi(A_n)}^2 &= (\psi(A_n)f^n, f^n)_0 \\
 &= n^2 \min(1, 1/(4n^2)) + (1/4) \min(1, 4n^2) = 1/2, \quad n \in \mathbf{N},
 \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that

$$c \geq \frac{\|T\|_{\psi(A_n)}}{\sqrt{1 + 1/(4n^2)}} \geq \sqrt{\frac{2}{1 + 1/(4n^2)}} \nearrow \sqrt{2}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

REMARK 2.5 (On Sparr’s result). Let us introduce the modified K_2 -functional

$$L_2(t, f)^2 = (\min(t, A)f, f)_0.$$

By Sparr’s work ([12], Lemma 5.1) it is known that

$$(13) \quad K_2(t, g) \leq K_2(t, f) \quad \text{implies} \quad L_2(t, g) \leq L_2(t, \sqrt{2}f),$$

where the constant $\sqrt{2}$ cannot be improved. Observe that, for an operator T , the condition $K_2(t, Tf) \leq K_2(t, f), t > 0$ is equivalent to that $\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(\overline{\mathcal{H}})} \leq 1$. Moreover, by the representation (3) for a positive, concave function ψ , it is clear that

$$\|f\|_*^2 = (\psi(A)f, f)_0 = \alpha\|f\|_0^2 + \beta\|f\|_1^2 + \int_0^\infty L_2(t, f)^2 dv(t)$$

with suitable α, β and v . Hence the condition $L_2(t, Tf)^2 \leq 2L_2(t, f)^2, t > 0$ implies that $\|T\|_{\psi(A)} \leq \sqrt{2}$. Thus (13) yields that (for all A, T)

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(\overline{\mathcal{H}})} \leq 1 \quad \text{implies} \quad \|T\|_{\psi(A)} \leq \sqrt{2},$$

where the constant $\sqrt{2}$ is best possible. Note that this yields an alternative proof of Theorem 2.1.

We note the following, sharp version of Lemma 1.1.

THEOREM 2.6. *The constant $c = 2$ is smallest possible with respect to the property that for any positive concave function ψ on \mathbf{R}_+ , there exists $h \in P'$ such that $h \leq \psi \leq ch$.*

PROOF. Referring to the least constant, we have $c \leq 2$ by Lemma 1.1, and as in (12), one shows that for any positive concave ψ , any A, T

$$\|T\|_{\psi(A)}^2 \leq c \max(\|T\|^2, \|T\|_A^2).$$

By Theorem 2.1, the smallest possible c in the latter inequality is $c = 2$.

3. A note on K_2 -functors

We consider an application of Lemma 1.1 to the more functorial aspects of the theory.

Given a positive Radon measure ρ on $[0, \infty]$, let an interpolation functor $K_2(\rho)$ be defined on the category of Banach couples by

$$\|f\|_{K_2(\rho)(\overline{\mathcal{A}})} = \left(\int_{[0, \infty]} (1+t^{-1})K_2(t, f; \overline{\mathcal{A}})^2 d\rho(t) \right)^{1/2}.$$

(Here the function $k : t \mapsto (1+t^{-1})K_2(t, f)^2$ is defined by continuity at the points 0 and ∞ , $k(0) = \|f\|_1^2$ and $k(\infty) = \|f\|_0^2$ where we have used the convention: $\|f\|_i = \infty$ if $f \notin \mathcal{H}_i$, $i = 0, 1$.)

COROLLARY 3.1. *Let $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ be a regular Hilbert couple with associated operator A . Then, given any positive, concave function ψ , there exists a positive Radon measure ρ on $[0, \infty]$ such that*

$$(1/\sqrt{2})\|f\|_{K_2(\rho)(\overline{\mathcal{H}})} \leq \|f\|_{\psi(A)} \leq \sqrt{2}\|f\|_{K_2(\rho)(\overline{\mathcal{H}})}, \quad f \in K_2(\rho)(\overline{\mathcal{H}}),$$

where the constant $\sqrt{2}$ cannot be improved.

PROOF. This follows easily from Theorem 2.6 and (11).

REFERENCES

1. Ameur, Y., *The Calderón problem for Hilbert couples*, Ark. Mat. 41 (2003), 203–231.
2. Aronszajn, N., and Donoghue, W., *On exponential representations of functions*, J. Analyse Math. 5 (1956–57), 321–388.
3. Bergh, J., and Löfström, J., *Interpolation Spaces, an Introduction*, Springer 1976.
4. Donoghue, W., *Monotone Matrix Functions and Analytic Continuation*, Springer 1974.
5. Donoghue, W., *The interpolation of quadratic norms*, Acta Math. 118 (1967), 251–270.
6. Foiaş, C., *Invariant para-closed subspaces*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 21 (1972), 887–906.
7. Foiaş, C., and Lions, J.-L., *Sur certains théorèmes d'interpolation*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 22 (1961), 269–282.
8. Foiaş, C., Ong, S. C., and Rosenthal, P., *An interpolation theorem and operator ranges*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 10 (1987), 802–811.
9. Hughes, E., *A short proof of an interpolation theorem*, Canad. Math. Bull. 17 (1974), 127–128.
10. Peetre, J., *On an interpolation theorem of Foiaş and Lions*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 25 (1964), 255–261.
11. Peetre, J., *On interpolation functions*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 27 (1966), 161–171.
12. Sparr, G., *Interpolation of weighted L_p -spaces*, Studia Math. 62 (1978), 229–271.