

# A PARTIAL RESOLUTION OF THE PUNCTUAL HILBERT SCHEME OF A NONSINGULAR SURFACE

MARTIN G. GULBRANDSEN

## 1. Introduction

The punctual Hilbert scheme of a nonsingular surface is a variety whose closed points correspond to subschemes of finite length  $n$ , say, supported at a fixed point on the surface. It is singular in general. A less singular model has been suggested by A. S. Tikhomirov [8], namely a certain component of the variety parameterizing flags  $\xi_1 \subset \xi_2 \subset \cdots \subset \xi_n$  of subschemes, where each  $\xi_i$  has length  $i$  and is supported at the chosen point. It is not obvious, however, how to determine whether a given flag belongs to this particular component. In this paper we show that a necessary, and at least for  $n \leq 7$  sufficient, condition is that the associated filtration of ideals  $I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \cdots \supset I_n$  has the multiplicative property  $I_i I_j \subseteq I_{i+j}$ . The variety parameterizing such flags can be algorithmically computed. In particular we find that the suggested model for the punctual Hilbert scheme is singular for  $n = 5$ . This corrects an assertion of S. A. Tikhomirov's paper [9], where nonsingularity is erroneously claimed for  $n = 5$ . In [8], A. S. Tikhomirov showed that the model is nonsingular for  $n \leq 4$ , a result we also obtain here.

In sections 2–4 we construct a scheme parameterizing flags of subschemes in a more general setting. In sections 5–6 we specialize to the case of a nonsingular surface.

I would like to thank Geir Ellingsrud for many valuable discussions. Also I thank Roy Skjelnes and the anonymous referee for useful comments.

## 2. Punctual Hilbert schemes of flags

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field. By a scheme we shall mean a locally Noetherian scheme over  $k$ . Product of schemes means product over  $k$  throughout. If  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are closed subschemes of a third scheme  $X$ , the expression

$Y_1 \cap Y_2$  denotes their scheme theoretic intersection and  $Y_1 \subseteq Y_2$  means scheme theoretic inclusion. By a map of schemes we always mean a morphism in the category of schemes.

Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local Artinian  $k$ -algebra of finite type. Then  $X = \text{Spec } A$  is a projective scheme, hence the Hilbert scheme  $\text{Hilb}^n(X)$  parameterizing subschemes  $\xi \subset X$  of length  $n$  exists [5].

Introduce the following notation: For a map of schemes  $f: Y' \rightarrow Y$ , let

$$f_X: Y' \times X \longrightarrow Y \times X$$

denote the product of  $f$  with the identity map on  $X$ . Furthermore, for any scheme  $Y$ , let

$$i_Y: Y \longrightarrow Y \times X$$

denote the closed immersion obtained by identifying

$$Y \cong Y \times \text{Spec}(A/\mathfrak{m}) \subset Y \times X.$$

To make formulas slightly more readable, we write  $i_*^Y$  in place of  $(i_Y)_*$  for push forward along  $i_Y$ .

We want to construct a scheme  $\text{Flag}^n(X)$  parameterizing complete flags of subschemes

$$\xi_1 \subset \cdots \subset \xi_n \subset X$$

such that each  $\xi_i$  has length  $i$ .

**DEFINITION 2.1.** The *Hilbert functor of complete flags* in  $X$  of length  $n$  is the contravariant functor

$$\underline{\text{Flag}}^n(X): \text{Sch}_k \longrightarrow \text{Sets}$$

from the category of locally Noetherian schemes over  $k$  to the category of sets that associates to a scheme  $T$  the set of  $n$ -tuples of families

$$T \times \text{Spec}(A/\mathfrak{m}) = W_1 \subset \cdots \subset W_n \subset T \times X,$$

with  $W_i$  being defined by the ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}_i \subset \mathcal{O}_{T \times X}$ , such that

- (I) each  $W_i$  is flat and finite of degree  $i$  over  $T$
- (II)  $i_T^*(\mathcal{I}_i/\mathcal{I}_{i+1})$  is an invertible sheaf on  $T$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ .

**REMARK 2.2.** For  $k$ -valued points, condition (II) is automatic, thus a scheme representing  $\underline{\text{Flag}}^n(X)$  does parameterize complete flags of subschemes in  $X$ . In fact, a  $k$ -valued point consists of subschemes  $\xi_i \subset X$  of length  $i$ , defined by ideals

$$I_n \subset \cdots \subset I_1 = \mathfrak{m} \subset A.$$

The sheaf  $i_T^*(\mathcal{I}_i/\mathcal{I}_{i+1})$  is now nothing but the  $k$ -vector space  $I_i/(I_{i+1} + \mathfrak{m}I_i)$ . Consider the obvious inclusions

$$I_{i+1} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}I_i + I_{i+1} \subseteq I_i.$$

By Nakayama's lemma, the rightmost inclusion must be strict. By the assumption on lengths, the leftmost inclusion must then be an equality, that is,  $\mathfrak{m}I_i \subseteq I_{i+1}$ . Thus

$$I_i/(I_{i+1} + \mathfrak{m}I_i) = I_i/I_{i+1}$$

which is one-dimensional.

Similarly one can show that condition (II) is automatic for any reduced locally Noetherian base scheme  $T$ , but we shall not need this fact.

In the next section we shall prove the following result.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *There exists a scheme  $\text{Flag}^n(X)$  representing  $\underline{\text{Flag}}^n(X)$ .*

### 3. Construction of $\text{Flag}^n(X)$

We construct  $\text{Flag}^n(X)$  by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$  we clearly have  $\text{Flag}^1(X) = \text{Spec } k$ , with universal family

$$Z_1 = \text{Spec } k \times \text{Spec } k \subset \text{Spec } k \times X.$$

The main idea is the following: A closed point in  $\text{Flag}^n(X)$  corresponds to a filtration of ideals  $I_1 \supset \cdots \supset I_n$ . Consider a closed point in  $\mathbf{P}(I_n/\mathfrak{m}I_n)$ , that is a vector space quotient

$$I_n/\mathfrak{m}I_n \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow 0.$$

Such a quotient is also a homomorphism of  $A$ -modules, hence the kernel of the composite

$$I_n \longrightarrow I_n/\mathfrak{m}I_n \longrightarrow k$$

is an ideal  $I_{n+1}$ . The extended filtration  $I_1 \supset \cdots \supset I_n \supset I_{n+1}$  defines a closed point in  $\text{Flag}^{n+1}(X)$ , and conversely any point arises in this way. The rest of this section is a straightforward globalization of this "fibrewise" construction.

Suppose now, for some fixed  $n$ , there exists a scheme  $F = \text{Flag}^n(X)$  representing  $\underline{\text{Flag}}^n(X)$ , and let

$$Z_1 \subset \cdots \subset Z_n \subset F \times X$$

denote the universal flag, with  $Z_i$  defined by the ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}_i \subset \mathcal{O}_{F \times X}$ . Define the coherent  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -module

$$\mathcal{E}_n = i_F^* \mathcal{I}_n$$

and let

$$\pi: \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_n) \longrightarrow F$$

denote the structure map. We want to show that  $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_n)$  represents  $\underline{\text{Flag}}^{n+1}(X)$  by exhibiting a universal flag

$$\tilde{Z}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \tilde{Z}_{n+1} \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_n) \times X.$$

For  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , simply let

$$\tilde{Z}_i = \pi_X^{-1}(Z_i) \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_n) \times X$$

which, since  $Z_i$  is flat over  $F$ , is defined by the ideal sheaf

$$\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_i = \pi_X^* \mathcal{I}_i.$$

Furthermore, we define

$$\tilde{Z}_{n+1} \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_n) \times X$$

by the ideal sheaf  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{n+1}$ , constructed as follows: Let

$$(1) \quad \phi_1: \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_n \longrightarrow i_*^{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_n)} i_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_n)}^* \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_n = i_*^{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_n)} \pi^* \mathcal{E}_n$$

be the canonical surjection and let

$$(2) \quad \phi_2: i_*^{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_n)} \pi^* \mathcal{E}_n \longrightarrow i_*^{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_n)} \mathcal{O}(1)$$

be the map obtained by applying  $i_*^{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_n)}$  to the universal quotient

$$(3) \quad \pi^* \mathcal{E}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(1) \longrightarrow 0$$

on  $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_n)$ . Then define  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{n+1}$  to be the kernel of  $\phi_2 \circ \phi_1$ . The horizontal row in the following diagram is then exact:

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & i_*^{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_n)} \pi^* \mathcal{E}_n & & \\ & & & \nearrow \phi_1 & \downarrow \phi_2 & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_n & \longrightarrow & i_*^{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_n)} \mathcal{O}(1) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

By the short exact sequence in (4) we see that  $i_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_n)}^*(\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_n/\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{n+1})$  is invertible, hence condition (II) in definition 2.1 is fulfilled. The same exact sequence may be rewritten

$$0 \longrightarrow i_*^{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_n)} \mathcal{O}(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}_{n+1}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}_n} \longrightarrow 0$$

from which we see that  $\tilde{Z}_{n+1}$  is flat and finite of degree  $n + 1$  over  $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_n)$ , hence condition (I) is satisfied as well.

The following theorem ends the induction step and thus proves theorem 2.3:

**THEOREM 3.1.** *The flag  $\tilde{Z}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \tilde{Z}_{n+1}$  constructed above has the following universal property: For any scheme  $T$  and any  $T$ -valued point*

$$T \times \text{Spec}(A/\mathfrak{m}) = W_1 \subset \cdots \subset W_{n+1} \subset T \times X$$

of  $\underline{\text{Flag}}^{n+1}(X)$ , there exists a unique map

$$f: T \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_n)$$

such that  $W_i = f^{-1}(\tilde{Z}_i)$  for each  $i$ . Hence  $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_n)$  represents  $\underline{\text{Flag}}^{n+1}(X)$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $\mathcal{I}_i \subset \mathcal{O}_{T \times X}$  be the sheaf of ideals defining  $W_i$ . By the induction hypothesis we have assumed that  $F$  represents  $\text{Flag}^n(X)$ , so the families  $W_1, \dots, W_n$  determine a unique map  $g: T \rightarrow F$  such that  $W_i = g_X^{-1}(Z_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Since  $Z_i$  is flat over  $F$ , the inverse image  $g_X^{-1}(Z_i)$  is defined by  $g_X^* \mathcal{I}_i$ , hence  $\mathcal{I}_i = g_X^* \mathcal{I}_i$ . We want to show that  $g$  extends uniquely to a map  $f$  in the diagram

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_n) & \\ & \nearrow f & \downarrow \pi \\ T & \xrightarrow{g} & F \end{array}$$

such that  $f_X^{-1}(\tilde{Z}_{n+1}) = W_{n+1}$ , or equivalently  $f_X^*(\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{n+1}) = \mathcal{I}_{n+1}$ . Extending  $g$  to a map  $f$  in the diagram (5) is equivalent to giving a quotient

$$(6) \quad g^* \mathcal{E}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $\mathcal{L}$  is an invertible sheaf on  $T$ . In fact,  $f$  is then the unique map such that (6) is obtained by applying  $f^*$  to the universal quotient (3).

Uniqueness: Assume there exists an  $f$  in diagram (5) such that  $f_X^*(\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{n+1}) = \mathcal{I}_{n+1}$ . We want to show that this determines the quotient (6) uniquely. This can be seen by applying  $f^* i_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_n)}^*$  to diagram (4). Firstly, applying  $i_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_n)}^*$  to the map  $\phi_1$  in (1) we obtain the identity map on

$$(7) \quad i_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_n)}^* \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_n = i_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_n)}^* \pi_X^* \mathcal{I}_n = \pi^* i_F^* \mathcal{I}_n = \pi^* \mathcal{E}_n.$$

Furthermore, applying  $i_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_n)}^*$  to  $\phi_2$  in (2) we recover the universal quotient (3).

Thus, the result of applying  $i_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_n)}^*$  to diagram (4) is the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & \pi^* \mathcal{E}_n & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & // & & & \\
 i_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_n)}^* \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & i_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_n)}^* \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_n & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(1) & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

Now applying  $f^*$  and using the identity  $i_T^* f_X^* = f^* i_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_n)}^*$ , we obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & g^* \mathcal{E}_n & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & // & & & \\
 i_T^* \mathcal{I}_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & i_T^* \mathcal{I}_n & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L} & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

where  $\mathcal{L} = f^* \mathcal{O}(1)$ . Hence  $f$  corresponds to the quotient

$$(8) \quad i_T^* \mathcal{I}_n \longrightarrow i_T^* (\mathcal{I}_n / \mathcal{I}_{n+1}) \longrightarrow 0$$

and is thus uniquely determined by the families  $W_i$ .

Existence: Simply define  $\mathcal{L} = i_T^* (\mathcal{I}_n / \mathcal{I}_{n+1})$  and let  $f$  be the unique map corresponding to the quotient (8). This makes sense, since  $\mathcal{L}$  is invertible by assumption. It remains only to check that we have  $f_X^* \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{n+1} = \mathcal{I}_{n+1}$ . For this, apply  $f_X^*$  to the short exact sequence in (4) to obtain

$$(9) \quad f_X^* \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_n \longrightarrow i_*^T \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now observe that the canonical map  $\mathcal{I}_n / \mathcal{I}_{n+1} \rightarrow i_*^T \mathcal{L}$  is an isomorphism, under which the rightmost map in (9) may be identified with the canonical map  $\mathcal{I}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_n / \mathcal{I}_{n+1}$ . Thus the kernel is  $f_X^* \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{n+1} = \mathcal{I}_{n+1}$ , that is,  $f_X^{-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{n+1}) = W_{n+1}$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *The scheme  $\text{Flag}^n(X)$  is connected.*

**PROOF.** If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a closed continuous surjective map of topological spaces, it is elementary that  $X$  is connected if both  $Y$  and the fibers of  $f$  are. We apply this to the structure map

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_n) \longrightarrow \text{Flag}^n(X).$$

This map is proper and the fibers are projective spaces. Hence  $\text{Flag}^{n+1}(X) = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_n)$  is connected if  $\text{Flag}^n(X)$  is. The conclusion follows by induction on  $n$ .

#### 4. Punctual Hilbert schemes of multiplicative flags

DEFINITION 4.1. A  $k$ -valued point in  $\text{Flag}^n(X)$ , corresponding to a filtration of ideals

$$I_n \subset \cdots \subset I_1 = \mathfrak{m} \subset A$$

is *multiplicative* if we have  $I_i I_j \subseteq I_{i+j}$  for all  $i + j \leq n$ .

We next construct a subscheme of  $\text{Flag}^n(X)$ , parameterizing only multiplicative flags in  $X$ .

DEFINITION 4.2. The *Hilbert functor of multiplicative complete flags* in  $X$  of length  $n$  is the contravariant functor

$$\underline{\text{Mult}}^n(X): \text{Sch}_k \longrightarrow \text{Sets}$$

from the category of locally Noetherian schemes over  $k$  to the category of sets that associates to a scheme  $T$  the set of  $n$ -tuples of families

$$T \times \text{Spec}(A/\mathfrak{m}) = W_1 \subset \cdots \subset W_n \subset T \times X,$$

with  $W_i$  being defined by the ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}_i \subset \mathcal{O}_{T \times X}$ , such that

- (I) each  $W_i$  is flat and finite of degree  $i$  over  $T$
- (II)  $i_T^*(\mathcal{I}_i/\mathcal{I}_{i+1})$  is an invertible sheaf on  $T$  for all  $i$
- (III)  $\mathcal{I}_i \mathcal{I}_j \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{i+j}$  for all  $i + j \leq n$ .

We want to show that the condition  $\mathcal{I}_i \mathcal{I}_j \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{i+j}$  is closed, in the strong sense that  $\underline{\text{Mult}}^n(X)$  is a closed subfunctor of  $\underline{\text{Flag}}^n(X)$ . This is a consequence of the following lemma:

LEMMA 4.3. *Let  $\pi: Y \rightarrow S$  be a morphism of locally Noetherian schemes and let  $W, Z \subseteq Y$  be closed subschemes such that  $Z$  is flat and finite over  $S$ . Then there exists a unique  $S$ -scheme*

$$i: S' \longrightarrow S$$

such that

- (I)  $Z \times_S S' \subseteq W \times_S S'$
- (II) if  $T \rightarrow S$  is any  $S$ -scheme satisfying  $Z \times_S T \subseteq W \times_S T$  then there exists a unique morphism  $g: T \rightarrow S'$  over  $S$ .

Furthermore,  $i$  is a closed immersion.

PROOF. Suppose the lemma holds whenever  $S$  is affine. Then we may apply the lemma to each  $S_\alpha$  in an affine open cover  $\{S_\alpha\}$  of  $S$ . Thus there exists closed

immersions  $i_\alpha: S'_\alpha \rightarrow S_\alpha$ , uniquely determined by properties (I) and (II) when replacing  $S$ ,  $W$  and  $Z$  with  $S_\alpha$ ,  $W \cap S_\alpha$  and  $Z \cap S_\alpha$ . Again applying the lemma to an affine open cover of each intersection  $S_\alpha \cap S_\beta$ , we see that the immersions  $\{i_\alpha\}$  agree on the overlaps. Hence they may be glued to form the required closed immersion  $i: S' \rightarrow S$ . Thus we may assume  $S$  is affine.

Since  $Z$  is finite over  $S$ ,  $Z$  is affine as well. Then we may choose a free presentation

$$(10) \quad \mathcal{O}_Z^n \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{O}_Z \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z \cap W} \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $Z \cap W$  denotes the scheme theoretic intersection. Let  $f: T \rightarrow S$  be any morphism, and let  $\tilde{Z} = Z \times_S T$  and  $\tilde{W} = W \times_S T$ . We claim the condition  $\tilde{Z} \subseteq \tilde{W}$  is equivalent to requiring  $f^* \pi_* \phi = 0$ : Form the fibre square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y \times_S T & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & Y \\ \tilde{\pi} \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow \\ T & \xrightarrow{f} & S \end{array}$$

Then applying  $\tilde{f}^*$  to (10) gives a free presentation of the structure sheaf of  $\tilde{Z} \cap \tilde{W}$ :

$$\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}}^n \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}^* \phi} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z} \cap \tilde{W}} \longrightarrow 0$$

Thus the condition  $\tilde{Z} \subseteq \tilde{W}$ , or equivalently  $\tilde{Z} \cap \tilde{W} = \tilde{Z}$ , is the same thing as requiring  $\tilde{f}^* \phi = 0$ . Now the restriction of  $\tilde{\pi}$  to  $\tilde{Z}$  is finite, hence affine, so  $\tilde{f}^* \phi = 0$  if and only if  $\tilde{\pi}_* \tilde{f}^* \phi = 0$ . Furthermore, as  $Z$  is flat over  $S$ ,  $\tilde{\pi}_* \tilde{f}^* \phi = f^* \pi_* \phi$ . Hence  $\tilde{Z} \subseteq \tilde{W}$  if and only if  $f^* \pi_* \phi = 0$  as claimed.

Since  $Z$  is flat and finite over  $S$ ,

$$(11) \quad \pi_* \mathcal{O}_Z^n \xrightarrow{\pi_* \phi} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_Z$$

is a map of locally free sheaves of finite rank on  $S$ . Thus  $\pi_* \phi$  can be locally represented by a matrix of regular functions, hence its vanishing locus has a canonical structure of a closed subscheme  $i: S' \rightarrow S$ . Then  $i^* \pi_* \phi = 0$ , so  $i$  has property (I). Furthermore, if a morphism  $f: T \rightarrow S$  satisfies  $f^* \pi_* \phi = 0$ , then the image in  $\mathcal{O}_T$  of the ideal sheaf defining  $S' \subset S$  is zero, which says that  $f$  factors through  $i$ . So  $i$  has property (II).

**THEOREM 4.4.** Mult<sup>n</sup>( $X$ ) is a closed subfunctor of Flag<sup>n</sup>( $X$ ).

**PROOF.** Let  $S$  denote a scheme and  $h_S$  its functor of points. Consider a

cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h & \longrightarrow & \underline{\text{Mult}}^n(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ h_S & \longrightarrow & \underline{\text{Flag}}^n(X) \end{array}$$

where  $h$  is the fibre product functor. We claim there exists a closed subscheme  $S' \subseteq S$  and an isomorphism  $h \cong h_{S'}$  such that the map  $h \rightarrow h_S$  is compatible with the inclusion map  $h_{S'} \rightarrow h_S$ .

The image of a morphism  $T \rightarrow S$  under the given map  $h_S \rightarrow \underline{\text{Flag}}^n(X)$  is a flag

$$(12) \quad W_1 \subset \cdots \subset W_n \subset X \times T.$$

Let  $\mathcal{I}_i \subset \mathcal{O}_{X \times T}$  denote the ideal sheaf corresponding to  $W_i$ . By definition,  $h$  is the subfunctor of  $h_S$  whose  $T$ -valued points are the morphisms  $T \rightarrow S$  such that the corresponding flag (12) has the multiplicative property

$$(13) \quad \mathcal{I}_i \mathcal{I}_j \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{i+j} \quad \text{for all } i + j \leq n.$$

Thus our claim is that there is a closed subscheme  $S' \subseteq S$  such that  $T \rightarrow S$  factors through  $S'$  if and only if property (13) holds. This can be seen as follows:

The image of the identity map  $\text{id}_S$  under the given map  $h_S \rightarrow \underline{\text{Flag}}^n(X)$  is a flag

$$(14) \quad Z_1 \subset \cdots \subset Z_n \subset X \times S$$

over  $S$ , with  $Z_i$  corresponding to some ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}_i \subset \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}$ . For any morphism  $T \rightarrow S$ , the corresponding flag (12) is just the pullback of the flag (14) along  $T \rightarrow S$ . Thus the existence of  $S' \subseteq S$  is a consequence of lemma 4.3, applied to  $Y = X \times S$ ,  $W = V(\mathcal{I}_i \mathcal{I}_j)$  and  $Z = Z_{i+j}$ , for each  $i$  and  $j$ .

**COROLLARY 4.5.** *There exists a closed subscheme  $\text{Mult}^n(X) \subseteq \underline{\text{Flag}}^n(X)$  representing  $\underline{\text{Mult}}^n(X)$ .*

**REMARK 4.6.** The scheme  $\text{Mult}^n(X)$  can be constructed more explicitly in the same fashion that we constructed  $\underline{\text{Flag}}^n(X)$ : Consider the universal flag

$$Z_1 \subset \cdots \subset Z_n \subset \underline{\text{Flag}}^n(X) \times X,$$

with  $Z_i$  defined by the ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}_i$ . Denote by

$$W_1 \subset \cdots \subset W_n \subset \text{Mult}^n(X) \times X$$

their restriction to  $\text{Mult}^n(X)$ , with  $W_i$  defined by the ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}_i$ . In section 3 we constructed  $\underline{\text{Flag}}^{n+1}(X)$  as  $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_n)$ , where  $\mathcal{E}_n = i_F^* \mathcal{I}_n$ . Thus  $\text{Mult}^{n+1}(X)$  is

the maximal subscheme of  $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_n)$  such that the restriction of the universal flag has the multiplicative property. This is precisely the universal property of

$$\pi: \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F}_n) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mult}^n(X)$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{I}_n / \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{I}_{i+1} \mathcal{I}_{n-i},$$

considered as a coherent sheaf on  $\mathrm{Mult}^n(X) \cong W_1 \subset \mathrm{Mult}^n(X) \times X$ . Thus we have an isomorphism  $\mathrm{Mult}^{n+1}(X) \cong \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F}_n)$  over  $\mathrm{Mult}^n(X)$ . The universal multiplicative flag

$$\tilde{W}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \tilde{W}_{n+1} \subset \mathrm{Mult}^{n+1}(X) \times X$$

is defined by ideals  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_1 \supset \cdots \supset \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{n+1}$  where  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_i = \pi_X^* \mathcal{I}_i$  for  $i \leq n$ , whereas  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{n+1}$  is the kernel of the canonical map

$$\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_n \longrightarrow i_*^{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F}_n)} \mathcal{O}(1)$$

where  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  now denotes the tautological invertible sheaf on  $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F}_n)$ .

**PROPOSITION 4.7.** *The scheme  $\mathrm{Mult}^n(X)$  is connected.*

**PROOF.** Using the construction of  $\mathrm{Mult}^n(X)$  in remark 4.6, the proof of 3.2 can be repeated.

## 5. Punctual Hilbert schemes of points on a nonsingular surface

For the rest of this text we consider the following situation: Assume  $k$  has characteristic zero. Fix an algebraic surface  $S$  over  $k$  and a nonsingular point  $p \in S$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_{S,p}$  denote the local ring at  $p$  and let  $\mathfrak{m}_p \subset \mathcal{O}_{S,p}$  denote its maximal ideal. Any subscheme  $\xi \subset S$  of length  $n$  and supported at  $p$  is contained in the  $(n-1)$ 'st infinitesimal neighbourhood  $X = \mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{S,p}/\mathfrak{m}_p^n$ . Thus the scheme  $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(X)$  parameterizes length  $n$  subschemes of  $S$  supported at  $p$ . We let

$$H(n) = \mathrm{Hilb}^n(X)_{\mathrm{red}}$$

denote the underlying reduced subscheme. We suppress  $S$  and  $p$  from the notation, as the definition of  $H(n)$  only depends on the  $(n-1)$ 'st infinitesimal neighbourhood of  $p$ , whose isomorphism class is independent of the choices of  $S$  and  $p$ .

It is well known that  $H(n)$  is irreducible and has dimension  $n-1$  (proved by Briançon [1] over the complex numbers, see e.g. Ellingsrud and Lehn [2] for a proof in a more general setting). However, it is singular in general. For instance,  $H(3)$  is isomorphic to the projective cone over the twisted cubic in

$\mathbf{P}^3$ . In the rest of this paper we present work towards finding a natural resolution of singularities of  $H(n)$ .

Following Le Barz [7], we make the following definition:

**DEFINITION 5.1.** A subscheme  $\xi \subset S$ , supported at  $p$ , is *curvilinear* if there exists a curve  $C$  which contains  $\xi$  and is nonsingular at  $p$ .

It is well known ([1], [6]) that the subset of  $H(n)$  consisting of curvilinear subschemes is open, dense and nonsingular. The following result is also well known:

**LEMMA 5.2.** *Let  $\xi \subset S$  be a subscheme supported at a point  $p$ . If  $\xi$  is curvilinear, there is a unique flag*

$$\xi_1 \subset \cdots \subset \xi_{n-1} \subset \xi$$

with  $\xi_i$  of length  $i$ . In fact,  $\xi_i$  is the intersection of  $\xi$  with the  $(i - 1)$ 'st infinitesimal neighbourhood of  $p$  in  $S$ .

**PROOF.** Suppose  $C$  is a nonsingular curve through  $p$  containing  $\xi$ , locally defined by the ideal  $J \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ . Let  $\xi_i \subset \xi$  be a subscheme of length  $i$  and let  $I \subset I_i \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$  be the ideals defining  $\xi$  and  $\xi_i$ . Then we have  $\mathfrak{m}_p^i \subseteq I_i$ , hence

$$J + \mathfrak{m}_p^i \subseteq I + \mathfrak{m}_p^i \subseteq I_i.$$

But the left hand side is the ideal defining the  $(i - 1)$ 'st infinitesimal neighbourhood of  $p$  in  $C$ , which has colength  $i$  since  $C$  is nonsingular. Since the right hand side ideal  $I_i$  has colength  $i$  also, the inclusions are actually equalities. In particular  $I_i = I + \mathfrak{m}_p^i$ , which shows that  $\xi_i$  is uniquely determined as the intersection of  $\xi$  with the  $(i - 1)$ 'st infinitesimal neighbourhood of  $p$  in  $S$ .

Define

$$HF(n) = \text{Flag}^n(X)_{\text{red}}$$

which is a reduced scheme whose closed points correspond to flags of subschemes in  $S$  supported at  $p$ . The canonical map

$$\text{Flag}^n(X) \longrightarrow \text{Hilb}^n(X)$$

induces a map

$$\rho_n: HF(n) \longrightarrow H(n).$$

**PROPOSITION 5.3.** *There is a unique component  $HF'(n) \subseteq HF(n)$  which is mapped birationally onto  $H(n)$  by  $\rho_n$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $U \subseteq H(n)$  be the open subset corresponding to curvilinear subschemes. By lemma 5.2, the fibre  $\rho_n^{-1}(\xi)$  is a single point for every (closed)

point  $\xi \in U$ . Hence  $\rho_n$  is bijective over  $U$ . Since  $\rho_n$  is proper and  $U$  is nonsingular, Zariski's main theorem [4, prop. 4.4.1] shows that  $\rho_n$  is an isomorphism over  $U$ . Thus the closure  $HF'(n)$  of  $\rho_n^{-1}(U)$  in  $HF(n)$  is the unique component mapping birationally onto  $H(n)$ .

Denote by

$$\rho'_n: HF'(n) \longrightarrow H(n)$$

the restricted map. We call this a partial resolution of  $H(n)$ . This construction has been studied by Tikhomirov in [8], where he proves that  $\rho'_n$  is a resolution of singularities for  $n \leq 4$ . The problem addressed in the next section is how to determine whether a given flag belongs to the component  $HF'(n)$ . This leads us to a different proof of Tikhomirov's result (theorem 6.1) and also the new result that  $HF'(5)$  is singular (theorem 6.2).

Define

$$HMF(n) = \text{Mult}^n(X)_{\text{red}}$$

which is a reduced scheme whose closed points correspond to multiplicative flags of subschemes in  $S$  supported at  $p$ . Since  $\text{Mult}^n(X)$  is a closed subscheme of  $\text{Flag}^n(X)$ , we find that  $HMF(n)$  is a closed subscheme of  $HF(n)$ . The motivation for studying  $HMF(n)$  is the following observation:

**PROPOSITION 5.4.** *Any (closed) point in  $HF'(n)$  is multiplicative, hence  $HF'(n)$  is contained in  $HMF(n)$ .*

**PROOF.** Denote by  $U \subseteq H(n)$  the open set consisting of curvilinear points. Let  $V \subseteq HF'(n)$  denote the inverse image of  $U$  by the map  $\rho'_n: HF'(n) \rightarrow H(n)$ . By definition,  $HF'(n)$  is the closure of  $V$  in  $HF(n)$ .

First consider a (closed) point in  $V$ , that is, a flag

$$\xi_1 \subset \cdots \subset \xi_n$$

with  $\xi_n$  curvilinear. Then, if  $\xi_i$  corresponds to the ideal  $I_i \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$  we have

$$I_i = \mathfrak{m}_p^i + I_n \quad \text{for all } i$$

by lemma 5.2. Then it is obvious that  $I_i I_j \subseteq I_{i+j}$ .

Thus  $V \subset HMF(n)$ . Since  $HMF(n)$  is closed in  $HF(n)$  and  $HF'(n)$  is the closure of  $V$ , we have  $HF'(n) \subset HMF(n)$ .

**QUESTION 5.5.** Is the converse to proposition 5.4 true, i.e. do we have an equality  $HF'(n) = HMF(n)$ ? As  $HF'(n)$  is a component of  $HF(n)$ , this is equivalent to asking whether  $HMF(n)$  is irreducible.

The calculations in section 6 show that the answer to the question is positive for  $n \leq 7$ . For higher  $n$  we do not know. We remark that  $HMF(n)$  is at least connected, by proposition 4.7.

**6. Examples**

To describe  $HMF(n)$ , we follow the construction of  $\text{Mult}^n(X)$  in remark 4.6. More explicitly, let  $U = \text{Spec } A$  be an affine open subset of  $\text{Mult}^n(X)$ . We want to describe an affine open cover for the inverse image of  $U$  in  $\text{Mult}^{n+1}(X)$ , denoted  $\text{Mult}^{n+1}(X)|_U$ . With notation as in remark 4.6, the family  $W_i$  is defined over  $U$  by the ideal  $J_i = \Gamma(U \times X, \mathcal{F}_i)$  in the affine coordinate ring of  $U \times X$ . Then

$$\text{Mult}^{n+1}(X)|_U = \mathbf{P}(M)$$

where

$$M = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}_n) = J_n / \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} J_{v+1} J_{n-v}$$

considered as an  $A$ -module. To give concrete equations for  $\mathbf{P}(M)$ , choose a free presentation

$$A^r \xrightarrow{(g_{ij})} A^s \xrightarrow{(f_j)} M \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then  $\mathbf{P}(M) = \text{Proj } R$  where

$$(15) \quad R = A[t_1, \dots, t_s] / (\sum_j g_{1j} t_j, \dots, \sum_j g_{rj} t_j).$$

Thus  $\mathbf{P}(M)$  is covered by the affine open subsets  $V_i = \text{Spec } R_i$  where  $R_i$  is the degree 0 part of the localization  $R_{t_i}$ . The universal quotient is the homomorphism

$$M \otimes R_i \longrightarrow R_i \longrightarrow 0$$

sending  $f_j \otimes 1$  to  $T_j = t_j/t_i$  (in particular  $f_i \otimes 1 \mapsto 1$ ). Hence, on  $V_i$  the universal flag is defined by ideals

$$\tilde{J}_1 \supset \dots \supset \tilde{J}_{n+1}$$

where  $\tilde{J}_v = J_v R_i$  for  $v \leq n$ , and

$$\tilde{J}_{n+1} = (T_j f_i - f_j)_{j \neq i} + (\sum_{v=0}^{n-1} J_{v+1} J_{n-v}) R_i.$$

As long as the rings  $R_i$  are nilpotent-free, this gives an algorithm for computing an open cover of  $HMF(n)$ . Otherwise we should divide by the nilradical to get the underlying reduced scheme. It turns out that in all our examples, i.e. whenever  $n \leq 7$ ,  $\text{Mult}^n(X)$  is already reduced, hence  $HMF(n) = \text{Mult}^n(X)$ . We do not know whether this is true for arbitrary  $n$ .

Clearly,  $\text{Mult}^2(X) = \text{HMF}(2) \cong H(2) \cong \mathbf{P}^1$ . The next result describes  $\text{HMF}(3)$  and  $\text{HMF}(4)$ . We are going to use the following (well known and easy to derive) classification of punctual subschemes of length 2 and 3 on a nonsingular surface: For a suitable choice of local parameters, any subscheme of length two may be defined by an ideal of the form

$$(x, y^2) \subset \mathcal{O}_{S,p}.$$

Thus any such subscheme is curvilinear. For subschemes of length three, there are two types: Firstly there are the curvilinear ones, which for a suitable choice of local parameters may be defined by an ideal of the form

$$(x, y^3) \subset \mathcal{O}_{S,p}.$$

Secondly there is just one non curvilinear subscheme of length three, namely the first infinitesimal neighbourhood of  $p$ , defined by

$$\mathfrak{m}_p^2 = (x^2, xy, y^2) \subset \mathcal{O}_{S,p}.$$

**THEOREM 6.1.** *For  $n = 2$  and  $3$  the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is locally free of rank 2, hence  $\text{HMF}(n+1)$  is a  $\mathbf{P}^1$ -bundle over  $\text{HMF}(n)$ . In particular,  $\text{HMF}(3)$  and  $\text{HMF}(4)$  are nonsingular.*

**PROOF.** Any point in  $\text{HMF}(2)$  is curvilinear, hence  $\mathcal{F}_2$  has rank two everywhere. Thus it is locally free.

A punctual subscheme of length 3 is either the first order infinitesimal neighbourhood of  $p$  or it is curvilinear. Consider a point in  $\text{HMF}(3)$ , that is a filtration of ideals

$$I_3 \subset I_2 \subset I_1 = \mathfrak{m}_p.$$

If  $I_3$  is curvilinear, then

$$I_3/(I_1 I_3 + I_2^2) = I_3/I_1 I_3$$

is two dimensional as before. If not, then  $I_3 = (x^2, xy, y^2)$ . For a suitable choice of local parameters we may assume  $I_2 = (x, y^2)$ . Then

$$I_1 I_3 + I_2^2 = (x^2, y^3, xy^2)$$

and hence

$$I_3/(I_1 I_3 + I_2^2) = \langle xy, y^2 \rangle$$

is two dimensional. Thus  $\mathcal{F}_3$  has rank two everywhere.

The surface  $HMF(3)$  can be determined completely. In fact it is isomorphic to the minimal ruled surface  $F_3$ . For this, let  $R = k[a_0, a_1]$ , then  $HMF(2) = H(2) = \text{Proj } R$  with universal family defined by the ideal

$$(16) \quad J = (a_1y - a_0x, x^2, xy, y^2) \subset R \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{X,p}.$$

Then the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_2$  corresponds to the graded  $R$ -module  $N$  with generators

$$\begin{aligned} f &= a_1y - a_0x & g &= x^2 \\ h &= xy & k &= y^2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $f$  has degree 1 and the rest have degree 0. The relations are

$$a_1h = a_0g \quad a_1k = a_0h.$$

From this we conclude that  $N$  is isomorphic to  $R(-1) \oplus R(2)$  in positive degrees, where  $f$  generates the summand corresponding to  $R(-1)$ , and  $g, h$  and  $k$  generate the summand corresponding to  $R(2)$ . Thus

$$\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)$$

and the associated projective bundle is  $F_3$ .

Finally, we remark that  $HF(4)$  is reducible, so  $HMF(4) = HF'(4)$  is not the only component. In fact, above the rational curve in  $HF(3) = HMF(3)$  consisting of filtrations of the form

$$\mathfrak{m}_p^2 = I_3 \subset I_2 \subset I_1 = \mathfrak{m}_p$$

where  $I_2$  varies freely in a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , every fibre in  $HF(4)$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . Thus the inverse image of this curve has dimension 3, which therefore cannot be contained in the irreducible three dimensional variety  $HMF(4)$ . To give an explicit example, the ideals

$$(x^2, xy, y^3) \subset (x^2, xy, y^2) \subset (x^2, y) \subset (x, y)$$

define a point in  $HF(4)$  which is not multiplicative.

For  $n = 5$  we obtain the following, which corrects [9, Theorem 1].

**THEOREM 6.2.** *HMF(5) is singular along a curve, but irreducible.*

**PROOF.** We compute the restriction of  $HMF(5)$  to a particular open affine chart  $U_4 \subset HMF(4)$ . By the same method one can compute an open cover explicitly.

With notation as in equation (16), let  $U_2 \subset HMF(2)$  be the open affine subset defined by  $a_0 \neq 0$ . Then

$$U_2 = \text{Spec } k[a]$$

where  $a = a_1/a_0$ , and the universal flag is defined by the ideals

$$(17) \quad J_1 = (x, y) \quad J_2 = (ay - x, y^2).$$

Carrying through the recipe given above, we find

$$HMF(3)|_{U_2} = \text{Proj } k[a][b_0, b_1]$$

where the generators  $b_i$  correspond to  $t_i$  in equation (15). We define the open affine  $U_3 \subset HMF(3)$  by  $b_0 \neq 0$ , then the universal flag on  $U_3$  is defined by ideals  $J_1 \supset J_2 \supset J_3$ , where  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  are the ideals in (17) and

$$J_3 = (b(ay - x) - y^2, (ay - x)x, (ay - x)y)$$

where  $b = b_1/b_0$ . (We should really write  $J_1k[a, b]$  and  $J_2k[a, b]$  in place of  $J_1$  and  $J_2$ , but this shouldn't cause any confusion.) Since

$$a((ay - x)y) - (ay - x)x = (ay - x)^2 \in J_2^2$$

we find that  $U_3$  trivializes  $\mathcal{F}_3$  and

$$HMF(4)|_{U_3} = \text{Proj } k[a, b][c_0, c_1].$$

where again the new coordinates  $c_i$  correspond to  $t_i$  in equation (15). Define  $U_4 \subset HMF(4)$  by  $c_0 \neq 0$ , then the universal flag is defined over  $U_4$  by

$$J_4 = (c(b(ay - x) - y^2) - (ay - x)y, b(ay - x)y - y^3, (ay - x)^2)$$

where  $c = c_1/c_0$ , together with  $J_1, J_2, J_3$  as above.

Now we are in position to describe the restriction of  $HMF(5)$  to  $U_4$ . The module

$$M = J_4/(J_1J_4 + J_2J_3)$$

is generated by

$$\begin{aligned} f &= c(b(ay - x) - y^2) - (ay - x)y \\ g &= b(ay - x)y - y^3 \\ h &= (ay - x)^2 \end{aligned}$$

and the element  $bh - cf$  is contained in  $J_2J_3$ , thus

$$HMF(5)|_{U_4} = \text{Proj } k[a, b, c][F, G, H]/(bH - cF).$$

In fact, since this is irreducible, reduced and of dimension four, the found relation  $bh - cf$  is the only one.

Thus  $HMF(5)|_{U_4}$  is irreducible and singular along a curve. Repeating the calculations while moving  $U_4$  around proves the statement.

By the same procedure one may test the irreducibility of  $HMF(n)$ , and hence question 5.5, for higher  $n$ . The explicit calculations get rather involved, but with the aid of the computer program Singular [3], using a primary decomposition algorithm, it has been verified that  $HMF(n)$  is irreducible for  $n \leq 7$ , and also that  $\text{Mult}^n(X)$  is already reduced. At 8 points we stopped due to lack of computer power.

#### REFERENCES

1. Briançon, Joël, *Description de Hilb<sup>n</sup> C{x, y}*, Invent. Math. 41 (1977), 45–89.
2. Ellingsrud, Geir, and Lehn, Manfred, *Irreducibility of the punctual quotient scheme of a surface*, Ark. Mat. 37 (1999), 245–254.
3. Greuel, G.-M., Pfister, G., and Schönemann, H., SINGULAR 2.0, A Computer Algebra System for Polynomial Computations, Centre for Computer Algebra, University of Kaiserslautern, 2001, <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de>.
4. Grothendieck, Alexander, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. III. Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents. I*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1961), 167.
5. Grothendieck, Alexander, *Techniques de construction et théorèmes d'existence en géométrie algébrique. IV. Les schémas de Hilbert*, Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 6, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1995, pp. Exp. No. 221, 249–276.
6. Iarrobino, Anthony A., *Punctual Hilbert schemes*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 10 (1977), no. 188, viii+112.
7. Le Barz, Patrick, *Platitude et nonplatitude de certains sous-schémas de Hilb<sup>k</sup> P<sup>N</sup>*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 348 (1984), 116–134.
8. Tikhomirov, Alexander S., *A smooth model of punctual Hilbert schemes of a surface*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. 208 (1995), 318–334.
9. Tikhomirov, Sergei A., *Punctual Hilbert schemes of small length in dimensions 2 and 3*, Mat. Zametki 67 (2000), no. 3, 414–432.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 UNIVERSITY OF OSLO  
 P.O. BOX 1053  
 N-0316 OSLO  
 NORWAY  
*E-mail:* martingu@math.uio.no