

SCHATTEN-VON NEUMANN PROPERTIES OF BILINEAR HANKEL FORMS OF HIGHER WEIGHTS

MARCUS SUNDHÄLL*

Abstract

Hankel forms of higher weights, on weighted Bergman spaces in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^d , were introduced by Peetre. Each Hankel form corresponds to a vector-valued holomorphic function, called the symbol of the form. In this paper we characterize bounded, compact and Schatten-von Neumann \mathcal{S}_p class ($2 \leq p < \infty$) Hankel forms in terms of the membership of the symbols in certain Besov spaces.

1. Introduction and Main Results

1.1. Introduction

Hankel operators on the unit disc have been studied extensively and have found many applications, see [13], [22] and [8]. One of the central problems is to study the characterization of their Schatten-von Neumann properties. We recall briefly the definition of Hankel operators on a Hardy space on the unit disc. Consider the Hardy space $H^2(T) \subset L^2(T)$ of holomorphic functions, where $T = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. Let $P : L^2(T) \rightarrow H^2(T)$ be the Szegő projection. The Hankel operator \tilde{H}_f with holomorphic symbol f is defined by $\tilde{H}_f g = (I - P)(\bar{f}g)$, $g \in H^2(T)$. It can also be viewed (up to a rank one operator) as a bilinear form H_f on $H^2(T)$, namely

$$H_f(g_1, g_2) = \int_{\partial D} \overline{f(z)} g_1(z) g_2(z) d\sigma(z).$$

Their Schatten-von Neumann properties were studied first by Peller, see [14]. It is proved there that H_f is of Schatten-von Neumann class if and only if f is in a certain Besov space. The corresponding problem for Hankel forms on a Bergman space has been studied in [8] and [18]. It was realized later that the Hilbert-Schmidt Hankel forms on a weighted Bergman space can be viewed as the first irreducible component in the irreducible decomposition of the tensor

*The author is financially supported by Örebro University.

Received September 17, 2004; in revised form February 28, 2005.

product of two copies of the Bergman spaces, and subsequently Janson and Peetre [7] introduced the Hankel forms of higher weights on Bergman spaces on the unit disc; see also [19] where multilinear Hankel forms are studied.

A natural problem is to consider Hankel forms on the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^d . In [11] Peetre introduced Hankel forms on the unit ball. As in the case of the unit disc the spaces of Hankel forms of higher weights are explicit characterization of irreducible components in the tensor product of Bergman spaces under the Möbius group, see [7], [11] and [15]. However their Schatten-von Neumann properties have not been studied so far. In this paper we will address this problem.

The Hilbert and Banach spaces of symbols appearing in this paper are closely related to the quotients of function modules studied in [4], and the expansion of the reproducing kernels of some similar spaces have been studied in [6]. It is interesting to consider those problems in our context.

Part of this paper is from my licentiate thesis under the supervision of my advisor Genkai Zhang. I would like to thank him for many fruitful discussions. I am also grateful for all the encouragement and help from my supervisor Yang Liu. I thank also the referee for his/her comments, remarks and for pointing out certain incomplete arguments. After the first manuscript was submitted we have established a necessary and sufficient condition for the membership of Hankel forms in Schatten-von Neumann class \mathcal{S}_p , $2 < p < \infty$, by studying the Bergman type projections (see Section 7 and Section 8).

The paper is arranged in the following manner. In Section 1 we introduce the Hankel forms and state the main results in the form of three theorems. Section 2 consists of preliminary results. Section 3 is devoted to certain Banach spaces of vector-valued holomorphic functions. Section 4 gives an equivalent description for certain Besov spaces. The proofs of Theorem 1.1(a) and Theorem 1.1(b) are given in Section 5 and Section 6 respectively. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is given in Section 6. In Section 7 we prove some L^p -boundedness properties of certain Bergman type projections, which are used in Section 8 to prove Theorem 1.3.

1.2. Notation

Let H_1 and H_2 be Hilbert spaces and let $T : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ be a linear operator. Define the singular numbers $s_n(T) = \inf\{\|T - K\| : \text{rank}(K) \leq n\}$, $n \geq 0$. If T is compact, these singular numbers are equal to the eigenvalues of $|T| = (T^*T)^{1/2}$. We denote by \mathcal{S}_p the ideal of operators for which $\{s_n(T)\}_{n \geq 0} \in l^p$, $0 < p \leq \infty$, see [21]. We remark that \mathcal{S}_∞ is the class of bounded operators. (The compact operators correspond to c_0 , not to l^∞ .)

Let dm denote the Lebesgue measure on the unit ball $\mathbf{B} \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ and let $d\iota(z)$ be the measure $(1 - |z|^2)^{-d-1} dm(z)$. For $d < \nu < \infty$ let $d\iota_\nu(z)$ be the measure

$c_\nu(1 - |z|^2)^\nu d\iota(z)$, where c_ν is chosen such that

$$\int_{\mathbf{B}} d\iota_\nu(z) = 1,$$

i.e., $c_\nu = \Gamma(\nu)/(\pi^d \Gamma(\nu - d))$. The closed subspace of all holomorphic functions in $L^2(d\iota_\nu)$ is denoted by $L^2_a(d\iota_\nu)$ and is called a weighted Bergman space. Note that the space $L^2_a(d\iota_\nu)$ has a reproducing kernel $K_z(w) = (1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{-\nu}$, that is,

$$(1) \quad f(z) = \langle f, K_z \rangle_\nu = \int_{\mathbf{B}} f(w) \overline{K_z(w)} d\iota_\nu(w), \quad f \in L^2_a(d\iota_\nu), \quad z \in \mathbf{B}.$$

Denote by $B(z, w)$ the Bergman operator on $V = \mathbf{C}^d$ as in [10], namely

$$(2) \quad B(z, w) = (1 - \langle z, w \rangle)(I - z \otimes w^*),$$

where $z \otimes w^*$ stands for the rank one operator given by $(z \otimes w^*)(v) = \langle v, w \rangle z$. Viewed as a matrix acting on column vectors it is

$$(3) \quad B(z, w) = (1 - \langle z, w \rangle)(I - z \bar{w}^t),$$

where w^t is the transpose of w . $B(z, w)$ is holomorphic in z and antiholomorphic in w .

The Bergman metric at $z \in \mathbf{B}$, when we identify the tangent space with V , is $\langle B(z, z)^{-1}u, v \rangle$ for $u, v \in V$. We note that

$$(4) \quad B(z, w)^{-1} = (1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{-2}((1 - \langle z, w \rangle)I + z \otimes w^*).$$

Let $B^t(z, w)$ denote the dual of $B(z, w)$ acting on the dual space V' of V . When acting on a vector $v' \in V'$ it is

$$(5) \quad B^t(z, w)v' = (1 - \langle z, w \rangle)v'(I - z \bar{w}^t).$$

Actually we may identify $B^t(z, w)$ with $(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)(I - \bar{w}z^t)$.

For a non-negative integer s , let $\otimes^s V'$ be the tensor product of s factors V' and let $\otimes^0 V' = \mathbf{C}$. The space $\otimes^s V'$ is equipped with a natural Hermitian inner product induced by that of V' , so that

$$\langle v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_s, w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_s \rangle = \prod_{j=1}^s \langle v_j, w_j \rangle$$

where $v_j, w_j \in V', j = 1, \dots, s$.

Let $\{u_1, \dots, u_d\} \subset V'$. Denote by $u_1^{i_1} \odot u_2^{i_2} \odot \dots \odot u_d^{i_d}$ the sum

$$\frac{i_1! \cdots i_d!}{s!} \sum_{\pi \in S} \pi(\underbrace{u_1 \otimes \dots \otimes u_1}_{i_1 \text{ factors}} \otimes \dots \otimes \underbrace{u_d \otimes \dots \otimes u_d}_{i_d \text{ factors}})$$

where $i_1 + \dots + i_d = s$, $S = S_s / (S_{i_1} \times \dots \times S_{i_d})$, S_s is the permutation group acting on the tensor by permutating the factors in the tensor and S_{i_1}, \dots, S_{i_d} are the subgroups permutating the first i_1 , the second i_2, \dots , the last i_d elements respectively.

Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ be a basis for V' . Denote by $\odot^s V'$ the subspace of symmetric tensors of length s

$$\left\{ \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_d = s} v_i e_1^{i_1} \odot e_2^{i_2} \odot \dots \odot e_d^{i_d} : i = (i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \mathbf{N}^d, v_i \in \mathbf{C} \right\}.$$

Also, denote by $\otimes^s B^t(z, z)$ the operator on $\otimes^s V'$ induced by the action of $B^t(z, z)$ on V' , where $\otimes^0 B^t(z, z) = I$.

1.3. Hankel forms and main results

The Transvectant \mathcal{T}_s on $L_a^2(d\iota_\nu) \otimes L_a^2(d\iota_\nu)$ (introduced in [11], see also [12] and [15]) is defined by

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{T}_s(f, g)(z) = \sum_{k=0}^s \binom{s}{k} (-1)^{s-k} \frac{\partial^k f(z) \odot \partial^{s-k} g(z)}{(\nu)_k (\nu)_{s-k}}$$

where

$$\partial^s f(z) = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_s=1}^d \partial_{j_1} \cdots \partial_{j_s} f(z) dz_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes dz_{j_s} \in \odot^s V'$$

and $(\nu)_k = \nu(\nu + 1) \cdots (\nu + k - 1)$, $(\nu)_0 = 1$, is the Pochhammer symbol.

The Hankel bilinear form H_F^s on $L_a^2(d\iota_\nu) \otimes L_a^2(d\iota_\nu)$ is defined by

$$(7) \quad H_F^s(f, g) = \int_{\mathbf{B}} \langle \otimes^s B^t(z, z) \mathcal{T}_s(f, g)(z), F(z) \rangle d\iota_{2\nu}(z)$$

where $F : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \odot^s V'$ is holomorphic. We call F the symbol of the corresponding Hankel form. We remark that

$$H_F^0(f, g) = \int_{\mathbf{B}} f(z)g(z)\overline{F(z)} d\iota_{2\nu}(z).$$

This is the classical Hankel form studied in [8].

With the form H_F^s one can associate the operator A_F^s defined by

$$H_F^s(f, g) = \langle f, A_F^s g \rangle_\nu$$

as in [8]. Notice that A_F^s is an anti-linear operator on $L_a^2(d\iota_\nu)$. To get a linear operator one combines A_F^s with a conjugation, i.e., one instead considers the operator $\overline{A}_F^s : g \rightarrow \overline{A_F^s g}$. We say that H_F^s is of Schatten-von Neumann class \mathcal{S}_p , for $0 < p < \infty$, if and only if $\overline{A}_F^s : L_a^2(d\iota_\nu) \rightarrow \overline{L^2(d\iota_\nu)}$ is of class \mathcal{S}_p .

Finally we present the main results, of this paper, in the form of three theorems where we let s be a non-negative integer.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $F : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \odot^s V'$ be a holomorphic function.*

(a) H_F^s is bounded if and only if

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbf{B}} \langle (1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z)F(z), F(z) \rangle < +\infty,$$

(b) H_F^s is compact if and only if

$$\langle (1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z)F(z), F(z) \rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |z| \nearrow 1.$$

THEOREM 1.2. H_F^s is of Hilbert-Schmidt class \mathcal{S}_2 if and only if

$$\int_{\mathbf{B}} \langle (1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z)F(z), F(z) \rangle d\iota(z) < +\infty.$$

THEOREM 1.3. H_F^s is of class \mathcal{S}_p , for $2 < p < \infty$, if and only if

$$\int_{\mathbf{B}} \langle (1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z)F(z), F(z) \rangle^{p/2} d\iota(z) < +\infty.$$

2. Preliminaries

2.1. $G = \text{Aut}(\mathbf{B})$: The automorphisms of \mathbf{B}

We shall need some results on the group $G = \text{Aut}(\mathbf{B})$ of biholomorphic mappings of \mathbf{B} .

Let P_z be the orthogonal projection of \mathbf{C}^d into $\mathbf{C}z$ and let $Q_z = I - P_z$. Put $s_z = (1 - |z|^2)^{1/2}$ and define a linear fractional mapping φ_z on \mathbf{B} by

$$(8) \quad \varphi_z(w) = \frac{z - P_z w - s_z Q_z w}{1 - \langle w, z \rangle}.$$

If $g \in G$ and $g(z) = 0$ then there is a unique unitary operator $U : \mathbb{C}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$ such that

$$g = U\varphi_z.$$

Sometimes $g(z)$ will be written as gz . Define the complex Jacobian J_g by $J_g(w) = \det(g'(w))$. Then we have $J_g(w) = \det U \cdot J_{\varphi_z}(w)$. Lemma 2.1 gives the differential of the Möbius transformations. It can be proved by similar computations as in the proof of Theorem 2.2.2 in [20].

LEMMA 2.1. *Let φ_z be the linear fractional mapping (8) on \mathbf{B} . Then*

$$\varphi'_z(w) = \frac{-s_z^2 P_z - s_z Q_z + s_z(\langle w, z \rangle - w \otimes z^*)}{(1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^2}.$$

By computing the determinant of $\varphi'_z(w)$ we get the next proposition. It is a refinement of Theorem 2.2.6 in [20], which we state as a corollary.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let φ_z be the linear fractional mapping (8) on \mathbf{B} . Then*

$$J_{\varphi_z}(w) = (-1)^d \left(\frac{s_z}{1 - \langle w, z \rangle} \right)^{d+1}.$$

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let $g \in G$. Then the real Jacobian $J_{\mathbf{R},g}$ of g is*

$$J_{\mathbf{R},g}(w) = |J_g(w)|^2 = \left(\frac{1 - |z|^2}{|1 - \langle w, z \rangle|^2} \right)^{d+1}.$$

We need also the Forelli-Rudin estimate (see Proposition 1.4.10 in [20]).

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $\gamma > \alpha > d$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbf{B}} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^\alpha}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^\gamma} d\mu(w) \leq C(1 - |z|^2)^{-(\gamma-\alpha)}.$$

2.2. Some elementary properties of the Bergman operator

Let $g \in G$. Combining Proposition IX.1.1 with Proposition IX.2.6 in [3] we get

$$B(z, w)^{-1} = (dg(w))^* B(gz, gw)^{-1} dg(z).$$

This yields

$$(9) \quad B^t(gz, gw) = (dg(w)^t)^* B^t(z, w) dg(z)^t.$$

Now we consider another property of the Bergman operator. It holds that

$$(10) \quad B^t(z, z) = (1 - |z|^2)Q_{\bar{z}} + (1 - |z|^2)^2P_{\bar{z}}.$$

Thus

$$(11) \quad (1 - |z|^2)^2I \leq B^t(z, z) \leq (1 - |z|^2)I;$$

in particular $B^t(z, z)$ is a positive operator. Actually $\otimes^s B^t(z, z)$ is positive on $\otimes^s V'$. To prove this we need an elementary observation.

LEMMA 2.5. *Let H_1 and H_2 be Hilbert spaces. Let A and B be positive operators on H_1 and H_2 respectively. Then the operator $A \otimes B$ is positive on the induced Hilbert space $H_1 \otimes H_2$.*

REMARK 2.6. Since $B^t(z, z)$ is positive on V' we have now that $\otimes^s B^t(z, z)$ is positive for $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

2.3. The norm of z^α in the Bergman space $L_a^2(d\iota_\nu)$

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d)$ denote ordered d -tuples of non-negative integers α_i and denote $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_d$. Then the polynomials $\{z^\alpha\}$ forms an orthogonal basis for $L_a^2(d\iota_\nu)$ and

$$(12) \quad \|z^\alpha\|_\nu^2 = \int_B |z_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot z_2^{\alpha_2} \cdot \dots \cdot z_d^{\alpha_d}|^2 d\iota_\nu(z) = \frac{\alpha_1! \alpha_2! \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_d!}{(\nu)_{|\alpha|}}$$

where $(\nu)_{|\alpha|} = \nu(\nu + 1) \cdot \dots \cdot (\nu + |\alpha| - 1) = \Gamma(\nu + |\alpha|) / \Gamma(\nu)$, $(\nu)_0 = 1$, is the Pochhammer symbol.

2.4. Some remarks on boundedness, compactness and \mathcal{S}_2

Consider the bilinear Hankel form H_F^s with symbol F . First observe that the operator norm of the corresponding operator \overline{A}_F^s equals

$$\|H_F^s\| = \sup_{\|f\|_\nu = \|g\|_\nu = 1} |H_F^s(f, g)|.$$

If \overline{A}_F^s is compact and $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset L_a^2(d\iota_\nu)$, with $\|g_n\|_\nu = 1$, $g_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then there is a sequence $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of positive numbers such that

$$|H_F^s(f, g_n)| \leq c_n \|f\|_\nu$$

for all n . Also $c_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, if $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of compact bilinear forms on $L_a^2(d\iota_\nu) \otimes L_a^2(d\iota_\nu)$ such that $A_n \rightarrow H_F^s$ in operator

norm, then H_F^s is compact. Also H_F^s is of Hilbert-Schmidt class \mathcal{S}_2 if and only if

$$\|H_F^s\|_{\mathcal{S}_2}^2 = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\beta|=0}^{\infty} |H_F^s(e_\alpha, e_\beta)|^2 < \infty$$

where $e_\alpha = z^\alpha / \|z^\alpha\|_v$. In addition, if A is a bilinear form on $L_a^2(dt_v) \otimes L_a^2(dt_v)$ of Hilbert-Schmidt class, then A is compact.

3. The Banach space $\mathcal{H}_{v,s}^p$

Let $L_{v,s}^p$, for $1 < p < \infty$, be the space of measurable functions $S : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \odot^s V'$ such that

$$\|S\|_{v,s,p} = \left(\int_{\mathbf{B}} \langle (1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z) S(z), S(z) \rangle^{p/2} dt(z) \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Then $L_{v,s}^p$ is a Banach space. The closed subspace of holomorphic functions in $L_{v,s}^p$ is denoted by $\mathcal{H}_{v,s}^p$.

3.1. Transformation properties of H_F^s

Define an action π_ν of G on $L_a^2(dt_v)$ by

$$(13) \quad \pi_\nu : g \in G, f(w) \rightarrow f(g^{-1}w) (J_{g^{-1}}(w))^{v/(d+1)}.$$

REMARK 3.1. Let $z \in \mathbf{B}$. Then $\Re(1 - \langle w, z \rangle) \geq (1 - |z|) > 0$ for all $w \in \mathbf{B}$ so that $(1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^\alpha$ can be defined as a holomorphic function in w for any real α . Thus for any $g \in G$, writing $g = U\varphi_z$ where $U \in \mathcal{U}(d)$ and φ_z is the linear fractional mapping (8), we let, according to Proposition 2.2,

$$(J_{g^{-1}}(w))^{v/(d+1)} = ((-1)^d (1 - |z|^2)^{(d+1)/2} \det U)^{v/(d+1)} \cdot (1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{-\nu}$$

which then defines a holomorphic function in w .

Actually $\pi_\nu : g \rightarrow \pi_\nu(g)$ is a projective unitary representation on $L_a^2(dt_v)$, that is $\|\pi_\nu(g)f\|_v = \|f\|_v$ and $\pi_\nu(g_1g_2) = C(g_1, g_2)\pi_\nu(g_1)\pi_\nu(g_2)$ for some constant $C(g_1, g_2)$. This yields the following equality of two operator norms

$$(14) \quad \|H_F^s(\pi_\nu(g)(\cdot), \pi_\nu(g)(\cdot))\| = \|H_F^s\|.$$

Define an action $\pi_{v,s}$ on $\mathcal{H}_{v,s}^2$ by

$$(15) \quad \pi_{v,s} : g \in G, S(z) \rightarrow \left(\otimes^s (dg^{-1}(z))^t \right) S(g^{-1}z) (J_{g^{-1}}(z))^{2\nu/(d+1)}.$$

Then

$$(16) \quad H_F^s(\pi_v(g)f_1, \pi_v(g)f_2) = H_S^s(f_1, f_2)$$

where $S(z) = \pi_{v,s}(g^{-1})F(z)$. Equation (16) is a consequence of Lemma 3.2 below. Define an action $\pi_v(\cdot) \otimes \pi_v(\cdot)$ on $L_a^2(dt_v) \otimes L_a^2(dt_v)$ by

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi_v \otimes \pi_v : g \in G, (f_1(w_1), f_2(w_2)) \\ \rightarrow f_1(g^{-1}w_1)f_2(g^{-1}w_2) (J_{g^{-1}}(w_1))^{v/(d+1)} (J_{g^{-1}}(w_2))^{v/(d+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

The following invariance property of the Transvectant is proved in [11], see also [15].

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $\pi_{v,s}$ and $\pi_v(\cdot) \otimes \pi_v(\cdot)$ be the representations given by (15) and (17) respectively. Let $g \in G$. Then*

$$\mathcal{T}_s(\pi_v(g) \otimes \pi_v(g))(f_1, f_2) = \pi_{v,s}(g)\mathcal{T}_s(f_1, f_2).$$

REMARK 3.3. It follows from Theorem 4.1 that \mathcal{T}_s takes values in $\mathcal{H}_{v,s}^2$. In fact, Theorem 4.1 shows that $\mathcal{T}_s : L_a^2(dt_v) \otimes L_a^2(dt_v) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{v,s}^2$ is a bounded bilinear form.

REMARK 3.4. As a consequence of Lemma 3.2 we have (16), namely

$$\begin{aligned} H_F^s((\pi_v(g) \otimes \pi_v(g))(f_1, f_2)) &= \langle \mathcal{T}_s(\pi_v(g) \otimes \pi_v(g))(f_1, f_2), F \rangle_{v,s,2} \\ &= \langle \pi_{v,s}(g)\mathcal{T}_s(f_1, f_2), F \rangle_{v,s,2} \\ &= \langle \mathcal{T}_s(f_1, f_2), \pi_{v,s}(g^{-1})F \rangle_{v,s,2} \end{aligned}$$

which gives the result if we observe that $S = \pi_{v,s}(g^{-1})F$.

3.2. Reproducing kernel of the space $\mathcal{H}_{v,s}^2$

LEMMA 3.5. *The reproducing kernel of $\mathcal{H}_{v,s}^2$ is, up to a nonzero constant,*

$$K_{v,s}(z, w) = (1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{-2v} \otimes^s (B^t(z, w))^{-1}.$$

Namely, for any $f \in \mathcal{H}_{v,s}^2$ and any $v \in \odot^s V'$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f(z), v \rangle &= c \langle f(\cdot), K_{v,s}(\cdot, z)v \rangle_{v,s,2} \\ &= c \int_{\mathbf{B}} (1 - |w|^2)^{2v} \otimes^s B^t(w, w) f(w), K_{v,s}(w, z)v \, dt(w). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. For any $v \in \odot^s V'$ we prove that $f \rightarrow \langle f(z), v \rangle$ is a bounded functional on $\mathcal{H}_{v,s}^2$. It follows then by Riesz lemma that there exists a function $R(z, w) : \odot^s V' \rightarrow \odot^s V'$ such that $\langle f(z), v \rangle = \langle f, R(\cdot, z)v \rangle_{v,s,2}$. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_{v,s}^2$ and let $z \in \mathbf{B}$. Since $z \rightarrow \|f(z)\|$ is subharmonic then

$$\|f(z)\| \leq C_{d,r,v} \int_{z+r\mathbf{B}} \|f(w)\| dt_{2v}(w)$$

so by Jensen's inequality

$$\|f(z)\|^2 \leq C'_{d,r,v} \int_{z+r\mathbf{B}} \|f(w)\|^2 dt_{2v}(w)$$

if $\overline{z+r\mathbf{B}} \subset \mathbf{B}$. On the other hand, there is a constant $d_r > 0$ such that $d_r I \leq \otimes^s B^t(w, w)$ for all $w \in \overline{z+r\mathbf{B}}$. Hence

$$\|f(z)\|^2 \leq D_{d,r,v} \int_{z+r\mathbf{B}} \langle (1 - |z|^2)^{2v} \otimes^s B^t(w, w) f(w), f(w) \rangle dt(w)$$

so that $f \rightarrow \langle f(z), v \rangle$ is bounded. Then the reproducing property at $z = 0$ reads as

$$\langle f(0), v \rangle = \langle f(\cdot), R(\cdot, 0)v \rangle_{v,s,2}.$$

On the other hand, the space of $\odot^s V'$ -valued polynomials is dense in $\mathcal{H}_{v,s}^2$ and $\langle p(\cdot), v \rangle_{v,s,2} = 0$ for all homogeneous polynomials of degree ≥ 1 . Thus if

$$f(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f_m(z)$$

where f_m are homogeneous polynomials of degree m , then

$$\langle f(\cdot), v \rangle_{v,s,2} = \langle f_0(\cdot), v \rangle_{v,s,2} = \langle f(0), v \rangle_{v,s,2} = c' \langle f(0), v \rangle.$$

Therefore

$$\langle f(\cdot), R(\cdot, 0)v \rangle_{v,s,2} = \langle f(0), v \rangle = \frac{1}{c'} \langle f(\cdot), v \rangle_{v,s,2}$$

so that $R(\cdot, 0) = cI$ with $c \neq 0$. Next we prove that $R(z, w)$ transforms under G as follows

$$\begin{aligned} (18) \quad & R(gz, gw) \\ &= (\otimes^s dg(z)^t)^{-1} R(z, w) (\otimes^s (dg(w)^t)^*)^{-1} (J_g(z))^{-2v/(d+1)} (\overline{J_g(w)})^{-2v/(d+1)} \end{aligned}$$

where $g \in G$. Indeed, for all $F \in \mathcal{H}_{v,s}^2$

$$\langle F(z), v \rangle = \int_{\mathbf{B}} \langle (1 - |w|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(w, w) F(w), R(w, z)v \rangle dt(w)$$

from which it follows that for all $f \in L_a^2(dt_v)$

$$\begin{aligned} (19) \quad & \langle J_g(z)^{2\nu/(d+1)} \otimes^s dg(z)^t f(gz), v \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{B}} \langle (1 - |w|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(w, w) J_g(w)^{2\nu/(d+1)} \\ & \quad \otimes^s dg(w)^t f(gw), R(w, z)v \rangle dt(w). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it follows from (9)

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle f(gz), (\overline{J_g(z)})^{2\nu/(d+1)} \otimes^s (dg(z)^t)^* v \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{B}} \langle \otimes^s B^t(w, w) f(w), R(w, gz) (\overline{J_g(z)})^{2\nu/(d+1)} \otimes^s (dg(z)^t)^* v \rangle \frac{dt_{2\nu}(w)}{c_{2\nu}} \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{B}} \langle \otimes^s B^t(gw, gw) f(gw), R(gw, gz) (\overline{J_g(z)})^{2\nu/(d+1)} \otimes^s (dg(z)^t)^* v \rangle \\ & \quad \cdot \left| (J_g(w))^{2\nu/(d+1)} \right|^2 \frac{dt_{2\nu}(w)}{c_{2\nu}} \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{B}} \langle (1 - |w|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(w, w) (J_g(w))^{2\nu/(d+1)} \otimes^s dg(w)^t f(gw), \\ & \quad \otimes^s dg(w)^t R(gw, gz) \otimes^s (dg(z)^t)^* v \rangle \\ & \quad \cdot (J_g(z))^{2\nu/(d+1)} (\overline{J_g(w)})^{2\nu/(d+1)} dt(w). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing this with (19) we get (18). Now both $R(z, w)/c$ and $K_{v,s}(z, w)$ satisfy the same transformation rule (18) and are identity operator at $z = 0$. Thus they are the same for all $z, w \in \mathbf{B}$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

4. The Besov space $\mathcal{B}_{v,s}$

Let $s = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{B}_{v,s} \\ &= \left\{ f : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \text{ holomorphic, } \int_{\mathbf{B}} \langle \otimes^s B^t(z, z) \partial^s f(z), \partial^s f(z) \rangle dt_v(z) < +\infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The space $\mathcal{B}_{v,s}$ is called a Besov space. It is a Hilbert space, equipped with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{v,s}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle_{v,s} = & f(0)\overline{g(0)} + \cdots + \langle (\partial^{(s-1)} f)(0), (\partial^{(s-1)} g)(0) \rangle \\ & + \int_{\mathbf{B}} \langle \otimes^s B^t(z, z) \partial^s f(z), \partial^s g(z) \rangle dt_v(z). \end{aligned}$$

Actually $\mathcal{B}_{v,s} = L_a^2(dt_v)$, namely they are equal as sets and their norms are equivalent, as is shown below.

THEOREM 4.1. *There exist constants $C_{v,s}, D_{v,s} > 0$ such that*

$$C_{v,s} \cdot \|f\|_v \leq \|f\|_{v,s} \leq D_{v,s} \cdot \|f\|_v$$

for all holomorphic $f : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$.

We need first some elementary lemmas.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let f_m and f_n be homogeneous holomorphic polynomials of degree m and n respectively, with $m \neq n$. Then $\langle f_m, f_n \rangle_{v,s} = 0$.*

PROOF. Let $0 < \theta < 2\pi$. Then $e^{i\theta} \neq 1$. Since f_m is a homogeneous polynomial of degree m we have $f_m(e^{i\theta}z) = e^{im\theta} f_m(z)$. Given m and n with $m \neq n$, it is enough to prove that

$$(20) \quad \langle f_m, f_n \rangle_{v,s} = e^{i(m-n)\theta} \langle f_m, f_n \rangle_{v,s}$$

The case $s = 0$ follows directly from the homogeneity. Now consider the case $s = 1$. It is easy to see that $B^t(z, z) = B^t(e^{-i\theta}z, e^{-i\theta}z)$. By the chain rule and homogeneity it follows that

$$(\partial f_m)(e^{i\theta}w) = e^{-i\theta} \partial(f_m(e^{i\theta}\cdot))(w) = e^{i(m-1)\theta} (\partial f_m)(w)$$

so that the equation (20) holds for $s = 1$. The cases $s = 2, 3, \dots$ now follow in the same way if we first notice that $(\partial^s f_m)(e^{i\theta}w) = e^{i(m-s)\theta} (\partial^s f_m)(w)$. This completes the proof.

We recall now a result from Rudin (Theorem 12.2.8 in [20]). Consider the space \mathcal{P}_m of all homogeneous holomorphic polynomials of degree m on \mathbf{B} with the natural group action of the unitary group $\mathcal{U}(d)$:

$$(\pi_g f)(z) = f(g^{-1}z), \quad f \in \mathcal{P}_m, \quad g \in \mathcal{U}(d).$$

Then (\mathcal{P}_m, π_g) is a unitary irreducible representation of $\mathcal{U}(d)$. As a consequence of Schur's lemma (Theorem 1.10 in [2]) we have

LEMMA 4.3. *Let m be a non-negative integer. Then there exists a positive constant $C_{v,s,m}$ such that*

$$\|f_m\|_{v,s} = C_{v,s,m} \cdot \|f_m\|_v$$

for all $f_m \in \mathcal{P}_m$.

REMARK 4.4. Actually, this lemma is a special case of the result in exercise 1.16.7 in [2].

Now we can prove the norm-equivalence of $\mathcal{B}_{v,s}$ and $L_a^2(dt_v)$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.1. It is enough to prove the theorem for f with $f(0) = \dots = \partial^{s-1} f(0) = 0$. Write $f = \sum_{m=0}^\infty f_m$ where $f_m \in \mathcal{P}_m$. By Lemma 4.2 we have that $\{f_m\}_{m=0}^\infty$ is an orthogonal set in both $L_a^2(dt_v)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{v,s}$. Also, by Lemma 4.3 we have $\|f_m\|_{v,s} = C_{v,s,m} \cdot \|f_m\|_v$ where $C_{v,s,m}$ does not depend on f_m of degree m . We compute $C_{v,s,m}$ and prove that there exist positive constants $C_{v,s}$ and $D_{v,s}$ such that

$$(21) \quad C_{v,s} \leq C_{v,s,m} \leq D_{v,s}$$

for all m . We may assume that $m \geq s$. Take $f_m(z) = z_1^m$. We shall calculate

$$\|f_m\|_{v,s}^2 = \int_{\mathbf{B}} \langle \otimes^s B^t(z, z) \partial^s f_m(z), \partial^s f_m(z) \rangle dt_v(z).$$

First observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \otimes^s B^t(z, z) \partial^s f_m(z), \partial^s f_m(z) \rangle \\ &= \langle \otimes^s B^t(z, z) (\partial_1^s z_1^m) \otimes^s dz_1, (\partial_1^s z_1^m) \otimes^s dz_1 \rangle \\ &= \langle B^t(z, z) (\partial_1^s z_1^m) dz_1, (\partial_1^s z_1^m) dz_1 \rangle \cdot \langle B^t(z, z) dz_1, dz_1 \rangle^{s-1} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(m+1)^2}{\Gamma(m-s+1)^2} (1-|z|^2)^s (1-|z_1|^2)^s |z_1|^{2(m-s)}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} C_v \int_{\mathbf{B}} |z_1|^{2(m-s)} (1-|z_1|^2) (1-|z|^2)^{v+s} dt(z) &= \\ \int_{|z_1|<1} |z_1|^{2(m-s)} (1-|z_1|^2)^s \int_{|z'|<\sqrt{1-|z_1|^2}} (1-|z_1|^2-|z'|^2)^{v+s-d-1} dm(z') dm(z_1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{|z'|<\sqrt{1-|z_1|^2}} (1-|z_1|^2-|z'|^2)^{v+s-d-1} dm(z') = C'_v \cdot (1-|z_1|^2)^{v+s-2}.$$

Since

$$\int_{|z_1| < 1} |z_1|^{2(m-s)} (1 - |z_1|^2)^s (1 - |z_1|^2)^{s+\nu-2} dm(z_1) \\ = C_v'' \cdot \frac{\Gamma(m-s+1)\Gamma(\nu+2s-1)}{\Gamma(m+s+\nu)}$$

we get

$$\|f_m\|_{v,s}^2 = a_\nu \cdot \frac{\Gamma(m+1)^2\Gamma(\nu+2s-1)}{\Gamma(m-s+1)\Gamma(m+s+\nu)}.$$

On the other hand

$$\|f_m\|_\nu^2 = \frac{\Gamma(m+1)\Gamma(\nu)}{\Gamma(m+\nu)}$$

so that

$$C_{v,s,m}^2 = \frac{\|f_m\|_{v,s}^2}{\|f_m\|_\nu^2} = a_\nu \cdot \frac{\Gamma(m+1)\Gamma(\nu+2s-1)\Gamma(m+\nu)}{\Gamma(m-s+1)\Gamma(m+s+\nu)\Gamma(\nu)}.$$

For $m \geq s$ we have

$$\frac{\Gamma(m+1)\Gamma(m+\nu)}{\Gamma(m-s+1)\Gamma(m+s+\nu)} = \frac{m(m-1)\cdots(m-s+1)}{(m+s+\nu-1)\cdots(m+\nu)} \\ = \frac{(1-\frac{1}{m})\cdots(1-\frac{s-1}{m})}{(1+\frac{s+\nu-1}{m})\cdots(1+\frac{\nu}{m})}$$

so that

$$b_{v,s} = \frac{(1-\frac{1}{s})\cdots(1-\frac{s-1}{s})}{(1+\frac{s+\nu-1}{s})\cdots(1+\frac{\nu}{s})} \leq \frac{\Gamma(m+1)\Gamma(m+\nu)}{\Gamma(m-s+1)\Gamma(m+s+\nu)} \leq 1.$$

So (21) follows by putting

$$C_{v,s} = \sqrt{\frac{a_\nu \cdot b_{v,s} \cdot \Gamma(\nu+2s-1)}{\Gamma(\nu)}}$$

and

$$D_{v,s} = \sqrt{\frac{a_\nu \cdot \Gamma(\nu+2s-1)}{\Gamma(\nu)}}.$$

5. Boundedness

5.1. The Banach space $\mathcal{H}_{v,s}^\infty$

Denote by $L_{v,s}^\infty$ the space of functions $F : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \odot^s V'$ such that

$$\|F\|_{v,s,\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathbf{B}} \langle (1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z) F(z), F(z) \rangle^{1/2} < \infty.$$

If we write $\|F\|_{v,s,\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathbf{B}} \|S(z)\|_W$ where

$$\|S(z)\|_W = \left\| \left((1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z) \right)^{1/2} F(z) \right\|$$

and $W = \odot^s V'$, then $L_{v,s}^\infty$ is a Banach space since it is easy to see that, if $S_n : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow W$ satisfies

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \sup_{z \in \mathbf{B}} \|S_n(z)\|_W < \infty$$

then there is a $S : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow W$ with $\sup_{z \in \mathbf{B}} \|S(z)\|_W < \infty$ such that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbf{B}} \left\| S(z) - \sum_{n=1}^N S_n(z) \right\|_W \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

The closed subspace of holomorphic functions in $L_{v,s}^\infty$ is denoted by $\mathcal{H}_{v,s}^\infty$.

5.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1(a)

PROOF OF SUFFICIENCY. The Hankel form in (7) can be written as a sum of certain integrals, we estimate each one, as follows,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{B}} \langle (1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z) \partial^k f(z) \otimes \partial^{s-k} g(z), F(z) \rangle dt(z) \right| \leq \|F\|_{v,s,\infty} \cdot \int_{\mathbf{B}} \langle \otimes^s B^t(z, z) \partial^k f(z) \otimes \partial^{s-k} g(z), \partial^k f(z) \otimes \partial^{s-k} g(z) \rangle^{1/2} \frac{dt_\nu(z)}{c_\nu}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \otimes^s B^t(z, z) \partial^k f(z) \otimes \partial^{s-k} g(z), \partial^k f(z) \otimes \partial^{s-k} g(z) \rangle \\ &= \langle \otimes^k B^t(z, z) \partial^k f(z), \partial^k f(z) \rangle \cdot \langle \otimes^{s-k} B^t(z, z) \partial^{s-k} g(z), \partial^{s-k} g(z) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbf{B}} \langle (1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z) \partial^k f(z) \otimes \partial^{s-k} g(z), F(z) \rangle dt(z) \right| \\ & \leq c'_\nu \cdot \|F\|_{v,s,\infty} \cdot \|f\|_{v,k} \cdot \|g\|_{v,s-k} \leq C_{v,s} \cdot \|F\|_{s,\infty} \cdot \|f\|_v \cdot \|g\|_v, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from Theorem 4.1.

For notational convenience we denote

$$\langle u, v \rangle_z = \langle \otimes^s B^t(z, z)u, v \rangle$$

where $u, v \in \odot^s V'$, and it defines an inner product on $\odot^s V'$.

PROOF OF NECESSITY. Let $v \in \odot^s V'$. By Lemma 3.5 we have

$$\langle F(0), v \rangle = c \int_{\mathbb{B}} \langle (1 - |w|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(w, w)F(w), v \rangle dt(w).$$

We may write

$$v = \sum_{|i|=s} v_i e_1^{i_1} \odot \cdots \odot e_d^{i_d}$$

where $i = (i_1, \dots, i_d)$ and $v_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Take

$$f(w) = \sum_{|i|=s} w_1^{i_1} \cdots w_d^{i_d} \cdot v_i \quad \text{and} \quad g(w) = 1.$$

Then $f, g \in L^2_a(d\iota_\nu)$. By (6)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_s(f, g)(w) &= \sum_{k=0}^s \binom{s}{k} (-1)^{s-k} \frac{\partial^k f(w) \odot \partial^{s-k} g(w)}{(\nu)_k (\nu)_{s-k}} \\ &= \binom{s}{0} \frac{\partial^s f(w) \odot g(w)}{(\nu)_s (\nu)_0} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\partial^s f(w) = \sum_{|i|=s} \partial^s (w_1^{i_1} \cdots w_d^{i_d}) \cdot v_i = \sum_{|i|=s} s! \cdot v_i e_1^{i_1} \odot \cdots \odot e_d^{i_d} = s! v$$

so that

$$\mathcal{T}_s(f, g)(w) = \frac{s!}{(\nu)_s} v.$$

Hence

$$(22) \quad |\langle F(0), v \rangle|^2 = c^2 (\nu)_s^2 \cdot \frac{1}{(s!)^2} |H_F^s(f, g)|^2$$

so that

$$(23) \quad |\langle F(0), v \rangle|^2 \leq C_{\nu, s} \|H_F^s\|^2 \|f\|_\nu^2 \|g\|_\nu^2 \leq C_{\nu, s} \|H_F^s\|^2 \|v\|^2.$$

Define

$$S(w) = (\pi_{v,s}(\varphi_z)F)(w) = (\otimes^s \varphi'_z(w)^t) F(\varphi_z(w)) (J_{\varphi_z}(w))^{2\nu/(d+1)}.$$

Then $S : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \odot^s V'$ is holomorphic. Also by equations (14) and (16)

$$\|H_S^s\| = \|H_F^s\| < \infty,$$

so by (23) with F replaced by S

$$(24) \quad |\langle S(0), v \rangle|^2 \leq C \|H_S^s\|^2 \|v\|^2 = C \|H_F^s\|^2 \|v\|^2.$$

Now

$$S(0) = (\otimes^s \varphi'_z(0)^t) F(z) (J_{\varphi_z}(0))^{2\nu/(d+1)}.$$

Since $-\varphi'_z(0)^t = s_z^2 P_{\bar{z}} + s_z Q_{\bar{z}} \geq 0$ then $(-\varphi'_z(0)^t)^2 = B^t(z, z)$ and by the uniqueness of positive square root $B^t(z, z)^{1/2} = -\varphi'_z(0)^t$. Thus

$$(\otimes^s B^t(z, z))^{1/2} = \otimes^s B^t(z, z)^{1/2} = (-1)^s \otimes^s \varphi'_z(0)^t.$$

Hence

$$S(0) = \rho(1 - |z|^2)^\nu (\otimes^s B^t(z, z))^{1/2} F(z),$$

where $|\rho| = 1$, so that (24) becomes

$$\left| \langle F(z), (\otimes^s B^t(z, z))^{1/2} v \rangle \right|^2 \leq C \|H_F^s\|^2 \left\| (\otimes^s B^t(z, z))^{-1/2} v \right\|_z^2 (1 - |z|^2)^{-2\nu}.$$

Observe that

$$\langle F(z), (\otimes^s B^t(z, z))^{1/2} v \rangle = \langle F(z), (\otimes^s B^t(z, z))^{-1/2} v \rangle_z$$

so the result follows from Riesz lemma, for the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_z$.

6. Compactness and Hilbert-Schmidt properties

6.1. Compactness

In this subsection we prove Theorem 1.1 (b).

REMARK 6.1. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ be a basis for V' . Then we can write

$$F(z) = \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_d = s} F_i(z) e_1^{i_1} \odot \dots \odot e_d^{i_d}$$

where $i = (i_1, \dots, i_d)$ and $F_i : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ are holomorphic. Also

$$F_i(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_m^{(i)}(z)$$

where $p_m^{(i)}$ are homogeneous holomorphic polynomials of degree m .

To prove the sufficiency of Theorem 1.1(b) we need the following result.

LEMMA 6.2. *Let $F : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \odot^s V'$ be holomorphic with the property*

$$\langle (1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z)F(z), F(z) \rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{if } |z| \nearrow 1.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists a number r' with $0 < r' < 1$ and a natural number N such that

$$\|F - P_N\|_{v,s,\infty} < \varepsilon$$

where

$$P_N(z) = \sum_{|i|=s} \sum_{m=0}^N p_m^{(i)}(r'z) e_1^{i_1} \odot \cdots \odot e_d^{i_d}.$$

REMARK 6.3. Remember that we have already defined

$$\|F\|_{v,s,\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathbf{B}} \langle (1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z)F(z), F(z) \rangle^{1/2}$$

for holomorphic $F : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \odot^s V'$.

REMARK 6.4. Let H_1 and H_2 be Hilbert spaces and let $A_1, B_1 : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$ and $A_2, B_2 : H_2 \rightarrow H_2$ be positive operators. Then

$$(25) \quad (A_1 - B_1) \otimes (A_2 + B_2) + (A_1 + B_1) \otimes (A_2 - B_2) \\ = 2(A_1 \otimes A_2 - B_1 \otimes B_2).$$

Thus it follows from (25) that

$$(26) \quad A_1 \geq B_1, A_2 \geq B_2 \implies A_1 \otimes A_2 \geq B_1 \otimes B_2.$$

PROOF OF LEMMA 6.2. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists $0 < r_0 < 1$ such that

$$\sup_{r_0 < |z| < 1} \langle (1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z)F(z), F(z) \rangle < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{32}.$$

Define $F_r(z) = F(rz)$ where $0 < r < 1$. Since $P_{r\bar{z}} = P_{\bar{z}}$ then

$$B^t(rz, rz) = (1 - r^2|z|^2)(I - r^2|z|^2 P_{r\bar{z}}) \geq B^t(z, z)$$

for all $0 < r < 1$. By (26) it then follows that

$$\otimes^s B^t(rz, rz) \geq \otimes^s B^t(z, z)$$

for all $0 < r < 1$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z)F_r(z), F_r(z) \rangle \\ \leq \langle (1 - |rz|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(rz, rz)F(rz), F(rz) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \otimes^s B^t(z, z) (F(z) - F_r(z)), F(z) - F_r(z) \rangle \\ \leq \langle \otimes^s B^t(z, z)F(z), F(z) \rangle + \langle \otimes^s B^t(z, z)F_r(z), F_r(z) \rangle \\ + 2 \left| \langle \otimes^s B^t(z, z)F(z), F_r(z) \rangle \right| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \langle \otimes^s B^t(z, z)F(z), F_r(z) \rangle \right| \\ \leq \langle \otimes^s B^t(z, z)F(z), F(z) \rangle^{1/2} \langle \otimes^s B^t(z, z)F_r(z), F_r(z) \rangle^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

that, if $1 > r > r_1 = 2r_0/(1 + r_0)$ and $R_0 = (1 + r_0)/2$,

$$\sup_{R_0 < |z| < 1} \langle (1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z) (F(z) - F_r(z)), F(z) - F_r(z) \rangle < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8},$$

since, if $r_1 < r < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{R_0 < |z| < 1} \langle (1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z)F(rz), F(rz) \rangle \\ \leq \sup_{R_0 r < |rz| < r} \langle (1 - |rz|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(rz, rz)F(rz), F(rz) \rangle \\ \leq \sup_{r_0 < |rz| < 1} \langle (1 - |rz|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(rz, rz)F(rz), F(rz) \rangle < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{32}. \end{aligned}$$

As $F_r \rightarrow F$ uniformly, $r \rightarrow 1$, on every compact subset of B , there is a number r_2 such that if $r_2 < r < 1$, then

$$\sup_{|z| \leq R_0} \langle F(z) - F_r(z), F(z) - F_r(z) \rangle < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8}.$$

Since $B^t(z, z) \leq (1 - |z|^2)I \leq I$ then (26) yields $\otimes^s B^t(z, z) \leq \otimes^s I$ so that if $r_2 < r < 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{|z| \leq R_0} \langle (1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z) (F(z) - F_r(z)), F(z) - F_r(z) \rangle \\ \leq \sup_{|z| \leq R_0} \langle F(z) - F_r(z), F(z) - F_r(z) \rangle < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for $\max(r_1, r_2) < r < 1$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F - F_r\|_{v,s,\infty}^2 \\ \leq \sup_{|z| \leq R_0} \langle (1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z) (F(z) - F_r(z)), F(z) - F_r(z) \rangle \\ + \sup_{R_0 < |z| < 1} \langle (1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z) (F(z) - F_r(z)), F(z) - F_r(z) \rangle < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, take r' such that $\max(r_1, r_2) < r' < 1$. The sum $\sum_{|i|=s} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_m^{(i)}(r'z) e_1^{i_1} \odot \cdots \odot e_d^{i_d}$ converges uniformly to $F_{r'}(z)$ on \mathbf{B} . Hence there exists a natural number N such that

$$\|F_{r'} - P_N\|_{v,s,\infty}^2 \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbf{B}} \langle F_{r'}(z) - P_N(z), F_{r'}(z) - P_N(z) \rangle < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}$$

where $P_N(z) = \sum_{|i|=s} \sum_{m=0}^N p_m^{(i)}(r'z) e_1^{i_1} \odot \cdots \odot e_d^{i_d}$. This yields

$$\|F - P_N\|_{v,s,\infty} \leq \|F - F_{r'}\|_{v,s,\infty} + \|F_{r'} - P_N\|_{v,s,\infty} < \varepsilon$$

which completes the proof of the lemma.

Now we can prove the sufficiency of Theorem 1.1(b).

PROOF OF SUFFICIENCY. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then it follows from Lemma 6.2 that there is a P_N such that $\|F - P_N\|_{v,s,\infty} < \varepsilon$. Then the bilinear Hankel form $H_{F-P_N}^s = H_F^s - H_{P_N}^s$ with $F - P_N$ is bounded. In fact, the operator norm $\|\cdot\|$ satisfies

$$\|H_F^s - H_{P_N}^s\| \leq C \|F - P_N\|_{v,s,\infty} < C\varepsilon.$$

If we can prove that $H_{P_N}^s$ is compact then we are done. Actually we shall find that $H_{P_N}^s$ is of Hilbert-Schmidt class \mathcal{S}_2 and thus especially compact. By construction (see Lemma 6.2) P_N is a linear combination of terms $z^{\gamma'} e^{\gamma} = z^{\gamma'} e_1^{\gamma_1} \odot \cdots \odot e_d^{\gamma_d}$ so it is enough to prove that $H_{z^{\gamma'} e^{\gamma}}^s \in \mathcal{S}_2$. Consider

$$H_{z^{\gamma'} e^{\gamma}}^s(z^\alpha, z^\beta) = \int_{\mathbf{B}} \langle \otimes^s B^t(w, w) \mathcal{T}_s(z^\alpha, z^\beta)(w), w^{\gamma'} e_1^{\gamma_1} \odot \cdots \odot e_d^{\gamma_d} \rangle dt_{2\nu}(w).$$

First we observe that

$$\langle \otimes^s B^t(w, w) \mathcal{T}_s(z^\alpha, z^\beta)(w), w^{\gamma'} e_1^{\gamma_1} \odot \cdots \odot e_d^{\gamma_d} \rangle$$

is a linear combination of terms

$$(27) \quad \left\langle \otimes^s B^t(w, w) (\partial_1^{i_1} \cdots \partial_d^{i_d})(w^\alpha) (\partial_1^{j_1} \cdots \partial_d^{j_d})(w^\beta) u_1 \otimes u_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_s, \right. \\ \left. w^{\gamma'} v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_s \right\rangle$$

where $u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_s$ and $v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_s$ contains $i_k + j_k$ copies and γ_k copies of e_k respectively. We may assume that $\alpha_k \geq i_k$ and $\beta_k \geq j_k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, d$. Denote $i = (i_1, \dots, i_d)$ and $j = (j_1, \dots, j_d)$. Then the term (27) equals

$$C_{i,j} (1 - |w|^2)^s w^{(\alpha+\beta)-(i+j)} \bar{w}^{\gamma'} \prod_{m=1}^s (\langle u_m, v_m \rangle - \langle u_m, \bar{w} \rangle \langle \bar{w}, v_m \rangle).$$

But this term yields a nonzero integral only for those α and β with $|\alpha + \beta| \leq |\gamma'| + s$. In fact, this proves that the form $H_{z^{\gamma'} e^\gamma}^s$ has finite rank. Thus

$$\|H_{z^{\gamma'} e^\gamma}^s\|_{\mathcal{S}_2}^2 = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{|H_{z^{\gamma'} e^\gamma}^s(z^\alpha, z^\beta)|^2}{\|z^\alpha\|_v^2 \|z^\beta\|_v^2}$$

with a finite sum. Hence $H_{z^{\gamma'} e^\gamma}^s \in \mathcal{S}_2$ so that $H_{P_N}^s \in \mathcal{S}_2$.

Now we prove the necessity of Theorem 1.1(b).

PROOF OF THE NECESSITY. Let F be a symbol such that H_F^s is compact. Since $\odot^s V'$ is a finite dimensional Hilbert space we need only to prove that $\langle u_n, v \rangle \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ where

$$u_n = ((1 - |z_n|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z_n, z_n))^{1/2} F(z_n)$$

and $|z_n| \nearrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for any $v \in \odot^s V'$. As in the proof of the necessity of Theorem 1.1 (a) we write

$$v = \sum_{|i|=s} v_i e_1^{i_1} \odot e_2^{i_2} \odot \cdots \odot e_d^{i_d}$$

and let

$$f(w) = \sum_{|i|=s} w_1^{i_1} \cdots w_d^{i_s} \cdot v_i \quad \text{and} \quad g(w) = 1.$$

So for any symbol S we have

$$|\langle S(0), v \rangle| = C_{v,s} |H_S^s(f, g)|,$$

by the same arguments as for (22) in the proof of the necessity of Theorem 1.1(a). Let

$$S(w) = \pi_{v,s}((\varphi_{z_n})F)(w) \otimes^s \varphi'_{z_n}(w)^t F(\varphi_{z_n}(w)) (J_{\varphi_{z_n}}(w))^{2v/(d+1)}$$

so that

$$(28) \quad S(0) = \otimes^s \varphi'_{z_n}(0)^t F(z_n) (J_{\varphi_{z_n}}(0))^{2v/(d+1)}.$$

By Proposition 2.2,

$$J_{\varphi_{z_n}}(0) = (-1)^d (1 - |z_n|^2)^{(d+1)/2} \quad \text{and} \quad B^t(z_n, z_n)^{1/2} = -\varphi'_{z_n}(0)^t$$

so that

$$(29) \quad |\langle S(0), v \rangle| = |\langle u_n, v \rangle|.$$

On the other hand

$$H_S^s(f, g) = H_F^s(f \circ \varphi_{z_n} \cdot J_{\varphi_{z_n}}^{v/(d+1)}, k_{z_n})$$

where

$$k_{z_n}(w) = (g \circ \varphi_{z_n})(w) (J_{\varphi_{z_n}}(w))^{v/(d+1)} = \rho \cdot \frac{(1 - |z_n|^2)^{v/2}}{(1 - \langle w, z_n \rangle)^v}, \quad |\rho| = 1,$$

so that $k_{z_n}(w) \rightarrow 0$ weakly as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\|k_{z_n}\|_v = 1$. Since H_F^s is compact then there is a sequence $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ of positive numbers such that $c_n \rightarrow 0$ and

$$|H_F^s(h, k_{z_n})| \leq c_n \|h\|_v$$

for all $h \in L_a^2(d\iota_v)$. Let $h = f \circ \varphi_{z_n} \cdot J_{\varphi_{z_n}}^{v/(d+1)} = \pi_v(\varphi_{z_n})f$ which yields

$$\|h\|_v^2 = \|f\|_v^2.$$

Then

$$|\langle u_n, v \rangle| \leq C_{v,s} c_n \|f\|_v \leq C'_{v,s} c_n \|v\|$$

so that $\langle u_n, v \rangle \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which, combined with the equalities (28) and (29), implies that

$$\langle (1 - |z_n|^2)^{2v} \otimes^s B^t(z_n, z_n) F(z_n), F(z_n) \rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad |z_n| \nearrow 1.$$

6.2. Hilbert-Schmidt properties

In this subsection we prove Theorem 1.2. Denote by $\mathcal{H}'_{v,s}$ the space of all holomorphic functions $F : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \odot^s V'$ such that the corresponding bilinear Hankel form on $L^2_a(dt_v) \otimes L^2_a(dt_v)$

$$H^s_F(f, g) = \int_{\mathbf{B}} \left(\otimes^s B^t(z, z) \mathcal{T}_s(f, g)(z), F(z) \right) dt_{2v}(z)$$

is of Hilbert-Schmidt class \mathcal{S}_2 . By Lemma 6.5, it is a Hilbert space with an inner product $\langle F, S \rangle'_{v,s} = \langle H^s_F, H^s_S \rangle_{\mathcal{S}_2}$ where

$$\langle H^s_F, H^s_S \rangle_{\mathcal{S}_2} = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\beta|=0}^{\infty} H^s_F(e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}) \overline{H^s_S(e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta})}$$

and $e_{\alpha} = z^{\alpha} / \|z^{\alpha}\|_v$.

LEMMA 6.5. *The space $\mathcal{H}'_{v,s}$ is a Hilbert space.*

PROOF. Let $\{F_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{H}'_{v,s}$. Then $\{H^s_{F_n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is Cauchy in operator norm so that $\{F_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is Cauchy in $\|\cdot\|_{v,s,\infty}$. Then there is a $F \in \mathcal{H}'_{v,s}$ such that $F_n \rightarrow F$ in $\|\cdot\|_{v,s,\infty}$. Thus $H^s_{F_n} \rightarrow H^s_F$ in operator norm. On the other hand, the space of all bilinear forms of Hilbert-Schmidt class \mathcal{S}_2 is a Hilbert space so that $H^s_{F_n} \rightarrow H \in \mathcal{S}_2$ in $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}_2}$. Then $H^s_{F_n} \rightarrow H$ in operator norm so that $H = H^s_F$. Thus $F \in \mathcal{H}'_{v,s}$ and $F_n \rightarrow F$ in $\mathcal{H}'_{v,s}$.

We now shall see that $\mathcal{H}'_{v,s} = \mathcal{H}^2_{v,s}$, namely they are equal as sets and the norms are equivalent, as is shown below. Actually, Theorem 1.2 is a direct consequence of Theorem 6.6.

THEOREM 6.6. *There is a constant $C_{v,s} > 0$ such that*

$$\|F\|'_{v,s} = C_{v,s} \|F\|_{v,s,2}$$

for all holomorphic $F : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \odot^s V'$.

To prove Theorem 6.6 we need some lemmas.

LEMMA 6.7. *Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ be an orthonormal basis for V' . Then the spaces $\mathcal{H}'_{v,s}$ and $\mathcal{H}^2_{v,s}$ contains the element $e^s_1 = e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes e_1$.*

PROOF. Clearly $e^s_1 \in \mathcal{H}^2_{v,s}$. The fact that $e^s_1 \in \mathcal{H}'_{v,s}$ follows from (27), letting $\gamma' = 0$ and $\gamma_j = s \cdot \delta_{1j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, d$.

LEMMA 6.8. *The action $\pi_{v,s}$, defined in (15), is unitary on both $\mathcal{H}'_{v,s}$ and $\mathcal{H}^2_{v,s}$.*

PROOF. Clearly, $\pi_{v,s}$ is unitary on $\mathcal{H}_{v,s}^2$. That $\pi_{v,s}$ is also unitary on $\mathcal{H}'_{v,s}$ follows from Lemma 3.2 and the fact that π_v , defined in (13), is unitary on $L_a^2(d\iota_v)$.

LEMMA 6.9. *The space $\mathcal{H}_{v,s}^2$ is irreducible with respect to the action $\pi_{v,s}$, defined in (15).*

PROOF. Let $\mathcal{H}_0 \subset \mathcal{H}_{v,s}^2$ be invariant under the action $\pi_{v,s}(g)$, $g \in G$, and assume that $h \in \mathcal{H}_0$ for some $h \neq 0$. We may assume, by replacing h by an action of $\pi_{v,s}(g)$ on h if necessary, that $h(0) \neq 0$. We need to prove

$$(30) \quad f \in \mathcal{H}_{v,s}^2, f \perp \mathcal{H}_0 \implies f = 0.$$

Take such an $f \in \mathcal{H}_{v,s}^2$. Since $e^{i\theta} : z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}z$ is in G and

$$\mathcal{H}_0 \ni (\pi_{v,s}(e^{i\theta})h)(z) = (e^{-i\theta d})^{2v/(d+1)} \cdot e^{-i\theta s} \cdot h(e^{i\theta}z)$$

then $h(e^{i\theta}z) \in \mathcal{H}_0$. Hence, by the mean value property,

$$h(0) = \int_0^{2\pi} h(e^{i\theta}z) d\theta \in \mathcal{H}_0.$$

Then we have found a nonzero element in $\odot^s V'$ which is also contained in \mathcal{H}_0 . Then $v \in \mathcal{H}_0$ for any $v \in \odot^s V'$ (by Theorem 12.2.8 in [20]). Then $[\pi_{v,s}(\varphi_w)v](z) = c \cdot K(z, w)v$ is in \mathcal{H}_0 , for any $v \in \odot^s V'$, where $K(\cdot, w)$ is the reproducing kernel for $\mathcal{H}_{v,s}^2$ and c is a nonzero constant. Hence

$$f \perp K(\cdot, w)v$$

so that

$$f(w) = 0 \quad \text{for all } w \in \mathbf{B}$$

by the reproducing property. This proves (30).

Now we can prove Theorem 6.6.

PROOF OF THEOREM 6.6. As a consequence of Theorem VI.23 in [16] we can make the following identification of the space $\mathcal{S}_2(L_a^2(d\iota_v), L_a^2(d\iota_v))$ of Hilbert-Schmidt bilinear forms on $L_a^2(d\iota_v)$ with the tensor product, that is,

$$\mathcal{S}_2(L_a^2(d\iota_v), L_a^2(d\iota_v)) = L_a^2(d\iota_v) \otimes L_a^2(d\iota_v).$$

Moreover $L_a^2(d\iota_v) \otimes L_a^2(d\iota_v)$ can be decomposed into irreducible subspaces $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{v,s}$ of Hankel forms of weight s with an intertwining operator $T : \mathcal{H}_{v,s}^2 \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{v,s}$, (see [15]). Also, H_F^s defined in (7) is a Hankel form of weight s and by

Lemma 6.7 there is a nonzero element in $\mathcal{H}_{v,s}^2$ which yields a nonzero element in $\mathcal{H}'_{v,s}$. Thus

$$\mathcal{H}'_{v,s} = \mathcal{H}_{v,s}^2$$

whose norms are the same up to a constant, by Corollary 8.13 in [9].

7. Matrix-valued Bergman type projections

To prove Theorem 1.3 we need certain interpolation results for the spaces $\mathcal{H}_{v,s}^p$, which will then be derived from certain L^p -boundedness properties of some matrix-valued Bergman projections. The results in this section might be of independent interests. We refer to Zhu [22] for the study of boundedness property of scalar Bergman projections.

We start with a technical lemma.

LEMMA 7.1. *Let s be a positive integer. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \otimes^s B^t(w, w)^{1/2} \otimes^s (B^t(w, z))^{-1} \otimes^s B^t(z, z)^{1/2} \right\| \\ & \leq C_s \cdot \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{s/2} (1 - |z|^2)^{s/2}}{|1 - \langle w, z \rangle|^s} \end{aligned}$$

for all $w, z \in \mathbf{B}$.

PROOF. First we shall prove the lemma for $s = 1$ by using the following identities (see (10) and (4)):

$$B^t(z, z)^{1/2} = s_z(s_z P_{\bar{z}} + Q_{\bar{z}}) \quad \text{where} \quad s_z = (1 - |z|^2)^{1/2}$$

and

$$B^t(w, z)^{-1} = (1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{-2} ((1 - \langle w, z \rangle)I + \bar{z} \otimes \bar{w}^*).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & B^t(w, w)^{1/2} B^t(w, z)^{-1} B^t(z, z)^{1/2} \\ & = s_w s_z (1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{-1} (s_w P_{\bar{w}} + Q_{\bar{w}})(s_z P_{\bar{z}} + Q_{\bar{z}}) \\ & \quad + s_w s_z (1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{-2} (s_w P_{\bar{w}} + Q_{\bar{w}})(\bar{z} \otimes \bar{w}^*)(s_z P_{\bar{z}} + Q_{\bar{z}}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the inequality

$$\frac{(1 - |z|^2)(1 - |w|^2)}{|1 - \langle w, z \rangle|^2} \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } z, w \in \mathbf{B},$$

it is enough to show that

$$(31) \quad \left\| (s_w P_{\bar{w}} + Q_{\bar{w}})(\bar{z} \otimes \bar{w}^*)(s_z P_{\bar{z}} + Q_{\bar{z}}) \right\| \leq C |1 - \langle w, z \rangle|.$$

To this end, we may assume $|z| \geq 1/2$ and $|w| \geq 1/2$. Expand the product $(\cdot)(\cdot)(\cdot)$ as a sum of four terms. First we note that

$$(32) \quad \|s_w P_{\bar{w}}(\bar{z} \otimes \bar{w}^*) s_z P_{\bar{z}}\| \leq s_w s_z \leq |1 - \langle w, z \rangle|.$$

There are three parts left to consider. Let $v \in V'$. The first part to estimate is

$$s_w P_{\bar{w}}(\bar{z} \otimes \bar{w}^*) Q_{\bar{z}} v = s_w \left\langle v, \bar{w} - \frac{\langle z, w \rangle}{|z|^2} \bar{z} \right\rangle \frac{\langle z, w \rangle}{|w|^2} \bar{w}.$$

We use Cauchy-Schwarz' inequality. Note that

$$\left\| \bar{w} - \frac{\langle z, w \rangle}{|z|^2} \bar{z} \right\|^2 = \frac{|w|^2 |z|^2 - |\langle z, w \rangle|^2}{|z|^2} \leq 8|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|.$$

Thus the inequalities $1 - |w|^2 \leq 2|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|$ and $|w| \geq 1/2$ yield the estimation

$$(33) \quad \|s_w P_{\bar{w}}(\bar{z} \otimes \bar{w}^*) Q_{\bar{z}} v\| \leq 16|1 - \langle z, w \rangle| \|v\|$$

Since

$$Q_{\bar{w}}(\bar{z} \otimes \bar{w}^*) s_z P_{\bar{z}} = (s_z P_{\bar{z}}(\bar{w} \otimes \bar{z}^*) Q_{\bar{w}})^*$$

we have an estimation of the second part

$$(34) \quad \|Q_{\bar{w}}(\bar{z} \otimes \bar{w}^*) s_z P_{\bar{z}} v\| \leq 16|1 - \langle z, w \rangle| \|v\|.$$

Finally consider

$$Q_{\bar{w}}(\bar{z} \otimes \bar{w}^*) Q_{\bar{z}} v = \left\langle v, \bar{w} - \frac{\langle z, w \rangle}{|z|^2} \bar{z} \right\rangle \left(\bar{z} - \frac{\langle w, z \rangle}{|w|^2} \bar{w} \right).$$

The same estimates as above yield

$$(35) \quad \|Q_{\bar{w}}(\bar{z} \otimes \bar{w}^*) Q_{\bar{z}} v\| \leq 8|1 - \langle z, w \rangle| \|v\|.$$

Thus the four estimations (32), (33), (34) and (35) yields (31). We have proved the lemma for $s = 1$. Now, consider the case where $s = 2, 3, \dots$ and let

$$A_{w,z} = B^t(w, w)^{1/2} (B^t(w, z))^{-1} B^t(z, z)^{1/2}$$

and

$$t_{w,z} = \frac{s_z s_w}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|}.$$

We have proved that

$$A_{w,z}^* A_{w,z} \leq C^2 t_{w,z}^2 I$$

so that

$$(\otimes^s A_{w,z})^* \otimes^s A_{w,z} = \otimes^s (A_{w,z}^* A_{w,z}) \leq C^{2s} t_{w,z}^{2s} \otimes^s I$$

which proves the lemma.

THEOREM 7.2. *Let $\alpha > d$ and let $P_{v,s} : L_{v,s}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{v,s}^2$ be the orthogonal projection operator. If $\max \{(\alpha - d)/(2\nu + s/2 - d), 1\} < p < \infty$, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}} \left\| \otimes^s B^t(z, z)^{1/2} P_{v,s} f(z) \right\|^p (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha d\iota(z) \leq C \int_{\mathbb{B}} \left\| \otimes^s B^t(w, w)^{1/2} f(w) \right\|^p (1 - |w|^2)^\alpha d\iota(w).$$

REMARK 7.3. By Lemma 3.5 the orthogonal projection operator $P_{v,s}$, such that for any $f \in L_{v,s}^2$ and any $v \in \odot^s V'$ we have that

$$(36) \quad \langle P_{v,s} f(z), v \rangle = c \int_{\mathbb{B}} \langle (1 - |w|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(w, w) f(w), K_{v,s}(w, z) v \rangle d\iota(w)$$

where

$$K_{v,s}(w, z) = \otimes^s (B^t(w, z))^{-1} (1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{-2\nu},$$

is well-defined.

PROOF OF THEOREM 7.2. The formula (36) can be rewritten as

$$P_{v,s} f(z) = c \int_{\mathbb{B}} K_{v,s}(w, z)^* \otimes^s B^t(w, w) f(w) (1 - |w|^2)^{2\nu} d\iota(w).$$

Now let

$$T(z, w) = \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{s/2} (1 - |w|^2)^{2\nu+s/2-\alpha}}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{2\nu+s}}.$$

By the equality $K_{v,s}(w, z)^* = K_{v,s}(z, w)$ and Lemma 7.1 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \otimes^s B^t(z, z)^{1/2} P_{v,s} f(z) \right\| \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{B}} T(z, w) \left\| \otimes^s B^t(w, w)^{1/2} f(w) \right\| (1 - |w|^2)^\alpha d\iota(w). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that there exists a real number t such that

$$(37) \quad \int_{\mathbb{B}} T(z, w) (1 - |w|^2)^{qt} (1 - |w|^2)^\alpha d\iota(w) \leq M (1 - |z|^2)^{qt}$$

and

$$(38) \quad \int_{\mathbb{B}} T(z, w) (1 - |z|^2)^{pt} (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha d\iota(z) \leq M (1 - |w|^2)^{pt}$$

holds for some constant M , where q is given by $1 = 1/p + 1/q$. Accepting temporarily the claim, using Hölder's inequality and (37),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \otimes^s B^t(z, z)^{1/2} P_{v,s} f(z) \right\| \\ & \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbf{B}} T(z, w) (1 - |w|^2)^{qt} (1 - |w|^2)^\alpha d\iota(w) \right)^{1/q} \\ & \quad \left(\int_{\mathbf{B}} T(z, w) (1 - |w|^2)^{-pt} \left\| \otimes^s B^t(w, w)^{1/2} f(w) \right\|^p (1 - |w|^2)^\alpha d\iota(w) \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq CM^{1/q} (1 - |z|^2)^t \cdot \\ & \quad \left(\int_{\mathbf{B}} T(z, w) (1 - |w|^2)^{-pt} \left\| \otimes^s B^t(w, w)^{1/2} f(w) \right\|^p (1 - |w|^2)^\alpha d\iota(w) \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Fubini-Tonelli's theorem and (38), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbf{B}} \left\| \otimes^s B^t(z, z)^{1/2} P_{v,s} f(z) \right\|^p (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha d\iota(z) \\ & \leq C^p M^{p/q} \int_{\mathbf{B}} (1 - |z|^2)^{pt} \left(\int_{\mathbf{B}} T(z, w) (1 - |w|^2)^{-pt} \left\| \otimes^s B^t(w, w)^{1/2} f(w) \right\|^p \right. \\ & \quad \left. (1 - |w|^2)^\alpha d\iota(w) \right) (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha d\iota(z) \\ & = C^p M^{p/q} \int_{\mathbf{B}} (1 - |w|^2)^{-pt} \left\| \otimes^s B^t(w, w)^{1/2} f(w) \right\|^p (1 - |w|^2)^\alpha \cdot \\ & \quad \left(\int_{\mathbf{B}} (1 - |z|^2)^{pt} T(z, w) (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha d\iota(z) \right) d\iota(w) \\ & \leq C^p M^p \int_{\mathbf{B}} \left\| \otimes^s B^t(w, w)^{1/2} f(w) \right\|^p (1 - |w|^2)^\alpha d\iota(z), \end{aligned}$$

namely our theorem.

Now we go back to (37) and (38) which, by Lemma 2.4, holds if

$$(39) \quad \frac{d - 2v - s/2}{q} < t < \frac{s}{2q}$$

and

$$(40) \quad \frac{d - s/2 - \alpha}{p} < t < \frac{2v + s/2 - \alpha}{p}$$

respectively. Actually, by simple computations,

$$\left(\frac{d - 2v - s/2}{q}, \frac{s}{2q} \right) \cap \left(\frac{d - s/2 - \alpha}{p}, \frac{2v + s/2 - \alpha}{p} \right) \neq \emptyset$$

if $\max \{(\alpha - d)/(2\nu + s/2 - d), 1\} < p < \infty$.

COROLLARY 7.4. *If $1 < p < \infty$, then*

$$P_{\nu,s}L_{\nu,s}^p = \mathcal{H}_{\nu,s}^p,$$

namely $P_{\nu,s} : L_{\nu,s}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\nu,s}^p$ is bounded.

8. Application of the boundedness of $P_{\nu,s}$

8.1. Some interpolation results

In this subsection we use the complex interpolation method of Banach spaces to prove Theorem 8.2, which we will use to prove Theorem 1.3 in subsection 8.2.

The spaces $\mathcal{A}_1 = L_{\nu,s}^2 + L_{\nu,s}^\infty$ and $\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{H}_{\nu,s}^2 + \mathcal{H}_{\nu,s}^\infty$ are Banach spaces with the norms

$$\|F\|_{\mathcal{A}_i} = \inf \{ \|F_2\|_{\nu,s,2} + \|F_\infty\|_{\nu,s,\infty} : F = F_2 + F_\infty \in \mathcal{A}_i \},$$

$i = 1, 2$, respectively, by Lemma 2.3.1 in [1]. Denote by $\mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, the space of all functions with values in \mathcal{A}_i , which are bounded and continuous on the strip

$$S = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : 0 \leq \Re z \leq 1\}$$

and holomorphic on the open strip

$$S_0 = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : 0 < \Re z < 1\}$$

and moreover, the functions $t \rightarrow f(j + it)$ are continuous functions from the real line such that $f(it) \in L_{\nu,s}^2$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_{\nu,s}^2$) and $f(1 + it) \in L_{\nu,s}^\infty$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_{\nu,s}^\infty$), which tends to zero as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$. Then \mathcal{F}_i , $i = 1, 2$, are Banach spaces with the same norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}} = \max(\sup \|f(it)\|_{\nu,s,2}, \sup \|f(1 + it)\|_{\nu,s,\infty}),$$

by Lemma 4.1.1 in [1]. Now let $0 < \theta < 1$ and denote by $(L_{\nu,s}^2, L_{\nu,s}^\infty)_{[\theta]}$ and $(\mathcal{H}_{\nu,s}^2, \mathcal{H}_{\nu,s}^\infty)_{[\theta]}$ the space of all $S \in \mathcal{A}_i$ such that

$$\|S\|_{i,[\theta]} = \inf \{ \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}} : f(\theta) = S, f \in \mathcal{F}_i \} < \infty,$$

$i = 1, 2$, respectively.

LEMMA 8.1. *If $2 < p < \infty$, then*

$$P_{\nu,s} (L_{\nu,s}^2, L_{\nu,s}^\infty)_{[1-2/p]} = (\mathcal{H}_{\nu,s}^2, \mathcal{H}_{\nu,s}^\infty)_{[1-2/p]},$$

namely $P_{v,s} : (L^2_{v,s}, L^\infty_{v,s})_{[1-2/p]} \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}^2_{v,s}, \mathcal{H}^\infty_{v,s})_{[1-2/p]}$ is bounded.

PROOF. As a direct consequence of Lemma 7.1 we have that $P_{v,s} : L^\infty_{v,s} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^\infty_{v,s}$ is bounded. Indeed, for any $f \in L^\infty_{v,s}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \otimes^s B^t(z, z)^{1/2} P_{v,s} f(z) \right\| \\ & \leq C(1 - |z|^2)^{s/2} \int_{\mathbf{B}} \left\| \otimes^s B^t(w, w)^{1/2} f(w) \right\| \cdot \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{2v+s/2}}{|1 - \langle w, z \rangle|^{2v}} d\iota(w) \\ & \leq C(1 - |z|^2)^{s/2} \|f\|_{v,s,\infty} \int_{\mathbf{B}} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{v+s/2}}{|1 - \langle w, z \rangle|^{2v}} d\iota(w) \\ & \leq C' \|f\|_{v,s,\infty} (1 - |z|^2)^{-\nu} \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma 2.4. Hence, the result follows from Riesz-Thorin's interpolation theorem.

If we claim that

$$(41) \quad (L^2_{v,s}, L^\infty_{v,s})_{[1-2/p]} = L^p_{v,s}, \quad 2 < p < \infty,$$

then we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 8.2. *If $2 < p < \infty$, then*

$$\mathcal{H}^p_{v,s} = (\mathcal{H}^2_{v,s}, \mathcal{H}^\infty_{v,s})_{[1-2/p]}.$$

PROOF. If $2 < p < \infty$, then by the identity (41) we have that

$$L^p_{v,s} = (L^2_{v,s}, L^\infty_{v,s})_{[1-2/p]}.$$

Thus, by Corollary 7.4 and Lemma 8.1, if $2 < p < \infty$ then

$$\mathcal{H}^p_{v,s} = P_{v,s} L^p_{v,s} = P_{v,s} (L^2_{v,s}, L^\infty_{v,s})_{[1-2/p]} = (\mathcal{H}^2_{v,s}, \mathcal{H}^\infty_{v,s})_{[1-2/p]}.$$

The identity (41) can be proved by slightly modifying Theorem 5.1.1 in [1] using

$$(42) \quad \|F\|_{v,s,p} = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_{\mathbf{B}} (1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z) F(z), S(z) \right| d\iota(z) \right\} : \\ S \text{ bounded with compact support, } \|S\|_{v,s,q} = 1 \Big\}$$

where $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Indeed, to prove (42) let $F : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \odot^s V'$ be measurable. Then

$$H = \left((1 - |\cdot|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(\cdot, \cdot) \right)^{1/2} F : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \odot^s V'$$

is measurable and we may write $H = (H_1, \dots, H_N)$, where $\dim(\odot^s V') = N$. For $1 \leq j \leq N$ we can find bounded functions b_n^j with compact support in \mathbf{B} such that $|b_n^j| \nearrow |H_j|$. Let

$$s_n^j = |b_n^j| \cdot e^{i \operatorname{Arg} H_j}.$$

Then s_n^j are bounded with compact support and

$$H_j \cdot \overline{s_n^j} = |H_j| \cdot |b_n^j|.$$

Let $s_n = (s_n^1, \dots, s_n^N)$ and put

$$t_n(z) = \left((1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z) \right)^{-1/2} s_n(z).$$

Then $t_n : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \odot^s V'$ is measurable and

$$\begin{aligned} (43) \quad & \left\langle (1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z) t_n(z), t_n(z) \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N s_n^j(z) \cdot \overline{s_n^j(z)} = \sum_{j=1}^N |b_n^j(z)| \cdot |b_n^j(z)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^N |H_j(z)| \cdot |b_n^j(z)| \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N H_j(z) \cdot \overline{s_n^j(z)} = \left\langle (1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z) F(z), t_n(z) \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let

$$S_n(z) = \frac{\left\langle (1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z) t_n(z), t_n(z) \right\rangle^{(q-2)/2} \cdot t_n(z)}{\|t_n\|_{v,s,q}^{q-1}}.$$

Then $S_n : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \odot^s V'$ is measurable,

$$\|S_n\|_{v,s,q} = 1$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbf{B}} \left\langle (1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z) S_n(z), t_n(z) \right\rangle d\mu(z) = \|t_n\|_{v,s,p}$$

so by (43)

$$\begin{aligned} \|F\|_{v,s,p} &\leq \underline{\lim} \|t_n\|_{v,s,p} = \underline{\lim} \int_{\mathbf{B}} \langle (1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z) S_n(z), t_n(z) \rangle dt(z) \\ &\leq \underline{\lim} \int_{\mathbf{B}} \langle (1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z) S_n(z), F(z) \rangle dt(z) \leq M_p(F) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M_p(F) = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_{\mathbf{B}} \langle (1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z) F(z), S(z) \rangle dt(z) \right| : \begin{array}{l} S \text{ bounded with compact support, } \|S\|_{v,s,q} = 1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

On the other hand

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{B}} \langle (1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z) F(z), S(z) \rangle dt(z) \right| \leq \|F\|_{v,s,p} \cdot \|S\|_{v,s,q}$$

which proves (42). The rest is almost the same as in [1] loc. cit., only replacing the usual absolute value $|g(z)|$ of scalar functions $g(z)$ by the norm $\|S(z)\|_z = \|((1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z))^{1/2} S(z)\|$ of vector-valued functions $S(z)$, also $E(z) = \langle f(z), g(z) \rangle$ by

$$H(z) = \int_{\mathbf{B}} \langle (1 - |z|^2)^{2\nu} \otimes^s B^t(z, z) F(z), \overline{S(z)} \rangle dt(z).$$

8.2. Schatten-von Neumann properties

In this subsection we prove Theorem 1.3.

PROOF OF SUFFICIENCY OF THEOREM 1.3. By Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.1 the operator $F \rightarrow H_F^s$ is bounded from $\mathcal{H}_{v,s}^2$ into \mathcal{S}_2 and from $\mathcal{H}_{v,s}^\infty$ into \mathcal{S}_∞ respectively. Then it follows from Theorem 8.2 and Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem that $F \rightarrow H_F^s$ is bounded from $\mathcal{H}_{v,s}^p$ into $(\mathcal{S}_2, \mathcal{S}_\infty)_{[1-2/p]}$ if $2 < p < \infty$. By Theorem 2.10 in [21] we have that

$$\mathcal{S}_p = (\mathcal{S}_2, \mathcal{S}_\infty)_{[1-2/p]},$$

so that the operator $F \rightarrow H_F^s$ is bounded from $\mathcal{H}_{v,s}^p$ into \mathcal{S}_p if $2 < p < \infty$.

The necessity of Theorem 1.3 is a direct consequence of Lemma 8.6 below. This Lemma states some boundedness properties for an operator $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_s$ closely related to the Transvectant defined in (6) viewed as an operator from bilinear forms to vector-valued holomorphic functions, see also [4] and [15]. We need

to construct $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_s$. Let $A \in \mathcal{S}_\infty (L_a^2(dt_\nu), L_a^2(dt_\nu))$. Then there is a conjugate linear operator $T : L_a^2(dt_\nu) \rightarrow \overline{L_a^2(dt_\nu)}$ such that

$$A(K_z, K_w) = \langle K_z, TK_w \rangle_\nu = \langle K_w, T^*K_z \rangle_\nu = \overline{T^*K_z(w)}.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} A(f, g) &= \langle f, Tg \rangle_\nu = \int_{\mathbf{B}} f(z) \overline{(Tg)(z)} dt_\nu(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{B}} f(z) \overline{\langle Tg, K_z \rangle_\nu} dt_\nu(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{B}} f(z) \overline{\langle T^*K_z, g \rangle_\nu} dt_\nu(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{B}} \int_{\mathbf{B}} \overline{T^*K_z(w)} f(z) g(w) dt_\nu(z) dt_\nu(w). \end{aligned}$$

Define $G(z, w) := G_A(z, w) = \overline{A(K_z, K_w)}$. Then $G(z, w)$ is holomorphic in z and in w and

$$A(f, g) = \int_{\mathbf{B}} \int_{\mathbf{B}} \overline{G(z, w)} f(z) g(w) dt_\nu(z) dt_\nu(w).$$

Now, define

$$(44) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_s(A)(z) = (\mathcal{T}_s G)(z, z)$$

where

$$(\mathcal{T}_s G)(z, w) = \sum_{k=0}^s (-1)^{s-k} \binom{s}{k} \frac{\partial_z^k \odot \partial_w^{s-k} G(z, w)}{(v)_k (v)_{s-k}}.$$

REMARK 8.3. If $G(z, w) = f(z)g(w)$ where $f, g \in L_a^2(dt_\nu)$ then $\mathcal{T}_s(G)(z, z) = \mathcal{T}_s(f, g)(z)$ where $\mathcal{T}_s(f, g)(z)$ is the Transvectant defined in (6).

LEMMA 8.4. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_s$ be defined on \mathcal{S}_∞ as in (44). Then $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_s : \mathcal{S}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\nu, s}^\infty$ is bounded.

PROOF. Let $A \in \mathcal{S}_\infty$. Let $G(z, w) = G_A(z, w)$. First we note that $(\mathcal{T}_s G)(z, w)$ is a linear combination of terms

$$\partial_z^k \partial_w^{s-k} G(z, w) = \sum_{|I|=k, |J|=s-k} \partial_z^I \partial_w^J \overline{A(K_z, K_w)} dz_I \otimes dw_J$$

where $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$, $dz_I = dz_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes dz_{i_k}$ and $\partial_z^I = \partial_{i_1} \partial_{i_2} \dots \partial_{i_k}$. By the identity

$$\partial_{i_1} \dots \partial_{i_k} \partial_{j_1} \dots \partial_{j_{s-k}} \overline{A(K_z, K_w)} = \overline{A(E_z, E_w)}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_z(\zeta) &= (v)_k e_I(\zeta) (1 - \langle \zeta, z \rangle)^{-v-k}, & e_I(\zeta) &= \zeta_{i_1} \dots \zeta_{i_k}, \\ E_w(\zeta) &= (v)_{s-k} e_J(\zeta) (1 - \langle \zeta, w \rangle)^{-v+s-k}, & e_J(\zeta) &= \zeta_{j_1} \dots \zeta_{j_{s-k}}, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$(\partial_z^k \partial_w^{s-k} G)(0, 0) = (v)_k (v)_{s-k} \sum_{|I|=k, |J|=s-k} \overline{A(e_I, e_J)} dz_I \otimes dw_J.$$

Since A is bounded then

$$(45) \quad \|(\partial_z^k \partial_w^{s-k} G)(0, 0)\| \leq C \|A\|.$$

Let $z \in \mathbf{B}$ and define a bilinear form A_z on $L_a^2(d\iota_v)$ such that

$$A_z(f, g) = A(\pi_v(\varphi_z) f, \pi_v(\varphi_z) g),$$

where φ_z is the linear fractional mapping (8) and π_v is the action (13). Then it holds that $\|A_z\| = \|A\|$ and by the same transformation property as in Lemma 3.2, see also [15], it follows that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s(A_z) = \pi_{v,s}(\varphi_z) \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s(A)$. Hence, replacing A by A_z in (45) yields

$$\|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s(A_z)(0)\| \leq C \|A_z\| = C \|A\|$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s(A_z)(0) = \left(\pi_{v,s}(\varphi_z) \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s(A) \right) (0) = \otimes^s \varphi'_z(0)^t \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s(A)(z) J_{\varphi_z}(0)^{2\nu/(d+1)}$$

so that

$$\|\otimes^s B^t(z, z)^{1/2} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s(A)(z) (1 - |z|^2)^\nu\| \leq C \|A\|.$$

This proves the lemma.

LEMMA 8.5. *Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s$ be defined on \mathcal{S}_2 as in (44). Then $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s : \mathcal{S}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{v,s}^2$ is bounded.*

PROOF. By Theorem 6.6 it follows that $\sigma : \mathcal{H}_{v,s}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_2$, $\sigma(F) = H_F^s$ defines an isometry. Thus $\sigma^* : \mathcal{S}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{v,s}^2$ is a partial isometry and therefore bounded. We claim that $\sigma^* = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s$, which actually follows by an identification. Indeed

let A be a bilinear form of finite rank. We shall prove that $\sigma^*(A) = \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_s(A)$, which gives the general case. Let H_F^s be a Hilbert-Schmidt Hankel form. Then

$$\langle H_F^s, A \rangle_{\mathcal{G}_2} = \sum_{i,j=1}^N H_F^s(e_i, e_j) \overline{A(e_i, e_j)}$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^N$ is an orthonormal set in $\mathcal{H}_{v,s}^2$. Since

$$H_F^s(e_i, e_j) = \int_{\mathbf{B}} \langle \otimes^s B^t(z, z) \mathcal{T}_s(e_i, e_j)(z), F(z) \rangle d\iota_{2v}(z)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^N H_F^s(e_i, e_j) \overline{A(e_i, e_j)} \\ = \int_{\mathbf{B}} \left\langle \otimes^s B^t(z, z) \sum_{i,j=1}^N \mathcal{T}_s(e_i, e_j)(z) \overline{A(e_i, e_j)}, F(z) \right\rangle d\iota_{2v}(z). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\langle H_F^s, A \rangle_{\mathcal{G}_2} = \langle \sigma(F), A \rangle_{\mathcal{G}_2} = \langle \sigma^*(A), F \rangle_{v,s,2}.$$

Thus, it remains to prove that

$$(46) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_s(A)(z) = \sum_{i,j=1}^N \mathcal{T}_s(e_i, e_j)(z) \overline{A(e_i, e_j)}.$$

Since $A(f, g) = 0$ if f or g is in $\text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}^\perp$ and since $\{\bar{e}_i \otimes \bar{e}_j\}$ is an orthonormal set in \mathcal{S}_2 , where $\bar{e}_i \otimes \bar{e}_j(f, g) = \langle f, e_i \rangle_v \langle g, e_j \rangle_v$, then

$$A = \sum_{i,j=1}^N \langle A, \bar{e}_i \otimes \bar{e}_j \rangle_{\mathcal{G}_2} \bar{e}_i \otimes \bar{e}_j = \sum_{i,j=1}^N A(e_i, e_j) \bar{e}_i \otimes \bar{e}_j.$$

Hence

$$G(z, w) = \overline{A(K_z, K_w)} = \sum_{i,j=1}^N \overline{A(e_i, e_j)} e_i(z) e_j(w)$$

so that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_s(A)(z) = (\mathcal{T}_s G)(z, z) = \sum_{i,j=1}^N \overline{A(e_i, e_j)} \mathcal{T}_s(e_i, e_j)(z)$$

which proves (46).

LEMMA 8.6. *Let $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_s$ be defined on \mathcal{S}_p as in (44), $2 < p < \infty$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_s : \mathcal{S}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{v,s}^p$ is bounded and $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_s(H_F^s) = F$ if $H_F^s \in \mathcal{S}_p$.*

PROOF. It follows from Lemma 8.4, Lemma 8.5 and Riesz-Thorin's interpolation theorem that $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_s : \mathcal{S}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{v,s}^p$ is bounded for $2 < p < \infty$. Also, $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_s(H_F^s) = F$ if $H_F^s \in \mathcal{S}_2$. Now define $F_r(z) = F(rz)$ for $0 < r < 1$. Then $H_{F_r}^s \in \mathcal{S}_2$ so that $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_s(H_{F_r}^s) = F_r$. Since H_F^s is compact then $F_r \rightarrow F$ in $\mathcal{H}_{v,s}^\infty$, by the necessity of Theorem 1.1(b) and the proof of Lemma 6.2. On one hand $F_r \rightarrow F$ pointwise. On the other hand, by Theorem 1.1(a) and Lemma 8.4, it follows that $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_s(H_{F_r}^s) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_s(H_F^s)$. Thus $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_s(H_F^s) = F$ if $H_F^s \in \mathcal{S}_p$.

REFERENCES

- Bergh, J., and Löfström, J., *Interpolation Spaces. An Introduction*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 223 (1976).
- Bröcker, T., and Dieck, T., *Representations on Compact Lie Groups*, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- Faraut, J., and Koranyi, A., *Analysis on Symmetric Cones*, Clarendon Press, 1994.
- Fergusson, S., and Rochberg, R., *Higher order Hilbert-Schmidt Hankel forms and tensors of analytic kernels*, Math. Scand. 96 (2005), no. 1, 117–146.
- Hedenmalm, H., Korenblum, B., Zhu, K., *Theory of Bergman Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin-Heidelberg, 2000.
- Hwang, S., Liu, Y., and Zhang, G., *Hilbert spaces of tensor-valued holomorphic functions on the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n* , Pacific J. Math, 214 (2004), no. 2, 303–322.
- Janson, S., and Peetre, J., *A new generalization of Hankel operators (the case of higher weights)*, Math. Nachr. 132 (1987), 313–328.
- Janson, S., Peetre, J., and Rochberg, R., *Hankel forms and the Fock space*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 3 (1986), 61–138.
- Knapp, A., *Representation Theory of Semisimple Groups*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey (1986).
- Loos, O., *Bounded symmetric domains and Jordan pairs*, The University of California at Irvine, 1977.
- Peetre, J., *Hankel kernels of higher weight for the ball*, Nagoya Math. J. 130 (1993), 183–192.
- Peetre, J., *Hankel forms of arbitrary weight over a symmetric domain via the Transvectant*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 24 (1994), no. 3, 1065–1085.
- Peller, V. V., *Hankel Operators and their Applications*, Springer-Verlag, 2002.
- Peller, V. V., *Vectorial Hankel operators, commutators and related operators of the Schatten-Neumann class γ_p* , Integral Equations Operator Theory 5 (1982), no. 2, 244–272.
- Peng L., and Zhang, G., *Tensor product of holomorphic representations and bilinear differential operators*, J. Funct. Anal. 210 (2004), no. 1, 171–192.
- Reed, M., and Simon, B., *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics I: Functional Analysis*, Academic press, 1980.
- Rochberg, R., *A Kronecker theorem for higher order Hankel forms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 123 (1995), no. 10, 3113–3118.
- Rochberg, R., *Trace ideal criteria for Hankel operators and commutators*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 31 (1982), no. 6, 913–925.

19. Rosengren, H., *Multilinear Hankel forms of higher order and orthogonal polynomials*, Math. Scand. 82 (1998), 53–88.
20. Rudin, W., *Function Theory in the Unit Ball of \mathbb{C}^n* , Springer-Verlag, 1980.
21. Simon, B., *Trace Ideals and their Applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-London-New York-Melbourne, 1979.
22. Zhu, K., *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1990.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND GÖTEBORG UNIVERSITY
SE-412 96 GÖTEBORG
SWEDEN
E-mail: sundhall@math.chalmers.se