

# Q-LINEAR FUNCTIONS, FUNCTIONS WITH DENSE GRAPH, AND EVERYWHERE SURJECTIVITY

F. J. GARCÍA-PACHECO, F. RAMBLA-BARRENO, J. B. SEOANE-SEPÚLVEDA

## Abstract

Let  $L$ ,  $S$  and  $D$  denote, respectively, the set of  $\mathbf{Q}$ -linear functions, the set of everywhere surjective functions and the set of dense-graph functions on  $\mathbf{R}$ . In this note, we show that the sets  $D \setminus (S \cup L)$ ,  $S \setminus L$ ,  $S \cap L$  and  $D \cap L \setminus S$  are lineable. Moreover, all these sets contain (omitting zero) a vector space of the biggest possible dimension,  $2^c$ .

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Examples of functions verifying some kind of *pathological* property have been found and constructed in analysis (differentiable nowhere monotone functions, continuous nowhere differentiable functions, linear discontinuous functions, or *everywhere surjective* functions). Given such a special property, we say that the subset  $M$  of functions which satisfies it is *lineable* if  $M \cup \{0\}$  contains an infinite dimensional vector space. At times, we will be more specific, referring to the set  $M$  as  $\mu$ -lineable if it contains a vector space of dimension  $\mu$ . Also, we let  $\lambda(M)$  be the maximum cardinality (if it exists) of such a vector space. This terminology of lineable was first introduced in [1], [2], [4].

Some of these so called special properties are not isolated phenomena. In [6], [7] Lebesgue constructed an *everywhere surjective* function, i.e. a function  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that  $f((a, b)) = \mathbf{R}$  for every nonvoid interval  $(a, b)$ . Clearly, these everywhere surjective functions have dense graph in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . In [2] it was shown that the set of all everywhere surjective functions is  $2^c$ -lineable ( $c = \text{card}(\mathbf{R})$ ). Moreover, in [3] it was proved that there exists an infinitely generated algebra every nonzero element of which is an everywhere surjective function on  $\mathbf{C}$ . These results suggest that everywhere surjective functions seem to appear more often than one could expect.

Also, in [5] it was shown that the set of  $\mathbf{Q}$ -linear discontinuous functions on  $\mathbf{R}$  is lineable.

Let us denote by  $L$ ,  $S$  and  $D$ , respectively, the set of  $\mathbf{Q}$ -linear functions, the set of everywhere surjective functions and the set of dense-graph functions on

R. As mentioned,  $S \subseteq D$ . The motivation of this note is the following: The set  $S \cap L$  is not empty, moreover we will see that it is lineable. We will also prove that

$$\lambda(S \cap L) = \lambda(D \cap L \setminus S) = \lambda(S \setminus L) = \lambda(D \setminus (S \cup L)) = 2^c,$$

obtaining vector spaces of the biggest possible dimension, and improving some results from [2] and [5] since we are adding extra pathologies to our functions.

## 2. A key vector space

In this section, we recall an infinite dimensional vector space which will be frequently used in what follows.

LEMMA 2.1. *There exists a vector space  $V_0$  of dimension  $2^c$  whose nonzero elements are discontinuous surjective functions.*

PROOF. Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  be a bijection mapping the whole interval  $(0, 1)$  onto the set of sequences whose first term is 0. For every  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , let us consider the map  $H_A$  defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (y, x_1, x_2, \dots) &\longmapsto y \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \chi_A(x_i). \end{aligned}$$

In [2] it is proved that the vector space  $V_0 = \text{span}\{H_A \circ \varphi : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}\}$  has dimension  $2^c$  and its nonzero elements are discontinuous surjective functions.

## 3. The lineability of $D \setminus (S \cup L)$

In this section, we will construct an infinite dimensional vector space whose nonzero elements are dense-graph functions that are neither everywhere surjective nor Q-linear.

THEOREM 3.1. *The set  $D \setminus (S \cup L)$  is lineable. Moreover, there exists a vector space  $U \subset (D \setminus (S \cup L)) \cup \{0\}$  of the biggest possible dimension, i.e.  $\lambda(D \setminus (S \cup L)) = 2^c$ .*

PROOF. Let  $W$  be a vector space satisfying  $\dim W = 2^c$  and  $W \subseteq S \cup \{0\}$  (an example was constructed in [2]). Let  $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus \{1\}$  be a bijection. Consider

$$U = \{\Phi \circ g : g \in W\},$$

clearly  $\dim U = 2^c$  and every nonzero element of  $U$  is a function which maps every interval onto  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{1\}$ ; every such a function has dense graph and is neither everywhere surjective nor Q-linear. Therefore  $U$  is the desired vector space.

#### 4. The lineability of $S \cap L$

In this section, we construct an infinite dimensional vector space whose nonzero elements are everywhere surjective  $\mathbf{Q}$ -linear functions. We will start by characterizing this kind of functions. Clearly, from the above definition, a function  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is everywhere surjective if and only if  $f^{-1}(t)$  is dense for every  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . It is well known too that every 1-dimensional  $\mathbf{Q}$ -subspace of  $\mathbf{R}$  is dense, therefore also is every nonzero  $\mathbf{Q}$ -subspace of  $\mathbf{R}$ .

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -linear function. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $f$  is everywhere surjective.
- (2)  $f$  is surjective and not injective.

**PROOF.** If  $f$  is everywhere surjective, then  $f$  is surjective and not injective. Assume then that  $f$  is surjective but not injective. We only need to check whether  $f^{-1}(t)$  is dense for every  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . Now,  $f$  is not injective, therefore  $\ker(f)$  is not zero, so it is dense. Next,  $f$  is surjective, that is,  $f^{-1}(t)$  is nonempty for every  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . As a consequence, this last fact along the density of the kernel of  $f$  gives the density of every bundle  $f^{-1}(t)$ .

**THEOREM 4.2.** *The set  $S \cap L$  is lineable. Moreover, there exists a vector space  $U \subset (S \cap L) \cup \{0\}$  of the biggest possible dimension, i.e.  $\lambda(S \cap L) = 2^c$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $I$  be a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -basis of  $\mathbf{R}$ . Let  $\Phi : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a bijection. Consider

$$W = \{g \circ \Phi : g \in V_0\},$$

clearly  $\dim W = 2^c$  and every nonzero element of  $W$  is a surjective function  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  that can be uniquely extended to a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -linear function  $\bar{f} : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , which must be surjective and not injective. Therefore

$$U = \{\bar{f} : f \in W\}$$

is the desired vector space.

#### 5. The lineability of $S \setminus L$

In this section, we will construct an infinite dimensional vector space whose nonzero elements are everywhere surjective functions that are not  $\mathbf{Q}$ -linear.

**LEMMA 5.1.** *Every element of  $V_0$  is not a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -linear function.*

**PROOF.** Every  $f \in V_0$  is a surjective function satisfying  $f((0, 1)) = \{0\}$ , therefore  $f$  is neither injective nor everywhere surjective. According to theorem 4.1,  $f$  cannot be  $\mathbf{Q}$ -linear.

**THEOREM 5.2.** *The set  $S \setminus L$  is lineable. Moreover, there exists a vector space  $W \subset (S \setminus L) \cup \{0\}$  of the biggest possible dimension, i.e.  $\lambda(S \setminus L) = 2^c$ .*

**PROOF.** Take any everywhere surjective Q-linear function  $f$  and consider  $W = \{g \circ f : g \in V_0\}$ . We have that  $W$  is a vector space isomorphic to  $V_0$  whose nonzero elements are everywhere surjective functions. In particular, it has dimension  $2^c$ . Let  $g \in V_0 \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $g$  is not Q-linear, there must exist  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  so that  $g(x + y) \neq g(x) + g(y)$ . Now, there are  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(a) = x$  and  $f(b) = y$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} (g \circ f)(a + b) &= g(f(a) + f(b)) \\ &= g(x + y) \\ &\neq g(x) + g(y) \\ &= (g \circ f)(a) + (g \circ f)(b). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $g \circ f$  is not Q-linear.

## 6. The lineability of $D \cap L \setminus S$

Now we construct an infinite dimensional vector space whose nonzero elements are dense-graph, Q-linear functions that are not surjective (and, in particular, not everywhere surjective).

**THEOREM 6.1.** *The set  $D \cap L \setminus S$  is lineable. Moreover, there exists a vector space  $U \subset (D \cap L \setminus S) \cup \{0\}$  of the biggest possible dimension, i.e.  $\lambda(D \cap L \setminus S) = 2^c$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $I$  be a Q-basis of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Choose any  $i \in I$  and take a bijection  $\phi : I \rightarrow I \setminus \{i\}$ , which can be uniquely extended to a Q-linear injection  $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . It is not difficult to verify that  $\Phi(\mathbb{R})$  is a dense proper subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $W$  be a vector space with  $\dim W = 2^c$  and  $W \subseteq (S \cap L) \cup \{0\}$ . Consider

$$U = \{\Phi \circ g : g \in W\},$$

clearly  $\dim U = 2^c$  and every nonzero element of  $U$  is a nonsurjective, Q-linear function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . In addition,  $f$  maps every interval onto  $\Phi(\mathbb{R})$ . Therefore  $U$  is the desired vector space.

## 7. What about $L \setminus D$ ?

The reader may have guessed, or perhaps already knew, what happens with  $L \setminus D$ . We believe that the following result is known but, for the sake of completeness, we include a short proof here. This result will settle the question.

PROPOSITION 7.1. *Every  $\mathbf{Q}$ -linear function is discontinuous if and only if it has dense graph.*

PROOF. Suppose  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is  $\mathbf{Q}$ -linear and discontinuous. Then  $f$  is not linear, i.e., there exist nonzero  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$  satisfying  $\frac{f(x)}{x} \neq \frac{f(y)}{y}$ . This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{Gr } f} &\supseteq \overline{\{q_1(x, f(x)) + q_2(y, f(y)) : q_1, q_2 \in \mathbf{Q}\}} \\ &= \{r_1(x, f(x)) + r_2(y, f(y)) : r_1, r_2 \in \mathbf{R}\} = \mathbf{R}^2 \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 7.2.  $\lambda(L \setminus D) = 1$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. Aron, R. M., García, D., Maestre, M., *Linearity in non-linear problems*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. 95 (2001), 7–12.
2. Aron, R. M., Gurariy, V. I., Seoane-Sepúlveda, J. B., *Lineability and spaceability of sets of functions on  $\mathbf{R}$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 133 3 (2005), 795–803.
3. Aron, R. M., Seoane-Sepúlveda, J. B., *Algebrability of the set of everywhere surjective functions on  $\mathbf{C}$* , Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 14 n. 1 (2007), 25–31.
4. Enflo, P., Gurariy, V. I., *On lineability and spaceability of sets in functions spaces*, preprint.
5. García-Pacheco, F. J., Palmberg, N., Seoane-Sepúlveda, J. B. *Lineability and Algebrability of pathological phenomena in analysis*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 326 n. 2 (2007), 929–939.
6. Gelbaum, B. R., Olmsted, J. M. H., *Counterexamples in Analysis*, Dover Publications, New York, 2003.
7. Lebesgue, H., *Leçons sur l'intégration*, Gauthier-Willars, 1904.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS  
UNIVERSIDAD DE CÁDIZ  
11510, PUERTO REAL  
SPAIN

*E-mail*: fjavier.garcia@uca.es, fernando.rambla@uca.es, jseoane@mat.ucm.es