

# TOEPLITZ OPERATORS ON WEIGHTED SPACES OF HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

ANAHIT HARUTYUNYAN\* and WOLFGANG LUSKY

## Abstract

We define a notion of Toeplitz operator on certain spaces of holomorphic functions on the unit disk and on the complex plane which are endowed with a weighted sup-norm. We establish boundedness and compactness conditions, give norm estimates and characterize the essential spectrum of these operators for many symbols.

## 1. Introduction

We deal with holomorphic functions  $h : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , where  $\Omega$  is the open unit disk  $\mathbf{D} = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| < 1\}$  or  $\Omega = \mathbf{C}$ , which are subject to certain growth conditions. To this end we consider an arbitrary function  $v : [0, a[ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  which is continuous and non-increasing where  $a = 1$  if  $\Omega = \mathbf{D}$  and  $a = \infty$  if  $\Omega = \mathbf{C}$ . If  $a = 1$  we assume that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} v(r) = 0$  while for  $a = \infty$  we assume that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^n v(r) = 0$  for any  $n \geq 0$ .  $v$  is called a weight function. For fixed  $r$  we put

$$M_\infty(h, r) = \sup_{|z|=r} |h(z)| \quad \text{and} \quad \|h\|_v = \sup_{0 \leq r < a} M_\infty(h, r)v(r)$$

and we define

$$Hv(\Omega) = \{h : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \text{ holomorphic} : \|h\|_v < \infty\}$$

$Hv(\Omega)$  is a Banach space with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_v$ . We obtain  $h \in Hv(\Omega)$  if and only if  $M_\infty(h, r) = O\left(\frac{1}{v(r)}\right)$  as  $r \rightarrow a$ . The conditions on  $v$  ensure that  $Hv(\Omega)$  contains all polynomials.

The complete isomorphic classification of the spaces  $Hv(\Omega)$  is known ([1]). Indeed,  $Hv(\Omega)$  is either isomorphic to  $l_\infty$  or to  $H_\infty = \{h : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \text{ holomorphic} : h \text{ bounded}\}$ . To decide whether  $Hv(\Omega)$  is isomorphic to  $l_\infty$  one needs to consider the functions  $\gamma_n(r) = r^n v(r)$  for any  $n > 0$ . For each

---

\* Supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft 436 ARM 17/2/06.  
Received December 31, 2006.

$n > 0$  pick a global maximum point  $r_n$  of  $\gamma_n$ . We easily see that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = a$ .  $v$  is said to satisfy condition (B) if

$$\forall b_1 > 1 \exists b_2 > 1 \exists c > 0 \forall m, n > 0 :$$

$$\left(\frac{r_m}{r_n}\right)^m \frac{v(r_m)}{v(r_n)} \leq b_1 \quad \text{and} \quad m, n, |m - n| \geq c \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left(\frac{r_n}{r_m}\right)^n \frac{v(r_n)}{v(r_m)} \leq b_2$$

We have (see [2, Theorem 1.1])

$Hv(\Omega)$  is isomorphic to  $l_\infty$  if and only if  $v$  satisfies (B).

Examples of weights satisfying (B) include all normal weights on  $[0, 1[$  (see [3]), in particular  $v(r) = (1 - r)^\alpha$  for any  $\alpha > 0$ . Moreover  $\exp(-1/(1 - r))$ ,  $\exp(-\exp(1/(1 - r)))$ , . . . satisfy (B).

If  $a = \infty$  then  $\exp(-r^\rho)$  for any  $\rho > 0$ ,  $\exp(-\log^\tau r)$  for any  $\tau \geq 2$ ,  $\exp(-\exp(r))$ ,  $\exp(-\exp(\exp(r)))$ , . . . satisfy (B) (see [1] for details).

If  $v$  satisfies (B) then  $Hv(\Omega)$  is complemented in any superspace. In this situation it is possible to give a meaningful definition of Toeplitz operator on  $Hv(\Omega)$ . At first, we use induction to find indices  $0 < m_1 < m_2 < \dots$  such that  $r_{m_1} > 0$  and

$$(1.1) \quad 3 \leq \min \left( \left( \frac{r_{m_n}}{r_{m_{n+1}}} \right)^{m_n} \frac{v(r_{m_n})}{v(r_{m_{n+1}})}, \left( \frac{r_{m_{n+1}}}{r_{m_n}} \right)^{m_{n+1}} \frac{v(r_{m_{n+1}})}{v(r_{m_n})} \right) \leq 4$$

(This is possible since, by assumption,  $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} r_M^n v(r_M) = 0$  for any  $n > 0$ , see [1, Lemma 5.1].)

Let  $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be a function such that  $g|_{r\partial\mathbb{D}}$  is continuous for each  $r \in ]0, a[$ . Then, for fixed  $r$  let  $\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} g_j(r) r^{|j|} e^{ij\varphi}$  be the Fourier series of  $g$ . For  $0 \leq m < n$  put

$$V_{n,m}g = \sum_{|j| \leq m} g_j(r) r^{|j|} e^{ij\varphi} + \sum_{m < |j| \leq n} \frac{[n] - |j|}{[n] - [m]} g_j(r) r^{|j|} e^{ij\varphi}$$

where  $[c]$  is the largest integer  $\leq c$ . In [2] the following theorem is shown (based on the results of [1])

**THEOREM 1.1.** *If  $v$  satisfies (B) and  $(m_n)$  are the preceding indices then there exist  $d_1 > 0$  and  $d_2 > 0$  such that, for any  $h \in Hv(\Omega)$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 \sup_k M_\infty((V_{m_{k+1}, m_k} - V_{m_k, m_{k-1}})h, r_{m_k})v(r_{m_k}) \\ \leq \|h\|_v \leq d_2 \sup_k M_\infty((V_{m_{k+1}, m_k} - V_{m_k, m_{k-1}})h, r_{m_k})v(r_{m_k}) \end{aligned}$$

(Put  $m_0 = 0$  and  $V_{m_0, m_{-1}} = 0$ .)

This gives rise to the following definition. Let  $g$  be as in the definition of  $V_{n, m}$  and let  $t_{k, j}$  be such that

$$(V_{m_{k+1}, m_k} - V_{m_k, m_{k-1}})g = \sum_{m_{k-1} < |j| \leq m_{k+1}} t_{k, j} g_j(r) r^{|j|} e^{ij\varphi}.$$

Then define

$$W_k g = \sum_{m_{k-1} < j \leq m_{k+1}} t_{k, j} g_j(r_{m_k}) r^j e^{ij\varphi}, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}_+, \quad \text{and} \quad P g = \sum_k W_k g$$

provided the last definition makes sense (i.e. the preceding Fourier series represents a holomorphic function on  $\Omega$ ). One can show that  $P g \in Hv(\Omega)$  if  $\sup_{0 \leq r < a} M_\infty(g, r) v(r) < \infty$  ([2]). It is easily seen that  $P g = g$  if  $g \in Hv(\Omega)$ .

Now we define Toeplitz operators.

DEFINITION 1.2. Let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be such that, for all  $r \in ]0, a[$ ,  $f|_{r\partial\mathbf{D}}$  is continuous. For  $h \in Hv(\Omega)$  put

$$T_f(h) = P(fh)$$

(if this definition makes sense). Then  $T_f$  is called Toeplitz operator with symbol  $f$ .

Later on (Corollary 2.6.) we show that for many symbols  $f$  the definition of  $T_f$  is independent of the numbers  $m_k$  up to compact perturbations.

In section 2 we give boundedness and compactness conditions for  $T_f$  and discuss the case of functions  $f : \bar{\mathbf{D}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  which are continuous on  $\bar{\mathbf{D}} \setminus \rho\mathbf{D}$  for some  $0 < \rho < 1$ . In particular we show that, for suitable harmonic  $g$ ,  $T_f - T_g$  is compact. In section 4 we determine the essential spectrum for  $T_f$  with respect to such functions  $f$ . Moreover, we show that, for harmonic  $g : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ ,  $\|T_g\|$  and  $M_\infty(g, 1)$  are equivalent.

## 2. Continuity and compactness conditions for Toeplitz operators

Again in this section let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be such that

$$(2.1) \quad f|_{r\partial r\mathbf{D}} \text{ is continuous for all } r \in ]0, a[.$$

Then, for each  $r \in ]0, a[$ ,  $f(re^{i\varphi})$  has a Fourier series  $\sum_j f_j(r) r^{|j|} e^{ij\varphi}$ .

For  $0 < p$  let the Cesaro mean  $\sigma_p$  be defined by

$$\sigma_p f = \sum_{|j| \leq p} \frac{[p] - |j|}{[p]} f_j(r) r^{|j|} e^{ij\varphi}.$$

Note that

$$M_\infty(\sigma_p f, r) \leq M_\infty(f, r) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} M_\infty(f - \sigma_p f, r) = 0$$

for each  $r$ .

If  $m_k$  are the preceding indices then fix  $n_k$  such that

$$0 < \inf_k \left( \frac{m_{k+1} - m_k}{n_k} \right) \leq \sup_k \left( \frac{m_{k+1} - m_k}{n_k} \right) < \infty.$$

We show

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  satisfy (2.1) and assume that*

$$\sup_k M_\infty(\sigma_{n_k} |f|, r_{m_k}) < \infty.$$

*Then  $T_f$  is a bounded operator  $Hv(\Omega) \rightarrow Hv(\Omega)$ . Moreover there is a constant  $c > 0$  (independent of  $f$ ) such that*

$$\|T_f\| \leq c \sup_k M_\infty(\sigma_{n_k} |f|, r_{m_k}).$$

We shall prove Theorem 2.1 in section 3. Using the preceding theorem we easily find examples even of unbounded  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  where  $T_f : Hv(\Omega) \rightarrow Hv(\Omega)$  is bounded.

**EXAMPLE.** Put

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{z^n}, & z \neq 0 \\ 0, & z = 0 \end{cases}$$

for some integer  $n > 0$ . Then, according to Theorem 2.1,  $T_f$  is bounded and  $\|T_f\| \leq cr_{m_1}^{-n}$ .

In section 3 we also show

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  satisfy (2.1). If  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M_\infty(\sigma_{n_k} |f|, r_{m_k}) = 0$  then  $T_f : Hv(\Omega) \rightarrow Hv(\Omega)$  is compact.*

In the rest of this section we discuss some consequences of Theorem 2.2 for  $\Omega = \mathbf{D}$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *Let  $f : \bar{\mathbf{D}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  satisfy (2.1) and assume that, for some  $0 < \rho < 1$ ,  $f$  is continuous on  $\bar{\mathbf{D}} \setminus \rho\mathbf{D}$ . Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\rho \leq r \leq 1} M_\infty(f - \sigma_n f, r) = 0.$$

In particular,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_f - T_{\sigma_n f}\| = 0$ .

PROOF. Using the Weierstraß theorem we see that  $f$  can be uniformly approximated on  $\bar{D} \setminus \rho D$  by functions  $g_m$  of the form

$$g_m(re^{i\varphi}) = \sum_{|k| \leq m} g_{m,k}(r)r^{|k|}e^{ik\varphi}.$$

So fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $g_m$  such that  $M_\infty(f - g_m, r) < \epsilon$  for all  $\rho \leq r \leq 1$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\rho \leq r \leq 1} M_\infty(f - \sigma_n f, r) &\leq \sup_{\rho \leq r \leq 1} M_\infty(f - g_m, r) + \sup_{\rho \leq r \leq 1} M_\infty(\sigma_n g_m - \sigma_n f, r) \\ &\quad + \sup_{\rho \leq r \leq 1} M_\infty(g_m - \sigma_n g_m, r) \\ &< 3\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

for suitably large  $n$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\rho \leq r \leq 1} M_\infty(f - \sigma_n f, r) = 0$ .

Let  $k_0$  be such that  $r_{m_k} > \rho$  for all  $k \geq k_0$ . Theorem 2.1. implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_f - T_{\sigma_n f}\| &= \|T_{f - \sigma_n f}\| \leq c \sup_k M_\infty(f - \sigma_n f, r_{m_k}) \\ &\leq c \max\left(\sup_{k \leq k_0} M_\infty(f - \sigma_n f, r_{m_k}), \sup_{\rho \leq r \leq 1} M_\infty(f - \sigma_n f, r)\right) \end{aligned}$$

In view of (2.1) this proves  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_f - T_{\sigma_n f}\| = 0$ .

Let  $f$  satisfy the assumptions of Proposition 2.3. Then  $f|_{\partial D}$  is continuous and has a harmonic extension  $f_h$  on  $D$ . So, if

$$\gamma_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\varphi})e^{-ik\varphi} d\varphi, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z},$$

then  $f_h(re^{i\varphi}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \gamma_k r^{|k|} e^{ik\varphi}$ ,  $r < 1$ .

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let  $f : \bar{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  satisfy (2.1) and assume that  $f$  is continuous on  $\bar{D} \setminus \rho D$  for some  $0 < \rho < 1$ . Then  $T_f - T_{f_h}$  is compact.*

PROOF. In view of Proposition 2.3 it suffices to assume  $f(re^{i\varphi}) = f_j(r)r^{|j|}e^{ij\varphi}$  for some  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Then  $|f - f_h|(re^{i\varphi}) = |f_j(r) - f_j(1)|r^{|j|}$ . Using the numbers  $n_k$  and  $m_k$  of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M_\infty(\sigma_{n_k} |f - f_h|, r_{m_k}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |f_j(r_{m_k}) - f_j(1)| = 0.$$

Now Theorem 2.2 proves the corollary.

Let  $f(re^{i\varphi}) = \sum_{|k|\leq n} f_k(r)r^{|k|}e^{ik\varphi}$  where all  $f_k$  are continuous on  $[\rho, 1]$  for some  $0 < \rho < 1$ . Put

$$h_f(z) = \sum_{|k|\leq n} f_k(1)z^k.$$

Then  $h_f$  is continuous on  $\overline{\mathbf{D}} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $(h_f)_h = f_h$ . Corollary 2.4 implies

LEMMA 2.5. *Let  $f : \overline{\mathbf{D}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  satisfy (2.1) and assume that  $f$  is continuous on  $\overline{\mathbf{D}} \setminus \rho\mathbf{D}$  for some  $0 < \rho < 1$ . Then  $T_{\sigma_n f} - T_{h_{\sigma_n f}}$  is compact for all  $n > 0$ .*

The Toeplitz operator  $T_f$  is defined via numbers  $m_k$  satisfying (1.1). Let us go over to numbers  $\tilde{m}_k$  which also satisfy (1.1) and consider the resulting Toeplitz operator  $\tilde{T}_f$ . Quite often we obtain that  $T_f - \tilde{T}_f$  is compact.

COROLLARY 2.6. *Let  $f : \overline{\mathbf{D}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  satisfy (2.1) and assume that  $f$  is continuous on  $\overline{\mathbf{D}} \setminus \rho\mathbf{D}$  for some  $0 < \rho < 1$ . Then  $T_f$  is independent of the numbers  $m_k$  up to compact perturbations.*

PROOF. In view of Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 2.5 it suffices to assume  $f(z) = \alpha z^n$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$  and  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ . If  $h \in H_v(\mathbf{D})$  is such that  $h(z) = \sum_{k>|n|} \beta_k z^k$  then  $fh$  is holomorphic. Hence if  $T_f$  and  $\tilde{T}_f$  are the Toeplitz operators with respect to the numbers  $m_k$  and  $\tilde{m}_k$  then  $(T_f - \tilde{T}_f)h = 0$ . It follows that  $T_f - \tilde{T}_f$  has finite rank and, therefore, is compact.

### 3. Proofs of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2

The proof follows from some lemmas. At first, let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be such that  $f|_{r\partial\mathbf{D}} \in L_1(r\partial\mathbf{D})$  for all  $r$  and the Fourier series of  $f$  for fixed  $r$  is  $\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} f_j(r)r^{|j|}e^{ij\varphi}$ . Let  $R$  be the Riesz projection, i.e.  $Rf$  has the Fourier series  $\sum_{j \geq 0} f_j(r)r^{|j|}e^{ij\varphi}$ . Finally, for  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ , define the translation operator  $U_k$  by  $U_k f = e^{ik\varphi} f$ .

In [1, Lemma 3.3], it was shown that

$$M_\infty(R(V_{p,n} - V_{n,m})f, r) \leq \delta M_\infty(f, r)$$

for all  $r$  and  $m, n, p \in \mathbf{Z}_+$  with  $0 \leq m \leq n \leq p$  where  $\delta$  is a constant which depends only on

$$\frac{p - m}{\min(p - n, n - m, m)}$$

but not on  $f$  or  $r$ .

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $m, n, p \in \mathbf{Z}_+$  such that  $0 \leq m \leq n \leq p$  and fix  $q \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ . There is a universal constant  $d > 0$  depending only on*

$$\frac{p - m}{\min(p - n, n - m, m, q)}$$

(but not on  $f$ ) such that

$$M_\infty(R(V_{p,n} - V_{n,m})f, r) \leq d \sup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_+} M_\infty(U_k \sigma_q U_{-k} f, r) \quad \text{for all } r.$$

PROOF. For  $k \geq q$  we have

$$U_k \sigma_q U_{-k} f = \sum_{j=k-q}^k f_j(r) \frac{j+q-k}{q} r^j e^{ij\varphi} + \sum_{j=k+1}^{k+q} f_j(r) \frac{q+k-j}{q} e^{ij\varphi}.$$

Hence we find  $k_1, \dots, k_N$  with

$$R(V_{p,n} - V_{n,m}) \sum_{l=1}^N U_{k_l} \sigma_q U_{-k_l} f = R(V_{p,n} - V_{n,m}) f$$

where  $N$  depends only on  $(p-m)/q$ . This implies, with the previous constant  $\delta$ ,

$$M_\infty(R(V_{p,n} - V_{n,m})f, r) \leq N\delta \sup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_+} M_\infty(U_k \sigma_{p-n} U_{-k} f, r)$$

for any  $r$  which proves the lemma.

Now we return to the definition of  $W_k$  (preceding 1.2).

LEMMA 3.2. *There is a constant  $c > 0$  such that, for any  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ ,  $h \in Hv(\Omega)$  and any  $l \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ , we have*

$$\left\| \sum_{k \geq l} W_k(fh) \right\|_v \leq c \sup_{k \geq l} M_\infty(W_k(fh), r_{m_k}) v(r_{m_k}).$$

PROOF. By definition we have

$$(V_{m_{j+1}, m_j} - V_{m_j, m_{j-1}}) W_k = 0 \quad \text{if } |k - j| > 1.$$

Since  $v$  satisfies (B) then either

$$0 < \inf_k \frac{m_{k+1} - m_k}{m_k - m_{k-1}} \leq \sup_k \frac{m_{k+1} - m_k}{m_k - m_{k-1}} < \infty$$

or  $\sup_k(m_{k+1} - m_{k-1}) < \infty$  ([1, Proposition 4.1]). According to [1, Lemma 3.3], we obtain that

$$M_\infty((V_{m_{j+1},m_j} - V_{m_j,m_{j-1}})g, r) \leq dM_\infty(g, r)$$

for any  $g$  and any  $j$  where  $d$  is a universal constant. Theorem 1.1 yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{k \geq l} W_k(fh) \right\|_v \\ & \leq d_2 \sup_j M_\infty((V_{m_{j+1},m_j} - V_{m_j,m_{j-1}}) \left( \sum_{k \geq l} W_k \right)(fh), r_{m_j}) v(r_{m_j}) \\ & = d_2 \sup_j M_\infty((V_{m_{j+1},m_j} - V_{m_j,m_{j-1}})(W_{j-1} + W_j + W_{j+1})(fh), r_{m_j}) v(r_{m_j}) \\ & \leq 3d_2 d \sup_{j \geq l} \max(M_\infty(W_j(fh), r_{m_{j-1}}) v(r_{m_{j-1}}), \\ & \quad M_\infty(W_j(fh), r_{m_j}) v(r_{m_j}), M_\infty(W_j(fh), r_{m_{j+1}}) v(r_{m_{j+1}})) \end{aligned}$$

$W_j(fh)$  is a polynomial of the form  $\sum_{k=m_{j-1}}^{m_{j+1}} \alpha_k z^k$ . According to [1, Lemma 3.1], we infer

$$\begin{aligned} & M_\infty(W_j(fh), r_{m_{j-1}}) v(r_{m_{j-1}}) \\ & \leq 2 \left( \frac{r_{m_{j-1}}}{r_{m_j}} \right)^{m_{j-1}} \frac{v(r_{m_{j-1}})}{v(r_{m_j})} M_\infty(W_j(fh), r_{m_j}) v(r_{m_j}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & M_\infty(W_j(fh), r_{m_{j+1}}) v(r_{m_{j+1}}) \\ & \leq 2 \left( \frac{r_{m_{j+1}}}{r_{m_j}} \right)^{m_{j+1}} \frac{v(r_{m_{j+1}})}{v(r_{m_j})} M_\infty(W_j(fh), r_{m_j}) v(r_{m_j}) \end{aligned}$$

This yields the lemma.

To finish the proof of Theorem 2.1 consider, for  $l > 0$ , the Fejer kernel

$$F_l(\varphi) = \sum_{|j| \leq l} \frac{[l] - |j|}{[l]} e^{ij\varphi}.$$

It is well-known that  $F_l(\varphi) \geq 0$  for all  $\varphi$ . We have

$$(\sigma_l f)(re^{i\varphi}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F_l(\varphi - \psi) f(re^{i\psi}) d\psi.$$

Hence, if  $h \in Hv(\Omega)$  we obtain

$$M_\infty(U_k \sigma_l U_{-k}(fh), r) \leq M_\infty(\sigma_l |f|, r) M_\infty(h, r)$$

for any  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$  and any  $r > 0$ .

*Conclusion of the proof of Theorem 2.1*

We take into account that, for arbitrary  $0 < n < p$ , we have  $V_{p,n} = V_{[p],[n]}$ . Assume  $\sup_k M_\infty(\sigma_{n_k} |f|, r_{m_k}) < \infty$ . With Lemma 3.1 we obtain constants  $d_k$  such that, for any  $h \in Hv(\Omega)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} M_\infty(W_k(fh), r_{m_k}) &\leq d_k \sup_{l \in \mathbf{Z}_+} M_\infty(U_l \sigma_{n_k} U_{-l}(fh), r_{m_k}) \\ &\leq d_k M_\infty(\sigma_{n_k} |f|, r_{m_k}) M_\infty(h, r_{m_k}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $v$  satisfies (B) then either

$$0 < \inf_k \frac{m_{k+1} - m_k}{m_k - m_{k-1}} \leq \sup_k \frac{m_{k+1} - m_k}{m_k - m_{k-1}} < \infty$$

or  $\sup_k (m_{k+1} - m_{k-1}) < \infty$  ([1, Proposition 4.1]).

Hence, in view of Lemma 3.1, the  $d_k$  are uniformly bounded. According to Lemma 3.2 with  $l = 1$  we obtain

$$\|T_f h\|_v \leq (\sup_k d_k) (\sup_k M_\infty(\sigma_{n_k} |f|, r_{m_k})) \|h\|_v.$$

Since  $T_f h$  is a holomorphic function on  $\Omega$  we conclude  $T_f h \in Hv(\Omega)$ .

*Conclusion of the proof of Theorem 2.2*

Assume that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M_\infty(\sigma_{n_k} |f|, r_{m_k}) = 0$ . By the same argument as in the preceding proof, in view of Lemma 3.2, we see that, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $l$  such that  $\|\sum_{k>l} W_k(fh)\|_v \leq \epsilon \|h\|_v$  for any  $h \in Hv(\Omega)$ . Hence  $\|T_f(h) - \sum_{k=1}^l W_k(fh)\|_v \leq \epsilon \|h\|_v$  for all  $h \in Hv(\Omega)$ . This means that  $T_f$  is the limit of a sequence of finite rank operators. Hence  $T_f$  is compact.

#### 4. Some consequences

Here we give more applications of the results of section 2 for the case  $\Omega = \mathbf{D}$ . At first we show

LEMMA 4.1. *Let  $h_n(z) = z^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ . Then  $h_n / \|h_n\|$  tends to 0 weakly (in  $Hv(\Omega)$ ).*

PROOF. Consider

$$(Hv)_0(\Omega) = \left\{ h : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C} : h \text{ holomorphic, } \limsup_{r \rightarrow a} \sup_{|z|=r} |h(z)|v(r) = 0 \right\}$$

and take the indices  $m_k$  of Theorem 1.1 Put

$$H_k = \text{span}\{z^j : m_{k-1} \leq j \leq m_{k+1}\} \subset (Hv)_0(\Omega).$$

Then Theorem 1.1 yields that  $(Hv)_0(\Omega) \subset \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \oplus H_k\right)_0$  (endowed with the norm  $\|(g_k)\| = \sup_k \|g_k\|_v$ ). Since  $(Hv)_0(\Omega) \subset Hv(\Omega)$  this implies Lemma 4.1. (Notice, both inclusions are inclusions as closed subspaces.)

Now we show the central

THEOREM 4.2. *Let  $f : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be harmonic. Then  $f$  is bounded if and only if  $T_f$  is bounded. In this case there are universal constants  $d_1, d_2 > 0$  (independent of  $f$ ) such that*

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 M_{\infty}(f, 1) &\leq \inf\{\|T_f + K\| : K : Hv(\mathbf{D}) \rightarrow Hv(\mathbf{D}) \text{ linear, compact}\} \\ &\leq \|T_f\| \leq d_2 M_{\infty}(f, 1) \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. At first let  $f$  be bounded. Then  $f$  has  $L_{\infty}(\partial\mathbf{D})$ -boundary values. According to Theorem 2.1 we obtain

$$\|T_f\| \leq c \sup_k M_{\infty}(\sigma_{n_k} |f|, r_{m_k}) = c M_{\infty}(|f|, 1) = c M_{\infty}(f, 1).$$

Conversely, let  $T_f$  be bounded. Put  $f(re^{i\varphi}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \alpha_k r^{|k|} e^{ik\varphi}$ . Fix  $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ . Then we have with  $z = re^{i\varphi}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} z^n f(z) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-n-1} \alpha_k r^{2n} r^{|k|-n} e^{-i(|k|-n)\varphi} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=-n}^{-1} \alpha_k r^{2|k|} r^{n+k} e^{i(n+k)\varphi} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k r^{k+n} e^{i(k+n)\varphi} \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.2 implies, with  $h_n(z) = z^n$ ,

$$T_f(h_n) = \sum_{k=-n}^{-1} \alpha_k \gamma_k(n) r^{n+k} e^{i(n+k)\varphi} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k r^{k+n} e^{i(k+n)\varphi},$$

where, for  $[m_j] \leq n+k < [m_{j+1}]$  and  $k < 0$ ,

$$\gamma_k(n) = \frac{[m_{j+1}] - (n+k)}{[m_{j+1}] - [m_j]} r_{m_j}^{2|k|} + \frac{n+k - [m_j]}{[m_{j+1}] - [m_j]} r_{m_{j+1}}^{2|k|}.$$

Since  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} r_{m_j} = 1$  we obtain  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_k(n) = 1$  for each  $k$ . This implies

$$\begin{aligned} & (V_{2n,n} - V_{n,0})T_f(h_n) \\ &= \sum_{k=-n}^{-1} \alpha_k \frac{n+k}{n} \gamma_k(n) r^{n+k} e^{i(n+k)\varphi} + \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k \frac{n-k}{n} r^{k+n} e^{i(k+n)\varphi} \\ &= z^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{-j} \frac{n-j}{n} \gamma_{-j}(n) r^{-j} e^{-ij\varphi} + \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k \frac{n-k}{n} r^k e^{ik\varphi} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We have  $\|h_n\|_v = r_n^n v(r_n)$ . Let  $K : Hv(\mathbf{D}) \rightarrow Hv(\mathbf{D})$  be linear and compact. Then we obtain a universal constant  $c > 0$  (independent of  $K$ ,  $f$  and  $n$ ) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_f + K\| &\geq \left\| T_f \left( \frac{h_n}{\|h_n\|_v} \right) \right\|_v - \left\| K \left( \frac{h_n}{\|h_n\|_v} \right) \right\|_v \\ &\geq c \frac{\|(V_{2n,n} - V_{n,0})T_f(h_n)\|_v}{\|h_n\|_v} - \left\| K \left( \frac{h_n}{\|h_n\|_v} \right) \right\|_v \\ &\geq c \frac{M_\infty((V_{2n,n} - V_{n,0})T_f(h_n), r_n)}{r_n^n v(r_n)} - \left\| K \left( \frac{h_n}{\|h_n\|_v} \right) \right\|_v \\ &= c \sup_{\varphi} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{-k} \frac{n-k}{n} \gamma_{-k}(n) r_n^{-k} e^{-ik\varphi} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k \frac{n-k}{n} r_n^k e^{ik\varphi} \right| - \left\| K \left( \frac{h_n}{\|h_n\|_v} \right) \right\|_v \end{aligned}$$

If we fix  $m \in \mathbf{Z}_+$  and take  $n \geq m$  then we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_f + K\| &\geq c \sup_{\varphi} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{-k} \frac{n-k}{n} \frac{m-k}{m} \gamma_{-k}(n) r_n^{-k} e^{-ik\varphi} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=0}^m \alpha_k \frac{n-k}{n} \frac{m-k}{m} r_n^k e^{ik\varphi} \right| - \left\| K \left( \frac{h_n}{\|h_n\|_v} \right) \right\|_v \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  Lemma 4.1 implies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|K(h_n/\|h_n\|_v)\|_v = 0$  since  $K$  is compact. We arrive at

$$\|T_f + K\| \geq c \sup_{\varphi} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{-k} \frac{m-k}{m} e^{-ik\varphi} + \sum_{k=0}^m \alpha_k \frac{m-k}{m} e^{ik\varphi} \right| = c M_\infty(\sigma_m f, 1)$$

and hence

$$cM_\infty(f, 1) = c \sup_m M_\infty(\sigma_m f, 1) \leq \|T_f + K\|$$

This proves

$$cM_\infty(f, 1) \leq \inf\{\|T_f + K\| : K : Hv(\mathbf{D}) \rightarrow Hv(\mathbf{D}) \text{ linear and compact}\}.$$

Theorem 2.1 yields  $\|T_f\| \leq cM_\infty(f, 1)$  in view of the maximum principle.

**COROLLARY 4.3.** *Let  $f : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be harmonic such that  $T_f$  is compact. Then  $f(z) = 0$  for all  $z \in \mathbf{D}$ .*

Recall that, according to Corollary 2.4, for many  $f$ , we can replace  $T_f$  by  $T_g$  up to compact perturbations where  $g$  is harmonic. (In the terminology of Corollary 2.4,  $g = f_h$ .)

**LEMMA 4.4.** *Let  $f, g : \overline{\mathbf{D}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  satisfy (2.1) and assume that  $f$  and  $g$  are continuous on  $\overline{\mathbf{D}} \setminus \rho\mathbf{D}$  for some  $0 < \rho < 1$ . Then  $T_f T_g - T_{fg}$  is compact.*

**PROOF.** In view of Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 2.5 it suffices to assume that  $f(z) = \alpha z^n$  and  $g(z) = \beta z^m$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{C}$  and  $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$ . If  $h \in Hv(\mathbf{D})$  is such that  $h(z) = \sum_{k \geq |m|+|n|} \alpha_k z^k$  for some  $\alpha_k$  then  $T_f T_g h - T_{fg} h = 0$ . This means that  $T_f T_g - T_{fg}$  has finite rank and hence is compact.

**THEOREM 4.5.** *Let  $f : \overline{\mathbf{D}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  satisfy (2.1) and assume that  $f$  is continuous on  $\overline{\mathbf{D}} \setminus \rho\mathbf{D}$  for some  $0 < \rho < 1$ . Then the essential spectrum of  $T_f$  is equal to  $f(\partial\mathbf{D})$ . Moreover there are constants  $c, d > 0$  (independent of  $f$ ) such that*

$$\begin{aligned} cM_\infty(f, 1) &\leq \inf\{\|T_f + K\| : K : Hv(\mathbf{D}) \rightarrow Hv(\mathbf{D}) \text{ linear, compact}\} \\ &\leq dM_\infty(f, 1) \end{aligned}$$

**PROOF.** Let

$$\mathcal{B} = \{T : Hv(\mathbf{D}) \rightarrow Hv(\mathbf{D}) : T \text{ linear and bounded}\}$$

and  $\mathcal{K} = \{K \in \mathcal{B} : K \text{ compact}\}$ . Then, by Lemma 4.4, the algebra  $\mathcal{A}_f$  generated by  $T_f + \mathcal{K}$  in  $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}$  is commutative. By Theorem 4.2 and Corollary 2.4 its norm is equivalent to  $M_\infty(\cdot, 1)$ . Hence  $\mathcal{A}_f$  is a function algebra and the spectrum of  $T_f + \mathcal{K}$  in  $\mathcal{A}_f$  is equal to  $f(\partial\mathbf{D})$ .

REFERENCES

1. Lusky, W., *On the isomorphism classes of weighted spaces of harmonic and holomorphic functions*, *Studia Math.* 175 (1) (2006), 19–45.

2. Lusky, W., and Taskinen, J., *Bounded holomorphic projections for exponentially decreasing weights*, to appear in J. Funct. Spaces Appl.
3. Shields, A. L., and Williams, D. L., *Bounded projections, duality and multipliers in spaces of analytic functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 162 (1971), 287–302.

FAC. FOR INF. AND APPL. MATH.  
UNIVERSITY OF YEREVAN  
ALEK MANUKIAN 1  
YEREVAN 25  
ARMENIA  
*E-mail:* anahit@ysu.am

INST. FOR MATH.  
UNIVERSITY OF PADERBORN  
WARBURGER STR. 100  
D-33098 PADERBORN  
GERMANY  
*E-mail:* lusky@uni-paderborn.de