

ON BANACH IDEALS SATISFYING

$$c_0(\mathcal{A}(X, Y)) = \mathcal{A}(X, c_0(Y))$$

J. M. DELGADO and C. PIÑEIRO

Abstract

We characterize Banach ideals $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ satisfying the equality $c_0(\mathcal{A}(X, Y)) = \mathcal{A}(X, c_0(Y))$ for all Banach spaces X and Y . Among other results we have proved that \mathcal{K} (the normed operator ideal of all compact operators with the operator norm) is the only injective Banach ideal satisfying the equality.

1. Introduction

Let X and Y be Banach spaces. If I is an arbitrary index set, we denote by $\ell^\infty(I, Y)$ the Banach space of all bounded Y -valued functions defined on I , endowed with the supremum norm ($\|f\| = \sup\{\|f_i\| : i \in I\}$ for each $f = (f_i)_{i \in I} \in \ell^\infty(I, Y)$). By $\ell_c^\infty(I, Y)$ (respectively, $c_0(I, Y)$) we mean the subspace of $\ell^\infty(I, Y)$ consisting of the functions with relatively compact range (respectively, converging to zero). As usual, we write $\ell^\infty(Y)$ (respectively, $c_0(Y)$) instead of $\ell^\infty(\mathbf{N}, Y)$ (respectively, $c_0(\mathbf{N}, Y)$) and $\ell^\infty(I)$ instead of $\ell^\infty(I, \mathbf{R})$.

If $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is the space of bounded linear maps $T: X \rightarrow Y$ and M is a bounded subset of $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$, we can consider the operator $V: X \rightarrow \ell^\infty(M, Y)$ defined by $Vx = (Tx)_{T \in M}$. When the set $M = (T_n)$ is a null sequence for the strong operator topology (in short, SOT), the values of the operator V lie in $c_0(Y)$, so we can define $U: X \rightarrow c_0(Y)$ by $Ux = (T_n x)$.

There are many areas in which it is useful to elucidate whether the operators U or V belong to a certain Banach operator ideal. For example, the weak compactness of the operator U has turned out to be very helpful in the theory of multilinear operators (see [1, proposition 1.6], [7, theorem 5] and [8, theorem 3.5]); the well known Ryan's lemma [5, p. 375] provides a characterization in that case. Moreover, several authors have made use of the map V when it acts as a completely continuous operator. For instance, G. Emmanuele has obtained a characterization of Banach spaces not containing a copy of ℓ^1

(see [2, theorem 2]) and T. Leavelle has deduced when a Banach space has the Reciprocal Dunford-Pettis property (see [3]).

Let us recall that a subset M of $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ (the space of all compact operators from X into Y) is *collectively compact* if the set $\{Tx : \|x\| \leq 1, T \in M\}$ is relatively compact. In [6], the notion of equicontactness for a subset M of $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ is introduced and it is proved that $M \subset \mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ is equicontact (that is, there exists a null sequence (x_n^*) in X^* such that $\|Tx\| \leq \sup_n |\langle x_n^*, x \rangle|$ for all $x \in X$ and all $T \in M$) iff the operator V is compact ([6, proposition 2.2]). Since M is equicontact iff $M^* = \{T^* : T \in M\}$ is collectively compact ([6, p. 689]), we have been able to obtain the following result using Palmer's criteria for relatively compact subsets of $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ ([4, theorem 2.2]):

PROPOSITION 1.1. *The following properties hold:*

- (1) *If X and Y are Banach spaces and $M \subset \mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ is a bounded set, then M is relatively compact in $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ if and only if the operator*

$$V: x \in X \mapsto (Tx)_{T \in M} \in \ell_c^\infty(M, Y)$$

is well defined and compact.

- (2) *$\mathcal{K}(X, c_0(Y)) = c_0(\mathcal{K}(X, Y))$ (isometrically), for all Banach spaces X and Y .*

The aim of this paper is to study what happens when we replace the Banach ideal $[\mathcal{K}, \|\cdot\|]$ by an arbitrary Banach ideal in proposition 1.1. Thus, we consider the following definitions:

DEFINITION 1.2. Let $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ be a Banach ideal. We say that $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ has the *property (P)* if, for all Banach spaces X and Y , the relatively compact subsets of $\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ are those bounded subsets M for which the operator

$$V: x \in X \mapsto (Tx)_{T \in M} \in \ell_c^\infty(M, Y)$$

is well defined and belongs to $\mathcal{A}(X, \ell_c^\infty(M, Y))$.

DEFINITION 1.3. We say that $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ has the *property (P₀)* if the equality

$$\mathcal{A}(X, c_0(Y)) = c_0(\mathcal{A}(X, Y))$$

holds for all Banach spaces X and Y .

In section 2, we study a characterization of the property (P_0) . Section 3 is devoted to making clear the relationship between the properties (P_0) and (P) , as well as to describing arbitrary Banach ideals enjoying those properties. We show that the injectivity of the ideal plays a crucial role in this theory. In

fact, theorem 3.4 proves that, among the injective Banach ideals, $[\mathcal{K}, \|\cdot\|]$ is the only one enjoying both properties (P) and (P_0) . We conclude with some remarks and open problems.

Our notation is standard. B_X denotes the closed unit ball of X and X^* its topological dual. For a natural number n , $\ell_n^\infty(Y)$ is the space $(Y \times \dots \times Y, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$. If $\{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$ is a finite subset of $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$, we denote by $(T_k)_{k=1}^n$ the operator from X into $\ell_n^\infty(Y)$ defined by $(T_k)_{k=1}^n x = (T_1 x, \dots, T_n x)$ for all $x \in X$. Given a set $J \subset \mathbf{N}$, χ_J is the characteristic function of J . Now, if U is an operator defined as above, U_J is the operator from X into $c_0(Y)$ such that $U_J x = (\chi_J(n) \cdot T_n x)_n$ and $U_n = U_{\{1, \dots, n\}}$ for a fixed $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Let us recall that an *operator ideal* \mathcal{A} is a subclass of \mathcal{L} (the class of all operators between arbitrary Banach spaces) such that, for each pair (X, Y) of Banach spaces, the component $\mathcal{A}(X, Y) = \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is a linear space satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $x^* \otimes y \in \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ for all $x^* \in X^*$ and $y \in Y$ ($x^* \otimes y: X \rightarrow Y$ is defined by $(x^* \otimes y)(x) = \langle x^*, x \rangle y$).
- (2) If $T \in \mathcal{L}(X_0, X)$, $S \in \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ and $R \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Y_0)$ then $R \circ S \circ T \in \mathcal{A}(X_0, Y_0)$.

Let \mathcal{A} be an operator ideal. The pair $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ is called a *Banach (operator) ideal* if a is a map from $\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ into \mathbf{R}^+ and the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $a(x^* \otimes y) = \|x^*\| \cdot \|y\|$ for all $x^* \in X^*$ and $y \in Y$.
- (2) If $T \in \mathcal{L}(X_0, X)$, $S \in \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ and $R \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Y_0)$ then

$$a(R \circ S \circ T) \leq \|R\| \cdot a(S) \cdot \|T\|.$$

- (3) $[\mathcal{A}(X, Y), a]$ is a Banach space.

A Banach ideal $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ is *injective* if $a(i \circ T) = a(T)$ whenever X, Y and Z are Banach spaces, $i \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Z)$ is an isometry and $T \in \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$. Familiar examples of Banach ideals are $[\mathcal{L}, \|\cdot\|]$, the ideal of all bounded linear operators, or $[\mathcal{K}, \|\cdot\|]$, the ideal of all compact operators (here, $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the operator norm). These are examples of classical Banach ideals, that is, operator ideals supplied with the operator norm. We also work with the Banach ideal $[\mathcal{N}_\infty, \nu_\infty]$ of the ∞ -nuclear operators.

2. The property (P_0)

We have studied the property (P_0) considering separately the inclusions $c_0(\mathcal{A}(X, Y)) \subset \mathcal{A}(X, c_0(Y))$ and $\mathcal{A}(X, c_0(Y)) \subset c_0(\mathcal{A}(X, Y))$. Then, we say that the ideal $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ has the *property (P_{0r})* if the inclusion $c_0(\mathcal{A}(X, Y)) \subset$

$\mathcal{A}(X, c_0(Y))$ holds for all Banach spaces X and Y . In the same way, $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ has the property (P_{0l}) if the inclusion $\mathcal{A}(X, c_0(Y)) \subset c_0(\mathcal{A}(X, Y))$ holds for all Banach spaces X and Y .

THEOREM 2.1. *The Banach ideal $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ has the property (P_{0r}) if and only if there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$(1) \quad a((T_k)_{k=1}^n) \leq C \cdot \sup\{a(T_k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\},$$

regardless of the Banach spaces X and Y and the finite set $\{T_1, \dots, T_n\} \subset \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$.

PROOF. Given a null sequence (T_n) in $\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$, we prove that the operator $U: x \in X \mapsto (T_n x) \in c_0(Y)$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}(X, c_0(Y))$. Notice that

$$a(U_n - U_m) = a((T_k)_{k=m+1}^n)$$

when $n > m$. Then, by hypothesis, we have

$$a(U_n - U_m) \leq C \cdot \sup\{a(T_k) : m < k \leq n\},$$

so (U_n) is a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space $[\mathcal{A}(X, c_0(Y)), a]$ and, therefore, (U_n) is a -convergent. As $U = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_n$ for the operator norm, it must be $U = a\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (U_n)$ and then $U \in \mathcal{A}(X, c_0(Y))$.

Conversely, suppose that $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ is a Banach ideal satisfying the property (P_{0r}) . We have to find a positive constant C for which the inequality (1) holds, regardless of the Banach spaces X and Y and the finite subset $\{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$ of $\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$. By contradiction, for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ there exist Banach spaces X_n and Y_n and operators $T_1^n, \dots, T_{p(n)}^n \in \mathcal{A}(X_n, Y_n)$ such that $\sup\{a(T_k^n) : 1 \leq k \leq p(n)\} = 1$ and

$$(2) \quad a((T_k^n)_{k=1}^{p(n)}) \geq n^2.$$

Put $X = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n)_{\infty}$ and $Y = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n)_{\infty}$. Given $n, k \in \mathbf{N}$ so that $1 \leq k \leq p(n)$, consider the operator $S_k^n : X \rightarrow Y$ defined by

$$S_k^n(x_m) = n^{-1} (\chi_{\{n\}}(m) T_k^n x_n)_m,$$

for all $(x_m) \in X$. It is a standard argument to show that $a(S_k^n) = n^{-1} a(T_k^n)$. So the sequence $(S_1^1, \dots, S_{p(1)}^1, S_1^2, \dots, S_{p(2)}^2, \dots)$ is null in $[\mathcal{A}(X, Y), a]$ and, by hypothesis, the operator

$$U: (x_n) \in X \mapsto (S_1^1(x_m), \dots, S_{p(1)}^1(x_m), S_1^2(x_m), \dots, S_{p(2)}^2(x_m), \dots) \in c_0(Y)$$

belongs to $\mathcal{A}(X, c_0(Y))$. In particular, we have

$$(3) \quad a((S_k^n)_{k=1}^{p(n)}) \leq a(U)$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Since $a((S_k^n)_{k=1}^{p(n)}) = n^{-1}a((T_k^n)_{k=1}^{p(n)})$, the inequalities (3) and (2) lead to

$$a(U) \geq n$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, in contradiction to $U \in \mathcal{A}(X, c_0(Y))$.

The operator norm satisfies inequality (1). This yields the following result:

COROLLARY 2.2. *Every classical Banach ideal has the property (P_{0r}) .*

COROLLARY 2.3. *$[\mathcal{N}_\infty, v_\infty]$ has the property (P_{0r}) .*

PROOF. Given $T_1, \dots, T_N \in \mathcal{N}_\infty(X, Y)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, for each $n \leq N$ we can choose operators $A_n \in \mathcal{K}(X, c_0)$ and $B_n \in \mathcal{L}(c_0, Y)$ such that $T_n = B_n \circ A_n$, $\|A_n\| = 1$ and $\|B_n\| < \varepsilon + v_\infty(T_n)$. Then, consider the operators $A \in \mathcal{K}(X, c_0)$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}(c_0, \ell_N^\infty(Y))$ defined by

$$Ax = (\langle A_1x, e_1^* \rangle, \dots, \langle A_Nx, e_1^* \rangle, \langle A_1x, e_2^* \rangle, \dots, \langle A_Nx, e_2^* \rangle, \dots)$$

$$B(\alpha_n)_n = (B_1(\alpha_{(n-1) \cdot N+1})_n, B_2(\alpha_{(n-1) \cdot N+2})_n, \dots, B_N(\alpha_{n \cdot N})_n)$$

for all $x \in X$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_n \in c_0$ (here, $(e_k^*)_k$ is the unit vector basis of ℓ^1). Obviously, we have $T = (T_n)_{n=1}^N = B \circ A$ and

$$v_\infty(T) \leq \|B\| \leq \max_{n \leq N} \|B_n\| < \max_{n \leq N} (v_\infty(T_n) + \varepsilon)$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

THEOREM 2.4. *If $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ is a Banach ideal, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) *$[\mathcal{A}, a]$ has the property (P_{0l}) .*
- (b) *For all Banach spaces X and Y , the sequence $(U - U_n)_n$ is null in $\mathcal{A}(X, c_0(Y))$ whenever $U \in \mathcal{A}(X, c_0(Y))$.*
- (c) *For all Banach spaces X, Y and Z , all operators $T \in \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ and all SOT-null sequences (S_n) in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$, the sequence $(S_n \circ T)$ is null in $\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$.*

PROOF. In order to prove (a) \Rightarrow (b), suppose, by contradiction, that $U: x \in X \mapsto (T_nx) \in c_0(Y)$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}(X, c_0(Y))$ but $(U - U_n)_n$ is not a null

sequence in $\mathcal{A}(X, c_0(Y))$. Then, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and finite sets $J_n \subset \mathbf{N}$ such that $\max(J_n) < \min(J_{n+1})$ and

$$(4) \quad a(U_{J_n}) > \varepsilon$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. For each finite set $J \subset \mathbf{N}$, let us consider the operator ϕ_J from $c_0(Y)$ into $c_0(Y)$ defined by $\phi_J(y_k)_k = (\chi_J(k) \cdot y_k)_k$ and define $\phi = (\phi_{J_n})_n$. Put $Y_0 = c_0(Y)$ and consider the operator $\widehat{U}: x \in X \mapsto (U_{J_n}x) \in c_0(Y_0)$. It follows from the equality $\widehat{U} = \phi \circ U$ that the operator \widehat{U} belongs to $\mathcal{A}(X, c_0(Y_0))$. Hence, $\lim_n a(U_{J_n}) = 0$, which is in contradiction to (4).

Now, let us show (b) \Rightarrow (c). Given $T \in \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ and a SOT-null sequence (S_n) in $\mathcal{L}(Y, Z)$, we consider the operator $U: x \in X \mapsto (S_n(Tx))_n \in c_0(Z)$. Since $U \in \mathcal{A}(X, c_0(Z))$, it follows that $\lim_n a(U - U_n) = 0$, so

$$\lim_n a(S_n \circ T) = \lim_n a(U_n - U_{n-1}) = 0.$$

Finally, suppose that the operator $U: x \in X \mapsto (T_n)_n \in c_0(Y)$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}(X, c_0(Y))$. For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we consider $S_n: (y_k)_k \in c_0(Y) \mapsto y_n \in Y$. In view of (c), we can ensure that

$$\lim_n a(T_n) = \lim_n (S_n \circ U) = 0$$

and (a) is proved from (c).

Since $[\mathcal{K}, \|\cdot\|]$ enjoys the property (P_0) (proposition 1.1) we have:

COROLLARY 2.5. *If $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ is a classical normed ideal contained in $[\mathcal{K}, \|\cdot\|]$, then $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ has the property (P_{0l}) .*

COROLLARY 2.6. *$[\mathcal{N}_\infty, v_\infty]$ has the property (P_{0l}) .*

PROOF. Let us consider $T \in \mathcal{N}_\infty(X, Y)$ and (S_n) a SOT-null sequence in $\mathcal{L}(Y, Z)$. Take an ∞ -nuclear representation $T = \sum_m x_m^* \otimes y_m$, where (y_m) is an unconditionally summable sequence. Then, $S_n \circ T = \sum_m x_m^* \otimes S_n y_m$ and

$$v_\infty(S_n \circ T) \leq \left(\sup_m \|x_m^*\| \right) \cdot \sup_{\|y^*\| \leq 1} \sum_m |\langle y^*, S_n y_m \rangle|.$$

Hence, it is easy to show that $\lim_n v_\infty(S_n \circ T) = 0$.

3. Relationship between the properties (P_0) and (P)

We say that a Banach ideal $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ has the *property (P_r)* if, for all Banach spaces X and Y , the operator $V: x \in X \mapsto (Tx)_{T \in M} \in \ell_c^\infty(M, Y)$ is well defined and belongs to $\mathcal{A}(X, \ell_c^\infty(M, Y))$ whenever the set $M \subset \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ is relatively

compact. We say that $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ enjoys the *property* (P_l) if, whenever the operator V belongs to $\mathcal{A}(X, \ell_c^\infty(M, Y))$, the set M is relatively compact regardless of the Banach spaces X and Y . We have found the following relations:

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ be a Banach ideal. The following hold:*

- (a) *If $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ has the property (P_l) , then it has the property (P_{0l}) .*
- (b) *If $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ has the property (P) , then it has the property (P_0) .*
- (c) *If $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ has the property (P_{0r}) , then it has the property (P_r) .*

PROOF. Suppose that the operator $U: x \in X \mapsto (T_n x) \in c_0(Y)$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}(X, c_0(Y))$ and let us denote by i the inclusion map from $c_0(Y)$ into $\ell_c^\infty(Y)$. As $i \circ U \in \mathcal{A}(X, \ell_c^\infty(Y))$, the set $\{T_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is relatively compact in $\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$. Since $\lim_n \|T_n x\| = 0$ for all $x \in X$, it follows that $\lim_n a(T_n) = 0$. This proves (a).

To show (b), suppose $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ enjoys the property (P) . In view of the statement (a), we only need to prove that $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ has the property (P_{0r}) . By contradiction, consider Banach spaces X and Y and a null sequence (T_n) in $\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ so that the operator $U: x \in X \mapsto (T_n x) \in c_0(Y)$ does not belong to $\mathcal{A}(X, c_0(Y))$. An appeal to theorem 2.4 tells us that the sequence (U_n) is not convergent in $\mathcal{A}(X, c_0(Y))$. So, there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and finite sets $J_n \subset \mathbf{N}$ such that $\max(J_n) < \min(J_{n+1})$ and

$$(5) \quad a(U_{J_n}) > \varepsilon$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. As in the proof of theorem 2.4, for each finite set $J \subset \mathbf{N}$ we consider the operator ϕ_J from $\ell_c^\infty(Y)$ into $c_0(Y)$ and we put $\phi = (\phi_{J_n})_n$. Since \mathcal{A} has the property (P_r) , the operator $V: x \in X \mapsto (T_n x) \in \ell_c^\infty(Y)$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}(X, \ell_c^\infty(Y))$, so does $\phi \circ V$. Now, \mathcal{A} has the property (P_l) , so the set $\{U_{J_n} : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is relatively compact in $\mathcal{A}(X, c_0(Y))$. Take a convergent subsequence $(U_{J_{k(n)}})_n$ for the norm of $\mathcal{A}(X, c_0(Y))$; as $(U_{J_n})_n$ is null for the operator norm, we must have

$$\lim_n a(U_{J_{k(n)}}) = 0,$$

and this is in contradiction to (5).

Finally, let M be a relatively compact subset of $\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ and let us show that the operator $V: x \in X \mapsto (Tx)_{T \in M} \in \ell_c^\infty(M, Y)$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}(X, \ell_c^\infty(M, Y))$. Take a null sequence (T_n) in $\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ so that $M \subset \overline{\text{ac}}(T_n) = \{\sum_n \alpha_n T_n : (\alpha_n) \in B_{\ell^1}\}$. For each $T \in M$, choose $(\alpha_n^T) \in B_{\ell^1}$ such that $T = \sum_n \alpha_n^T T_n$ and define the operators $U: X \rightarrow c_0(Y)$ and $\tilde{i}: c_0(Y) \rightarrow \ell_c^\infty(M, Y)$ by $Ux = (T_n x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $\tilde{i}(y_n) = (\sum_n \alpha_n^T y_n)_{T \in M}$ for

all $(y_n) \in c_0(Y)$. Since \mathcal{A} has the property (P_{0r}) , the operator U belongs to $\mathcal{A}(X, c_0(Y))$, so $V = \tilde{i} \circ U$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}(X, \ell_c^\infty(M, Y))$.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ be a Banach ideal. The following hold:*

- (a) *If $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ has the property (P_l) , then $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{K}$.*
- (b) *If $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ has the property (P_{0r}) , then $\mathcal{N}_\infty \subset \mathcal{A}$.*

PROOF. Given $T \in \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$, let us denote by $j_Y: Y \rightarrow \ell^\infty(B_{Y^*})$ the canonical isometry $j_Y(y) = ((y^*, y))_{y^* \in Y^*}$. The map $j_Y \circ T$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}((X, \ell^\infty(B_{Y^*}))$, so the property (P_l) tells us that the set $\{T^*y^* : y^* \in B_{Y^*}\}$ is relatively compact in $\mathcal{A}(X, \mathbb{R}) = X^*$.

In order to show (b), first notice that an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, c_0)$ is compact iff $\lim_n \|T^*e_n^*\| = 0$; this yields the equality $\mathcal{N}_\infty(X, c_0) = \mathcal{K}(X, c_0)$ regardless of the Banach space X . Since the Banach ideal \mathcal{A} enjoys the property (P_{0r}) , we have $\mathcal{K}(X, c_0) \subset \mathcal{A}(X, c_0)$, so the statement (b) is proved when $Y = c_0$. Now, for an arbitrary Banach space Y , it suffices to have in mind that every ∞ -nuclear operator admits a factorization $T = A \circ B$, where A is a compact map from X into c_0 and B an operator from c_0 into Y . Hence, the ideal property produces the inclusion $\mathcal{N}_\infty(X, Y) \subset \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$.

When the Banach ideal is injective, a further result can be deduced:

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ be an injective Banach ideal. The following hold:*

- (a) *If $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ has the property (P_r) , then it has the property (P_{0r}) .*
- (b) *If $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ has the property (P_{0l}) , then $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{K}$.*
- (c) *If $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ has the property (P_{0l}) , then it has the property (P_l) .*

PROOF. (a) is evident since \mathcal{A} is injective.

Given an operator T belonging to $\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$, we show that $T \in \mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ in two steps. First, suppose that the Banach space Y is separable. According to theorem 2.4, if (y_n^*) is a weak* null sequence, then $(y_n^* \circ T) = (T^*y_n^*)$ is null in $\mathcal{A}(X, \mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{R})$ (isometrically). As B_{Y^*} is weak* sequentially compact, it follows that T^* is compact. Now, for arbitrary Banach spaces X and Y , consider a sequence (x_n) in B_X and put $X_0 = \overline{\text{span}}\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $Y_0 = \overline{\text{span}}\{Tx_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. If i denotes the inclusion map from X_0 into X , then $T \circ i$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}(X_0, Y)$. Since $(T \circ i)(X_0) \subset Y_0$ and \mathcal{A} is injective, it follows that $T \circ i$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}(X_0, Y_0)$ viewed as an operator from X_0 into Y_0 . The first part of the proof allows to deduce that such an operator is compact and, therefore, T is compact too.

Finally, let us prove (c). If $M \subset \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ is pointwise compact, we obtain that M is compact in $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ using (b) and the fact that the Banach ideal

$[\mathcal{H}, \|\cdot\|]$ enjoys the property (P_l) . It is easy to deduce that M is compact in $\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ thanks to the injectivity of \mathcal{A} .

In the following result, it is proved that $[\mathcal{H}, \|\cdot\|]$ is the only injective Banach ideal satisfying the property (P) (or (P_0)).

THEOREM 3.4. *Let $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ be an injective Banach ideal. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ has the property (P) .
- (b) $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ has the property (P_0) .
- (c) $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{H}$.

PROOF. (a) \Rightarrow (b) occurs even in the absence of injectivity of the ideal \mathcal{A} (proposition 3.1). In order to show (b) \Rightarrow (c), we invoke propositions 3.2 and 3.3 to obtain $\mathcal{N}_\infty \subset \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{H}$. Now, (c) is deduced since the injective hull of \mathcal{N}_∞ is \mathcal{H} and the Banach ideals \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{H} are injective. Finally, (c) \Rightarrow (a) is contained in proposition 1.1 [6].

4. Final notes and open problems

We do not know if the property (P_0) implies the property (P) for arbitrary Banach ideals. Therefore the following question arises naturally:

QUESTION 1. If $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ has the property (P_0) , has $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ necessarily the property (P) ?

$[\mathcal{N}_\infty, \nu_\infty]$ is a noninjective Banach ideal having the property (P_0) , as we have proved in section 2. Nevertheless, this ideal does not serve as a counter-example to give a negative answer to question 1:

PROPOSITION 4.1. $[\mathcal{N}_\infty, \nu_\infty]$ has the property (P) .

PROOF. According to corollary 2.3 and proposition 3.1, we only have to prove that $[\mathcal{N}_\infty, \nu_\infty]$ has the property (P_l) . Let M be a bounded subset of $\mathcal{N}_\infty(X, Y)$ such that the operator

$$V: x \in X \longmapsto (Tx)_{T \in M} \in \ell_c^\infty(M, Y)$$

belongs to $\mathcal{N}_\infty(X, Y)$. Then V admits a representation $V = \sum_m x_m^* \otimes \hat{y}^m$, where (x_m^*) is a null sequence in X^* and (\hat{y}^m) is an unconditionally summable sequence in $\ell_c^\infty(M, Y)$. So, each operator $T \in M$ admits the representation $T = \sum_m x_m^* \otimes \hat{y}_T^m$. Hence, the set $H = \{(\hat{y}_T^m)_m : T \in M\}$ is unconditionally summable uniformly for $T \in M$, that is to say, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $m_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ so that

$$\sum_{m \geq m_0} |\langle y^*, \hat{y}_T^m \rangle| < \varepsilon$$

for all $T \in M$ and all $y^* \in B_{Y^*}$. Now, consider the continuous linear map

$$\Phi: (y_m) \in \ell_u^1(Y) \longmapsto \sum_m x_m^* \otimes y_m \in \mathcal{N}_\infty(X, Y)$$

(here, $\ell_u^1(Y)$ denotes the space of the unconditionally summable sequences in Y) and notice that $M = \Phi(H)$, so M must be relatively compact.

By proposition 3.2, if $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ has the property (P) , then we have $\mathcal{N}_\infty \subset \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{H}$. Both operators ideals \mathcal{N}_∞ and \mathcal{H} enjoy that property, but the following question has no answer yet:

QUESTION 2. If $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ is a Banach ideal satisfying $\mathcal{N}_\infty \subset \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{H}$, does \mathcal{A} enjoy the property (P) or (P_0) ?

Of course, the answer is affirmative for every classical Banach ideal contained in that interval. Indeed, if $[\mathcal{A}, a]$ is another Banach ideal satisfying $\mathcal{N}_\infty \subset \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{H}$ and $M \subset \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ is such that the operator $V: x \in X \longmapsto (Tx)_{T \in M} \in \ell_c^\infty(M, Y)$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}(X, \ell_c^\infty(M, Y))$, then the operator

$$\widehat{V}: x \in X \longmapsto (j_Y(Tx))_{T \in M} \in \ell_c^\infty(M, \ell^\infty(B_{Y^*}))$$

is compact, j_Y being the canonical isometry $j_Y(y) = (\langle y^*, y \rangle)_{y^* \in Y^*}$. Since \mathcal{H} has the property (P) , we deduce that the set $j_Y(M) = \{j_Y \circ T : T \in M\}$ is relatively compact in $\mathcal{H}(X, \ell^\infty(B_{Y^*}))$. The injectivity of the Banach space $\ell^\infty(B_{Y^*})$ leads to $\mathcal{H}(X, \ell^\infty(B_{Y^*})) = \mathcal{A}(X, \ell^\infty(B_{Y^*}))$. In other words, we have shown that $M \subset \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ is relatively compact viewed as a subset of $\mathcal{A}(X, \ell^\infty(B_{Y^*}))$.

REFERENCES

1. Brooks, J. K., Saitô, K., Wright, J. D. M., *Operators on σ -complete C^* -algebras*, Quart. J. Math. 56 (2005), 301–310.
2. Emmanuele, G., *A dual characterization of Banach spaces not containing ℓ^1* , Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 34 (1986), 155–160.
3. Leavelle, T., *The Reciprocal Dunford-Pettis property*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.
4. Palmer, T. W., *Totally bounded sets of precompact operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 20 (1969), 101–106.
5. Ryan, R. A. *Dunford-Pettis properties*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. 27 (1979), 373–379.
6. Serrano, E., Piñeiro, C., Delgado, J. M., *Equicompact sets of operators defined on Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (2006), no. 3, 689–695.
7. Villanueva, I., *Completely continuous multilinear operators on $C(K)$ spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (2000), no. 3, 793–801.

8. Wright, J. M. D., Ylinen, K., *Multilinear maps on products of operator algebras*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 292 (2004), 558–570.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS EXPERIMENTALES
CAMPUS UNIVERSITARIO DE EL CARMEN
AVENIDA DE LAS FUERZAS ARMADAS, S/N
21071 HUELVA
SPAIN
E-mail: jmdelga@uhu.es, candido@uhu.es