

# APPROXIMATELY INNER DERIVATIONS

OLA BRATTELI, AKITAKA KISHIMOTO and DEREK W. ROBINSON

(Dedicated to the memory of Gert K. Pedersen)

## Abstract

Let  $\alpha$  be an approximately inner flow on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  with generator  $\delta$  and let  $\delta_n$  denote the bounded generators of the approximating flows  $\alpha^{(n)}$ . We analyze the structure of the set

$$\mathcal{D} = \{x \in D(\delta) : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n(x) = \delta(x)\}$$

of pointwise convergence of the generators. In particular we examine the relationship of  $\mathcal{D}$  and various cores related to spectral subspaces.

## 1. Introduction

The theory of flows on operator algebras has been largely motivated by models of quantum statistical mechanics in which the flow  $\alpha$  is usually constructed as the limit of a sequence  $(\alpha^{(n)})$  of local flows. The latter are typically given by inner one-parameter automorphism groups of the algebra  $A$  and the flow is correspondingly called approximately inner. In particular this is the situation for models of quantum spin systems in which the algebra of observables  $A$  is a UHF-algebra (see, for example, [9], Chapter 6). An early result of Sakai established [25] that if  $\delta$  is the generator of a flow  $\alpha$  on a UHF-algebra then there exists an increasing sequence  $(A_n)$  of finite dimensional  $C^*$ -subalgebras  $A_n$  of  $A$  such that  $A_n \subset D(\delta)$  and  $\bigcup_n A_n$  is dense in  $A$ . Furthermore, there exists a sequence  $(h_n)$  of elements  $h_n$  of the self-adjoint part  $A_{sa}$  of  $A$  such that  $\delta|_{A_n} = \text{ad}(ih_n)|_{A_n}$  (see [8], Example 3.2.25). If, in addition,  $\bigcup_n A_n$  is a core for  $\delta_\alpha$ , i.e.  $\bigcup_n A_n$  is dense in  $D(\delta)$  in the graph norm, then it follows that  $\alpha$  is approximately inner. In particular one has

$$(1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(x) - \alpha_t^{(n)}(x)\| = 0$$

for all  $x \in A$  where  $\alpha_t^{(n)} = e^{t\delta_n}$  with  $\delta_n = \text{ad}(ih_n)$ . This is a consequence of two general results. First the strong convergence (1) of the semigroups is equivalent to strong convergence of the resolvents  $(\iota \pm \delta_n)^{-1}$  to  $(\iota \pm \delta)^{-1}$  by the

Kato-Trotter theorem (see, for example, [14], Theorem IX.2.16). Explicitly (1) is equivalent to the condition

$$(2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\iota \pm \delta_n)^{-1}(x) - (\iota \pm \delta)^{-1}(x)\| = 0$$

for all  $x$  in a norm-dense subspace of  $A$ . Secondly, since  $\bigcup_n A_n \subset \mathcal{D}$ , where

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{D} = \{x \in D(\delta) : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n(x) = \delta(x)\},$$

it follows that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a core of  $\delta$ . In particular the subspaces  $(\iota \pm \delta)(\mathcal{D})$  are norm-dense in  $A$ . Then if  $y \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $x_{\pm} = (\iota \pm \delta)(y)$  one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\iota \pm \delta_n)^{-1}(x_{\pm}) - (\iota \pm \delta)^{-1}(x_{\pm})\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\delta_n - \delta)(y)\| = 0.$$

Therefore the strong resolvent convergence (2) and the equivalent semigroup convergence (1) is established. Thus the crucial feature in this argument is the core property of  $\mathcal{D}$ . This follows automatically if  $\bigcup_n A_n$  is a core.

Secondly, note that it does not follow in general from Sakai's construction that  $\bigcup_n A_n$  can be taken to be a core for  $\delta$ . This was an open problem for many years. The affirmative answer would imply that  $\alpha$  is approximately inner, and this was known as the Powers-Sakai conjecture. The problem was finally resolved in the negative in 2000 (see [20], Theorem 1.1). The counterexample is, however, an AF-algebra which is not UHF and the problem still seems to be open for UHF-algebras.

The purpose of this note is to analyze the convergence (1) for flows  $\alpha_t^{(n)} = e^{it\delta_n}$  and  $\alpha_t = e^{it\delta}$  on a general  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  by examining the structure of the set  $\mathcal{D}$  defined by (3). In particular we consider the relation between  $\mathcal{D}$  and various natural cores of  $\delta$ .

## 2. Cores and spectral subspaces

Let  $\alpha$  be a flow on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  with generator  $\delta_{\alpha}$ . Define the spectral subspace  $A^{\alpha}(K)$ , for each closed subset  $K$  of  $\mathbf{R}$ , as the Banach subspace spanned by the  $x \in A$  for which the conditions  $f \in L_1(\mathbf{R})$  and  $\text{supp } \hat{f} \cap K = \emptyset$  imply  $\alpha_f(x) = 0$ . (Here  $\hat{f}$  denotes the Fourier transform of  $f$ .) The  $\alpha$ -spectrum of  $x \in A$ , denoted by  $\text{Sp}_{\alpha}(x)$ , is defined to be the smallest closed subset  $K$  of  $\mathbf{R}$  such that  $x \in A^{\alpha}(K)$ . Elements with compact  $\alpha$ -spectra are referred to as geometric elements of  $\alpha$  and the subspace of geometric elements is denoted by  $A_G^{\alpha}$ . Explicitly,  $A_G^{\alpha} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A^{\alpha}([-n, n])$ . Note that each geometric element is automatically an entire analytic element of  $\alpha$ . Moreover,  $A_G^{\alpha}$  is a  $*$ -subalgebra of  $A$  and an  $\alpha$ -invariant core of  $\delta_{\alpha}$ .

The spectrum  $\text{Sp}(\alpha)$  of  $\alpha$  is defined to be the smallest closed subset  $K$  of  $\mathbf{R}$  such that  $A = A^\alpha(K)$ . The Connes spectrum  $\mathbf{R}(\alpha)$  of  $\alpha$  is defined to be the intersection of  $\text{Sp}(\alpha|_B)$  with  $B$  all non-zero  $\alpha$ -invariant hereditary  $C^*$ -algebras of  $A$ . It is known that  $\mathbf{R}(\alpha)$  is a closed subgroup of  $\mathbf{R}$ .

Our first result establishes under quite general conditions that the geometric elements of an approximately inner flow are not contained in the subspace  $\mathcal{D}$  of convergence.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $A$  be a separable  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\alpha$  an approximately inner flow with generator  $\delta_\alpha$ . Suppose there exists a faithful family of  $\alpha$ -covariant irreducible representations of  $A$  and that  $\mathbf{R}(\alpha) \neq \{0\}$ . Let  $(h_n)$  be a sequence of self-adjoint elements of  $A$  such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(x) - \text{Ad } e^{i h_n}(x)\| = 0$$

for all  $x \in A$  and let

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{D} = \{x \in D(\delta_\alpha) : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad } i h_n(x) = \delta_\alpha(x)\}.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{D} \not\supset A_G^\alpha.$$

**PROOF.** Under the assumptions of the theorem it follows that there is a faithful family of irreducible representations  $(\pi, \mathcal{H}_\pi)$  of  $A$  such that the representation

$$\bar{\pi} = \int_{\mathbf{R}}^{\oplus} dt \pi \circ \alpha_t$$

of  $A$  on  $L_2(\mathbf{R}; \mathcal{H}_\pi)$  is of type I with centre  $L_\infty(\mathbf{R})$  if  $\mathbf{R}(\alpha) = \mathbf{R}$  and  $L_\infty(\mathbf{R}/((2\pi p)^{-1}\mathbf{Z}))$  (as a subalgebra of  $L_\infty(\mathbf{R})$ ) if  $\mathbf{R}(\alpha) = p\mathbf{Z}$ . (This is Theorem 1.2 of [18] when  $A$  is prime. See Remark 2.3 when  $A$  is not prime.) Define a unitary flow  $U$  on  $L_2(\mathbf{R}; \mathcal{H}_\pi)$  by  $U_t \xi(s) = \xi(s + t)$ . Then  $U_t \bar{\pi}(x) U_t^* = \bar{\pi}(\alpha_t(x))$ . We denote by  $\bar{\alpha}_t$  the weakly continuous flow  $t \mapsto \text{Ad } U_t$  on  $\bar{\pi}(A)''$ .

Since  $A$  is separable, there is a countable faithful family of such irreducible representations. Let  $(\pi_i)$  be such a family. Let  $q \in \mathbf{R}(\alpha)$  and define  $z_i \in \bar{\pi}_i(A)'' \cap \bar{\pi}_i(A)' \subset L_\infty(\mathbf{R})$  by  $z_i(t) = e^{2\pi i q t}$ . Note that  $z_i$  satisfies  $\bar{\alpha}_t(z_i) = e^{2\pi i q t} z_i$ . Then there is a net  $(y_\mu)$  in the unit ball of  $A$  such that  $\bar{\pi}_i(y_\mu)$  converges to  $z_i$  in the  $*$ -strong topology for any  $i$  and  $\pi(y_\mu)$  converges to 0 weakly for any representation  $\pi$  disjoint from all  $\bar{\pi}_i$ . We may further suppose that the  $\alpha$ -spectrum of  $y_\mu$  decreases to  $\{q\}$ . Since  $A$  is separable and the direct sum of  $\bar{\pi}_i$  is a representation on a separable Hilbert space, one can choose a sequence  $(y_k)$  from the convex combinations of  $(y_\mu)$  such that  $(y_k)$  is a central sequence,  $\bar{\pi}_i(y_k)$  converges to  $z_i$  in the  $*$ -strong topology, and the  $\alpha$ -spectrum of  $y_k$

shrinks to  $\{q\}$ . Since  $\bar{\pi}_i(y_k y_k^*)$  converges to the identity for any  $i$  and the direct sum of  $\bar{\pi}_i$  is faithful, one may conclude that  $\|x y_k\|$  converges to  $\|x\|$  for any  $x \in A$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . We use this fact below.

Assume that  $\mathcal{D} \supset A^\alpha([-\varepsilon, \varepsilon])$  for an  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then it follows from the uniform boundedness theorem that there is constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$\|\operatorname{ad} ih_m|_{A^\alpha([-\varepsilon, \varepsilon])}\| < c$$

for all  $m$ . Choose  $x \in A^\alpha([q - \varepsilon/2, q + \varepsilon/2])$  such that  $\|x\| = 1$  where  $q \in \mathbf{R}(\alpha)$  satisfies  $q > c + \varepsilon$ . By the arguments in the previous paragraph one can find a central sequence  $(y_k)$  in  $A$  such that  $\|y_k\| \leq 1$ ,  $\operatorname{Sp}_\alpha(y_k) \subset \langle -q - \varepsilon/2, -q + \varepsilon/2 \rangle$  and  $\bar{\pi}(y_k y_k^*)$  converges weakly to the identity as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $\|x y_k\| \leq 1$ ,  $\|x y_k\| \rightarrow 1$  and  $\operatorname{Sp}_\alpha(x y_k) \subset \langle -\varepsilon, \varepsilon \rangle$ . Hence  $\|\operatorname{ad} ih_m(x y_k)\| < c$  and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\operatorname{ad} ih_m(x y_k) - \operatorname{ad} ih_m(x) y_k\| = 0 \quad .$$

Since  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|\operatorname{ad} ih_m(x) - \delta_\alpha(x)\| = 0$  and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\delta_\alpha(x) y_k\| = \|\delta_\alpha(x)\|$  it follows that  $\|\operatorname{ad} ih_m(x y_k)\| > \|\delta_\alpha(x)\| - \varepsilon/2 \geq q - \varepsilon > c$  for all sufficiently large  $m$  and  $k$ . This contradicts the bound  $\|\operatorname{ad} ih_m(x y_k)\| < c$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{D} \not\supset \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A^\alpha([-n, n])$ .

**REMARK 2.2.** The foregoing proof establishes a slightly stronger statement: If  $\mathcal{D}$  contains  $A^\alpha([-\varepsilon, \varepsilon])$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then  $\mathcal{D} \cap A^\alpha([q - \varepsilon/2, q + \varepsilon/2]) = \{0\}$  for all large  $q \in \mathbf{R}(\alpha)$ .

**REMARK 2.3.** In Theorem 2.1 we assumed the condition

- (i) there exists a faithful family of  $\alpha$ -covariant irreducible representations of  $A$ .

The essential requirement is, however, a consequence of the assumption

- (ii) there exists a faithful family  $\{\pi_i\}$  of irreducible representations of  $A$  such that  $\bar{\pi}_i$  is of type I and the spectrum of  $\bar{\alpha}$  on the center  $\bar{\pi}_i(A)'' \cap \bar{\pi}_i(A)'$ , which we denote by  $\Delta(\pi)$ , is  $\mathbf{R}(\alpha)$ , where  $\bar{\pi}_i$  and  $\bar{\alpha}$  are defined in the above proof.

As we asserted above (i) implies (ii). To confirm this assertion let us define  $\mathbf{R}_2(\alpha)$  to be the set of  $p \in \mathbf{R}$  satisfying: for any non-zero  $x \in A$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an  $a \in A^\alpha([p - \varepsilon, p + \varepsilon])$  such that  $\|a\| = 1$  and  $\|x(a + a^*)x^*\| \geq (2 - \varepsilon)\|x\|^2$ . It is obvious that  $\mathbf{R}_2(\alpha)$  is a closed subset of  $\mathbf{R}(\alpha)$ . Furthermore one can show that the inclusion  $\mathbf{R}_2(\alpha) \supset \bigcap_{\pi \in \mathcal{F}} \Delta(\pi)$  holds for any faithful family  $\mathcal{F}$  of irreducible representations of  $A$  and the equality holds for some (see [17], Proposition 1). Consider the conditions

- (i')  $\mathbf{R}_2(\hat{\alpha}) = \mathbf{R}$

and

$$(ii') \ R_2(\alpha) = \mathbf{R}.$$

One can show that (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (i')  $\Rightarrow$  (ii')  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii). If  $A$  is prime all these conditions are equivalent [18]. The equivalences of (i) with (i') and (ii) with (ii') are a kind of duality and these equivalences are straightforward. The only implication which is not explicitly given in the non-prime case seems to be (i')  $\Rightarrow$  (ii'). The arguments we adopt here are given in the proof of Theorem 3.3 of [16]. Let  $B = A \times_{\alpha} \mathbf{R}$ , let  $H$  be a discrete subgroup of  $\mathbf{R}(\alpha)$ , and let  $\beta = \hat{\alpha}|_H$ . Then it follows from (i') that there is a faithful family of covariant irreducible representations for  $(B \times_{\beta} H, \hat{H}, \hat{\beta})$  and it follows from  $H \subset \mathbf{R}(\alpha)$  that  $H(\hat{\beta}) = H$ . Let  $x \in B \times_{\beta} H$ . For  $p \in H^{\perp}$  and any compact neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$  in  $\mathbf{R}$  one can show from (i') that

$$\sup\{\|x(a + a^*)x^*\| : a \in B^{\hat{\alpha}}(U), \|a\| = 1\} = 2\|x\|^2.$$

Moreover, for  $s \in H$  one can show by using Glimm's type of theorem for the compact dynamical system  $(B \times_{\beta} H, \hat{H}, \hat{\beta})$  [6] that

$$\sup\{\|x(a + a^*)x^*\| : a \in B\lambda(s)\} = 2\|x\|^2,$$

where  $H \ni s \rightarrow \lambda(s)$  is the canonical unitary group in the multiplier algebra of  $B \times_{\beta} H$  implementing  $\beta$ . Using these two conditions one can construct a faithful family  $\{\pi_i\}$  of irreducible representations of  $B \times_{\beta} H$  such that  $\pi_i$  restricts to an irreducible representation  $\rho_i$  of  $B$  and  $\tilde{\rho}_i$  which is the direct integral of  $\rho_i \hat{\alpha}_p$ ,  $p \in \mathbf{R}$  is of type I with  $\Delta(\rho_i) = H^{\perp}$ . Then, by Lemma 5 of [17], the duality implies that  $\mathbf{R}_2(\alpha) \supset H$ . Since  $H$  is an arbitrary discrete subgroup of  $\mathbf{R}(\alpha)$  one can conclude that  $\mathbf{R}_2(\alpha) = \mathbf{R}(\alpha)$ .

It follows automatically from the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 that one has  $\mathcal{D} \not\supset D$  for any core  $D$  of  $\delta_{\alpha}$  which contains the geometric elements  $A_G^{\alpha}$ . In particular  $\mathcal{D}$  cannot contain the analytic elements, or the  $C^{\infty}$  elements, of  $\alpha$ . In addition one cannot construct a core in  $\mathcal{D}$  by regularization of the subspace of geometric elements since the following lemma establishes that the subspace is unchanged by regularization with respect to the flow.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let  $A_G^{\alpha}$  denote the geometric elements of the flow  $\alpha$  on the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} A_G^{\alpha} &= \{\alpha_f(x) : x \in A_G^{\alpha}, f \in L_1(\mathbf{R})\} \\ &= \text{span}\{\alpha_f(x) : x \in A_G^{\alpha}, f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})\}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. First  $x \in A^{\alpha}(K)$  if and only if  $g \in L_1(\mathbf{R})$  and  $(\text{supp } \hat{g}) \cap K = \emptyset$  imply  $\alpha_g(x) = 0$ . But if  $x \in A^{\alpha}(K)$  and  $f \in L_1(\mathbf{R})$  then  $\alpha_g(\alpha_f(x)) = \alpha_{f * g}(x)$ .

Moreover,  $\text{supp}(\widehat{f * g}) \subseteq \text{supp} \hat{g}$ . Therefore  $\alpha_g(\alpha_f(x)) = 0$  and one deduces that  $\alpha_f(x) \in A^\alpha(K)$ . Hence

$$\{\alpha_f(x) : x \in A_G^\alpha, f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R})\} \subseteq \{\alpha_f(x) : x \in A_G^\alpha, f \in L_1(\mathbf{R})\} \subseteq A_G^\alpha.$$

Next if  $x \in A^\alpha(K)$  and  $f, g \in L_1(\mathbf{R})$  with  $\hat{f} = \hat{g} = 1$  on an open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $K$  then  $\alpha_f(x) = \alpha_g(x)$  (see, for example, [8], Lemma 3.2.38). Replacing  $g$  by an approximate identity, with the Fourier transform equal to one on  $U$ , and taking the limit one deduces that  $\alpha_f(x) = x$ . Therefore

$$A_G^\alpha = \{\alpha_f(x) : x \in A_G^\alpha, f \in L_1(\mathbf{R})\}.$$

But the same argument also gives

$$A_G^\alpha = \{\alpha_f(x) : x \in A_G^\alpha, f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})\}$$

where  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$  is the usual Schwartz space. Finally, if  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$  then it follows from the Rubel-Squires-Taylor factorization theorem [24] (see also [13]) that there exists a finite set of  $g_i \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  and  $h_i \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$  such that  $f = \sum g_i * h_i$ . In particular  $\alpha_f(x) = \sum \alpha_{g_i}(y_i)$  with  $y_i = \alpha_{h_i}(x)$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} A_G^\alpha &= \{\alpha_f(x) : x \in A_G^\alpha, f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})\} \\ &\subseteq \text{span}\{\alpha_g(y) : y \in A_G^\alpha, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R})\} \\ &\subseteq \text{span}\{\alpha_g(y) : y \in A_G^\alpha, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})\} = A_G^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete.

Despite these observations Example 3.6 illustrates that many approximately inner flows of interest in mathematical physics are such that the subspace of convergence  $\mathcal{D}$  contains a dense invariant set of analytic elements.

It is remarkable that under the conditions of Theorem 2.1 convergence of a sequence of bounded derivations on the subspace  $A_G^\alpha$  automatically implies boundedness of the limit derivation, at least if  $A$  is prime:

**COROLLARY 2.5.** *Let  $A$  be a separable prime  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\alpha$  a flow. Suppose there exists a faithful family of  $\alpha$ -covariant irreducible representations of  $A$  and that  $\mathbf{R}(\alpha) \neq \{0\}$ .*

*Suppose that there is a sequence  $(b_n)$  of self-adjoint elements of  $A$  such that the limits*

$$\delta(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad } i b_n(x)$$

*exist for all  $x \in A_G^\alpha$ . Then  $x \in A_G^\alpha \mapsto \delta(x) \in A$  extends to a bounded  $*$ -derivation on  $A$ .*

PROOF. It follows from the uniform boundedness theorem that the norm of  $b_n|_{A^\alpha([-k,k])}$  is bounded as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for each  $k$ . Hence  $\delta|_{A^\alpha([-k,k])}$  is bounded. Then, by [15],  $\delta$  is closable and its closure  $\bar{\delta}$  generates a flow  $\beta$ .

By [19] there is a faithful covariant representation  $(\pi, U)$  of  $A$  such that the flow  $\bar{\alpha} : t \mapsto \text{Ad } U_t$  on the factor  $M = \pi(A)''$  has Connes spectrum  $\mathbf{R}(\alpha)$ , e.g.  $\pi$  may be a type  $\text{II}_\infty$  representation extending the tracial representation of a UHF algebra (with a non-trivial UHF flow) “embedded” in  $A$ ; here we need  $A$  to be prime. Let  $f \in L_1(\mathbf{R})$  be an integrable real-valued function such that the Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  has compact support and define

$$\delta_f = \int_{\mathbf{R}} dt f(t)\alpha_t\delta\alpha_{-t}.$$

The closure  $\bar{\delta}_f$  of  $\delta_f$  is also a generator and we denote by  $\beta_f$  the flow generated by  $\bar{\delta}_f$ . By [5] the  $\alpha$ -covariant representation  $\pi$  is also  $\beta_f$ -covariant. If  $f \in L_1(\mathbf{R})$  satisfies  $\hat{f}(0) = 0$  then  $\delta_f$  is bounded. Moreover, there is a constant  $c > 0$  such that  $\|\delta_f\| \leq c\|f\|_1$  for such  $f$ .

We fix a positive function  $f \in L_1(\mathbf{R})$  such that  $\text{supp}(\hat{f})$  is compact and  $\hat{f}(0) = \int dt f(t) = 1$  and define  $\delta_n$  as the closure of  $1/n \int dt f(t/n)\alpha_t\delta\alpha_{-t}$ . Define  $\Delta_n$  to be the weak extension of  $x \mapsto \pi\delta_n(x)$  on  $\pi(\mathcal{D}(\delta_n))$ , i.e. the generator of the weak extension of the flow on  $\pi(A)$  induced by  $\delta_n$ . Since  $\|\Delta_n - \Delta_1\| \leq 2c$ , we take a limit point  $d$  of the sequence of derivations  $\Delta_n - \Delta_1 : \pi(A)'' \rightarrow \pi(A)''$  with pointwise weak topology. Thus the limit  $d$  is a derivation on  $M$ . Then by general theory  $d$  is an inner derivation on  $M$ . Hence  $\Delta_1 + d$  is a generator. Since  $\Delta_1 + d$  is a limit point of  $\Delta_n : \mathcal{D}(\Delta_1) \rightarrow M$  and  $\|\bar{\alpha}_t\Delta_n(x) - \Delta_n\bar{\alpha}_t(x)\| \rightarrow 0$ ,  $x \in M_G^\alpha$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we conclude that  $\Delta_1 + d$  commutes with  $\bar{\alpha}$ . By the arguments originating in [21] we argue that  $\Delta_1 + d$  generates a flow which is a bounded perturbation of a scaled  $\bar{\alpha}$  as follows: Let  $\gamma$  be the flow on  $M$  generated by  $\Delta_1 + d$ . We denote by  $\bar{\alpha} \otimes \gamma$  the action of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  on  $M$  defined by  $(s, t) \mapsto \bar{\alpha}_s\gamma_t$ . Since the spectrum of  $\bar{\alpha} \otimes \gamma$  is bounded on each  $\{p\} \times \mathbf{R}$  and the Connes spectrum  $\mathbf{R}(\bar{\alpha} \otimes \gamma)$  is included in  $\mathbf{R}(\alpha) \times \mathbf{R}$ , there is a constant  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$  such that  $\mathbf{R}(\bar{\alpha} \otimes \gamma) = \{(p, \lambda p) : p \in \mathbf{R}(\alpha)\}$ . Since  $\text{Sp}(\bar{\alpha} \otimes \gamma) + \mathbf{R}(\bar{\alpha} \otimes \gamma) = \text{Sp}(\bar{\alpha} \otimes \gamma)$ , we conclude that  $\gamma$  is a bounded perturbation of the flow  $t \mapsto \bar{\alpha}_{\lambda t}$  or the flow on  $A$  generated by  $\delta_1$  is a bounded perturbation of the flow  $t \mapsto \alpha_{\lambda t}$ .

On the other hand define  $\delta'_n$  to be the closure of  $n \int dt f(nt)\alpha_t\delta\alpha_{-t}$  and  $\Delta'_n$  to be the weak extension of  $x \mapsto \pi(\delta'_n(x))$ . Then by the same token we have that  $\|\Delta_1 - \Delta'_n\| \leq 2c$ . Note that  $\mathcal{D}(\Delta_1) = \mathcal{D}(\Delta'_n)$  and  $\|\pi(\delta_1(x) - \delta'_n(x))\| \leq 2c\|\pi(x)\|$  for  $x \in \mathcal{D}(\delta_1)$ . We then conclude that there is a derivation  $d'$  of  $M$  such that  $\pi(\delta_1(x)) - d'(\pi(x)) = \pi(\delta(x))$  for  $x \in \pi(A_G^\alpha)$ . Since  $A_G^\alpha$  is dense in  $A$ , this implies that  $d'$  leaves  $\pi(A)$  invariant. Hence, since  $\pi$  is faithful,

$x \in A_G^\alpha \mapsto \delta_1(x) - \delta(x)$  extends to a derivation of  $A$ . Combining this with the result in the previous paragraph, the flow  $\beta$  (generated by  $\bar{\delta}$ ) is a bounded perturbation of  $t \mapsto \alpha_{\lambda t}$ . If  $\lambda \neq 0$ , this would imply that  $\delta_\alpha|_{A_G^\alpha}$  is approximated by inner derivations, which contradicts Theorem 2.1. Hence  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\beta$  is uniformly continuous, or  $\bar{\delta}$  is bounded.

Theorem 2.1 can be reformulated in various ways. The assumption of the existence of a faithful family of  $\alpha$ -covariant irreducible representations is likely to follow from the approximate innerness of  $\alpha$  alone. For example, if each non-zero ideal of  $A$  has a non-zero projection, this follows because there exist ground states for a perturbed  $\alpha$  restricted to an invariant unital hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra. With different arguments we can show this is also a consequence of a property of the ideal structure of the  $C^*$ -algebra.

**PROPOSITION 2.6.** *Let  $A$  be a separable  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\alpha$  an approximately inner flow. Suppose that  $A$  has at most countably many ideals. Then there exists a faithful family of  $\alpha$ -covariant irreducible representations of  $A$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $(h_n)$  be a sequence in  $A_{sa}$  such that

$$\alpha_t(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad } e^{i t h_n}(x)$$

uniformly in  $t$  on every bounded interval of  $\mathbf{R}$  for all  $x \in A$ .

Let  $\gamma$  denote the flow on  $C_0(\mathbf{R}, A)$ , the  $C^*$ -algebra of continuous functions into  $A$  vanishing at infinity, induced by translation;  $\gamma_t(x)(s) = x(s + t)$ ,  $x \in C_0(\mathbf{R}, A)$  and let  $\hat{\alpha}$  denote the dual action of  $\mathbf{R}$  on the crossed product  $A \times_\alpha \mathbf{R}$ .

We denote by  $\mathbf{N}^+$  the one-point compactification of  $\mathbf{N}$ ;  $\infty$  is the newly added point. We assign the dynamical system  $(C_0(\mathbf{R}, A), \gamma)$  to each point  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $(A \times_\alpha \mathbf{R}, \hat{\alpha})$  to  $\infty \in \mathbf{N}^+$ . We assert that they form a *continuous field of dynamical systems over  $\mathbf{N}^+$* .

We define a map  $\phi_n$  of  $C_c(\mathbf{R}, A)$ , the space of continuous functions on  $\mathbf{R}$  into  $A$  with compact support, into  $C_0(\mathbf{R}, A)$  by

$$\phi_n(f)(p) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} dt f(t) e^{i t (h_n + p)} = \hat{f}(p + h_n).$$

We note that  $\phi_n(f)\phi_n(g) = \phi_n(f *_{\mathbf{R}} g)$  and  $\phi_n(f)^* = \phi_n(f^{*\mathbf{R}})$ , where

$$f *_{\mathbf{R}} g(t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} ds f(s) \text{Ad } e^{i s h_n}(g(t - s))$$

and  $f^{*\mathbf{R}}(t) = \text{Ad } e^{i t h_n}(f(-t))$ . We denote by  $\phi_\infty$  the natural embedding of  $C_c(\mathbf{R}, A)$  into  $A \times_\alpha \mathbf{R}$ , which is given by

$$\phi_\infty(f) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} dt f(t) \lambda_t,$$



where  $t \mapsto \lambda_t$  is the canonical unitary flow implementing  $\alpha$  in the multiplier algebra of  $A \times_\alpha \mathbf{R}$ . We note that  $\phi_\infty(f)\phi_\infty(g) = \phi_\infty(f * g)$  and  $\phi_\infty(f)^* = \phi_\infty(f^*)$ , where

$$f * g(t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} dt f(s)\alpha_s(g(t-s))$$

and  $f^*(t) = \alpha_t(f(-t))$ . Note that  $f *_n g$  converges to  $f * g$  (resp.  $f^{*n}$  to  $f^*$ ) uniformly as continuous functions of support contained in  $\text{supp}(f) + \text{supp}(g)$  (resp.  $-\text{supp}(f)$ ). In particular  $\|\phi_n(f *_n g) - \phi_n(f * g)\| \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|\phi_n(f^{*n}) - \phi_n(f^*)\| \rightarrow 0$ .

For  $f \in C_c(\mathbf{R}, A)$  and  $q \in \mathbf{R}$  we define  $f_q \in C_c(\mathbf{R}, A)$  by  $f_q(t) = f(t)e^{iqt}$ . Then  $\gamma_q(\phi_n(f)) = \phi_n(f_q)$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $\hat{\alpha}_q(\phi_\infty(f)) = \phi_\infty(f_q)$ . The assertion made above comprises this fact and the following:

LEMMA 2.7. *If  $f \in C_c(\mathbf{R}, A)$  then  $n \in \mathbf{N}^+ \mapsto \|\phi_n(f)\|$  is continuous. The range of  $\phi_n$  is dense in  $C_0(\mathbf{R}, A)$  if  $n < \infty$  or in  $A \times_\alpha \mathbf{R}$  if  $n = \infty$ .*

The only non-trivial claim is that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_n(f)\| = \|\phi_\infty(f)\|$  for  $f \in C_c(\mathbf{R}, A)$ . Let  $\rho(f) = \limsup \|\phi_n(f)\|$ . Then it follows that  $\rho$  defines a  $C^*$ -seminorm on  $C_c(\mathbf{R}, A)$  as a  $*$ -subalgebra of  $A \times_\alpha \mathbf{R}$ . This fact follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(f * g) &= \limsup \|\phi_n(f * g)\| = \limsup \|\phi_n(f *_n g)\| \\ &\leq \limsup \|\phi_n(f)\| \|\phi_n(g)\| \leq \rho(f)\rho(g), \end{aligned}$$

$$\rho(f^* * f) = \limsup \|\phi_n(f^{*n} *_n f)\| = \limsup \|\phi_n(f)\|^2 = \rho(f)^2,$$

etc.

Since  $\rho \hat{\alpha}_p = \rho$  and  $\rho$  is non-zero on a non-zero element  $ag$  with  $a \in A$  and  $g \in C_c(\mathbf{R})$ , one concludes that  $\rho$  is a norm, i.e.  $\rho(f) = \|\phi_\infty(f)\|$ . Since the same statement holds for any subsequence of  $(\phi_n)$ , the claim follows.

Let  $a \in A$  and  $g \in C_c(\mathbf{R})$  and define  $x \in C_c(\mathbf{R}, A)$  by  $x(t) = ag(t)$ . Then  $\phi_n(x)(p) = a \int_{\mathbf{R}} dt g(t)e^{it(h_n+p)} = a \hat{g}(h_n + p)$ . Note that  $p \mapsto \|\phi_n(x)(p)\|$  is a continuous function on  $\mathbf{R}$  vanishing at  $\infty$ .

If  $a \neq 0$  and  $g \neq 0$ , then  $\phi_\infty(x) \neq 0$ . For any  $\theta \in (0, \|\phi_\infty(x)\|)$ , and for all large  $n$ , we find the smallest  $p_n \in \mathbf{R}$  such that  $\|\phi_n(x)(p_n)\| = \theta$ . Define a seminorm  $\rho$  on  $C_c(\mathbf{R}, A)$  by

$$\rho(f) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{p \leq p_n} \|\phi_n(f)(p)\|,$$

which extends to a  $C^*$ -seminorm on  $A \times_\alpha \mathbf{R}$ . Note that  $\rho(x) = \theta < \|\phi_\infty(x)\|$  and  $q \mapsto \rho \hat{\alpha}_q(f)$  is increasing (because  $\gamma_q \phi_n(f)(p) = \phi_n(f_q)(p) = \phi_n(f)(p + q)$ ).

Let

$$\rho_{-\infty}(f) = \lim_{q \rightarrow -\infty} \rho_{\hat{\alpha}_q}(f),$$

which defines a  $C^*$ -seminorm on  $A \times_{\alpha} \mathbf{R}$ .

Suppose that  $\rho_{-\infty} \neq \rho$ . Since  $\text{Ker } \rho \subsetneq \text{Ker } \rho_{-\infty}$ , we take an irreducible representation  $\pi$  of the quotient  $\text{Ker } \rho_{-\infty} / \text{Ker } \rho$  and regard it as an irreducible representation of  $A \times_{\alpha} \mathbf{R}$ . Then  $\text{Ker } \pi \hat{\alpha}_p \neq \text{Ker } \pi$  for  $p \neq 0$ ; if  $\text{Ker } \pi \hat{\alpha}_p = \text{Ker } \pi$  for some  $p \neq 0$ , then  $\text{Ker } \pi$  contains  $\text{Ker } \rho \hat{\alpha}_q$  for any  $q$  (as  $\text{Ker } \pi \supset \text{Ker } \rho$ ), from which follows that  $\pi|_{\text{Ker } \rho_{-\infty}} = 0$ , a contradiction. This implies that the center of  $\bar{\pi}$  (as defined as the direct integral of  $\pi \hat{\alpha}_p$  over  $p \in \mathbf{R}$  as before) is  $L_{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ , which in turn implies that the representation  $\pi$  of  $A \times_{\alpha} \mathbf{R}$  is induced from an  $\alpha$ -covariant irreducible representation of  $A$ .

Suppose that  $\rho_{-\infty} = \rho$ , which implies that  $\rho \hat{\alpha}_q = \rho$  for all  $q$ . Then there is an  $\alpha$ -invariant ideal  $I$  of  $A$  such that  $\text{Ker } \rho$  is described as  $I \times_{\alpha} \mathbf{R}$ . For each  $\theta \in \langle 0, \|\phi_{\infty}(x)\| \rangle$  we have defined a seminorm  $\rho = \rho_{\theta}$  and an ideal  $I = I_{\theta}$  of  $A$  if  $\rho_{\theta}$  is  $\hat{\alpha}$ -invariant. Note that if  $\rho_{\theta}$  and  $\rho_{\mu}$  are  $\hat{\alpha}$ -invariant for  $\theta \neq \mu$ , then  $I_{\theta} \neq I_{\mu}$ . If all  $\rho_{\theta}$  are  $\hat{\alpha}$ -invariant, we thus obtain a continuous family of ideals of  $A$ , which contradicts the assumption. Thus there is a  $\theta$  such that  $\rho_{\theta}$  is not  $\hat{\alpha}$ -invariant.

Thus we obtain an  $\alpha$ -covariant irreducible representation  $\pi$  of  $A$ . If  $\text{Ker } \pi$  is non-zero, we apply this argument to  $\text{Ker } \pi$  and  $\alpha|_{\text{Ker } \pi}$ , which is an approximately inner flow on a  $C^*$ -algebra with at most countably many ideals. By induction one concludes that there is a faithful family of  $\alpha$ -covariant irreducible representations.

### 3. AF and UHF algebras

In this section we examine some properties of cores of generators for AF and UHF algebras. First we note that if  $\alpha$  is an approximately inner flow on an AF-algebra then one may choose the sequence  $(h_n)$  which defines the generators of the approximating flows in such a way that the subspace  $\mathcal{D}$  defined by (3) is dense in  $A$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Let  $A$  be an AF algebra and  $\alpha$  an approximately inner flow on  $A$  with generator  $\delta_{\alpha}$ . Then there exists a sequence  $(h_n)$  in  $A_{sa}$  such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(x) - \text{Ad } e^{ith_n}(x)\| = 0$$

for all  $x \in A$  and

$$\mathcal{D} = \{x \in D(\delta_{\alpha}) : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad } i h_n(x) = \delta_{\alpha}(x)\}$$

is dense in  $A$ .

REMARK 3.2. Even without assuming the existence of  $(h_n)$  it follows from the result of Sakai mentioned in the introduction [25] that there exists an increasing sequence  $A_n$  of finite dimensional  $C^*$ -subalgebras of  $A$  such that  $A_n \subset D(\delta_\alpha)$  and  $\bigcup_n A_n$  is dense in  $A$ . This is true even if  $\delta$  is not assumed to be a generator, but only a closed derivation ([7], Theorem 11). Furthermore, there exists a sequence  $h_n$  in  $A_{sa}$  such that  $\delta|_{A_n} = \text{ad}(ih_n)|_{A_n}$  (see [8], Example 3.2.25). If  $\delta = \delta_\alpha$  is a generator and  $\bigcup_n A_n$  is a core for  $\delta_\alpha$  then the assertion in Proposition 3.1 follows with  $(h_n)$  equal to this sequence since in this case  $\bigcup_n A_n \subset \mathcal{D}$ . In the absence of the assumption on the existence of  $h_n$ , however, it does not in general follow from the generator property of  $\delta$  that  $\bigcup_n A_n$  can be taken to be a core for  $\delta$  or that  $h_n$  exists (see again [20], Theorem 1.1).

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.1. Since  $\alpha$  is approximately inner there exists a sequence  $d_n = d_n^*$  in  $A_{sa}$  such that  $\delta_\alpha$  is the graph limit of  $\text{ad}(id_n)$ . This means that for each  $x$  in  $D(\delta_\alpha)$  there exists a sequence  $(x_n)$  of  $x_n \in A$  such that

$$(5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\text{ad}(id_n)(x_n) - \delta_\alpha(x)\| = 0$$

This condition is actually equivalent to each of the equivalent conditions (1) and (2) in the introduction (see [8], Theorem 3.1.28).

To prove the proposition we next choose an increasing sequence  $B_n$  of finite dimensional sub-algebras by Sakai's result as in the preceding remark such that  $\bigcup_n B_n \subset D(\delta_\alpha)$ . Then we are going to modify  $d_n$  to  $h_n$  by passing to a subsequence of  $d_n$  such that the conclusion of Proposition 3.1 is valid.

First, fix an  $n$  and let  $\mathbf{X}$  be a set of matrix units for  $B_n$  (see e.g. [12], Chapter III). Now for each matrix unit  $x$  in  $B_n$  there exists, as remarked above, a sequence  $x_m$  in  $A$  such that

$$(6) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|x_m - x\| + \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|\text{ad}(id_m)(x_m) - \delta_\alpha(x)\| = 0$$

Using Glimm's technique we may furthermore use spectral theory to modify the approximants  $x_m$  for each fixed  $m$  such that the set of these approximants form a set of matrix units isomorphic to  $\mathbf{X}$  for each  $m$ . This is possible if we go so far out in the sequences indexed by  $m$  that the approximation to  $x$  is good enough (see, for example, [12], Section III.3, or [10], Section 2). If  $\mathbf{X}_m$  denotes the corresponding sequences of matrix units, we then have

$$(7) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{X}_m - \mathbf{X}\| = 0$$

However by careful scrutiny of the proof in [12] or [10] one may choose the

approximants to  $\mathbf{X}$  such that also

$$(8) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \| \text{ad}(id_m)(\mathbf{X}_m) - \delta_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) \| = 0.$$

Hence

$$(9) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \| \mathbf{X}_m - \mathbf{X} \| + \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \| \text{ad}(id_m)(\mathbf{X}_m) - \delta_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) \| = 0$$

(The norms in these relations are defined by taking the maximum of the finite number of norms obtained by replacing the sets  $\mathbf{X}$  by the individual matrix elements  $x$  in  $\mathbf{X}$ .)

The reason for the convergence of the derivatives is that the matrix elements are obtained by applying functional calculus by smooth functions in  $D(\delta)$ . We use [8], Theorem 3.2.32, (or alternatively [23], or [2] and [3]) to deduce that if  $\delta$  is any closed derivation and  $x = x^*$  is in  $D(\delta)$  then  $f(x)$  is in  $D(\delta)$ . Since  $f(x)$  only depends on the definition of  $f$  on  $\text{Spec}(x)$  we may assume

$$\| \| f \| \| = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp |\hat{f}(p)| |p| < \infty$$

where  $\hat{f}$  is the Fourier transform of  $f$ . Then

$$f(x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \hat{f}(p) e^{ipx}$$

and

$$\delta(f(x)) = i(2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \hat{f}(p) p \int_0^1 dt e^{itpx} \delta(x) e^{i(1-t)px}.$$

Hence

$$(10) \quad \| \delta(f(x)) \| \leq \| \| f \| \| \| \delta(x) \|.$$

We need the following lemma:

LEMMA 3.3. *Let  $\delta_n$  be a sequence of closed derivations on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  with graph limit  $\delta$  and  $(x_n)$  a sequence of elements of  $A$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \| x_n - x \| + \| \delta_n(x_n) - \delta(x) \| = 0$ . Further let  $f_n$  be a sequence of functions converging to  $f$  with respect to the semi-norm  $\| \| \cdot \| \|$ .*

*It follows that*

$$(11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \| \delta_n(f_n(x_n)) - \delta(f(x)) \| = 0$$

PROOF. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_n(f_n(x_n)) - \delta(f(x)) &= i(2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \hat{f}_n(p) p \int_0^1 dt e^{itpx} \delta_n(x_n) e^{i(1-t)px} \\ &\quad - i(2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \hat{f}(p) p \int_0^1 dt e^{itpx} \delta(x) e^{i(1-t)px} \\ &= i(2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp (\hat{f}_n(p) - \hat{f}(p)) p \int_0^1 dt e^{itpx} \delta_n(x_n) e^{i(1-t)px} \\ &\quad + i(2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \hat{f}(p) p \int_0^1 dt e^{itpx} (\delta_n(x_n) - \delta(x)) e^{i(1-t)px} \end{aligned}$$

from which one deduces that

$$(12) \quad \|\delta_n(f_n(x_n)) - \delta(f(x))\| \leq \|f_n - f\| \|\delta_n(x_n)\| + \|f\| \|\delta_n(x_n) - \delta(x)\|.$$

The conclusion of the lemma follows immediately.

REMARK 3.4. The semi-norm  $\|f\|$  occurring in (10) and (12) can be estimated by noting that  $|p|(1 + p^2)^{1/2} \leq 2(1 + p^4)^{1/2}$  and using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Hence

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} \|f\|^2 &\leq 4 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp (1 + p^2)^{-1} \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp |\hat{f}(p)|^2 (1 + p^4) \right) \\ &= 2\pi (\|f''\|_2^2 + \|f\|_2^2). \end{aligned}$$

It then follows that the space of functions with  $\|f\| < \infty$  contains the Sobolev space  $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R})$ . But these estimates are not optimal (see [2], [3], [1], [4]).

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.1 CONTINUED. We fix a set  $\mathbf{X}^n$  of matrix units for  $B_n$  and suppose that we have obtained a sequence  $(\mathbf{X}_m^n)$  of matrix units for each  $\mathbf{X}^n$  by the arguments illustrated above. We then find a sequence  $(u_{n,m})$  of unitaries in  $A$  such that

$$(14) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{n,m} - \mathbb{1}\| = 0$$

and

$$(15) \quad u_{n,m} \mathbf{X}^n u_{n,m}^* = \mathbf{X}_m^n$$

where we again interpret (15) as the set of relations obtained by replacing  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_m^n$ ,  $\mathbf{X}^n$  by each the matrix elements  $x$  in  $\mathbf{X}$ . We can choose a subsequence

$(m(n))$  such that  $\|u_{n,m(n)} - \mathbb{1}\| < 1/n$  and  $\|(\text{ad}(id_{m(n)}) \text{Ad } u_{n,m(n)} - \delta)|B_n\| < 1/n$ . Then it follows that  $\|\hat{\delta}_n(x) - \delta(x)\| \rightarrow 0$  for all  $x \in \bigcup_k B_k$  where

$$(16) \quad \hat{\delta}_n(x) = u_{n,m(n)}^* \text{ad}(id_{m(n)})(u_{n,m(n)} x u_{n,m(n)}^*) u_{n,m(n)},$$

and hence

$$(17) \quad \hat{\delta}_n = \text{ad}(i u_{n,m(n)}^* d_{m(n)} u_{n,m(n)}).$$

Since  $\|u_{n,m(n)} - 1\| \rightarrow 1$ , one concludes that  $\hat{\delta}_n$  converges to  $\delta$  in the graph norm. This concludes the proof.

REMARK 3.5. As we have already said after Proposition 3.1, if the increasing family of finite dimensional sub-algebras constitute a core for  $\delta$ , there is nothing more to prove. So Proposition 3.1 only tells something new when the increasing family is not a core, or  $D(\delta)$  is not an AF Banach algebra in the graph norm. We do not know in general whether we can choose approximating inner derivations converging pointwise on a core for  $\delta$ .

The method of constructing the modified sequence again goes back to Glimm, and is expanded in Section II.3 in [12] and in Section 2 in [10].

Although Theorem 2.1 established under quite general conditions that the convergence subspace  $\mathcal{D}$  cannot contain the analytic elements of the flow  $\alpha$  the next example shows that there are many examples in which  $\mathcal{D}$  contains an  $\alpha$ -invariant dense subspace of analytic elements. The following example is a flow constructed on a one-dimensional lattice. In mathematical physics terms  $A$  is the algebra of observables of a one-dimensional spin-1/2 system. Note that we consider the one-dimensional case for simplicity. One can construct similar examples on higher dimensional lattices by analogous arguments.

EXAMPLE 3.6. Let  $A$  denote the UHF-algebra given by the  $C^*$ -closure of the infinite tensor product  $\bigotimes_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_2$  of copies of the  $2 \times 2$ -matrices  $M_2$ .

The algebra  $A$  has a natural quasi-local structure. Let  $A_I = \bigotimes_{i \in I} M_2$  denote the family of local matrix algebras indexed by finite subsets  $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$  with  $i_m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Further let  $A_{\text{loc}} = \bigcup_I A_I$ . If  $\sigma$  denotes the shift automorphism on  $A$  then  $\mathbb{Z}$  acts on  $A$  as a group of shifts (space translations)  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \mapsto \sigma_n = \sigma^n$  which leaves  $A_{\text{loc}}$  invariant. In particular  $\sigma_n(A_I) = A_{I+n}$ .

Next we construct a flow corresponding to a finite-range interaction between the spins, i.e. an interaction which links close by points of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Fix  $\Phi = \Phi^* \in A_J$  for some finite subset  $J$ . Define  $H_I = H_I^*$  by

$$H_I = \sum_{m \in I} \sigma_m(\Phi).$$

Then introduce the corresponding inner  $*$ -derivations  $\delta_I$  by

$$\delta_I(x) = \text{ad } iH_I(x)$$

for all  $x \in A$ . Further define the  $*$ -derivation  $\delta$  by  $D(\delta) = A_{\text{loc}}$  and

$$\delta(x) = \lim_I \text{ad } iH_I(x)$$

for  $x \in A_{\text{loc}}$  where the limit is over an increasing family of  $I$  whose union is  $\mathbf{Z}$ . (For each  $x \in A_{\text{loc}}$  there is an  $I \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that  $\delta(x) = \text{ad } iH_I(x)$  by locality.)

There is a flow  $\alpha$  on  $A$  given by

$$\alpha_t(x) = \lim_I \text{Ad } e^{itH_I}(x).$$

The norm limit exists by the estimates of [9], Theorem 6.2.4. Moreover the generator  $\delta_\alpha$  of the flow is the norm closure  $\bar{\delta}$  of the derivation  $\delta$ . Then  $\delta_\alpha$  is the graph limit of the derivations  $\delta_I$  (see [8], Theorem 3.1.28). Therefore if we define

$$\mathcal{D} = \{x \in D(\delta_\alpha) : \lim_I \delta_I(x) = \delta_\alpha(x)\}$$

one has

$$A_{\text{loc}} = D(\delta) \subseteq \mathcal{D} \subseteq D(\delta_\alpha).$$

Finally define  $\mathcal{A}$  as the  $*$ -algebra generated by  $\{\alpha_t(A_{\text{loc}}) : t \in \mathbf{R}\}$ . Then we argue that  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ . To this end it suffices to show that if  $x \in A_J$  for some  $J$  and  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  then  $\lim_I \text{ad } H_I(\alpha_t(x))$  exists. But if  $I_1 \subset I_2$  then

$$\text{ad } H_{I_1}(\alpha_t(x)) - \text{ad } H_{I_2}(\alpha_t(x)) = \sum_{p \in I_2 \setminus I_1} [\sigma_p(\Phi), \alpha_t(x)].$$

Then, as a consequence of [9], Proposition 6.2.9, there are  $a, b, c > 0$  such that

$$\|[\sigma_p(\Phi), \alpha_t(x)]\| \leq a \|x\| e^{-bp+ct}$$

uniformly for  $p \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . Therefore

$$\|\text{ad } H_{I_1}(\alpha_t(x)) - \text{ad } H_{I_2}(\alpha_t(x))\| \leq a \|x\| e^{ct} \sum_{p \in I_2^c} e^{-bp}$$

for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . It follows immediately that the limit exists and this suffices to establish the inclusion  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ .

One concludes that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an  $\alpha$ -invariant subspace of  $D(\delta_\alpha)$ . Therefore it is a core of  $\delta_\alpha$ . But it also follows from [9], Theorem 6.2.4, that each  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  is

an analytic element of  $\delta_\alpha$ . Thus  $\mathcal{D}$  contains an  $\alpha$ -invariant dense subalgebra of analytic elements.

Since the flow  $\alpha$  commutes with the group of translations by  $\mathbf{Z}$  it follows that the Connes' spectrum  $\mathbf{R}(\alpha)$ , which is a subgroup of  $\mathbf{R}$ , must be  $\text{Sp}(\alpha)$ . Therefore  $\mathbf{R}(\alpha) \cong \mathbf{Z}$  or  $\mathbf{R}$  if  $\alpha$  is non-trivial. Both cases can occur with a suitable choice of  $\Phi$ .

Although the latter conclusions rely on translation invariance one can construct similar examples on the half line and the same conclusions are valid. In particular one can add a bounded  $*$ -derivation  $\delta_P$  to  $\delta_\alpha$  in such a way that  $\alpha$  factors into a product of flows  $\alpha^{(\pm)}$  on the left and right half lattice  $\mathbf{Z}_\pm$ , respectively. Then the algebra  $\mathcal{A}^+$  generated by  $\{\alpha_t^{(+)}(A_I) : t \in \mathbf{R}, I \subset \mathbf{Z}_+\}$  is contained in the set  $\mathcal{D}(\alpha^{(+)})$  corresponding to  $\alpha^{(+)}$  and consists of analytic elements for the latter flow. Finally  $\mathbf{R}(\alpha^{(+)}) = \mathbf{R}(\alpha)$  because  $\alpha^{(-)} \otimes \alpha^{(+)}$  arises by a bounded perturbation of the generator of  $\alpha$ .

The next example shows that  $\mathcal{D}$  can be much larger but then the Connes' spectrum is equal to  $\{0\}$ .

EXAMPLE 3.7. Let  $A$  denote the UHF-algebra given by the  $C^*$ -closure of the infinite tensor product  $\bigotimes_{n \geq 1} M_2$  of copies of the  $2 \times 2$ -matrices  $M_2$ ,  $A_I = \bigotimes_{i \in I} M_2$  the local matrix algebras and  $A_{\text{loc}} = \bigcup_I A_I$ .

Now let  $(\lambda_i)_{i \geq 1}$  be a sequence of positive numbers and define  $h_i \in A_{\{i\}}$  by

$$h_i = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Set  $H_n = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i$  and  $\delta_n(x) = \text{ad } i H_n(x)$  for  $x \in A$ . Then define  $\alpha$  on  $A$  by

$$\alpha_t(x) = \lim_I \text{Ad } e^{itH_I}(x).$$

Set

$$\mathcal{D} = \{x \in D(\delta_\alpha) : \lim_I \delta_I(x) = \delta_\alpha(x)\}.$$

We next argue that if the  $\lambda_i$  are chosen to increase sufficiently fast as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  then  $D(\delta_\alpha^2) \subset \mathcal{D}$  but in this case  $\mathbf{R}(\alpha) = \{0\}$ .

Let  $a_i, a_i^* \in A_{\{i\}}$  be given by

$$a_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad a_i^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and note that  $H_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i a_i^* a_i$ . Set  $a(I) = \bigotimes_{i \in I} a_i$  and  $a^*(J) = \bigotimes_{j \in J} a_j^*$ .

Let  $C_2$  be the diagonal matrices of  $M_2$  and  $C$  the  $C^*$ -subalgebra generated by  $C_2$  at every point of  $\mathbf{N}$ ;  $C = \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbf{N}} C_2 \subset A = \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbf{N}} M_2$ . For a subset  $K$  of  $\mathbf{N}$  let  $C_K = \bigotimes_{i \in K} C_2$ .



We define an action  $\gamma$  of  $G = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{T}$  on  $A$  by

$$\gamma_z = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Ad} \begin{pmatrix} z_n & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the fixed point algebra of  $\gamma$  is  $C$  while  $a(I)$  and  $a^*(J)$  are eigen-operators for finite subsets  $I, J$ :  $\gamma_z(a(I)) = \prod_{n \in I} z_n a(I)$ . The spectrum of  $\gamma$  is  $\coprod\{-1, 0, 1\} \subset \hat{G} = \prod_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mathbf{Z}$ , which we identify with  $\mathcal{S} = \{(I, J) \in P_f(\mathbf{N}) \times P_f(\mathbf{N}) \mid I \cap J = \emptyset\}$ , where  $P_f(\mathbf{N})$  is the set of finite subsets of  $\mathbf{N}$  and  $p \in \coprod\{-1, 0, 1\}$  maps to  $(I, J)$  with  $I = \{n \mid p_n = -1\}$  and  $J = \{n \mid p_n = 1\}$ . Note that for each  $(I, J) \in \mathcal{S}$  the eigen-space is given by  $C a(I) a^*(J) = C_{I^c \cap J^c} a(I) a^*(J)$ .

Then each  $x \in A_{\text{loc}}$  has a unique representation

$$x = \sum_{(I, J) \in \mathcal{S}} x(I; J) a^*(I) a(J)$$

with  $x(I; J) \in C_{I^c \cap J^c}$ , where the sum is finite. Let  $z^I = \prod_{n \in I} z_n$  and  $\bar{z}^J = \prod_{n \in J} \bar{z}_n$ . Since

$$\int_G z^I \bar{z}^J \gamma_z(x) dz = x(I, J) a^*(I) a(J)$$

with  $dz$  is normalized Haar measure on  $G$ , one deduces that  $\|x(I; J)\| \leq \|x\|$ .

Now  $x \in D(\delta_\alpha^2)$  by locality,

$$(18) \quad \delta_\alpha(x) = i \sum_{(I, J) \in \mathcal{S}} (\lambda(I) - \lambda(J)) x(I; J) a^*(I) a(J)$$

where  $\lambda(I) = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i$  and

$$\delta_\alpha^2(x) = - \sum_{(I, J) \in \mathcal{S}} (\lambda(I) - \lambda(J))^2 x(I; J) a^*(I) a(J).$$

In particular  $(\lambda(I) - \lambda(J))^2 \|x(I; J)\| \leq \|\delta_\alpha^2(x)\|$ .

Next suppose  $\lambda_n \geq 2(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n-1}) + 6^n$  for all  $n$ . If  $n = \max(I \cup J)$  then

$$|\lambda(I) - \lambda(J)| \geq \lambda_n - (\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n-1}) \geq 6^n.$$

Therefore  $6^n |\lambda(I) - \lambda(J)| \|x(I; J)\| \leq \|\delta_\alpha^2(x)\|$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta_\alpha(x)\| &\leq \sum_{(I,J) \in \mathcal{S}} |\lambda(I) - \lambda(J)| \|x(I; J)\| \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{\max(I \cup J) = n} 6^{-n} \|\delta_\alpha^2(x)\| \\ &\leq \sum_{n \geq 1} 2^{-n} \|\delta_\alpha^2(x)\| = \|\delta_\alpha^2(x)\| \end{aligned}$$

where we have used  $\sum_{\max(I \cup J) = n} 1 < 3^n$ . But  $A_{\text{loc}}$  is a core of  $\delta_\alpha^2$ . The foregoing estimates then establish that the representation (18) extends to all  $x \in D(\delta_\alpha^2)$ ; the infinite sum in (18) is absolutely convergent.

Then if  $k \leq l$  one has  $H_l - H_k = \sum_{i=k+1}^l \lambda_i a_i^* a_i$  and so

$$(19) \quad \delta_l(x) - \delta_k(x) = i \sum_{I, J} (\lambda_{k,l}(I) - \lambda_{k,l}(J)) x(I; J) a^*(I) a(J)$$

for all  $x \in D(\delta_\alpha^2)$  with  $\lambda_{k,l}(I) = \lambda(I \cap \{k+1, \dots, l\})$ . In particular the summand is only non-zero if  $\max(I \cup J) > k$ . But  $\lambda_{k,l}(I) = \lambda_l(I) - \lambda_k(I)$  with  $\lambda_l(I) = \lambda(I \cap \{1, \dots, l\})$ . Now if  $n+1 \in I \cup J$  then

$$|\lambda_{n+1}(I) - \lambda_{n+1}(J)| \geq \lambda_{n+1} - (\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n)$$

and

$$|\lambda_n(I) - \lambda_n(J)| \leq \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n.$$

Hence

$$(20) \quad |\lambda_n(I) - \lambda_n(J)| \leq |\lambda_{n+1}(I) - \lambda_{n+1}(J)|.$$

But if  $n+1 \notin I \cup J$  then  $\lambda_n(I) - \lambda_n(J) = \lambda_{n+1}(I) - \lambda_{n+1}(J)$  so (20) is valid for all  $n$ . Then by iteration

$$|\lambda_k(I) - \lambda_k(J)| \leq |\lambda_l(I) - \lambda_l(J)| \leq |\lambda(I) - \lambda(J)|.$$

Combining these observations one concludes from (19) that

$$\|\delta_l(x) - \delta_k(x)\| \leq \sum_{\max(I \cup J) > k} |\lambda(I) - \lambda(J)| \|x(I; J)\| \leq 2^{-k} \|\delta_\alpha^2(x)\|$$

for all  $x \in D(\delta_\alpha^2)$ . Therefore  $\delta_l(x) \rightarrow \delta_\alpha(x)$  as  $l \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\mathcal{D} \supseteq D(\delta_\alpha^2)$ .

Note that in this example  $\mathbf{R}(\alpha) = \{0\}$  but  $\delta_\alpha$  is not bounded.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.** This work was carried out whilst the first and second authors were visiting the Centre for Mathematics and its Applications at the Australian National University, Canberra.

## REFERENCES

1. van Ackooij, W., de Pagter, B., and Sukochev, F. A., *Domains of infinitesimal generators of automorphism flows*, J. Funct. Anal. 218 (2005), 409–424.
2. Arazy, J., Barton, T. J., and Friedman, Y., *Operator differentiable functions*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 13 (1990), 461–487.
3. Arazy, J., Barton, T. J., and Friedman, Y., *Addendum to [2]*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 14 (1991), 889.
4. Arazy, J., and Zelenko, L., *Directional operator differentiability of non-smooth functions*, J. Operator Theory 55 (2006), 49–90.
5. Batty, C. J. K., and Kishimoto, A., *Derivations and one-parameter subgroups of  $C^*$ -dynamical systems*, J. London Math. Soc. 31 (1985), 526–536.
6. Bratteli, O., Kishimoto, A., and Robinson, D. W., *Embedding product type actions into  $C^*$ -dynamical systems*, J. Funct. Anal. 75 (1987), 188–210.
7. Bratteli, O., and Robinson, D. W., *Unbounded derivations of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. 42 (1975), 253–268.
8. Bratteli, O., and Robinson, D. W., *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics*, vol. 1. Second edition. Second printing. Springer-Verlag, New York etc., (2002).
9. Bratteli, O., and Robinson, D. W., *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics*, vol. 2. Second edition. Second printing. Springer-Verlag, New York etc., (2002).
10. Bratteli, O., *Inductive limits of finite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 171 (1972), 195–234.
11. Bratteli, O., *Derivations, Dissipations and Group Actions on  $C^*$ -algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1229 (1986).
12. Davidson, K. R.,  *$C^*$ -algebras by Example*, Fields Inst. Monogr. 6 (1996).
13. Dixmier, J., and Malliavin, P., *Factorisations de fonctions et de vecteurs indéfiniment différentiables*, Bull. Sci. Math. (2) 102 (1978), 305–330.
14. Kato, T., *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*. Second edition, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 132 (1984).
15. Kishimoto, A., *Derivations with a domain condition*, Yokohama Math. J. 32 (1984), 215–223.
16. Kishimoto, A., *Type I orbits in the pure states of a  $C^*$ -dynamical system*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 23 (1987), 321–336.
17. Kishimoto, A., *Type I orbits in the pure states of a  $C^*$ -dynamical system II*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 23 (1987), 517–526.
18. Kishimoto, A., *Automorphism groups and covariant irreducible representations*, in *Mappings of Operator algebras*, H. Araki, R. V. Kadison eds. Birkhauser, 1990, 129–139.
19. Kishimoto, A., *Quasi-product flows on a  $C^*$ -algebra*, Comm. Math. Phys. 229 (2002), 397–413.
20. Kishimoto, A., *Non-commutative shifts and crossed products*, J. Funct. Anal. 200 (2003), 281–300.
21. Kishimoto, A., and Robinson, D. W., *Derivations, dynamical systems, and spectral restrictions*, Math. Scand. 56 (1985), 83–95.
22. Kissin, E., and Shulman, V., *Representations on Krein Spaces and derivations on  $C^*$ -algebras*, Pitman Monogr. Surveys Pure Appl. Math. 89 (1997).
23. Pedersen, G. K., *Operator differentiable functions*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 36 (2000), 139–157.
24. Rubel, L. A., Squires, W. A., and Taylor, B. A., *Irreducibility of certain entire functions with applications to harmonic analysis*, Ann. of Math. 108 (1978), 553–567.
25. Sakai, S., *On one-parameter groups of automorphisms on operator algebras and the corresponding unbounded derivations*, Amer. J. Math. 98 (1976), 427–440.

26. Sakai, S., *Operator Algebras in Dynamical Systems*, Encyclopedia Math. Appl. 41, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1991.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF OSLO  
BLINDERN  
P.O.BOX 1053  
N-0316 NORWAY  
*E-mail:* bratteli@math.uio.no

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY  
SAPPORO 060-0810  
JAPAN

CENTRE FOR MATHEMATICS AND ITS APPLICATIONS  
AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY  
CANBERRA ACT 0200  
AUSTRALIA