

# A RESULT ON FRACTIONAL $k$ -DELETED GRAPHS

SIZHONG ZHOU\*

## Abstract

Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer, and let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$  with  $n \geq 4k - 5$ . A graph  $G$  is a fractional  $k$ -deleted graph if there exists a fractional  $k$ -factor after deleting any edge of  $G$ . The binding number of  $G$  is defined as

$$\text{bind}(G) = \min \left\{ \frac{|N_G(X)|}{|X|} : \emptyset \neq X \subseteq V(G), N_G(X) \neq V(G) \right\}.$$

In this paper, it is proved that if  $\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(2k-1)(n-1)}{k(n-2)}$ , then  $G$  is a fractional  $k$ -deleted graph. Furthermore, it is shown that the result in this paper is best possible in some sense.

## 1. Introduction

We consider only finite undirected simple graph  $G$  with vertex set  $V(G)$  and edge set  $E(G)$ . For  $x \in V(G)$ , we use  $N_G(x)$  for the set of vertices of  $V(G)$  adjacent to  $x$ , and  $d_G(x)$  for the degree of  $x$  in  $G$ . The minimum vertex degree of  $G$  is denoted by  $\delta(G)$ . For any  $S \subseteq V(G)$ , we define  $N_G(S) = \bigcup_{x \in S} N_G(x)$ . We denote by  $G[S]$  the subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $S$ , by  $G - S$  the subgraph obtained from  $G$  by deleting vertices in  $S$  together with the edges incident to vertices in  $S$ . A vertex set  $S \subseteq V(G)$  is called independent if  $G[S]$  has no edges. The binding number of  $G$  is defined as

$$\text{bind}(G) = \min \left\{ \frac{|N_G(X)|}{|X|} : \emptyset \neq X \subseteq V(G), N_G(X) \neq V(G) \right\}.$$

Let  $k$  be an integer such that  $k \geq 1$ . Then a spanning subgraph  $F$  of  $G$  is called a  $k$ -factor if  $d_F(x) = k$  for all  $x \in V(G)$ . A fractional  $k$ -factor is a function  $h$  that assigns to each edge of a graph  $G$  a number in  $[0, 1]$ , so that for each vertex  $x$  we have  $d_G^h(x) = k$ , where  $d_G^h(x) = \sum_{e \ni x} h(e)$  (the sum is taken over all edges incident to  $x$ ) is a fractional degree of  $x$  in  $G$ . A graph  $G$  is a fractional  $k$ -deleted graph if there exists a fractional  $k$ -factor after deleting any edge of  $G$ . The other terminologies and notations not given in this paper can be found in [1] and [10].

---

\* This research was supported by Jiangsu Provincial Educational Department (07KJD110048) and was sponsored by Qing Lan Project of Jiangsu Province.

Received 31 May 2008.

Many authors have investigated factors [2], [3], [4], [7], [9], [12], and fractional factors [8]. Li, Yan and Zhang gave a necessary and sufficient condition for a graph to be a fractional  $k$ -deleted graph [5]. Li, Zhang and Yan showed a sufficient condition for a graph to be a fractional  $k$ -deleted graph [6]. Recently, Zhou and Duan obtained a sufficient condition for a graph to be a fractional  $k$ -deleted graph [13]. In this paper, we give a new sufficient condition for a graph to be a fractional  $k$ -deleted graph.

The following results on fractional  $k$ -deleted graphs are known.

**THEOREM 1.1** ([6]). *Let  $G$  be a graph, and let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer. If  $\delta(G) \geq k + 1$  and  $I(G) > k$ , then  $G$  is a fractional  $k$ -deleted graph.*

**THEOREM 1.2** ([13]). *Let  $G$  be a graph. Then  $G$  is a fractional 2-deleted graph if  $\delta(G) \geq 3$  and  $\text{bind}(G) \geq 2$ .*

We prove the following theorem for a graph to be a fractional  $k$ -deleted graph, which is an extension of Theorem 1.2.

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer, and let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$  with  $n \geq 4k - 5$ . If*

$$\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(2k - 1)(n - 1)}{k(n - 2)},$$

*then  $G$  is a fractional  $k$ -deleted graph.*

The following two results are essential to the proof of Theorem 1.3.

**THEOREM 1.4** ([5]). *A graph  $G$  is a fractional  $k$ -deleted graph if and only if for any  $S \subseteq V(G)$  and  $T = \{x : x \in V(G) \setminus S, d_{G-S}(x) \leq k\}$*

$$\delta_G(S, T) = k|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - k|T| \geq \varepsilon(S, T),$$

*where  $\varepsilon(S, T)$  is defined as follows,*

$$\varepsilon(S, T) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } T \text{ is not independent,} \\ 1, & \text{if } T \text{ is independent, and } e_G(T, V(G) \setminus (S \cup T)) \geq 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**THEOREM 1.5** ([11]). *Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$  with  $\text{bind}(G) > c$ . Then  $\delta(G) > n - \frac{n-1}{c}$ .*

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.3

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $G$  satisfies the assumption of the theorem, but it is not a fractional  $k$ -deleted graph. Then by Theorem 1.4, there exist some  $S \subseteq V(G)$  and  $T = \{x : x \in V(G) \setminus S, d_{G-S}(x) \leq k\}$  such that

$$(1) \quad \delta_G(S, T) = k|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - k|T| \leq \varepsilon(S, T) - 1.$$

CLAIM 1.  $|T| \geq k + 1$ .

PROOF. In view of Theorem 1.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |S| + d_{G-S}(x) &\geq d_G(x) \geq \delta(G) > n - \frac{n-1}{\frac{(2k-1)(n-1)}{k(n-2)}} \\ &= n - \frac{k(n-2)}{2k-1} = \frac{(k-1)n+2k}{2k-1} \\ &\geq \frac{(k-1)(4k-5)+2k}{2k-1} = 2(k-1) - \frac{k-3}{2k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $k \geq 3$ , then according to the integrity of  $\delta(G)$  we obtain

$$(2) \quad |S| + d_{G-S}(x) \geq \delta(G) \geq 2k - 2.$$

If  $k = 2$ , then by the integrity of  $\delta(G)$  we get

$$(3) \quad |S| + d_{G-S}(x) \geq \delta(G) \geq 2k - 1.$$

Let  $|T| \leq k$  and  $k \geq 3$ , then by (1) and (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(S, T) - 1 &\geq \delta_G(S, T) = k|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - k|T| \\ &\geq |T||S| + d_{G-S}(T) - k|T| \\ &= \sum_{x \in T} (|S| + d_{G-S}(x) - k) \geq \sum_{x \in T} (2k - 2 - k) \\ &= \sum_{x \in T} (k - 2) = (k - 2)|T| \geq |T| \geq \varepsilon(S, T), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

Let  $|T| \leq k$  and  $k = 2$ , then by (1) and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(S, T) - 1 &\geq \delta_G(S, T) = k|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - k|T| \\ &\geq |T||S| + d_{G-S}(T) - k|T| \\ &= \sum_{x \in T} (|S| + d_{G-S}(x) - k) \geq \sum_{x \in T} (2k - 1 - k) \\ &= \sum_{x \in T} (k - 1) = (k - 1)|T| = |T| \geq \varepsilon(S, T), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

CLAIM 2.  $S \neq \emptyset$ .

PROOF. Let  $S = \emptyset$ . If  $k \geq 3$ , then by (1) and (2) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(S, T) - 1 &\geq \delta_G(S, T) = k|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - k|T| \\ &= d_G(T) - k|T| \geq (\delta(G) - k)|T| \\ &\geq (2k - 2 - k)|T| = (k - 2)|T| \geq |T| \geq \varepsilon(S, T), \end{aligned}$$

this is a contradiction.

If  $k = 2$ , then by (1) and (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(S, T) - 1 &\geq \delta_G(S, T) = k|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - k|T| \\ &= d_G(T) - k|T| \geq (\delta(G) - k)|T| \\ &\geq (2k - 1 - k)|T| = (k - 1)|T| = |T| \geq \varepsilon(S, T), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

CLAIM 3. *There exists  $x \in T$  such that  $d_{G-S}(x) \leq k - 1$ .*

PROOF. If  $d_{G-S}(x) \geq k$  for all  $x \in T$ , then we get from Claim 2

$$\delta_G(S, T) = k|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - k|T| \geq k|S| \geq k \geq 2 \geq \varepsilon(S, T),$$

which contradicts (1).

Define

$$h = \min\{d_{G-S}(x) \mid x \in T\}.$$

Then by Claim 3, we obtain

$$0 \leq h \leq k - 1.$$

By Theorem 1.5 and  $\delta(G) \leq |S| + h$ , we get

$$(4) \quad |S| \geq \delta(G) - h > n - \frac{k(n-2)}{2k-1} - h = \frac{(k-1)n + 2k}{2k-1} - h.$$

The proof splits into two cases.

*Case 1.*  $h = 0$ .

First, we prove the following claim.

CLAIM 4.  $\frac{k(n-2)}{n-1} \geq 1$ .

PROOF. In view of  $k \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 4k - 5$ , we get

$$k(n-2) - (n-1) = (k-1)(n-2) - 1 \geq 0.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\frac{k(n-2)}{n-1} \geq 1.$$

Let  $m$  be the number of vertices  $x$  in  $T$  such that  $d_{G-S}(x) = 0$ , and let  $Y = V(G) \setminus S$ . Then  $N_G(Y) \neq V(G)$  since  $h = 0$ , and  $Y \neq \emptyset$  by Claim 1, and so  $|N_G(Y)| \geq \text{bind}(G)|Y|$ . Thus

$$n - m \geq |N_G(Y)| \geq \text{bind}(G)|Y| = \text{bind}(G)(n - |S|),$$

that is,

$$(5) \quad |S| \geq n - \frac{n-m}{\text{bind}(G)} > n - \frac{k(n-2)(n-m)}{(2k-1)(n-1)}.$$

According to (1), (5), Claim 4 and  $|T| \leq n - |S|$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(S, T) - 1 &\geq \delta_G(S, T) = k|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - k|T| \\ &\geq k|S| - k|T| + |T| - m \\ &\geq k|S| - (k-1)(n - |S|) - m \\ &= (2k-1)|S| - kn + n - m \\ &> (2k-1) \left( n - \frac{k(n-2)(n-m)}{(2k-1)(n-1)} \right) - kn + n - m \\ &= kn - \frac{k(n-2)(n-m)}{n-1} - m \\ &\geq kn - \frac{k(n-2)(n-1)}{n-1} - 1 \\ &= kn - k(n-2) - 1 = 2k - 1 > 2 \geq \varepsilon(S, T). \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction.

*Case 2.*  $1 \leq h \leq k-1$ .

In view of Claim 1, we obtain

$$|T| \geq k+1 > h+1.$$

Let  $v$  be a vertex in  $T$  such that  $d_{G-S}(v) = h$ , and put  $Y = T - N_{G-S}(v)$ . Then  $|Y| \geq |T| - h > 1$  and  $N_G(Y) \neq V(G)$ . Thus, we get

$$\frac{n-1}{|T|-h} \geq \frac{|N_G(Y)|}{|Y|} \geq \text{bind}(G) > \frac{(2k-1)(n-1)}{k(n-2)},$$

that is,

$$(6) \quad |T| < \frac{k(n-2)}{2k-1} + h.$$

By (4) and (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_G(S, T) &= k|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - k|T| \\ &\geq k|S| - k|T| + h|T| = k|S| - (k-h)|T| \\ &> k \left( \frac{(k-1)n+2k}{2k-1} - h \right) - (k-h) \left( \frac{k(n-2)}{2k-1} + h \right). \end{aligned}$$

*Subcase 2.1.*  $h = 1$ .

Obviously, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_G(S, T) &> k \left( \frac{(k-1)n+2k}{2k-1} - 1 \right) - (k-1) \left( \frac{k(n-2)}{2k-1} + 1 \right) \\ &= k \cdot \frac{(k-1)n+1}{2k-1} - (k-1) \cdot \frac{kn-1}{2k-1} = \frac{2k-1}{2k-1} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

According to the integrality of  $\delta_G(S, T)$ , we get that

$$\delta_G(S, T) \geq 2 \geq \varepsilon(S, T),$$

this contradicts (1).

*Subcase 2.2.*  $2 \leq h \leq k-1$ .

Clearly,  $k \geq 3$ . Let  $f(h) = k \left( \frac{(k-1)n+2k}{2k-1} - h \right) - (k-h) \left( \frac{k(n-2)}{2k-1} + h \right)$ . Then

$$(7) \quad \delta_G(S, T) > f(h),$$

and

$$f'(h) = -2k + 2h + \frac{k(n-2)}{2k-1}.$$

Since  $2 \leq h \leq k-1$  and  $n \geq 4k-5$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f'(h) &\geq -2k + 4 + \frac{k(n-2)}{2k-1} = \frac{-4k^2 + 2k + 8k - 4 + kn - 2k}{2k-1} \\ &= \frac{kn - 4k^2 + 8k - 4}{2k-1} \geq \frac{k(4k-5) - 4k^2 + 8k - 4}{2k-1} = \frac{3k-4}{2k-1} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get

$$(8) \quad f(h) \geq f(2).$$

From (7), (8) and  $k \geq 3$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_G(S, T) &> f(h) \geq f(2) \\ &= k \left( \frac{(k-1)n + 2k}{2k-1} - 2 \right) - (k-2) \left( \frac{k(n-2)}{2k-1} + 2 \right) \\ &= \frac{k(k-1)n + 2k^2 - 4k^2 + 2k - k(k-2)n - 2k^2 + 6k - 4}{2k-1} \\ &= \frac{kn - 4k^2 + 8k - 4}{2k-1} \geq \frac{k(4k-5) - 4k^2 + 8k - 4}{2k-1} \\ &= \frac{3k-4}{2k-1} = 1 + \frac{k-3}{2k-1} \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

By the integrity of  $\delta_G(S, T)$ , we have

$$\delta_G(S, T) \geq 2 \geq \varepsilon(S, T),$$

which contradicts (1).

From all the cases above, we deduced the contradiction. Hence,  $G$  is a fractional  $k$ -deleted graph. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

REMARK 1. Let us show that the condition  $\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(2k-1)(n-1)}{k(n-2)}$  in Theorem 1.3 can not be replaced by  $\text{bind}(G) \geq \frac{(2k-1)(n-1)}{k(n-2)}$ . Let  $r \geq 1, k \geq 3$  be two odd positive integer and let  $l = \frac{5kr+1}{2}$  and  $m = 5kr - 5r + 1$ , so that  $n = m + 2l = 10kr - 5r + 2$ . Let  $H = K_m \vee lK_2$  and  $X = V(lK_2)$ . Then for any  $x \in X, |N_H(X \setminus x)| = n - 1$ . By the definition of  $\text{bind}(H)$ ,  $\text{bind}(H) = \frac{|N_H(X \setminus x)|}{|X \setminus x|} = \frac{n-1}{2l-1} = \frac{n-1}{5kr} = \frac{(2k-1)(n-1)}{k(n-2)}$ . Let  $S = V(K_m) \subseteq V(H)$ ,  $T = V(lK_2) \subseteq V(H)$ . Then  $|S| = m, |T| = 2l$ . Obviously,  $T$  is not independent, then  $\varepsilon(S, T) = 2$ . Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_H(S, T) &= k|S| - k|T| + d_{H-S}(T) \\ &= k|S| - k|T| + |T| = k|S| - (k-1)|T| \\ &= km - 2(k-1)l = k(5kr - 5r + 1) - (k-1)(5kr + 1) \\ &= 1 < 2 = \varepsilon(S, T). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1.4,  $H$  is not a fractional  $k$ -deleted graph. In the above sense, the result in Theorem 1.3 is best possible.

REMARK 2. We don't know whether the result can be strengthened to the form that if  $\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(2k-1)(n-1)}{k(n-2)}$  then  $G$  is  $k$ -deleted. We guess that the above result can hold for  $kn$  even.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The author would like to express his gratitude to the anonymous referees for their very helpful comments and suggestions in improving this paper.

## REFERENCES

1. Bondy, J. A., Murty, U. S. R., *Graph Theory with Applications*, Macmillan, London 1976.
2. Kano, M., Matsuda, H., *A neighborhood condition for graphs to have  $[a, b]$ -factors*, pp. 70–78 in: *Discrete Geometry, Combinatorics and Graph Theory*, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. 4381, Springer, Berlin 2007.
3. Katerinis, P., Woodall, D. R., *Binding numbers of graphs and the existence of  $k$ -factors*, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* (2) 38 (1987), 221–228.
4. Li, Y., Cai, M., *A degree condition for a graph to have  $[a, b]$ -factors*, *J. Graph Theory* 27 (1998), 1–6.
5. Li, Z., Yan, G., Zhang, X., *On fractional  $(g, f)$ -deleted graphs*, *Math. Appl. (Wuhan)* 16 (2003), 148–154.
6. Li, Z., Zhang, X., Yan, G., *Isolated toughness and fractional  $k$ -deleted graphs* (Chinese), *Acta Math. Appl. Sin.* 27 (2004), 593–598.
7. Liu, G., Zang, W.,  *$f$ -Factors in bipartite  $(mf)$ -graphs*, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 136 (2004), 45–54.
8. Liu, G., Zhang, X., *Fractional factors and fractional Hamilton graphs*, *Adv. Math. (China)* 35 (2006), 257–264.
9. Matsuda, H., *Fan-type results for the existence of  $[a, b]$ -factors*, *Discrete Math.* 306 (2006), 688–693.
10. Scheinerman, Edward R., Ullman, D. H., *Fractional Graph Theory*, Wiley, New York 1997.
11. Woodall, D. R., *The binding number of a graph and its Anderson number*, *J. Combinatorial Theory* (B) 15 (1973), 225–255.
12. Zhou, S., *Some sufficient conditions for graphs to have  $(g, f)$ -factors*, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 75 (2007), 447–452.
13. Zhou, S., Duan, Z., *Binding numbers conditions for fractional  $k$ -deleted graphs* (Chinese), *Pure Appl. Math. (Xi'an)* 24 (2008), 551–554.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS  
JIANGSU UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY  
MENGXI ROAD 2  
ZHENJIANG  
JIANGSU 212003  
PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA  
*E-mail*: zsz\_cumt@163.com