

## ON FIXED DIVISORS OF FORMS IN MANY VARIABLES, I

A. SCHINZEL

(In memory of Trygve Nagell)

### Abstract

Let  $D_{d,r}$  be the maximal fixed divisor of a primitive form of degree  $d$  in  $r$  variables over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . A formula is given for  $D_{d,2}$  and estimates for  $D_{d,r}$  for  $r > 2$ . As a consequence, a question of Nagell raised in 1919 is completely answered.

Let  $K$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and for  $f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_r]$  let  $C(f)$  and  $D(f)$  be the highest common ideal factor of the coefficients of  $f$  and of the values of  $f$  for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ , respectively. Polynomials  $f$  with  $C(f) = 1$  are called primitive. For a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  and an ideal  $\alpha$  of  $K$ , let  $\text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}} \alpha$  be the exponent with which  $\mathfrak{p}$  occurs in the factorization of  $\alpha$ . T. Nagell has proved ([5], p. 16) that for every  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$  of degree  $d$

$$(1) \quad D(f) \mid d!C(f).$$

This result is implicit in [4]. An easy generalization is contained in

**THEOREM 1.** *For every finite extension  $K$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and for every  $f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_r]$  of degree  $d$  (1) holds.*

Put

$$S_{d,r} = \{F \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_r], \text{ of degree } d, \text{ homogeneous and primitive}\},$$

$$S_{d,r}^0 = \{F \in S_{d,r}, \text{ splitting over } \mathbb{C}\},$$

$$S_{d,r}^1 = \{F \in S_{d,r}, \text{ splitting over } \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

It follows from (1) that the following definitions are correct:

$$D_{d,r} = \max_{f \in S_{d,r}} D(f), \quad D_{d,r}^1 = \max_{f \in S_{d,r}^1} D(f).$$

For  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $D(F)$  is identified with its positive generator. We shall prove

THEOREM 2. For all  $F \in S_{d,r}^0$  and for all primes  $p$

$$\text{ord}_p D(F) \leq \text{ord}_p \left( \left( p \left\lfloor \frac{(p^{r-1} - 1)d}{p^r - 1} \right\rfloor \right)! \right).$$

THEOREM 3. For all positive integers  $d$  and  $r > 1$  and for all primes  $p$

$$\text{ord}_p D_{d,2}^1 \geq \text{ord}_p \left( \left( p \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \right\rfloor \right)! \right),$$

$$\text{ord}_p D_{d,r}^1 \geq (p^{r-1} - 1)q^{r-1} \text{ord}_p((pq)!) + \text{ord}_p \left( \left( p \left\lfloor \frac{d - (p^r - 1)q^r}{p+1} \right\rfloor \right)! \right),$$

where  $q = \left\lfloor \sqrt[r]{\frac{d}{p^r - 1}} \right\rfloor$ .

COROLLARY 1. For all positive integers  $d$  and for all primes  $p$

$$\text{ord}_p D_{d,2} = \text{ord}_p \left( \left( p \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \right\rfloor \right)! \right) = \text{ord}_p D_{d,2}^1.$$

COROLLARY 2. The least integer  $d$ , say  $d_2(n)$ , such that  $n! \mid D_{d,2}$  is 4 for  $n = 3$  and  $3 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ , otherwise.

The corollary answers a question asked by Nagell [5]. He has proved that  $d_2(2) = 3$ ,  $d_2(3) = 4$ ,  $d_2(4) = d_2(5) = 4$ ,  $d_2(n) \leq 2n - 1$ . The last result has been anticipated by Hermite (see [2], p. 266).

COROLLARY 3. For all integers  $d \geq 3$  and  $r \geq 2$  and for all primes  $p < d$

$$\text{ord}_p D_{d,r}^1 = d \left( \frac{1}{p-1} - \frac{1}{p^r-1} \right) + d^{\frac{r-1}{r}} O \left( \frac{r}{p} + \frac{\log d}{r \log p} + 1 \right),$$

where the constant in the  $O$ -symbol is absolute and  $d^{\frac{r-1}{r}}$  can be omitted for  $r = 2$ .

COROLLARY 4. For all integers  $r \geq 2$

$$\log D_{d,r} = d \log d + O(d)$$

uniformly in  $r$ .

THEOREM 4.

(i) For all positive integers  $d$  and  $r$

$$D_{d,r} \mid (d-1)!$$

(ii) For all integers  $d \geq 4$ ,  $r_d = d - \text{ord}_2((2\lfloor \frac{d}{3} \rfloor)!) - 1$  and  $r \geq r_d$ ,

$$D_{d,r} = D_{d,r_d}.$$

COROLLARY 5. For all positive integers  $d \leq 6$  and  $r \geq 2$

$$D_{d,r} = D_{d,2}.$$

COROLLARY 6.  $D_{9,3}^1 = D_{9,2}^1$ .

Corollary 1 suggests the following

CONJECTURE. For all positive integers  $d$  and  $r$

$$D_{d,r} = D_{d,r}^1.$$

This is true for  $d < 9$  and each  $r$ , see Remark after the proof of Corollary 5.

THEOREM 5. Let  $d_r(n)$  be the least integer  $d$  such that  $n! \mid D_{d,r}$ . Then for all  $r$  the limit  $l_r = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_r(n)}{n}$  exists and satisfies  $l_r \leq \frac{2^r - 1}{2^r - 2}$ . If Conjecture is true we have equality.

THEOREM 6. For all positive integers  $d \geq 3^4 \cdot 2^3 = 648$  and  $r = \lfloor \frac{-1 + \sqrt{8d+1}}{2} \rfloor$

$$D_{d,r} \equiv 0 \pmod{\lceil d - 3(2d)^{3/4} \rceil!}.$$

COROLLARY 7. For  $r \geq \lfloor \frac{-1 + \sqrt{8d+1}}{2} \rfloor$  we have

$$\log D_{d,r} = d \log d - d + O(d^{3/4} \log d)$$

uniformly in  $r$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Since  $x^n$  is a linear combination of  $\binom{x}{j}$  ( $j = 0, \dots, n$ ) with integral coefficients, it follows that

$$(2) \quad f = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}_d} \alpha_{\mathbf{i}} \binom{x_1}{i_1} \dots \binom{x_r}{i_r},$$

where  $\mathbf{I}_d = \{ \mathbf{i} = [i_1, \dots, i_r] : i_1 + \dots + i_r \leq d \}$ ,  $\alpha_{\mathbf{i}} \in K$ . We shall show by induction on  $k$  that

$$(3) \quad D(f) \mid \alpha_{\mathbf{i}} \quad \text{for } \mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}_k.$$

Since  $\alpha_0 = f(\mathbf{0})$ , (3) holds for  $k = 0$ . Assume that it holds for  $k$  and let  $j_1 + \dots + j_r = k + 1$ . By the inductive assumption

$$D(f) \left| \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}_k} \alpha_{\mathbf{i}} \binom{x_1}{i_1} \dots \binom{x_r}{i_r} \right| \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{Z}^r,$$

hence, by (2),

$$(4) \quad D(f) \left| \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}_d \setminus \mathbf{I}_k} \alpha_{\mathbf{i}} \binom{x_1}{i_1} \dots \binom{x_r}{i_r} \right| \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{Z}^r.$$

Since for  $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}_d \setminus \mathbf{I}_k$  we have  $i_1 + \dots + i_r \geq k + 1 = j_1 + \dots + j_r$  we obtain either  $i_s = j_s$  for all  $s \leq r$  or  $i_s > j_s$  for at least one  $s \leq r$ . Therefore,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}_d \setminus \mathbf{I}_k} \alpha_{\mathbf{i}} \binom{j_1}{i_1} \dots \binom{j_r}{i_r} = \alpha_{\mathbf{j}}$$

and, by (4),  $D(f) \mid \alpha_{\mathbf{j}}$ , which completes the inductive proof of (3). Now,

$$D(f) \text{ g.c.d. } \alpha_{\mathbf{i}} \left| \text{g.c.d.} \binom{d}{i_1, \dots, i_r} \alpha_{\mathbf{i}} \right| \left| d! \text{ g.c.d.} \frac{\alpha_{\mathbf{i}}}{i_1! \dots i_r!} \right| \left| d! C(f) \right|.$$

REMARK. In the same way one can prove that all values of a polynomial  $f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_r]$  at  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{Z}^r$  belong to an ideal  $\alpha$  of  $K$ , if and only if  $f = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \binom{x_1}{i_1} \dots \binom{x_r}{i_r}$ , where  $a_{\mathbf{i}} \in \alpha$  for all  $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}$ .

For the proof of Theorem 2 we need two lemmas.

LEMMA 1. For every prime  $p$  and positive integer  $n$

$$\text{ord}_p(n!) = \frac{n - s_p(n)}{p - 1},$$

where  $s_p(n)$  is the sum of digits of  $n$  in the base  $p$ .

PROOF. See [1], pp. 54–55.

LEMMA 2. For every finite field  $\mathbf{F}_q$ , where  $q = p^f$  ( $p$  prime) and every vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{F}_q^r \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  there exist at most  $p^{r-1} - 1$  vectors  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{F}_p^r \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  such that

$$(5) \quad \mathbf{v}\mathbf{x} = 0.$$

PROOF. Let  $w_1, \dots, w_f$  be a basis of  $\mathbf{F}_q$  over  $\mathbf{F}_p$  and let  $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^f \mathbf{v}_i w_i$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbf{F}_p^r$ . Since  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$  we have  $\mathbf{v}_j \neq \mathbf{0}$  for at least one  $j \leq f$ . Moreover, the

equation (5) gives  $\mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{x} = 0$  for all  $i \leq f$ , hence the number in question does not exceed the number of non-zero solutions of  $\mathbf{v}_j \mathbf{x} = 0$ , which is  $p^{r-1} - 1$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Let  $K$  be a splitting field of  $F$  and

$$F = \prod_{i=1}^d L_i,$$

where  $L_i \in K[x_1, \dots, x_r]$  are linear forms. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal of  $K$  and let  $\pi$  be an element of  $K$  such that  $\text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}} \pi = 1$ . Since  $C(F) = 1$ , multiplying  $L_i$  by a suitable power of  $\pi$  we may achieve

$$(6) \quad \text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}} C(L_i) = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq d).$$

Let norm  $\mathfrak{p} = q$  and for  $\mathbf{v} \in F_q^r \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$

$$N_{\mathbf{v}} = \{i \leq d : L_i \equiv v_1 x_1 + \dots + v_r x_r \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}\}.$$

By Lemma 2

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in F_p^r \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{v} \in F_q^r \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} \\ \mathbf{v}\mathbf{x} = 0}} |N_{\mathbf{v}}| &= \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in F_p^r \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} |N_{\mathbf{v}}| \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \in F_p^r \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} \\ \mathbf{v}\mathbf{x} = 0}} 1 \\ &\leq (p^{r-1} - 1) \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in F_q^r \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} |N_{\mathbf{v}}| = (p^{r-1} - 1)d. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that there exists  $\mathbf{x}^0 \in Z^r$ ,  $\mathbf{x}^0 \not\equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$  such that denoting by  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}^0$  the residue class of  $\mathbf{x}^0 \pmod{p}$  we have

$$(7) \quad s(\mathbf{x}^0) := \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{v} \in F_q^r \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} \\ \mathbf{v}\bar{\mathbf{x}}^0 = 0}} |N_{\mathbf{v}}| \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(p^{r-1} - 1)d}{p^r - 1} \right\rfloor.$$

However, for  $i \notin \bigcup_{\mathbf{v} \in F_q^r \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}, \mathbf{v}\bar{\mathbf{x}}^0 = 0} N_{\mathbf{v}}$  we have  $L_i(\mathbf{x}^0) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ , hence

$$\text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}} D(F(p\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}^0)) = \text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}} D\left(\prod_{\substack{\mathbf{v} \in F_q^r \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} \\ \mathbf{v}\bar{\mathbf{x}}^0 = 0}} \prod_{i \in N_{\mathbf{v}}} L_i(p\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}^0)\right).$$

Now for  $i$  in question, by (6),  $\text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}} C(L_i(p\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}^0)) \leq \text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}} p$ . Hence by Theorem 1

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}} D(F) &\leq \text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}} D(F(p\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}^0)) \leq s(\mathbf{x}^0) \text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}} p + \text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}((s(\mathbf{x}^0))!) \\ &= \text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}((ps(\mathbf{x}^0))!) \end{aligned}$$

and the inequality

$$\text{ord}_p \left( \left( p \left\lfloor \frac{(p^{r-1} - 1)d}{p^r - 1} \right\rfloor \right)! \right) \geq \text{ord}_p D(F)$$

follows from (7).

For the proof of Theorem 3 we need again two lemmas

LEMMA 3. For all  $d$  and  $r$  and all primitive forms  $F \in \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$  of degree  $d$

$$D(F) \mid D_{d,r}.$$

If, moreover,  $F$  splits over  $\mathbf{Z}$ , then

$$D(F) \mid D_{d,r}^1.$$

PROOF. We shall prove the first part of the lemma; the proof of the second part is analogous. Assuming the contrary we infer the existence of a prime  $p$  such that

$$\text{ord}_p D(F) > \text{ord}_p D_{d,r}.$$

Let  $D_{d,r} = D(F_0)$ , where  $F_0 \in \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$  is a primitive form of degree  $d$ . By the Chinese remainder theorem there exists a form  $F_1 \in \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$  of degree  $d$  satisfying the congruences

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &\equiv F \pmod{p^{\text{ord}_p D(F)}}, \\ F_1 &\equiv F_0 \pmod{D_{d,r}/p^{\text{ord}_p D_{d,r}}}. \end{aligned}$$

We have  $F_1 = cF_2$ , where  $F_2$  is primitive and  $(c, pD_{d,r}) = 1$ . Now, by the congruences above

$$D_{d,r} p^{\text{ord}_p D(F) - \text{ord}_p D_{d,r}} \mid D(F_2),$$

hence  $D(F_2) > D_{d,r}$ , contrary to the definition of  $D_{d,r}$ .

LEMMA 4. For all primes  $p$  and positive integers  $d$  the form of degree  $d$

$$F_{pd}(x, y) = \prod_{i=0}^{d - \lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \rfloor - 1} (x - iy) \prod_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \rfloor - 1} (y - jpx)$$

is primitive and satisfies

$$(8) \quad \text{ord}_p D(F_{pd}) \geq e_p = \text{ord}_p \left( \left( p \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \right\rfloor \right)! \right).$$

PROOF. The form  $F_{pd}$  is primitive, since each factor is primitive. We consider three cases

- (9)  $y \not\equiv 0 \pmod p,$
- (10)  $y \equiv 0 \not\equiv x,$
- (11)  $y \equiv 0 \equiv x \pmod p.$

In the case (9) there exists an integer  $z$  such that

$$x \equiv zy \pmod{p^{e_p}}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=0}^{d-\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \rfloor - 1} (x - iy) &\equiv y^{d-\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \rfloor} \prod_{i=0}^{d-\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \rfloor - 1} (z - i) \\ &\equiv y^{d-\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \rfloor} \left( d - \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \right\rfloor \right)! \left( d - \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \right\rfloor \right) \pmod{p^{e_p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$d - \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \right\rfloor \geq p \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \right\rfloor,$$

hence

$$\text{ord}_p F_{pd}(x, y) \geq \min \left( \text{ord}_p \left( \left( d - \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \right\rfloor \right)! \right), e_p \right) \geq e_p.$$

In the case (10) we have  $y = pt, t \in \mathbb{Z}$  and there exists an integer  $u$  such that

$$t \equiv ux \pmod{p^{e_p - \lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \rfloor}}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \rfloor - 1} (y - jpx) &= p^{\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \rfloor} \prod_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \rfloor - 1} (t - jx) \\ &\equiv p^{\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \rfloor} \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \right\rfloor! \left( \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \right\rfloor \right) \pmod{p^{e_p}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\text{ord}_p F_{pd}(x, y) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \right\rfloor + \min \left( \text{ord}_p \left( \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \right\rfloor! \right), e_p - \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \right\rfloor \right).$$

Since  $p \lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \rfloor$  and  $\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \rfloor$  have the same sum of digits in the base  $p$ , by Lemma 1 the right-hand side equals  $e_p$ .

In the case (11) we have

$$\text{ord}_p F_{pd}(x, y) \geq d > \frac{d-1}{p-1} \geq \text{ord}_p(d!) \geq \text{ord}_p \left( \left( p \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \right\rfloor \right)! \right) = e_p.$$

Thus in each case (8) holds.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. For  $r = 2$  the theorem is contained in Lemma 4. For  $r \geq 2$  consider the form splitting over  $\mathbb{Z}$

$$F_0 = \prod_{a_1=0}^{pq-1} \dots \prod_{a_r=0}^{pq-1} (a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_r x_r).$$

$p \nmid (a_1, \dots, a_r)$

The number of factors in the product is  $(p^r - 1)q^r$ , hence  $\deg F_0 F_{p, d - (p^r - 1)q^r} = d$ . We have  $F_0 F_{p, d - (p^r - 1)q^r} = c F_1$ , where  $c \not\equiv 0 \pmod p$  and  $F_1$  is primitive, thus  $F_1 \in S_{d,r}^1$  and by Lemma 4

$$\text{ord}_p D_{d,r}^1 \geq \text{ord}_p D(F_0) + \text{ord}_p \left( \left( p \left\lfloor \frac{d - (p^r - 1)q^r}{p+1} \right\rfloor \right)! \right).$$

In order to prove that

$$(12) \quad \text{ord}_p D(F_0) \geq (p^{r-1} - 1)q^{r-1} \text{ord}_p((pq)!)$$

we distinguish two cases:

$$(13) \quad x_j \not\equiv 0 \pmod p \quad \text{for at least one } j \leq r$$

and

$$(14) \quad x_1 \equiv \dots \equiv x_r \equiv 0 \pmod p.$$

In the case (13) we may assume in view of symmetry between  $x_j$  that  $x_r \not\equiv 0 \pmod p$ . Then there exist integers  $y_j$  such that  $x_j \equiv y_j x_r \pmod{p^d}$  ( $j < r$ ) and



we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & F_0(x_1, \dots, x_r) \\
 & \equiv x_r^{(p^r-1)q^r} \prod_{a_1=0}^{pq-1} \dots \prod_{a_{r-1}=0}^{pq-1} (a_1 y_1 + \dots + a_{r-1} y_{r-1} + a_r) \\
 & \quad \quad \quad p \nmid (a_1, \dots, a_r) \\
 & = x_r^{(p^r-1)q^r} \prod_{a_1=0}^{pq-1} \dots \prod_{a_{r-1}=0}^{pq-1} (pq)! \binom{a_1 y_1 + \dots + a_{r-1} y_{r-1} + pq - 1}{pq} \\
 & \quad \quad \quad p \nmid (a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}) \\
 & \quad \cdot \prod_{a_1=0}^{pq-1} \dots \prod_{a_{r-1}=0}^{pq-1} \prod_{a_r=0}^{pq-1} (a_1 y_1 + \dots + a_{r-1} y_{r-1} + a_r) \pmod{p^d}. \\
 & \quad \quad \quad p \mid (a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}) \quad p \nmid a_r
 \end{aligned}$$

Since there are  $(p^{r-1} - 1)q^{r-1}$  vectors  $[a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}] \in \{0, \dots, pq - 1\}^r$  such that  $p \nmid (a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{ord}_p F_0(x_1, \dots, x_r) & \geq \min\{d, (p^{r-1} - 1)q^{r-1} \text{ord}_p((pq)!) \} \\
 & = (p^{r-1} - 1)q^{r-1} \text{ord}_p((pq)!),
 \end{aligned}$$

hence (12) follows.

In the case (14) the same inequality is obvious.

PROOF OF COROLLARY 1. For  $r = 2$  we have  $S_{d,r} = S_{d,r}^0$ . The upper estimate for  $\text{ord}_p D(F)$  given in Theorem 2 and the lower estimate for  $\text{ord}_p D_{d,2}^1$  given in Theorem 3 coincide.

REMARK. There exists a more direct proof of Corollary 1 using a factorization of  $F$  over the  $p$ -adic field instead of a factorization over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

PROOF OF COROLLARY 2.  $d_2(n)$  is the least non-negative integer such that  $n! \mid D_{d,2}$ . By Corollary 1 this divisibility is equivalent to

$$\text{ord}_p(n!) \leq \text{ord}_p \left( \left( p \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \right\rfloor \right)! \right) \quad \text{for all primes } p < d,$$

or to

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \right\rfloor \leq \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \right\rfloor.$$

The least  $d$  satisfying this inequality for all primes  $p < d$  is

$$\max_p \left( (p+1) \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \right\rfloor \right) \geq 3 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$$

Except for  $n = 3$  we have the equality.

PROOF OF COROLLARY 3. We have by Lemma 1

$$\text{ord}_p \left( \left( p \left\lfloor \frac{(p^{r-1} - 1)d}{p^r - 1} \right\rfloor \right)! \right) < \frac{p(p^{r-1} - 1)d}{(p^r - 1)(p - 1)} = \left( \frac{1}{p - 1} - \frac{1}{p^r - 1} \right) d.$$

On the other hand, for  $d \geq p^r - 1$

$$q^r > \left( \sqrt[r]{\frac{d}{p^r - 1}} - 1 \right)^r > \frac{d}{p^r - 1} - r \left( \frac{d}{p^r - 1} \right)^{\frac{r-1}{r}}$$

and for  $d < p^r - 1$

$$0 > \frac{d}{p^r - 1} - r \left( \frac{d}{p^r - 1} \right)^{\frac{r-1}{r}},$$

thus by Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned} & (p^{r-1} - 1)q^{r-1} \text{ord}_p((pq)!) + \text{ord}_p \left( \left( p \left\lfloor \frac{d - (p^r - 1)q^r}{p + 1} \right\rfloor \right)! \right) \\ & \geq (p^{r-1} - 1) \frac{pq^r}{p - 1} - (p^{r-1} - 1)q^{r-1} \left( \frac{\log d}{\log p} + 1 \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{p}{p - 1} \cdot \frac{d - (p^r - 1)q^r}{p + 1} - \frac{\log d}{\log p} \\ & \geq \frac{pd}{p^2 - 1} + \frac{(p^r - p^2)q^r}{p^2 - 1} + d^{\frac{r-1}{r}} O \left( \frac{\log d}{r \log p} + 1 \right) \\ & > d \left( \frac{1}{p - 1} - \frac{1}{p^r - 1} \right) + d^{\frac{r-1}{r}} O \left( \frac{r}{p} + \frac{\log d}{r \log p} + 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that for  $r = 2$  the factor  $d^{\frac{r-1}{r}}$  can be omitted.

PROOF OF COROLLARY 4. By (1) we have

$$\log D_{d,r} \leq \log d! = d \log d + O(d),$$

on the other hand, by Corollary 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \log D_{d,r} &\geq \log D_{d,2} \geq \sum_{\substack{p < d \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \right\rfloor \log p \\ &\geq d \sum_{\substack{p < d \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{\log p}{p+1} - \sum_{\substack{p < d \\ p \text{ prime}}} \log p = d \log d + O(d). \end{aligned}$$

For the proof of Theorem 4 we need three lemmas.

LEMMA 5. *If, for a prime  $p$ ,*

$$(15) \quad \text{ord}_p D_{d,r+1} > \text{ord}_p D_{d,r},$$

*then there exists a form  $F \in S_{d,r+1}$  such that*

$$(16) \quad \text{ord}_p D(F) = \text{ord}_p D_{d,r+1}$$

*and*

$$x_1 x_2 \dots x_{r+1} \mid F.$$

PROOF. Let

$$(17) \quad D_{d,r+1} = D(F_0), \quad \text{when } F_0 \in S_{d,r+1}.$$

We have

$$F_0 = \sum_{S \subset \{1, \dots, r+1\}} F_S,$$

where  $F_S$  consists of those monomials of  $F$  in which occur just the variables with indices belonging to  $S$ ,  $F_\emptyset = 0$ . It follows by induction on  $s \leq r+1$  that

$$(18) \quad p^{\text{ord}_p D_{d,r+1}} \mid D(F_S)$$

and

$$(19) \quad p \nmid C(F_S),$$

where  $F_S = \sum_{\{1, \dots, s\} \subset S \subset \{1, \dots, r+1\}} F_S$ .

Indeed, for  $s = 0$ , (18) and (19) follow from (17). Assuming that (18) holds for an  $s \leq r$  and putting  $x_{s+1} = 0$  we find that

$$(20) \quad p^{\text{ord}_p D_{d,r+1}} \mid D(F_s - F_{s+1}),$$

hence by (18)

$$p^{\text{ord}_p D_{d,r+1}} \mid D(F_{s+1}).$$

Since the form  $F_s - F_{s+1}$  depends only on the variables  $x_1, \dots, x_s, x_{s+2}, \dots, x_{r+1}$  it follows from (15) and (20) that

$$p \mid C(F_s - F_{s+1}),$$

hence by (19)

$$p \nmid C(F_{s+1}),$$

which completes the inductive proof of (18) and (19). Applying these formulae for  $s = r + 1$  we infer that

$$p^{\text{ord}_p D_{d,r+1}} \mid D(F_{r+1}), \quad p \nmid C(F_{r+1}).$$

The form  $F = F_{r+1}C(F_{r+1})^{-1}$  satisfies the conditions of the lemma.

LEMMA 6. For all positive integers  $d$  and  $r$  and for all primes  $p$

$$\text{ord}_p D_{d,r+1} \leq \max \left\{ \text{ord}_p D_{d,r}, \left\lfloor \frac{d-r-1}{p-1} \right\rfloor \right\}.$$

PROOF. If  $\text{ord}_p D_{d,r+1} > \text{ord}_p D_{d,r}$  let  $F$  be a form of Lemma 5. We have

$$F = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \dots x_{r+1}^{i_{r+1}},$$

where  $\mathbf{i} = [i_1, \dots, i_{r+1}]$ ,  $i_s$  ( $1 \leq s \leq r+1$ ) are positive integers,  $\mathbf{I}$  is a certain finite set and  $i_1 + \dots + i_{r+1} = d$ ,  $a_{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathbf{Z}$  for all  $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}$ . We have

$$x^{\mathbf{i}} = i! \binom{x}{i} + f_i(x),$$

where  $f_i \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$ ,  $\deg f_i < i$ , hence

$$F = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{s=1}^{r+1} i_s! \binom{x_s}{i_s} + f_{\mathbf{i}}(x_1, \dots, x_{r+1})$$

where  $f_{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_{r+1}]$ ,  $\deg f_{\mathbf{i}} < d$ . It follows now from Nagell's theorem [5, p. 15] and (16) that

$$(21) \quad p^{\text{ord}_p D_{d,r+1}} \mid \text{g.c.d.}_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} \left( a_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{s=1}^{r+1} i_s! \right).$$

However, by Lemma 1,

$$\text{ord}_p \prod_{s=1}^{r+1} i_s! = \sum_{s=1}^{r+1} \text{ord}_p i_s! \leq \sum_{s=1}^{r+1} \frac{i_s - 1}{p - 1} = \frac{d - r - 1}{p - 1},$$

and since  $F \in S_{d,r+1}$

$$\text{ord}_p \text{g.c.d.}_{i \in \mathbf{1}} \left( a_i \prod_{s=1}^{r+1} i_s! \right) \leq \frac{d - r - 1}{p - 1},$$

hence we obtain from (21)

$$\text{ord}_p D_{d,r+1} \leq \left\lfloor \frac{d - r - 1}{p - 1} \right\rfloor.$$

LEMMA 7. For all primes  $p < d$

$$(22) \quad \text{ord}_p \left( \left( p \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \right\rfloor! \right) \right) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{\text{ord}_2 \left( (2 \left\lfloor \frac{d}{3} \right\rfloor)! \right)}{p - 1} \right\rfloor.$$

PROOF. In order to diminish the number of parentheses we agree to perform factorial after multiplication. For  $p = 2$ , (22) becomes equality. For  $p = 3$ ,  $d < 12$  we verify (22) directly. For  $p = 3$ ,  $d = 12k + r$ ,  $0 \leq r < 12$ ,  $k \geq 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_3 \left( 3 \left\lfloor \frac{d}{4} \right\rfloor! \right) - \left\lfloor \frac{\text{ord}_2 \left( 2 \left\lfloor \frac{d}{3} \right\rfloor! \right)}{2} \right\rfloor &\geq \frac{k - \text{ord}_2 k! + 2 \left\lfloor \frac{r}{4} \right\rfloor - \text{ord}_2 \left( 2 \left\lfloor \frac{r}{3} \right\rfloor! \right)}{2} \\ &\geq \frac{k - \text{ord}_2 k! - 1}{2} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

For  $p = 5$ ,  $d = 6k + r$ ,  $0 \leq r < 6$ ,  $k \geq 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_5 \left( 5 \left\lfloor \frac{d}{6} \right\rfloor! \right) - \left\lfloor \frac{\text{ord}_2 \left( 2 \left\lfloor \frac{d}{3} \right\rfloor! \right)}{4} \right\rfloor &\geq \frac{k - \text{ord}_2 k! - \text{ord}_2 \left( 2 \left\lfloor \frac{r}{3} \right\rfloor! \right)}{4} \\ &\geq \frac{k - \text{ord}_2 k! - 1}{4} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

For  $p = 7$ ,  $d < 24$  we verify (22) directly. For  $p = 7$ ,  $d = 24k + r$ ,  $0 \leq r < 24$ ,  $k \geq 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_7 \left( 7 \left\lfloor \frac{d}{8} \right\rfloor ! \right) - \left\lfloor \frac{\text{ord}_2 \left( 2 \left\lfloor \frac{d}{3} \right\rfloor ! \right)}{6} \right\rfloor &\geq \frac{3k + 6 \left\lfloor \frac{r}{8} \right\rfloor - \text{ord}_2 k! - \text{ord}_2 \left( 2 \left\lfloor \frac{r}{3} \right\rfloor ! \right)}{6} \\ &\geq \frac{3k - \text{ord}_2 k! - 3}{6} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

For  $p < d < 3p$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_p \left( p \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \right\rfloor ! \right) &\geq \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \right\rfloor \geq 1, \\ \text{ord}_2 \left( 2 \left\lfloor \frac{d}{3} \right\rfloor ! \right) &\leq \text{ord}_2((2p-2)!) \leq 2p-3, \\ \left\lfloor \frac{\text{ord}_2 \left( 2 \left\lfloor \frac{d}{3} \right\rfloor ! \right)}{p-1} \right\rfloor &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

For  $3p \leq d < \frac{9p-3}{2}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_p \left( p \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \right\rfloor ! \right) &\geq \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \right\rfloor \geq 2, \\ \text{ord}_2 \left( 2 \left\lfloor \frac{d}{3} \right\rfloor ! \right) &\leq \text{ord}_2((3p-3)!) \leq 3p-4, \\ \left\lfloor \frac{\text{ord}_2 \left( 2 \left\lfloor \frac{d}{3} \right\rfloor ! \right)}{p-1} \right\rfloor &\leq 2. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\frac{9p-3}{2} \leq d < 6p-3$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_p \left( p \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \right\rfloor ! \right) &\geq \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \right\rfloor \geq 3, \\ \text{ord}_2 \left( 2 \left\lfloor \frac{d}{3} \right\rfloor ! \right) &\leq \text{ord}_2((4p-4)!) \leq 4p-5, \\ \left\lfloor \frac{\text{ord}_2 \left( 2 \left\lfloor \frac{d}{3} \right\rfloor ! \right)}{p-1} \right\rfloor &\leq 3. \end{aligned}$$

For  $p \geq 11, d \geq 6p - 3$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_p \left( p \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \right\rfloor! \right) &\geq \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \right\rfloor \geq \frac{d-p}{p+1}, \\ \text{ord}_2 \left( 2 \left\lfloor \frac{d}{3} \right\rfloor! \right) &\leq 2 \left\lfloor \frac{d}{3} \right\rfloor - 1 \leq \frac{2}{3}d - 1, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_p \left( p \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \right\rfloor! \right) - \left\lfloor \frac{\text{ord}_2 \left( 2 \left\lfloor \frac{d}{3} \right\rfloor! \right)}{p-1} \right\rfloor &\geq \frac{d-p}{p+1} - \frac{\frac{2}{3}d-1}{p-1} \\ &= \frac{d(p-5) - 3(p^2 - 2p - 1)}{3(p^2 - 1)} \\ &\geq \frac{(2p-1)(p-5) - p^2 + 2p + 1}{p^2 - 1} \\ &= \frac{p^2 - 9p + 6}{p^2 - 1} \geq \frac{7}{30}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 4. (i) We proceed by induction on  $r$ . Since  $D_{d,1} = 1$ , for  $r = 1$  the assertion holds. Assume that  $D_{d,r} \mid (d-1)!$ . It for all primes  $p : \text{ord}_p D_{d,r+1} \leq \max\{\text{ord}_p D_{d,r}, \text{ord}_p((d-1)!\}$ , we have  $D_{d,r+1} \mid (d-1)!$ . Otherwise, by Lemma 5, there exists a prime  $p$  and a form  $F \in S_{d,r+1}$  such that

$$(23) \quad \text{ord}_p D(F) = \text{ord}_p D_{d,r+1} > \text{ord}_p((d-1)!)$$

and

$$(24) \quad x_1 x_2 \dots x_{r+1} \mid F.$$

Since  $F \in S_{d,r+1}$ ,  $F(x_1 \dots x_r, 1)$  is primitive and by (24) of degree at most  $d-1$ . Hence by (1)

$$D(F(x_1 \dots x_{r-1}, 1) \mid (d-1)!.$$

contrary to (23).

(ii) We proceed by induction on  $r \geq r_d$ . For  $r = r_d$  the assertion is obvious. Assume that it is true for the index  $r$ . If  $D_{d,r+1} > D_{d,r}$ , then there exists a prime  $p < d$  such that

$$\text{ord}_p D_{d,r+1} > \text{ord}_p D_{d,r}$$

and since for  $d \geq 4, r_d \geq 2$ , by Lemma 6 and Corollary 1

$$\begin{aligned} \left\lfloor \frac{d-r-1}{p-1} \right\rfloor &> \text{ord}_p D_{d,r} \geq \text{ord}_p D_{d,r_d} \\ &\geq \text{ord}_p D_{d,2} = \text{ord}_p \left( \left( p \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \right\rfloor \right)! \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\left\lfloor \frac{\text{ord}_2 \left( (2 \lfloor \frac{d}{3} \rfloor)! \right)}{p-1} \right\rfloor > \text{ord}_p \left( \left( p \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p+1} \right\rfloor \right)! \right),$$

contrary to Lemma 7. Thus  $D_{d,r+1} = D_{d,r}$  and, by the inductive assumption,  $D_{d,r+1} = D_{d,r_d}$ .

PROOF OF COROLLARY 5. Since  $D_{d,2} \leq D_{d,r} \leq (d-1)!$  and for  $d \leq 6, d \neq 5, D_{d,2} = (d-1)!$  we infer that  $D_{d,r} = D_{d,2}$ . It remains to consider  $d = 5$  and by (ii)  $r = 3$ . Since  $D_{5,2} = 6, (5-1)! = 24$  it suffices to prove that  $D_{5,3} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Assuming the contrary, by Lemma 5, there exists a form  $F_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y, z]$  such that  $xyz \mid F_0$

$$(25) \quad 4 \mid D(F_0), \quad 2 \nmid C(F_0).$$

We have for some integers  $a, b, c, d, e, f$

$$(26) \quad F_0 = xyz(ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 + dxz + eyz + fz^2)$$

and for  $x, y, z$  odd

$$4 \mid ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 + dxz + eyz + fz^2.$$

However for  $x, y$  odd

$$x^2 \equiv y^2 \equiv 1, \quad xy \equiv x + y - 1 \pmod{4},$$

thus

$$4 \mid a - b + c - d - e + f + (b+d)x + (b+e)y + (d+e)z$$

and, since this holds for all  $x, y, z$  odd we have

$$(27) \quad b \equiv d \equiv e \pmod{2}$$

and

$$(28) \quad a + b + c + d + e + f \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$



On the other hand, from (25) and (26) for  $\langle x, y, z \rangle = \langle 2, 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 2, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 1, 2 \rangle$

$$c + e + f \equiv 0, \quad a + d + f \equiv 0, \quad a + b + c \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

It follows from (27) that  $a \equiv c \equiv f \pmod{2}$ , thus  $b \equiv d \equiv f \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  and, by (28),  $3a \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, a \equiv c \equiv f \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , contrary to (25).

REMARK. We have  $(D(xyz(x+y)(x+z)(x+y+z)(y+z)(y-z))) = 48$ . Since  $D_{8,2} = 2520$  it follows by Lemma 3 and Theorem 4 (i) that  $D_{8,r} = 7!$  for all  $r \geq 3$ . On the other hand, a complicated computation shows that for all  $r \geq 2, D_{7,r} = 5! = D_{7,2}$ .

PROOF OF COROLLARY 6. We have by Theorem 2 and Corollary 1

$$\text{ord}_2 D_{9,3}^1 \leq \text{ord}_2 \left( \left( 2 \left\lfloor \frac{27}{7} \right\rfloor \right)! \right) = \text{ord}_2 6! = 4 = \text{ord}_2 D_{9,2}^1,$$

$$\text{ord}_3 D_{9,3}^1 \leq \text{ord}_3 \left( \left( 3 \left\lfloor \frac{72}{26} \right\rfloor \right)! \right) = \text{ord}_3 6! = 2 = \text{ord}_3 D_{9,2}^1.$$

For  $p = 5, 7$  we have by Corollary 1

$$\text{ord}_2 D_{9,2}^1 = 1 = \text{ord}_p 8!.$$

For the proof of Theorem 5 we need 5 lemmas.

LEMMA 8. For all primes  $p$  and all integers  $r > 1$  and  $\alpha > 0$  let  $d(r, p^\alpha)$  and  $d^1(r, p^\alpha)$  be the least  $d$  such that  $p^\alpha \mid D_{d,r}$  and  $p^\alpha \mid D_{d,r}^1$ , respectively. We have

$$d(r, p^\alpha) \leq d^1(r, p^\alpha) \leq \frac{p^2 - 1}{p} \left( \alpha + 2 \frac{\log \alpha p}{\log p} + 1 \right) \quad \text{if } \alpha \geq p,$$

$$d(r, p^\alpha) \leq d^1(r, p) \leq \alpha(p + 1) \quad \text{if } \alpha < p.$$

Moreover,

$$d(r, p^\alpha) \geq \alpha(p - 1) + 2.$$

PROOF. By Lemma 1

$$\text{ord}_p((pn)!) \geq \frac{pn}{p-1} - \frac{\log pn}{\log p},$$

hence by Corollary 1

$$\text{ord}_p D_{d,2}^1 = e_p \geq \frac{p}{p^2 - 1} d - \frac{\log d}{\log p} - 1$$

and if  $\alpha \geq p$ ,

$$d \geq \frac{p^2 - 1}{p} \left( \alpha + 2 \frac{\log \alpha p}{\log p} + 1 \right)$$

we obtain

$$e_p \geq \alpha + 2 \frac{\log \alpha p}{\log p} + 1 - \frac{\log \frac{p^2 - 1}{p} \left( \alpha + 2 \frac{\log \alpha p}{\log p} + 1 \right)}{\log p} - 1 \geq \alpha.$$

Thus  $\text{ord}_p D_{d,2} \geq \alpha$  and *a fortiori*

$$\text{ord}_p D_{d,r} \geq \alpha.$$

The same is true for  $\alpha < p$  provided  $d \geq (p + 1)\alpha$ .

On the other hand, if  $p^\alpha \mid D_{d,r}$ , we have by Theorem 4(i) and by Lemma 1

$$\alpha \leq \text{ord}_p((d - 1)!) \leq \frac{d - 2}{p - 1},$$

hence  $d \geq \alpha(p - 1) + 2$ .

LEMMA 9. *If  $c > 1$  and  $p > 8/(c - 1)$ , then  $p^\alpha \mid n!$  implies*

$$d(r, p^\alpha) \leq d^1(r, p^\alpha) < cn.$$

PROOF. If  $\alpha < p$ , then  $n \geq \alpha p$  and by Lemma 8

$$d(r, p) \leq d^1(r, p) \leq \alpha(p + 1) < \alpha \left( 1 + \frac{c - 1}{8} \right) p < cn.$$

If  $\alpha \geq p$ , then again by Lemma 8

$$d^1(r, p^\alpha) \leq \frac{p^2 - 1}{p} \left( \alpha + 2 \frac{\log \alpha p}{\log p} + 1 \right).$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 1

$$\alpha = \text{ord}_p n! < \frac{n}{p - 1},$$

hence

$$d^1(r, p^\alpha) \leq \frac{p^2 - 1}{p} \left( \frac{n}{p - 1} + 2 \frac{\log 2n}{\log p} + 1 \right)$$

and

$$\frac{d^1(r, p^\alpha)}{n} \leq \frac{p + 1}{p} + 2 \frac{p^2 - 1}{p} \frac{\log 2n}{n \log p} + \frac{p^2 - 1}{pn}.$$

The right hand side is a decreasing function of  $n$  and since  $n \geq p^2$ ,  $\frac{d(r, p^\alpha)}{n} \leq \frac{d^1(r, p^\alpha)}{n} \leq \frac{p+1}{p} + 6\frac{p^2-1}{p^3} + \frac{p^2-1}{p^3} < 1 + \frac{8}{p} < c$ .

LEMMA 10. *The limit  $\ell(r, p) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d(r, p^\alpha)}{\alpha}$  exists and satisfies  $\ell(r, p) \geq p - 1$ .*

PROOF. By Lemma 8 we have

$$\frac{p^2 - 1}{p} \geq l(r, p) = \liminf_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d(r, p^\alpha)}{\alpha} \geq p - 1.$$

For every integer  $n$  there exists an integer  $\beta_n$  such that

$$d(r, p^{\beta_n}) \leq \left( l(r, p) + \frac{1}{n} \right) \beta_n.$$

If  $f \in S_{d,r}$  and  $p^{\beta_n} \mid D(f)$ , then  $p^{q\beta_n} \mid D(f^q)$ , where  $f^q \in S_{qd,r}$ . Hence choosing for an arbitrary integer  $\alpha > 0$  an integer  $q$  such that  $(q - 1)\beta_n < \alpha \leq q\beta_n$  we infer that

$$d(r, p^\alpha) \leq qd(r, p^{\beta_n}) < \frac{q\alpha}{q - 1} \left( l(r, p) + \frac{1}{n} \right)$$

and for  $\alpha > n\beta_n$

$$d(r, p^\alpha) \leq \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \left( l(r, p) + \frac{1}{n} \right) \alpha.$$

Since  $n$  is arbitrary, it follows that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d(r, p^\alpha)}{\alpha} = l(r, p).$$

LEMMA 11. *The limit  $l_r = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_r(n)}{n}$  exists.*

PROOF. If  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_r(n)}{n} = 1$ , then since by Theorem 4(i)

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_r(n)}{n} \geq 1$$

we have  $l_r = 1$ . Assume that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_r(n)}{n} = c > 1$ . Since  $d_r(n) \leq d_2(n) \leq \frac{3}{2}n$  we have  $c < \infty$ . We shall prove that

$$(29) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_r(n)}{n} = \max_{p < \frac{16}{c-1}} \frac{l(r, p)}{p - 1} =: M.$$

In order to prove (29) it suffices to prove that

$$(30) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_r(n)}{n} \leq M$$

and

$$(31) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_r(n)}{n} \geq M.$$

Clearly,

$$d_r(n) = \max_{p^\alpha \parallel n} d(r, p^\alpha).$$

For  $p > \frac{16}{c-1}$  we have by Lemma 9

$$d(r, p^\alpha) < \frac{c+1}{2}n,$$

and, since  $\frac{c+1}{2} < c$ ,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_r(n)}{n} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\substack{p^\alpha \parallel n \\ p < \frac{16}{c-1}}} \frac{d(r, p^\alpha)}{n}.$$

Since for every  $p < \frac{16}{c-1}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\alpha > \alpha(\varepsilon)$

$$d(r, p^\alpha) < (l(r, p) + \varepsilon)\alpha$$

while, by Lemma 1

$$(32) \quad \alpha = \frac{n}{p-1} + O(\log n)$$

we obtain for  $n > n_0(\varepsilon)$

$$d(r, p^\alpha) < (M + \varepsilon)n,$$

which gives (30).

In order to prove (31) let  $M = \frac{l(r, p)}{p-1}$  for a prime  $p$ . In view of (32)  $n! \mid D_{d, r}$  implies for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $n > n_1(\varepsilon)$

$$d \geq d(r, p^\alpha) \geq (l(r, p) - \varepsilon) \left( \frac{n}{p-1} + O(\log n) \right) \geq (M - \varepsilon)n + O(\log n),$$

which proves (31).

LEMMA 12. Let  $d_r^1(n)$  be the least  $d$  such that  $n! \mid D_{d,r}^1$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_r^1(n)}{n} = \frac{2^r - 1}{2^r - 2}.$$

PROOF. We shall prove the lemma in two steps

$$(33) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_r^1(n)}{n} \leq \frac{2^r - 1}{2^r - 2}$$

and

$$(34) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_r^1(n)}{n} \geq \frac{2^r - 1}{2^r - 2}.$$

We have

$$d_r^1(n) = \max_{p^\alpha \parallel n!} d^1(r, p^\alpha).$$

By Lemma 9 for  $p > 8(2^n - 2)$  we have

$$d^1(r, p^\alpha) < \frac{2^r - 1}{2^r - 2} n.$$

For  $p < 8(2^n - 2)$ , by Corollary 3 for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $n > n(\varepsilon)$ ,  $d > \left(\frac{2^r - 1}{2^r - 2} + \varepsilon\right)n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_p D_{d,r}^1 &= d \left( \frac{1}{p-1} - \frac{1}{p^r-1} \right) + O(d^{\frac{r-1}{r}} \log d) \\ &> \left( \frac{2^r - 1}{2^r - 2} + \varepsilon \right) \left( \frac{1}{p-1} - \frac{1}{p^r-1} \right) + O(n^{\frac{r-1}{r}} \log n) \\ &> \frac{n}{p-1} + O(\log n) = \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

This shows (33). In order to prove (34) suppose that for  $\varepsilon > 0$  and arbitrarily large  $n$

$$d < \left( \frac{2^r - 1}{2^r - 2} - \varepsilon \right) n.$$

Then by Corollary 3

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_2 D_{d,r}^1 &< \left( \frac{2^r - 1}{2^r - 2} - \varepsilon \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2^r - 1} \right) n + O(n^{\frac{r-1}{r}} \log n) \\ &< n - O(\log n) = \text{ord}_2 n!. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 5. The Theorem follows from Lemmas 10 and 11.

For the proof of Theorem 6 we need

LEMMA 13. *For every positive integer  $r$*

$$D(G_r) = \prod_{i=1}^r i!, \quad \text{where } G_r = \prod_{i=1}^r x_i \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (x_j - x_i).$$

PROOF. See [4] or [3, Lemma 4.1]. The lemma itself is due to H. W. Segar (cf. [2], p. 269).

PROOF OF THEOREM 6. We shall show that for all primes  $p$

$$(35) \quad \text{ord}_p D_{d,r} \geq \text{ord}_p(\lceil d - 3(2d)^{3/4} \rceil!).$$

We distinguish three cases:

$$(36) \quad p \leq r^{1/2},$$

$$(37) \quad p > r^{1/2}, \quad p^2 + p \leq d,$$

$$(38) \quad p^2 + p > d.$$

In the case (36) we have  $\binom{r+1}{2} \leq d$ , hence  $D(G_r) \mid D_{d,r}$  and by Lemma 13

$$\text{ord}_p D_{d,r} \geq \sum_{i=1}^r \text{ord}_p i!.$$

Now, by Lemma 1, for  $i > 1$

$$\text{ord}_p i! \geq \frac{i}{p-1} - \left\lceil \frac{\log i}{\log p} \right\rceil,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_p D_{d,r} &\geq \frac{\binom{r+1}{2} - 1}{p-1} - r + 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=p+1}^r \log i}{\log p} \\ &\geq \frac{\binom{r+1}{2}}{p-1} - (r-1)(1 + \log r / \log p). \end{aligned}$$

However by the choice of  $r$

$$\binom{r+1}{2} \geq d - r, \quad 2 \leq r < \sqrt{2d},$$

while by Lemma 1

$$(39) \quad \text{ord}_p(\lceil d - 3(2d)^{3/4} \rceil!) \leq \frac{d - \lceil 3(2d)^{3/4} \rceil}{p - 1}$$

and the inequality (35) follows from

$$\lceil 3(2d)^{3/4} \rceil - r - (p - 1)(r - 1)(1 + \log r / \log p) \geq 3(2d)^{3/4} - 3r^{3/2} > 0.$$

In the case (37) we have

$$\text{ord}_p \left( \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p + 1} \right\rfloor! \right) \geq 1,$$

hence by Corollary 1

$$\text{ord}_p D_{d,r} \geq \text{ord}_p D_{d,2} \geq \frac{d}{p + 1}$$

and (35) follows from (39) and the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} (p + 1)\lceil 3(2d)^{3/4} \rceil - 2d &> (r^{1/2} + 1)3(2d)^{3/4} - 2d \\ &> (r + 1)^{1/2}3(2d)^{3/4} - 2d \\ &> (2d)^{1/4}3(2d)^{3/4} - 2d = 4d > 0. \end{aligned}$$

In the case (38) we have

$$p^2 > d - 3(2d)^{3/4}.$$

Let  $d - \lfloor 3(2d)^{3/4} \rfloor = ap + b$ , where  $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}; 0 \leq b < p$ . Clearly  $a < \sqrt{d} < \lfloor 3(2d)^{3/4} \rfloor$ , thus

$$d = ap + b + \lfloor 3(2d)^{3/4} \rfloor > ap + a$$

and

$$\text{ord}_p D_{d,2} = \left\lfloor \frac{d}{p + 1} \right\rfloor \geq a = \text{ord}_p(\lceil d - 3(2d)^{3/4} \rceil!).$$

**PROOF OF COROLLARY 7.** For  $r \geq \left\lfloor \frac{-1 + \sqrt{8d + 1}}{2} \right\rfloor$  we have by Theorem 6 and the Stirling formula

$$\log D_{d,r} \geq \log \lceil d - 3(2d)^{3/4} \rceil! = d \log d - d + O(d^{3/4} \log d).$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 4(i)

$$\log D_{d,r} \leq \log(d - 1)! = d \log d - d + O(\log d),$$

hence the assertion.

## REFERENCES

1. Bachmann, P., *Niedere Zahlentheorie I*, reprint, Chelsea, New York 1968.
2. Dickson, L. E., *History of the theory of numbers I*, reprint, Chelsea, New York 1952.
3. Elsholtz, C., *Additive decomposability of multiplicatively defined sets*, *Functiones Approx. Comment. Math.* 35 (2006), 61–77.
4. Hensel, K., *Über den grössten gemeinsamen Theiler aller Zahlen, welche durch eine ganze Function von  $n$  Veränderlichen darstellbar sind*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 116 (1896), 350–356.
5. Nagell, T., *Über zahlentheoretische Polynome*, *Norsk. Mat. Tidsskr.* 1 (1919), 14–23, see also *The Collected Papers of Trygve Nagell*, vol. 1, 29–40, Kingston 2002.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES  
ŚNIADECKICH 8  
00-956 WARSAW  
POLAND  
*E-mail*: schinzel@impan.pl