

K-CONTINUITY IS EQUIVALENT TO K-EXACTNESS

OTGONBAYAR UUYE*

Abstract

Let A be a C^* -algebra. It is well known that the functor $B \mapsto A \otimes B$ of taking the minimal tensor product with A preserves inductive limits if and only if it is exact. C^* -algebras with this property play an important role in the structure and finite-dimensional approximation theory of C^* -algebras.

We consider a K -theoretic analogue of this result and show that the functor $B \mapsto K_0(A \otimes B)$ preserves inductive limits if and only if it is half-exact.

1. Introduction

We denote the *spatial* or *minimal* tensor product of C^* -algebras by the symbol \otimes (cf. [22, Section IV.4], [3, Section 3.3]).

Let A be a C^* -algebra. We say that A is \otimes -*exact* if for every extension (i.e. short exact sequence)

$$0 \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow 0$$

of C^* -algebras, the natural sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \otimes I \longrightarrow A \otimes D \longrightarrow A \otimes B \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact (in the middle). We note that \otimes -exactness is generally referred to as just *exactness* in the literature. We chose our terminology for consistency within the paper.

Let M_n denote the C^* -algebra of $n \times n$ complex matrices. Letting

$$\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n := \left\{ (a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \mid a_n \in M_n \text{ for all } n \text{ and } \sup_n \|a_n\| < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n := \left\{ (a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \mid a_n \in M_n \text{ for all } n \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a_n\| = 0 \right\},$$

*The author is supported by EPSRC.

Received 15 May 2013.

we get an extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n \longrightarrow \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n \longrightarrow \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n / \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n \longrightarrow 0.$$

E. Kirchberg proved the following fundamental result about \otimes -exactness. See [3] for more details.

THEOREM 1.1 (E. Kirchberg [12], [13]). *Let A be a C^* -algebra. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) *The algebra A is \otimes -exact.*
- (ii) *The sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow A \otimes \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n \longrightarrow A \otimes \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n \longrightarrow A \otimes \left(\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n / \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n \right) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

- (iii) *The algebra A is nuclearly embeddable in the sense of [23].*

We remark that the implication (iii) \Rightarrow (i) was proved by S. Wassermann in [24].

We say that A is \otimes -continuous if for every inductive sequence

$$B_0 \longrightarrow B_1 \longrightarrow B_2 \longrightarrow \dots$$

of C^* -algebras, the natural (surjective) map

$$\varinjlim (A \otimes B_n) \longrightarrow A \otimes \varinjlim B_n$$

is an isomorphism, where \varinjlim denotes the inductive limit functor.

The following result is well-known and follows from the equivalence (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) in the theorem above. N. Ozawa attributes it to E. Kirchberg.

THEOREM 1.2. *A C^* -algebra is \otimes -exact if and only if it is \otimes -continuous.*

In this paper, we consider a K -theoretic analogue of this result. See [25], [2], [16] for details about topological K -theory for C^* -algebras. We say that a C^* -algebra A is K -exact if for every extension

$$0 \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow 0$$

of C^* -algebras, the sequence

$$K_0(A \otimes I) \longrightarrow K_0(A \otimes D) \longrightarrow K_0(A \otimes B)$$

is exact in the middle. We say that a C^* -algebra A is K -continuous if for every inductive sequence

$$B_0 \longrightarrow B_1 \longrightarrow B_2 \longrightarrow \dots$$

of C^* -algebras, the natural map

$$\varinjlim K_0(A \otimes B_i) \longrightarrow K_0(A \otimes \varinjlim B_i)$$

is an isomorphism.

The following is our main result.

THEOREM 1.3. *A C^* -algebra is K -exact if and only if it is K -continuous.*

All \otimes -exact C^* -algebras are K -exact. Examples of non- K -exact C^* -algebras were first constructed by G. Skandalis and play an important role in K -theory and KK -theory (cf. [19], [20], [9], [11]).

In section 2, we give a proof of Theorem 1.2, as we couldn't find a direct reference and also the proof of the implication Theorem 1.2(\Rightarrow) is used in proof of Theorem 1.3(\Rightarrow). In section 3, we study the notions of K -exactness and K -continuity and prove Theorem 1.3. We note that our proof of the implication Theorem 1.3(\Leftarrow) uses [7, Theorem 3.11] in a crucial way.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let $\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, \dots\}$ denote the set of non-negative integers. The following is obvious.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let A be a C^* -algebra and let*

$$B_0 \longrightarrow B_1 \longrightarrow B_2 \longrightarrow \dots$$

be an inductive sequence of C^ -algebras. If the connecting maps are all injective, then the map*

$$\varinjlim(A \otimes B_n) \longrightarrow A \otimes \varinjlim B_n$$

is an isomorphism.

LEMMA 2.2. *Consider an inductive sequence of extensions of C^* -algebras*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I_n & \longrightarrow & D_n & \longrightarrow & B_n & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \iota_n & & \downarrow \delta_n & & \downarrow \beta_n & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & D_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & B_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

(i) *The limit sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \varinjlim I_n \longrightarrow \varinjlim D_n \longrightarrow \varinjlim B_n \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

(ii) *Suppose that for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, the extension*

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow I_n \longrightarrow D_n \longrightarrow B_n \longrightarrow 0$$

is split¹ (i.e. the quotient map admits a $*$ -homomorphic section) and the connecting maps ι_n and δ_n are injective. Then for any C^* -algebra A , the map

$$\varinjlim (A \otimes B_n) \longrightarrow A \otimes \varinjlim B_n$$

is an isomorphism if and only if the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \otimes \varinjlim I_n \longrightarrow A \otimes \varinjlim D_n \longrightarrow A \otimes \varinjlim B_n \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

PROOF. (i) is clear. For (ii), consider the diagram

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim (A \otimes I_n) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim (A \otimes D_n) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim (A \otimes B_n) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow \beta \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A \otimes \varinjlim I_n & \longrightarrow & A \otimes \varinjlim D_n & \longrightarrow & A \otimes \varinjlim B_n \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Since the connecting maps ι_n and δ_n are injective, the maps ι and δ are isomorphisms by Lemma 2.1. For any $n \in \mathbf{N}$, since (2.1) is split exact, the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \otimes I_n \longrightarrow A \otimes D_n \longrightarrow A \otimes B_n \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Thus the top row is exact by (i). It follows that β is an isomorphism if and only if the bottom row is exact by five-lemma.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2. (\Rightarrow): Let A be a \otimes -exact C^* -algebra and let

$$B_0 \xrightarrow{\beta_0} B_1 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} \dots$$

be an inductive sequence.

Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and let $I_n := \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n-1} B_k$ and let $D_n := \bigoplus_{k=0}^n B_k$. Then the obvious inclusion and projection maps give a split extension

$$0 \longrightarrow I_n \longrightarrow D_n \longrightarrow B_n \longrightarrow 0.$$

¹ Locally split is enough for our purposes [3, Proposition 3.7.6]. See [8].

Let $\iota_n: I_n \rightarrow I_{n+1}$ denote the natural inclusion and let $\delta_n: D_n \rightarrow D_{n+1}$ denote the injective map given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_0 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & B_0 \\ \oplus & & \oplus \\ \vdots & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \vdots \\ \oplus & & \oplus \\ B_n & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & B_n \\ & \searrow \beta_n & \oplus \\ & & B_{n+1} \end{array}$$

Then we get a map of extensions

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I_n & \longrightarrow & D_n & \longrightarrow & B_n \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \iota_n & & \downarrow \delta_n & & \downarrow \beta_n \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & D_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & B_{n+1} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Since A is \otimes -exact, the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \otimes \varinjlim I_n \longrightarrow A \otimes \varinjlim D_n \longrightarrow A \otimes \varinjlim B_n \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact, hence the map

$$\varinjlim (A \otimes B_n) \longrightarrow A \otimes \varinjlim B_n$$

is an isomorphism by Lemma 2.2 (ii).

(\Leftarrow): Conversely, let A be a \otimes -continuous C^* -algebra. Let M_n , $n \in \mathbf{N}$, denote the C^* -algebra of $n \times n$ complex matrices. Consider the following inductive system of split extensions

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{k=0}^n M_k & \longrightarrow & \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k & \longrightarrow & \prod_{k=n+1}^{\infty} M_k \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n+1} M_k & \longrightarrow & \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k & \longrightarrow & \prod_{k=n+2}^{\infty} M_k \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

given by the obvious injection and projection maps. Since A is \otimes -continuous, the map

$$\varinjlim \left(A \otimes \prod_{k=n+1}^{\infty} M_k \right) \longrightarrow A \otimes \left(\varinjlim \prod_{k=n+1}^{\infty} M_k \right)$$

is an isomorphism, hence the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \otimes \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n \longrightarrow A \otimes \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n \longrightarrow A \otimes \left(\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n / \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n \right) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact by Lemma 2.2(ii). It follows that A is \otimes -exact (cf. [12]).

3. K -exactness and K -continuity

3.1. K -exactness

DEFINITION 3.1. We say that a C^* -algebra A is K -exact if for every extension

$$0 \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow 0$$

of C^* -algebras, the sequence

$$K_0(A \otimes I) \longrightarrow K_0(A \otimes D) \longrightarrow K_0(A \otimes B)$$

is exact in the middle.

REMARK 3.2. A C^* -algebra A is K -exact if and only if for every extension

$$0 \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow 0$$

of C^* -algebras, the natural six-term sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(A \otimes I) & \longrightarrow & K_0(A \otimes D) & \longrightarrow & K_0(A \otimes B) \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ K_1(A \otimes B) & \longleftarrow & K_1(A \otimes D) & \longleftarrow & K_1(A \otimes I) \end{array}$$

is exact (cf. [2, Theorem 21.4.4]). The latter condition is taken as the definition of K -exactness in [27].

EXAMPLE 3.3. \otimes -exact C^* -algebras are K -exact, by the half-exactness of K -theory (cf. [25, Theorem 6.3.2], [2, Theorem 5.6.1]).

EXAMPLE 3.4. C^* -algebras satisfying the Künneth formula of Schochet (cf. [18], [2, Theorem 23.1.3]) are K -exact by [4, Remark 4.3]. In particular, the full group C^* -algebra $C^*(F_2)$ of the free group F_2 on two generators is K -exact (but not \otimes -exact).

EXAMPLE 3.5. C^* -algebras that are K -nuclear in the sense of [19] are K -exact (cf. [19, Proposition 3.5]). See also [6].

Needless to say, not all C^* -algebras are K -exact.

EXAMPLE 3.6.

- (1) Let Γ be an infinite countable discrete group with Khazdan property (T), Kirchberg property (F) and Akemann-Ostrand property (AO), such as a lattice in $\text{Sp}(n, 1)$ (cf. [1]). The *full* group C^* -algebra $C^*(\Gamma)$ is not K -exact (G. Skandalis [20]).
- (2) The reduced group C^* -algebra of a Gromov non-exact group is not K -exact (N. Ozawa, see [9, Remark 13]).
- (3) The product $\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n$ is not K -exact (N. Ozawa [15, Theorem A.1]).
- (4) Let Γ be a finitely generated discrete group with property $\tau(\mathcal{L})$ (cf. [21, Definition 9] or [14]). If Γ has property $\tau(\mathcal{L}')$, where Let $\mathcal{L}' := \{N_1 \cap N_2 \mid N_1, N_2 \in \mathcal{L}\}$, then the uniform Roe algebra $C_u^*(X)$ of the expander $X := \sqcup_{N \in \mathcal{L}} \Gamma/N$ is not K -exact (J. Špakula [21, Theorem 2]).

DEFINITION 3.7. Let $C_0[0, 1)$ denote the commutative C^* -algebra of continuous functions on the interval $[0, 1]$ vanishing at $1 \in [0, 1]$,

$$\text{ev}_0: \begin{array}{ccc} C_0[0, 1) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \\ f & \longmapsto & f(0) \end{array}$$

denote the evaluation map at $0 \in [0, 1)$.

DEFINITION 3.8. Let $\phi: D \rightarrow B$ be a $*$ -homomorphism of C^* -algebras. The *mapping cone* C_ϕ of ϕ is given by the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_\phi & \longrightarrow & C_0[0, 1) \otimes B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ev}_0 \otimes \text{id}_B \\ D & \xrightarrow{\phi} & B \end{array}$$

REMARK 3.9. Let $\phi: D \rightarrow B$ be a $*$ -homomorphism of C^* -algebras. Then for any C^* -algebra A , there is a natural isomorphism $C_{\text{id}_A \otimes \phi} \cong A \otimes C_\phi$. Indeed, since $\text{ev}_0: C_0[0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ admits a completely positive section, we have a map of extensions

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A \otimes C_0(0, 1) \otimes B & \longrightarrow & A \otimes C_\phi & \longrightarrow & A \otimes D \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \phi \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A \otimes C_0(0, 1) \otimes B & \longrightarrow & A \otimes C_0[0, 1) \otimes B & \longrightarrow & A \otimes B \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where $C_0(0, 1)$ denotes the kernel of ev_0 . Now it is easy to see that the square on the right is a pullback square.

LEMMA 3.10 (cf. [9]). *A C^* -algebra A is K -exact if and only if for every extension*

$$0 \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow D \xrightarrow{q} B \longrightarrow 0$$

of separable C^ -algebras, the natural inclusion map $\iota: I \longrightarrow C_q$ induces an isomorphism*

$$(\text{id}_A \otimes \iota)_*: K_0(A \otimes I) \cong K_0(A \otimes C_q).$$

PROOF. Let A be a C^* -algebra and let

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow D \xrightarrow{q} B \longrightarrow 0$$

be an extension of (not necessarily separable) C^* -algebras.

(\Rightarrow): Suppose that A is K -exact. By the homotopy invariance of K -theory, we have $K_*(A \otimes C_0[0, 1] \otimes B) = 0$. Hence, applying Remark 3.2 to the pullback extension

$$0 \longrightarrow I \xrightarrow{\iota} C_q \longrightarrow C_0[0, 1] \otimes B \longrightarrow 0,$$

we see that $\text{id}_A \otimes \iota$ induces an isomorphism $K_0(A \otimes I) \cong K_0(A \otimes C_q)$.

(\Leftarrow): Conversely, suppose that A satisfies the necessary condition in the lemma. We prove that the sequence

$$K_0(A \otimes I) \longrightarrow K_0(A \otimes D) \longrightarrow K_0(A \otimes B)$$

is exact in the middle.

If I , D and B are separable, then the exactness follows from the Puppe exact sequence (cf. [17] or [25, Lemma 6.4.8]) and the natural isomorphism $C_{\text{id}_A \otimes q} \cong A \otimes C_q$ of Remark 3.9.

The general case is reduced to the separable case as follows. Let Λ denote the set of separable C^* -subalgebras of D , ordered by inclusion. Then Λ is a directed set. For each $E \in \Lambda$, we associate a subextension

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I \cap E & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & E/(I \cap E) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I & \longrightarrow & D & \longrightarrow & B \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

It is clear that the inductive limit of the subextensions is the extension (3.1). Since all the connecting maps are injective, the proof is complete by the continuity of K -theory (cf. [25, Proposition 6.2.9]) and the exactness of the inductive limit functor for abelian groups (cf. [26, Theorem 2.6.15]).

The following result is inspired by the proof of [11, Theorem 5.4].

PROPOSITION 3.11. *A C^* -algebra A is K -exact if and only if the functor $B \mapsto K_0(A \otimes B)$, from the category of separable C^* -algebras to abelian groups, factors through the category E of Higson (cf. [10], [5]).*

PROOF. Let A be a C^* and let $F(B) := K_0(A \otimes B)$.

(\Rightarrow): Suppose that A is K -exact. Then F is half-exact and since F is homotopy invariant and stable (under tensoring with the compacts), it factors through the category E by the universal property (cf. [5, Théorème 7]).

(\Leftarrow): Suppose that F factors through E . For any extension

$$0 \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow D \xrightarrow{q} B \longrightarrow 0$$

of separable C^* -algebras, the inclusion $\iota: I \rightarrow C_q$ is an equivalence in E (cf. [5, Lemma 12]). Now Lemma 3.10 completes the proof.

3.2. K -continuity

DEFINITION 3.12. We say that a C^* -algebra A is K -continuous if for every inductive sequence

$$B_0 \longrightarrow B_1 \longrightarrow B_2 \longrightarrow \dots$$

of C^* -algebras, the natural map

$$\varinjlim K_0(A \otimes B_i) \longrightarrow K_0(A \otimes \varinjlim B_i)$$

is an isomorphism.

REMARK 3.13. In Definition 3.12, we could use K_1 instead of K_0 .

EXAMPLE 3.14. \otimes -continuous C^* -algebras are K -continuous, by the continuity of K -theory (cf. [25, Proposition 6.2.9], [2, 5.2.4, 8.1.5]).

EXAMPLE 3.15. C^* -algebras satisfying the Künneth formula of Schochet (cf. [18], [2, Theorem 23.1.3]) are K -continuous. Indeed, let A be a C^* -algebra satisfying the Künneth formula and let

$$B_0 \longrightarrow B_1 \longrightarrow B_2 \longrightarrow \dots$$

be an inductive sequence of C^* -algebras. Then the top row in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow \varinjlim K_*(A) \otimes K_*(B_i) & \rightarrow & \varinjlim K_*(A \otimes B_i) & \rightarrow & \varinjlim \text{Tor}(K_*(A), K_*(B_i)) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 \rightarrow K_*(A) \otimes K_*(\varinjlim B_i) & \rightarrow & K_*(A \otimes \varinjlim B_i) & \rightarrow & \text{Tor}(K_*(A), K_*(\varinjlim B_i)) & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

is an extension of abelian groups by [26, Theorem 2.6.15] and the second row is an extension by the Künneth formula. The left and right vertical maps are

isomorphisms by [26, Corollary 2.6.17] and thus the middle vertical map is also an isomorphism by five-lemma. Hence A is K -continuous.

3.3. Proof of Main Theorem 1.3

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3. (\Rightarrow): Let A be a K -exact C^* -algebra and let

$$B_0 \xrightarrow{\beta_0} B_1 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} \dots$$

be an inductive sequence. We use the notations of the proof of Theorem 1.2 (\Rightarrow). Applying K -theory to the diagram (2.2), we get a map of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} K_0(\varinjlim A \otimes I_n) & \rightarrow & K_0(\varinjlim A \otimes D_n) & \rightarrow & K_0(\varinjlim A \otimes B_n) & \rightarrow & K_1(\varinjlim A \otimes I_n) & \rightarrow & K_1(\varinjlim A \otimes D_n) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \beta_* & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ K_0(A \otimes \varinjlim I_n) & \rightarrow & K_0(A \otimes \varinjlim D_n) & \rightarrow & K_0(A \otimes \varinjlim B_n) & \rightarrow & K_1(A \otimes \varinjlim I_n) & \rightarrow & K_1(A \otimes \varinjlim D_n) \end{array}$$

By five-lemma, the map β_* is an isomorphism.

(\Leftarrow): Conversely, let A be a K -continuous C^* -algebra. Then the functor $F(B) := K_0(A \otimes B)$, considered on the category of separable C^* -algebras, factors through the asymptotic homotopy category of Connes-Higson by [7, Theorem 3.11]. Since F is stable and satisfies Bott periodicity, it in fact factors through the category E . Hence by Proposition 3.11, A is K -exact.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Takeshi Katsura and Kang Li for interesting conversations on the topic of the paper. We also thank the referee for useful suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. Anantharaman-Delaroche, C., *On tensor products of group C^* -algebras and related topics*, Limits of graphs in group theory and computer science, EPFL Press, Lausanne, 2009, pp. 1–35.
2. Blackadar, B., *K -theory for operator algebras*, second ed., Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 5, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998.
3. Brown, N. P., and Ozawa, N., *C^* -algebras and finite-dimensional approximations*, Grad. Stud. Math., vol. 88, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
4. Chabert, J., Echterhoff, S., and Oyono-Oyono, H., *Going-down functors, the Künneth formula, and the Baum-Connes conjecture*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 14 (2004), no. 3, 491–528.
5. Connes, A., and Higson, N., *Déformations, morphismes asymptotiques et K -théorie bivariante*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* 311 (1990), no. 2, 101–106.
6. Cuntz, J., *K -theoretic amenability for discrete groups*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 344 (1983), 180–195.
7. Dădărlat, M., *Shape theory and asymptotic morphisms for C^* -algebras*, *Duke Math. J.* 73 (1994), no. 3, 687–711.
8. Effros, E. G., and Haagerup, U., *Lifting problems and local reflexivity for C^* -algebras*, *Duke Math. J.* 52 (1985), no. 1, 103–128.
9. Higson, N., Lafforgue, V., and Skandalis, G., *Counterexamples to the Baum-Connes conjecture*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 12 (2002), no. 2, 330–354.

10. Higson, N., *Categories of fractions and excision in KK-theory*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 65 (1990), no. 2, 119–138.
11. Higson, N., and Guentner, E., *Group C*-algebras and K-theory*, Noncommutative geometry, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1831, Springer, Berlin, 2004, pp. 137–251.
12. Kirchberg, E., *The Fubini theorem for exact C*-algebras*, J. Operator Theory 10 (1983), no. 1, 3–8.
13. Kirchberg, E., *On subalgebras of the CAR-algebra*, J. Funct. Anal. 129 (1995), no. 1, 35–63.
14. Lubotzky, A., *Discrete groups, expanding graphs and invariant measures*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 125, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1994, with an appendix by Jonathan D. Rogawski.
15. Ozawa, N., *An application of expanders to $B(l_2) \otimes B(l_2)$* , J. Funct. Anal. 198 (2003), no. 2, 499–510.
16. Rørdam, M., Larsen, F., and Laustsen, N., *An introduction to K-theory for C*-algebras*, London Math. Soc. Stud. Texts, vol. 49, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2000.
17. Rosenberg, J., *The role of K-theory in noncommutative algebraic topology*, Operator algebras and K-theory (San Francisco, Calif., 1981), Contemp. Math., vol. 10, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1982, pp. 155–182.
18. Schochet, C., *Topological methods for C*-algebras. II. Geometric resolutions and the Künneth formula*, Pacific J. Math. 98 (1982), no. 2, 443–458.
19. Skandalis, G., *Une notion de nucléarité en K-théorie (d'après J. Cuntz)*, K-Theory 1 (1988), no. 6, 549–573.
20. Skandalis, G., *Le bifoncteur de Kasparov n'est pas exact*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 313 (1991), no. 13, 939–941.
21. Špakula, J., *Non-K-exact uniform Roe C*-algebras*, J. K-Theory 10 (2012), no. 1, 191–201.
22. Takesaki, M., *Theory of operator algebras. I*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 124, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002, Reprint of the first (1979) edition, Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 5.
23. Voiculescu, D., *Property T and approximation of operators*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 22 (1990), no. 1, 25–30.
24. Wassermann, S., *Tensor products of free-group C*-algebras*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 22 (1990), no. 4, 375–380.
25. Wegge-Olsen, N. E., *K-theory and C*-algebras: A friendly approach*, Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1993.
26. Weibel, C. A., *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 38, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1994.
27. Ülgen Yıldırım, S., *K-exact groups and coarsely embeddable groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009), no. 7, 2393–2402.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS
CARDIFF UNIVERSITY
SENGHENYDD ROAD
CARDIFF
WALES
UK
CF24 4AG

Current address:
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SCHOOL OF ARTS AND SCIENCES
NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF MONGOLIA
IH SURGUULIIN GUDAMJ 1
ULAANBAATAR
MONGOLIA
and
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF MONGOLIA
ULAANBAATAR
MONGOLIA
E-mail: otogo@num.edu.mn